

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 020907-WS

LAKE UTILITY SERVICES, INC.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

DAVID L. ORR, PE

REGARDING THE APPLICATION FOR

AMENDMENT OF CERTIFICATE NOS. 496-W AND 465-S TO

EXTEND WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICES AREAS

IN

LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07346 AUG 11 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2 DOCKET NO. 020907-WS
3 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
4 **DAVID L. ORR, PE**
5 REGARDING THE APPLICATION FOR
6 AMENDMENT OF CERTIFICATE NOS. 496-W AND 465-S TO
7 EXTEND WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICES AREAS
8 IN
9 LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA

10 Q. Please state your name and business address.

11 A. My name is David L. Orr and my business address is 200 Weathersfield
12 Avenue, Altamonte Springs, Florida.

13 Q. Have you previously filed direct testimony on behalf of the Applicant, Lake
14 Utility Services, Inc.?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?

17 A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the direct, prefiled
18 testimony of staff witness Marina Pennington, representing the Florida
19 Department of Community Affairs.

20 Q. Are there specific areas of Ms. Pennington's testimony to which you are
21 responding?

1 A. Yes. Ms. Pennington states that the application before the Commission is
2 inconsistent with the Lake County Comprehensive Plan in several areas. Ms.
3 Pennington includes references to Future Land Use Element Policy to the
4 exclusion of the Public Facilities Element which specifically address water
5 and sanitary sewer facilities within Lake County. The Lake County
6 Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Element addresses the objectives and
7 policies for the County in general. However, the Public Facilities Element
8 specifically addresses the provision of water (Potable Water Sub-Element)
9 and wastewater (Sanitary Sewer Sub-Element) service within Lake County.
10 Both sub-elements (Sanitary Sewer Objective 6A-2 and Potable Water
11 Objective 6D-2) seek to maximize the use of existing facilities. Lake Utility
12 Services, Inc. already has infrastructure within the area being requested of the
13 Commission.
14 Also, Lake Utility Services, Inc. is not requesting an amendment to the Lake
15 County Comprehensive Plan. Lake Utility Services, Inc. is making
16 application to the Commission for extension of our Certificate of
17 Authorization as required by Florida Statutes Title XXVII Chapter 367.
18 Therefore, the application before the Commission is requested in anticipation
19 that the area requested in the application will be developed in the near future.
20 In addition, no development can occur in the requested area without the
21 approval of Lake County, who in turn has the authority to amend the Lake

1 County Comprehensive Plan.

2 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

3 A. Yes it does.