STEEL HECTOR **DAVIS** INTERNATIONAL"

Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miami, FL 33131-2398 305.577.7000 305.577.7001 Fax www.steelhector.com

John T. Butler 305.577 2939 jbutler@steelhector com

October 13, 2003

#### -VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS-

Blanca S. Bayó Director, Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

#### Docket No. 030007-EL Re:

Dear Ms Bayó:

I am enclosing for filing in the above docket the original and seven (7) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing Statement, together with a diskette containing the electronic version of same. The enclosed diskette is HD density, the operating system is Windows 2000, and the word processing software in which the documents appear is Word 2000.

If there are any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact me at 305-577-2939

Very truly yours,

Koul M. Dithing JTB John T. Butler

São Paulo

Enclosure cc: Counsel for Parties of Record (w/encl.)

MIA2001 241970v1

Miami

POOLMENT NEMMER DATE

10035 OCT 143 Rio de Janeiro Santo Domingo FPSC-COLLISCION CLERK

West Palm Beach Tallahassee Nanles

Key West London

Caracas

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Environmental Recovery Clause )

)

DOCKET NO. 030007-EI FILED: October 14, 2003

## FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-03-0114-PCO-EI, issued January 21, 2003, as amended by Order No. PSC-03-0412-PCO-EI, issued March 25, 2003 establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, Florida Powei & Light Company, ("FPL") hereby submits its Prehearing Statement.

## A. <u>APPEARANCES</u>

John T. Butler, Esq. Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miami, Florida 33131-2398 Telephone. 305-577-2939

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq. Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420 Telephone: 561-691-7101

### B. WITNESSES

WITNESS	SUBJECT MATTER	<u>ISSUES</u>
K.M. DUBIN	ECRC Projections and Factors for January through December 2004	3 - 9
K.M. DUBIN	ECRC Estimated/Actual True-up for January through December 2003	2
K M. DUBIN	ECRC Final True-up for January through December 2002	1
K.M. DUBIN	Recovery of FPL's UST Replacement /	10A

R.R. LABAUVE	Removal Project	
K.M. DUBIN	Allocation of UST Replacement / Removal Project	10B
K.M. DUBIN R R LABAUVE	Recovery of FPL's LQWS Project	10C
K M. DUBIN	Allocation of LQWS Project	10D
K.M. DUBIN R.R LABAUVE	Recovery of FPL's Pt. Everglades ESP Technology Project	10E
K.M. DUBIN	Allocation of Pt Everglades ESP Technology Project	10F

# C. <u>EXHIBITS</u>

<u>EXHIBITS</u>	WITNESS	DESCRIPTION
(KMD-1)	K M. DUBIN	Environmental Cost Recovery Final True-up January - December 2002 Commission Forms 42 - 1A through 42 - 8A
(KMD-2)	K M DUBIN	Appendix I Environmental Cost Recovery Estimated/Actual Period January Through December 2003 Commission Forms 42-1E - 42-8E
(KMD-3)	K.M. DUBIN	Appendix I Environmental Cost Recovery Projections January - December 2004 Commission Forms 42-1P - 42-7P
(RRL-1)	R.R LABAUVE	Florida Administrative Code, Title 62- Department of Environmental Protection, Rule 62-761.500
(RRL-2)	R.R. LABAUVE	FPL's Existing Underground Storage Tank Systems
(RRL-3)	R R. LABAUVE	St John's River Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit Number 10652, Cape Canaveral Plant

(RRL-4)	R.R. LABAUVE	St. John's River Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit Number 9202, Sanford Plant
(RRL-5)	R.R. LABAUVE	Draft Title V Air Permit, Port Everglades Plant
(RRL-6)	R.R. LABAUVE	Advantages/Disadvantages - Particulate . Removal Technologies
(RRL-7)	R.R. LABAUVE	Advantages/Disadvantages – SO3 Removal Technologies

#### D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

None necessary

## E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

## GENERIC ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY ISSUES

1. What are the final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period ending December 31, 2002?

FPL: \$205,349 over-recovery. (DUBIN)

2. What are the estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2003 through December 2003?

FPL: \$850,933 over-recovery. (DUBIN)

3. What are the total environmental cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected or refunded during the period January 2004 through December 2004?

FPL: \$1,056,282 over-recovery. (DUBIN)

- 4. What are the projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2004 through December 2004?
  - FPL: The projected environmental cost recovery amount for the period January through December 2004 is 13,798,551. (DUBIN)
- 5 What are the environmental cost recovery amounts, including true-up amounts, for the period January 2004 through December 2004?

- FPL: The environmental cost recovery amount, including true-up amounts and adjusted for revenue taxes is \$12,945,763 (DUBIN)
- 6. What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected during the period January 2004 through December 2004?
  - **FPL:** The depreciation rates used to calculate the depreciation expense should be the rates that are in effect during the period the allowed capital investment is in service as approved by the FPSC. (DUBIN)
- 7. What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2004 through December 2004?
  - FPL: Energy Jurisdictional Factor: 98.750007% CP Demand Jurisdictional Factor: 98.84301% GCP Demand Jurisdictional Factor: 100.00000% (DUBIN)
- 8. What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January 2004 through December 2004 for each rate group?

FPL:	Rate Class	<b>Environmental Recovery</b>
		<u>Factor (\$/kWh)</u>
	RS-1	0.00013
	GS-1	0.00013
	GSD1	0.00012
	OS2	0.00015
	GSLD1/CS1	0 00012
	GSLD2/CS2	0.00012
	GSLD3/CS3	0.00011
	SST1T	0 00010
	SST1D	0.00012
	CILC D/CILC G	0.00011
	CILC T	0.00010
	MET	0.00013
	OL1/SL1/PL1	0.00009
	SL2	0.00011
(DUB	IN)	

- 9. What should be the effective date of the new environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?
  - **FPL:** The new environmental cost recovery factors should become effective with customer bills for January 2004 through December 2004. This will provide 12 months of billing on the environmental cost recovery factors for all customers. (DUBIN)

### COMPANY-SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY ISSUES

- 10A Should FPL be allowed to recover costs associated with the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Replacement/Removal Project?
  - **FPL:** Yes. Pursuant to Rule 62-761.500, F.A.C., the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requires the removal or replacement of existing Category-A and Category-B storage tank systems with systems meeting the standards for Category-C storage tank systems by December 31, 2009. FPL's proposal represents a cost-effective response to this requirement, on a reasonable timetable that takes into account the hazardous nature of the contents in the tanks as well as the condition of those tanks and their inaccessibility for inspection (LABAUVE)
- 10B. How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the UST Replacement/Removal Project be allocated to the rate classes?
  - **FPL:** Proposed costs for the UST Replacement/Removal Project should be allocated to the rate classes on an average 12 CP demand basis. (DUBIN)
- 10C Should FPL be allowed to recover costs associated with the Lowest Quality Water Source (LQWS) Project?
  - FPL: Yes. This project is required in order to comply with conditions in the consumptive use permits (CUPs) issued for FPL's Sanford and Cape Canaveral plants by the St. Johns River Water Management District The purpose of those conditions is to preserve Florida's groundwater, an important environmental resource. The project will satisfy these CUP conditions in a cost-effective manner (LABAUVE)
- 10D How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the LQWS Project be allocated to the rate classes?
  - FPL: Proposed costs for the LQWS Project should be allocated to the rate classes on an average 12 CP demand basis (DUBIN)
- 10E Should FPL be allowed to recover costs associated with the Port Everglades Electrostatic Precipitator (ESP) Technology Project?
  - FPL: Yes. This project is required to comply with visibility and particulate emission requirements imposed in the new title V air permit that has recently been issued by the DEP for the Port Everglades plant. Those permit conditions comply with current aremission standards, anticipate new National Ambient Air Quality Standards for fine particulate emissions as well as other emerging air-emission requirements, and satisfy concerns about air emissions from the Port Everglades plant that were raised by Broward County and other stakeholders during the DEP's evaluation of the permit The project will satisfy the Title V permit conditions in a cost-effective manner (LABAUVE)

- 10F How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Port Everglades ESP Technology Project be allocated to the rate classes?
  - **FPL:** Proposed costs for the Port Everglades ESP Technology Project should be allocated to the rate classes on an energy basis. (DUBIN)

## WITNESSES AND SUBJECT MATTER

WITNESS	SPONSOR	SUBJECT MATTER	EXHIBIT TITLES
K. M. DUBIN	FPL	ECRC projections for January through December 2004	KMD-3
R.R. LABAUVE	FPL	UST Replacement/Removal Project	RRL-1, RRL-2
		LQWS Project	RRL-3, RRL-4
		Port Everglades ESP Technology Project	RRL-5, RRL-6, RRL-7
K. M DUBIN	FPL	ECRC Estimated/Actual True-up for January through December 2003	KMD-2
R R. LABAUVE	FPL	Inclusion of Manatee plant in WSDER Project	
K. M. DUBIN	FPL	ECRC Final True-up for January through December 2002	KMD-1

### F. STATEMENT OF POLICY ISSUES AND POSITIONS

FPL: None at this time

## G. STIPULATED ISSUES

## FPL: None at this time.

#### H. PENDING MOTIONS

FPL has no outstanding motions at this time.

#### STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE I.

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which FPL cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted,

R Wade Litchfield, Esq. Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420 Telephone: 561-691-7101

Steel Hector & Davis LLP Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miami, Florida 33131-2398 Telephone 305-577-2939

By: Koul M. puter for JTB John T. Butler, Esq.

Fla. Bar No. 283479

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 030007-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by Federal Express (\*) or U.S. Mail this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2003 to the following:

Marlene K. Stern, Esq.\* Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Gunter Building, Room 370 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Robert Vandiver, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Ms. Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq. Russell A. Badders, Esq. Beggs and Lane Attorneys for Gulf Power Corp. P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Ms. Angela Llewellyn Tampa Electric Company Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr./Bonnie Davis Progress Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Lee L. Willis, Esq. James D. Beasley, Esq. Ausley & McMullen Attorneys for TECO P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

James A. McGee, Esq. Progress Energy Florida, Inc. P. O. Box 14042 St Petersburg, FL 33733

Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. Joseph A. McGlothlm, Esq. McWhirter Reeves Attorneys for FIPUG 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhrter, Reeves, McGothlin, Davidson, et al. Attorneys for FIPUG P. O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Mr. John T. English Florida Public Utilities Company P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

By: Kerel M Akifst (HB