

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

AUGUST 19, 2003

RE: Docket No. 020233-EI - Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal. (Issues deferred at October 15, 2002 conference; revised recommendation filed.)

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant the Office of Public Counsel's request for oral argument and Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-02-1199-PAA-EI?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Pursuant to Rule 9.020, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, Public Counsel's request for oral argument and reconsideration should be deemed abandoned by the October 3, 2002 filing of its notice of appeal, which effectively constitutes disposition of Public Counsel's request.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Jaber, Deason, Baez, Bradley

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

~~Handwritten signature~~
Handwritten signature
Handwritten signature
Handwritten signature
Handwritten signature

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07673 AUG 19 03

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

VOTE SHEET

AUGUST 19, 2003

Docket No. 020233-EI - Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal. (Issues deferred at October 15, 2002 conference; revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should the Motion for Reconsideration filed by the Florida Municipal Group (collectively, Lakeland Electric, Kissimmee Utility Authority, Gainesville Regional Utilities, and the City of Tallahassee) be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. FMG has not identified a point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider in rendering its decision. Therefore, the motion for reconsideration should be denied.

APPROVED

ISSUE 3: Should the motion for reconsideration filed by Reedy Creek Improvement District be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Reedy Creek has not identified a point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider in rendering its decision. Therefore, the motion for reconsideration should be denied.

APPROVED

ISSUE 4: Should the Motion for Reconsideration of Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Calpine Corporation be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Seminole and Calpine's motion for reconsideration with respect to the Attachment T cutoff date should be denied pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code. Furthermore, neither issue raised in the motion identifies a point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider in rendering its decision. Therefore, the motion for reconsideration should be denied in its entirety.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

AUGUST 19, 2003

Docket No. 020233-EI - Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal. (Issues deferred at October 15, 2002 conference; revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 5: Should the Motion for Clarification or Reconsideration filed by the Florida Municipal Power Agency be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: FMPA's motion should be granted, and the Commission should clarify that the new facilities demarcation date was intended to issue as proposed agency action in Order No. PSC-02-1199-PAA-EI, so that the date could be more fully discussed and examined at the administrative hearing to be scheduled in this docket.

APPROVED

ISSUE 6: Should the motion for reconsideration filed by Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (formerly Florida Power Corporation) be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: Consistent with staff's recommendation in Issue 6, PEFI's motion should be granted and the Commission should clarify that the new facilities demarcation date was intended to issue as proposed agency action in Order No. PSC-02-1199-PAA-EI, so that the date could be more fully discussed and examined at the October 31, 2002 expedited hearing in this docket.

APPROVED

ISSUE 7: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: No. This docket should remain open to permit final disposition of this matter.

APPROVED