BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 030002-EG DETERMINATION OF CONSERVATION COSTS RECOVERY FACTOR

Direct Testimony of MICHAEL A. PEACOCK On Behalf of FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

| 1 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | A. | Michael A. Peacock; my business address is P.O. Box 610, Marianna, Florida |
| 3 | | 32446. |
| 4 | Q. | By whom are you employed and in what capacity? |
| 5 | A. | I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company as Manager of Customer |
| 6 | | Development. |
| 7 | Q. | What is the purpose of your testimony at this time? |
| 8 | A. | To advise the Commission as to the Conservation Cost Recovery Clause |
| 9 | | Calculation for the period January, 2004 through December, 2004. |
| 10 | Q. | What are the total projected costs for the period January, 2004 through |
| 11 | | December, 2004 in the Marianna Division and the Fernandina Beach |
| 12 | | Division? |
| 13 | A. | For the Marianna Division, the total projected Conservation Program Costs |
| 14 | | are \$240,000. For the Fernandina Beach Division, the total projected |
| 15 | | Conservation Program Costs are \$269,000. For each Division, please see its |
| 16 | | respective Schedule C-2, page 2, for the programmatic and functional |
| 17 | | breakdown of these total costs. |
| | | |

FPSC-COMMISSION CLEEK

- 1 Q. For each division, what is the true-up amount to be applied to determine the
- projected net total costs for the period January, 2003 through December, 2003.
- 3 A. As reflected in the respective "C" Schedules, the true-up amount for the
- 4 Marianna Division is (\$31,246). In the Fernandina Beach Division the true-up
- is (\$60,587). These amounts are based upon eight months actual and four
- 6 months estimated data.
- 7 Q. For each division, what are the resulting net total projected conservation costs
- 8 to be recovered during this period?
- 9 A. For the Marianna Division the net total costs to be recovered are \$208,754.
- For the Fernandina Beach Division the net total costs to be recovered are
- 11 \$208,413.
- 12 Q. For each division, what is the Conservation Adjustment Factor necessary to
- recover these projected net total costs.
- 14 A. For the Marianna Division, the Conservation Adjustment Factor is \$.00069
- per KWH. For the Fernandina Beach Division, the Factor is \$.00045 per
- 16 KWH.
- 17 Q. Are there any exhibits that you wish to sponsor in this proceeding?
- 18 A. Yes. I wish to sponsor as exhibits for each division Schedules C-1, C-2, C-3,
- 19 C-4, and C-5 (Composite Prehearing Identification Number MAP-2), which
- 20 have been filed with this testimony.
- 21 Q. Mr. Peacock, has FPUC proposed to consolidate the Northwest and Northeast
- 22 divisions?

- 1 A. Yes we have. In Docket No. 030348-EI which is a request for a rate increase
- 2 filed by the company we have proposed to consolidate the divisions We
- 3 believe there are benefits and advantages to the customers and the company if
- we do this. We think there are benefits to consolidating the conservation cost
- 5 recovery at this time.
- 6 Q. Have you prepared schedules reflecting the factors and projections on a
- 7 consolidated basis?
- 8 A. Yes. MAP-3 consists of Schedules C-1, C-2, C-3, and C-4 on a consolidated
- 9 basis.
- 10 Q. Are you asking the Commission to approve the consolidated projection and
- 11 factors at this time?
- 12 A. Yes. The programs are the same and it would be more efficient and cost
- effective to have a consolidated recovery factor. Therefore, we are asking that
- the consolidated factor be approved in this docket.
- 15 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes.