

ORIGINAL

Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876 Internet: www.lawfla.com



July 24, 2003

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Room 110, Easley Building Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

AUS CAF CMP COMS OTR CRT

Re: Docket Nos. 981834-TP and 990321-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC are an original and fifteen copies of AT&T's Motion for Modification of the Procedural Schedule in the above referenced dockets.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

RECEIVED & FILE

FPSC-BURFAU OF RECORDS

NHH/amb Enclosures Tracy W. Hatch, Esq. cc: Parties of Record

Sincerely yours,

30-COMPRESION OLER DOCUMENT NUMBER-CAT 6684 JUL 24

Norman H. Horton, Jr.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Competitive Carriers for Commission action to support local)
Telecommunications, Inc.'s service)
territory)
	_)
In re: Petition of ACI Corp. d/b/a)
Accelerated Connections, Inc. for generic)
investigation to ensure that BellSouth)
Telecommunications, Inc., Sprint-Florida,)
Incorporated, and GTE Florida Incorporated)
comply with obligation to provide alternative)
local exchange carriers with flexible, timely,)
and cost-efficient physical collocation.)
* *	Ň

Docket No. 981834-TP

Docket No. 990321-TP Filed: July 24, 2003

AT&T'S MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF THE PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

AT&T Communication of the Southern States, LLC, ("AT&T") pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, hereby moves the Prehearing Officer to modify the current procedural schedule in this proceeding and in support states the following.

1. By Order No. PSC-02-1513-PCO-TP, issued November 4, 2002, the issues identified for hearing in this portion of Dockets Nos. 981834-TP and 990321-TP were initially set for hearing on August 12-15, 2003. This hearing was scheduled to allow the Commission to receive and consider all the evidence regarding the issues to be resolved by the Commission.

2. Pursuant to the initial procedural schedule, direct testimony for Issues 1-8 was filed December 19, 2002, and rebuttal testimony for Issues 1-8 was filed January 21, 2003. In order to accommodate the requests of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Verizon Florida, Inc. and Sprint Florida, Inc. (collectively the "ILECs") for sufficient time to complete and file collocation cost studies, direct testimony for Issues 9-10 was filed February 4, 2003. Rebuttal

testimony for Issues 9-10 was filed April 18, 2003. Surrebuttal testimony for cost issues is currently set to be filed September 23, 2003.

3. On May 15, 2003, Verizon and Sprint, filed a Joint Motion to Strike, seeking to strike portions of the Rebuttal testimony of AT&T's witness, Steven E. Turner or in the alternative an extension of time to file surrebuttal testimony to allow for additional discovery by Verizon and Sprint on BellSouth regarding BellSouth's collocation cost model. During the course of the Agenda Conference to address the Joint Motion to Strike, the parties agreed to give the ILECs additional time to conduct discovery. However, because sufficient time to hear the entire case at one time was not available in the near future, the parties agreed to a bifurcation of the hearings, which the Commission approved. (See Order No. PSC-03-0702-PCO-TP) The bifurcated hearings would allow the testimony which was ready to be heard to be heard in the original August hearing dates and the remainder of the testimony to be heard November 4-5, 2003.

4. On July 1, 2003, Order No. PSC-03-0776-PCO-TP was issued modifying the procedural schedule to establish the bifurcated hearing process. However, in addition to setting the schedule for the separate hearing dates, the Order also split the entire collocation proceeding into two separate proceedings, each with their own separate hearings, briefing schedules and agenda conferences. Effectively, Order No. PSC-03-0776-PCO-TP has created two separate cases from the one originally noticed by the Commission and contemplated by the parties. AT&T believes that the separation of the collocation proceeding into two separate proceedings has the unintended effect of prejudicing AT&T in the presentation of its case. There is a distinction between multiple hearings in a proceeding and multiple proceedings and decisions. AT&T has agreed with the other parties that there should be multiple hearings

2

within a single collocation proceeding but AT&T has not agreed to create separate proceedings.

5. AT&T has prepared and presented its case based on the presentation of its evidence in a single proceeding as set forth in the initial procedural order. The rebuttal testimony of AT&T's witness Steven E. Turner, while directed principally at the cost issues, also contains testimony relevant to other issues in the proceeding, specifically Issues 6A-C regarding in what manner the ILECs will be allowed to charge for the consumption of electrical power in their collocation arrangements. In AT&T's view the determination of the policy question on charges for electric power should not be determined without also looking at the cost issues leading to the development of the rates for electric power consumption.

6. Based on Order No. PSC-03-0776-PCO-TP the Commission is scheduled to decide issues 1-8 on November 3, the day before the Commission is set to hear the rest of the evidence in this case. Deciding issues 1-8 before hearing all the relevant evidence on other issues in the case would inappropriately exclude a portion of AT&T's case from the record upon which the Commission's decision is to be based, and would partition a comprehensive decision. AT&T respectfully suggests that deciding certain of the issues in the proceeding without hearing all the relevant evidence is inappropriate and prejudicial to AT&T and would constitute a denial of due process. Moreover, simply from a practical approach, bifurcating the issues and decisions would be confusing and would not improve or expedite the process.

7. As a solution, AT&T requests that the procedural schedule be modified to schedule a single decision making agenda after both hearings have been concluded. This would allow the Commission to consider the entire matter at one time rather than splitting the decisions. Also, this suggestion has the added benefit of being more efficient for the parties

3

and the staff since it would require only one brief from each party instead of two and would require only one staff recommendation instead of two. Further the Commission would be able to issue a single comprehensive order in the proceeding. AT&T suggests that this would be a better utilization of time and resources and would be the most efficient method.

8. AT&T has consulted with the parties regarding the instant motion during a conference call earlier in the week. Florida Digital Network and Covad do not object. BellSouth, Verizon, and Sprint did not voice a position and must be presumed to oppose the motion. Staff affirmatively took no position.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, AT&T respectfully requests that the Prehearing Officer modify the procedural schedule for this proceeding to provide for a single decision agenda with single briefing schedule and recommendation.

Respectfully Submitted.

Q. Zma

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., ESQ. FLOYD R. SELF, ESQ. MESSER, CAPARELLO & SELF, P. A. Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 (850) 222-0720

and

Tracy W. Hatch, Esq.
AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC
101 N. Monroe Street, Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 425-6360

Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served on the following parties by Hand Delivery (*) and/or U. S Mail this 24th day of July, 2003.

Beth Keating, Esq.* Division of Legal Services, Room 370 Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Virginia Tate, Esq. AT&T 1200 Peachtree St., Suite 8068 Atlanta, GA 30309

. .

Ms. Lisa Riley AT&T 1200 Peachtree St., Suite 8068 Atlanta, GA 30309

Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jeffrey Whalen, Esq. John Fons, Esq. Ausley Law Firm P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Mr. Terry Monroe Ms. Genevieve Morelli CompTel 1900 M Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

William H. Weber Senior Counsel Covad Communications Company 1230 Peachtree Street, NE, 19th Floor Atlanta, GA 30309

Mr. Robert Waldschmidt Howell & Fisher Court Square Building 300 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37201-1107

Michael A. Gross Vice President, Regulatory Affairs & Regulatory Counsel Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. 246 E. 6th Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Vicki Kaufman, Esq. Joe McGlothlin, Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL. 32301

Susan S. Masterton, Esq. Charles J. Rehwinkel, Esq. Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership P.O. Box 2214 MC: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Matthew Feil, Esq. Florida Digital Network, Inc. 390 North Orange Avenue, Suite 2000 Orlando, Florida 32801

Mr. David Tobin Tobin & Reyes 7251 West Palmetto Park Road, #205 Boca Raton, FL 33433-3487

Richard D. Melson Hopping Green Sams & Smith, P.A. P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Ms. Nanette S. Edwards ITC^DeltaCom 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802-4343

Donna McNulty, Esq. WorldCom 1203 Governors Square Blvd, Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960

Mr. John D. McLaughlin, Jr. KMC Telecom, Inc. 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119

Ms. Deborah Eversole, General Counsel Kentucky Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602 Marilyn H. Ash MGC Communications, Inc. 3301 North Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, NV 89129

Mr. Don Sussman Network Access Solutions Corporation Three Dulles Tech Center 13650 Dulles Technology Drive Herndon, VA 20171-4602

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. Rutledge Law Firm P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

Rodney L. Joyce Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP 600 14th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005-2004

Charles J. Rehwinkel Sprint-Florida, Incorporated MC FLTHO0107 P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32399-2214

Mark Buechele Supra Telecom 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Andrew O. Isar Miller Isar, Inc. 7901 Skansie Avenue, Suite 240 Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Carolyn Marek Vice President of Regulatory Affairs Southeast Region Time Warner Communications 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069

Mr. David Christian Verizon Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Kimberly Caswell Verizon Select Services P.O. Box 110 (FLTC0007) Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Daniel McCuaig, Esq. Wilmer Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-1420

Nanette Edwards, Esq. ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. 4092 S. Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802

Tracy W. Hatch, Esq. AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC 101 N. Monroe Street, Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301

& zman A

Norman H. Horton, Jr.