BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery)	
Clause with Generating Performance Incentive)	DOCKET NO. 030001-EI
Factor.)	FILED: October 10, 2003
)	

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ESTABLISH SEPARATE DOCKET

Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the company"), pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, responds in opposition to the Motion to Establish Separate Docket filed October 8, 2003 in the above proceeding on behalf of certain named individuals alleged to be residential electric customers of Tampa Electric, and says:

- 1. This response in opposition to the Motion to Establish Separate Docket is submitted subject to Tampa Electric's Answer in Opposition to the Petition to Intervene filed on behalf of such customers. The Petition to Intervene should be denied and, therefore, the Motion to Establish Separate Docket should be considered moot.
- 2. The Petition to Intervene and the Motion to Establish Separate Docket that accompanied it were both filed late in this proceeding and clearly for the sole purpose of attempting to delay the Commission's consideration and disposition of issues that had been open for consideration and subject to discovery and development for many months. Indeed, OPC has taken full advantage of that opportunity on behalf of all residential customers of Tampa Electric, including those alleged in the Petition to Intervene.
- 3. The sole substantive claim raised by the residential customers as an excuse to delay this proceeding is that Tampa Electric submitted supplemental testimony less than two weeks after it filed direct testimony. However, this claim would be cured by the granting of noothers is the proceeding of the proceeding is that Tampa Electric submitted supplemental testimony less than two weeks after it filed direct testimony. However, this claim would be cured by the granting of noothers is the proceeding in that Tampa Electric submitted supplemental testimony less than two

09913 OCT 10 5

Tampa Electric's Motion to Alter Schedule to Accommodate Concerns of Intervenors in which the company proposed a schedule that would give all intervenors at least the amount of time to file testimony responsive to Tampa Electric's supplemental testimony that they were afforded under the schedule announced in mid January of this year. The residential customers who are proposed intervenors simply adopt the arguments presented by FIPUG and OPC. That argument is that they cannot now prepare for hearing in the same number of days they were afforded in the CASR that was published on January 15, 2003. If that number of days was inadequate FIPUG and OPC should have raised the issues months ago, but they didn't. The proposed residential intervenors should not be heard to adopt the same delay tactic. In essence, their argument is an excuse and not a justification for their request to delay consideration of issues that have been on the table for the entire year.

- 4. The proposed intervenors statement of the disputed issues of fact and law set forth in paragraph 9 of the proposed intervenors Petition to Intervene makes it abundantly clear that the issues the intervenors claim need to be addressed were known to all parties throughout the history of this docket.
- 5. Tampa Electric is entitled to due process and the Commission's consideration and resolution of all Tampa Electric related issues in this proceeding at the hearing scheduled to be commenced on November 12, 2003.

WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric urges that the residential customers' Motion to Establish Separate Docket be denied. In so doing Tampa Electric reiterates the fact that granting of the company's Motion to Alter Schedule to Accommodate Concerns of Intervenors will completely make intervenors whole as relates to the long established procedural schedule for the conduct of this proceeding.

DATED this 16 day of October 2003.

Respectfully submitted,

LEE L. WILLIS

JAMES D. BEASLEY

Ausley & McMullen

Post Office Box 391

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(850) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Response in Opposition, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) on this / day of October 2003 to the following:

Mr. Wm. Cochran Keating, IV* Senior Attorney Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Mr. James A. McGee Associate General Counsel Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Robert Vandiver Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Suite 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. Norman Horton Messer Caparello & Self Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Mr. Ronald C. LaFace Mr. Seann M. Frazier Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Post Office Drawer 1838 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Mr. John T. Butler Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 4000 Miami, FL 33131-2398 Mr. William Walker Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Mr. R. Wade Litchfield Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408

Mr. John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, FL 33601-5126

Ms. Susan Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520

Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone Mr. Russell A. Badders Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

Mr. James J. Presswood, Jr. Southern Alliance for Clean Energy P.O. Box 1842 Knoxville, TN 37901

Mr. Michael B. Twomey Post Office Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

ATTORNEY