1	FLORII	BEFORE THE DA PUBLIC SERVICE C	COMMISSION
2			
3	In the Mat	ter of	
4	PETITION BY VERIZON		DOCKET NO. 030867-TL
5 6	TO REFORM INTRASTATE AND BASIC LOCAL TELE	COMMUNICATIONS	
7	RATES IN ACCORDANCE N 364.164, FLORIDA STA	TUTES.	
8			
9	PETITION BY SPRINT-FI	CE INTRASTATE	DOCKET NO. 030868-TL
10	SWITCHED NETWORK ACCI INTERSTATE PARITY IN	REVENUE - NEUTRAL	
11	MANNER PURSUANT TO SI 364.164(1), FLORIDA S		
12			
13	PETITION FOR IMPLEMENT SECTION 364.164, FLOW	NTATION OF	DOCKET NO. 030869-TL
14	BY REBALANCING RATES REVENUE-NEUTRAL MANNE	IN A	
15	DECREASES IN INTRASTA ACCESS CHARGES WITH (	ATE SWITCHED	
16	RATE ADJUSTMENTS FOR BY BELLSOUTH TELECOM	BASIC SERVICES, MUNICATIONS INC	
17			Toph No. of St
18	ELECTRONIC	C VERSIONS OF THIS	TRANSCRIPT ARE
19	THE OFFI	ENIENCE COPY ONLY A CIAL TRANSCRIPT OF	THE HEARING.
20	THE .PDF VER	RSION INCLUDES PREF	FILED TESTIMÓNY.
21	PROCEEDINGS:	TAMPA SERVICE HEARI	:NG
22		CHAIRMAN LILA A. JA	ABER
23		COMMISSIONER J. TER COMMISSIONER RUDOLP	RRY DEASON
24		Friday, October 3,	
25		J, 111145. J,	
			SCUMENT OF HOLD SO

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 0 1 4 0CT 16 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1	TIME:	Commenced at 1:00 p.m. Concluded at 5:20 p.m.
2		contraduct de c.20 p.m.
3	PLACE:	Robert J. Arenal Conference Center (26th Floor)
4		Center (26th Floor) Hillsborough County Center 601 East Kennedy Boulevard Tampa, Florida
5		Tampa, Florida
6	REPORTED BY:	TRICIA DeMARTE, RPR
7	THE ORIED DY.	Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6736
8		(650) 415-0750
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

APPEARANCES:

CHARLES BECK, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o
The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the
Office of Public Counsel.
MICHAEL B. TWOMEY, ESQUIRE, P. O. Box 5256,

Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256, appearing on behalf of the American Association of Retired Persons.

ALAN CIAMPORCERO, ESQUIRE, Verizon Florida Inc., 201 North Franklin Street, FLTC0007, Tampa, Florida 33602, appearing on behalf of Verizon Florida Inc.

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	REPRESENTATIVE HEATHER FIORENTINO	
5	Direct Statement	42
6	GERARD MEYER	
7	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey	45 46
8 9	DeMARIS MARSH	
10	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey	49 52
11	DOROTHY DARLING	
12	Direct Statement	52
13	CHARLES HUBBARD	
14	Direct Statement	55
15	DENISE LASHER	
16	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomev	59 63
17	Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey Continued Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey	68
18	HERBERT LYON	
19	Direct Statement	69
20	BRAD JACKSON	
21	Direct Statement	74
22	JANET HILTZ	
23	Direct Statement	79
24		
25		

		•
1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	ERIC GREEN	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey  JOHN J. FOX  Direct Statement  FRANK FUCHS  Direct Statement  GERALDINE GRIDLEY  Direct Statement  RON POOLE	82 84 86 87 91
	Direct Statement  STEVE KLINDT  Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey	92 98 101
17	RENEE BENTON	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey DAVID FALWELL	103 105
	Direct Statement	106
	AL ESTES  Direct Statement	110
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

		/
1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	DOROTHY ROYAL	
5	Direct Statement	113
6	JIM TURTLE	
7	Direct Statement	119
8	WILL PERRY	
9	Direct Statement	120
10	MIKE MEIDEL	
11	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey	124 128
12	MARTIN ALTNER	120
13		128
14	Direct Statement	120
15	STUART ROGEL	107
16	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Twomey	137 138
17	PAUL McCLINTOCK	
18	Direct Statement	139
19	MARILYN SMITH	
20	Direct Statement	143
21	GLEN MARKS	
22	Direct Statement	149
23	ANN DOLGIN	
24	Direct Statement	150
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

		U
1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	GARY DOLGIN	
5	Direct Statement	155
6	BENTLEY LIPSCOMB	
7	Direct Statement	164
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	166
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<u>:</u>		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

## PROCEEDINGS

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: Good afternoon. We're going to go ahead and get started. Let me start by welcoming all of you for being here this afternoon. Your participation is very. very critical to the process that we've undertaken here in reviewing the three petitions that have been filed by Verizon. BellSouth, and Sprint. I want to start by introducing my colleagues here with me today. On my right is Commissioner J. Terry Deason, and on my left is Commissioner Rudy Bradley. The three of us share in welcoming you here today. We look forward to your testimony and your comments and your participation.

Just to briefly describe the process we'll use today. in a few minutes I'm going to turn to our staff counsel, Beth Keating, and ask her to read the notice and get us started officially for the hearing. For those of you who will want to testify in front of the PSC today, for those of you who wish to testify today, we do have to have your testimony sworn. I'll ask you at the appropriate time to stand, raise your right hand and affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth and nothing but the truth.

You'll also see around the table various parties, and I will ask them to stand and introduce themselves. And at the appropriate time, they also will make a very brief presentation. I've asked their presentations to be brief, but it's important that they share their perspective in this case

so that you have an understanding of each party's position. 1 2 With that. I'll turn it over to Ms. Keating; I'll 3 have you read the notice. And then we'll immediately go to the 4 parties, Mr. Beck, and have appearances be taken. 5 Ms. Keating. 6 MS. KEATING: Pursuant to notice, this time and place 7 have been set for a hearing in Docket Numbers 030867, 030868, 8 and 030869. The purpose is as set forth in the notice. 9 MR. BECK: My name is Charlie Beck; I'm with the 10 Office of Public Counsel. MR. TWOMEY: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm Mike Twomey; 11 I'm appearing on behalf of the AARP. 12 13 MR. CIAMPORCERO: Hi. I'm Alan Ciamporcero with 14 Verizon. MS. KEATING: And again, I'm Beth Keating with the 15 16 Commission. CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Keating, would you take a minute 17 to introduce the rest of the PSC staff that's here today? 18 19 MS. KEATING: Certainly, Madam Chairman. To my right 20 is Beth Salak. As you walked in the door, you probably met 21 Mr. Dick Durbin. He was the one handing out the information pamphlets. And in the far back of the room is Kevin Bloom. 22 23 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. Ms. Keating. As I said 24 earlier, we're here this afternoon to hear from the customers of the companies that have filed petitions here in front of the 25

PSC. The three petitions that have been filed are filed pursuant to a law that was passed by the Legislature this last session and that law is called the Tele-Competition Innovation and Infrastructure Enhancement Act. And what it did -- it became effective May 23rd, 2003. It allowed Sprint, Verizon, and BellSouth to file a request that they be able to reduce fees that they charge long distance companies. And if we approve those petitions, they request that we allow them to offset the loss in those revenues by increasing local rates.

Now, as part of the requirements that we have to review and the criteria that we have to review, we must consider whether granting those petitions will provide for a more attractive local competitive market for the benefit of the residential consumers. In that regard, it is critical that we hear from you all on things like do you have competitive providers in your territory, do you want competitive providers in your territory, what kinds of services would you like to see offered, and absolutely, we want to know your feedback on the rate issue.

I have to, as a matter of formality, ask that when you come to the microphone, you spell your last name for purposes of the record. The man here to my right, Charlie Beck, represents the consumers by a legislative agency. It's the Office of Public Counsel. He has taken your name from the sign-up sheet. He will be calling everyone up to the podium to

speak in just a few minutes.

At this point, if you do wish to speak in front of the Florida Public Service Commission, I would ask that you rise, raise your right hand, please.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. If you do not wish to speak in front of the PSC today but you want your comments to be heard, if you picked up one of the yellow sheets as you walked in -- are they blue today? No. They're yellow. Okay. The yellow sheets, you'll see a section called "Customer Comments." Feel free to fill that out today and leave it with us or mail it back. These comments go into the docket file in this case, and they will be reviewed by our staff and made part of the recommendation that our staff brings in front of us.

There's one more thing I'd like to do before we bring up the first witness. We're very honored today to have Senator Mike Fasano with us, and I've asked that he be able to address the customers and the Commission today as our first participant. And with that, I'd like to introduce Senator Mike Fasano. Thank you.

SENATOR FASANO: Thank you very much, Madam Chair and Commissioners. I appreciate the opportunity to come before you this morning on behalf of not only myself, who is a resident of Florida, a member of Florida Senate, but also a State Senator representing over 400,000 citizens in my senate district,

starting in north Pinellas through west Pasco, west Hernando and west Citrus Counties. And I can assure you that many of them, probably all of them, in fact, who have contacted our office by either e-mail, phone call, or by letter are opposed to these rate increases.

Before I get started though my legislative assistant is going to be setting up some stands here with some charts that I'll be showing to you shortly about the earnings per share that Verizon, Sprint, and BellSouth have been earning over the last several years and with estimated earnings too in the future. So I'm hoping that will give you some input as to the dollars that these three major phone companies are earning.

I want to first start off -- and I apologize if I am critical to begin with, but I want to tell you that I'm a bit disappointed that the public hearings, although here in Tampa is very important and St. Petersburg is very important, my district which has many families and senior citizens who could not be here today, especially those who work, especially our seniors who have a difficult time getting down here to the city of Tampa, finding a parking spot and having to drive down here 30 miles away from Pasco, 35, 40 miles from Hernando, and 50 or 60 miles from Citrus County, I have great concerns that you did not have public hearings in those areas where every person in that area will be affected by these rate increases. I would ask that you consider having some hearings in those areas.

It was told to me earlier, if this was a hearing about wastewater rates or sewer water rates or increased water rates, the place would be full, but my response was, the place would be full because the public hearing would be in the community where it's being affected.

Madam Chair and members of the Commission, Verizon, Sprint, and BellSouth wasted no time to supposedly create competition for themselves. The law that was passed just the early part of this year, they wasted no time in coming before the Commission for a rate increase. I would suggest to you this was done in an effort to overload the Commission and their staff. It seems kind of odd that they would only within a hundred days, all three of them, at the same time, ask for a rate increase. I mean, isn't that truly unique that all three of them would come at the same time and ask for a rate increase? I'm very much concerned about that.

I realize to come before you today and say to you this is going to have an ill effect on my seniors, on the working families in my community, for that matter, throughout the state, but I think you understand that; that when you think about our senior citizens in our community who get maybe, maybe an increase in their Social Security check by maybe one or one and a half percent each year are now facing rates of almost 90 percent put upon them by the local phone companies.

I voted against this legislation. I was one of few

legislators that voted against it. I urged the Governor, as I did last year, to veto it, which he did. This year he did not. Disappointingly to me that he didn't, but now we have an opportunity to hopefully impress to you why we should not or you should not move forward with a rate increase.

I also want to impress something to you. I'm concerned because I've heard some of the Commissioners talk as though they have to give a rate increase, that the law tells them to give a rate increase, that the law must -- the intent of the law is that you must do one thing here. You don't have to do anything. You have to do one thing and that is put the consumer, the customer first and foremost. And if this is going to have an ill effect on them, and it will, then you need to put that first. So I don't want anyone to believe, and I hope the Commissioners don't think, that they have to do something. And in such a short period of time. I mean, the bill passed, the Governor signed it, and within less than a hundred days, we see three filings by three major companies asking for huge rate increases.

Commissioners, the law states that the customers must benefit from the rate hike. The law states that customers must benefit from the rate hikes. I will tell you that most of the people in my district will not benefit from it. They will not benefit because many of them don't make the long distance phone calls that our business community makes. Many of them who make

very few or no long distance phone calls are going to be the ones that will be paying the up to 90 percent increases by these three phone companies. Most customers will never benefit from a rate hike because those customers who can least afford it will be paying for this rate hike. The same customers who use very little long distance calls because they can't afford to, because the can't afford to use their long distance phone calls, they will pay the rate increases. They will be the ones who will pay the consequences.

And you may have people that will come before you, the business community -- and I understand and respect them. I understand somebody from the Chamber came in Orlando last night to tell you that the business community are in favor of this. Well, the business community, I understand, will benefit from this because if long distance rates are reduced, then they will benefit, but it will be the residential people, the residential lines, the individual customers that will have to pay the increases if these increases are granted.

Phone companies will tell you that they make very little money off of local phone rates. I believe that's not even close to the truth. Phone companies benefit greatly when customers make huge profits off some of the bells and whistles that they sell to the customer: ID calling, Caller ID, Call Waiting, voice mail. And, of course, for what it costs them to deliver that, they in return collect a huge profit by charging

the customer a very large price.

The phone companies will argue that they will reduce access fees for long distance rates, but there is nothing in the law that says those reductions must go to the residential customer. As it's been pointed out, 99 percent of those reductions on access fees could go to the businesses and only 1 percent to the customer. Tell me again, tell me, or anybody tell me, please, how will the local resident, how will the resident, the local phone person, the individual customer benefit if this passes? There's no guarantees.

In fact, long distance phone companies can reduce the rates at their discretion to whomever they want. Again, the one who can least afford it will pay the huge increase. This is where it becomes a little laughable, and I apologize. The phone companies will argue that this will create competition. This is what was talked about in committee, about how we need competition. Would anybody believe in this room, does anybody believe that BellSouth, Verizon, and Sprint would hire hundreds of lobbyists and spend millions of dollars to bring about competition on themselves? Why? Why would they want competition to drive -- I mean, if that's the case, Commissioners and Madam Chair, it shouldn't be people, customers standing behind me, it should be the stockholders upset.

Commissioners, years and years I've been a legislator

for years and have been in the state of Florida for 32 years, and I can always remember the three phone companies, you know, saying, allow us to do this, allow us to do that, and it will create competition. I haven't seen that happen in 32 years. We still have Verizon, prior to that it was GTE in the Pasco, Pinellas areas. It was back 32 years ago; it is today. No competition even with all the changes that they requested.

I'd like to show you, if I could, some of the profits that the three phone companies have been earning over the last few years. Let's see where we start here. Let's start off with BellSouth if we can. As you can see, Commissioners, in 2001 BellSouth earnings per share was \$1.36; 2002, \$1.44. And let me impress to you that 2003 and 2004 are estimates but very good estimates by not only their own company but by those on Wall Street. As you can see, in 2003 they're estimated to \$2.14 per share; in 2004, \$2.17. Their earnings continue to rise. Please don't accept the fact that they're not earning money or they're having trouble. That is not true.

Sprint. Sprint in 2001 earnings per share, 16 cents; 2002, \$1.36. Estimated in 2003, \$1.42; estimated in 2004, \$1.51. Again, increased profits.

And finally, Verizon was asking for as much as a 46 percent increase. 2001, 22 cents; 2002, \$1.67; 2003, \$2.58 estimate; 2004, \$2.48. Let me also impress to you that, in fact, Verizon reported in September earnings or profits of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Verizon is asking for as much as a 46 percent increase; Sprint, 90 percent increase; and BellSouth, 51 percent. I more than anyone -- I'm a stockbroker. I work for Morgan Stanley, and I know the importance of making a dollar. And companies have the right to make a profit for the services they provide; however, I believe the entire incident is indicative of their blatant commitment to increasing their profits at the expense of the average customer. Both local access and long distance will continue to reap benefits at the expense of Florida customers. The revenue neutrality that was one of the most ardently debated issues of the Legislature is not evident, not on the customer. The customer, the individual resident is going to pay for this. You need to understand that. They will pay for it. The senior citizen who makes very few long distance, if any long distance calls at all, will pay for this. It won't be the business community. It won't be those who have three, four, five, six lines in a small or big business. It's going to be the individual.

Very few customers will benefit at all for an issue that has not been adequately studied. It is yet unknown and probably will never happen that this -- because of this bill being passed will ever have intended impact to increase

competition. Again, these three companies would not, would not have forced or pushed this piece of legislation and got the Governor to sign it if they felt as though competition was going to be created. You know what I believe? I believe they will ask for rate increases just high enough knowing that competition will not come in, but they will benefit from it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, that's my presentation. I'd like for you to look at those charts very closely. I'd like you to take into consideration the little guy and gal who will ultimately pay the consequences or the price for these increased rates. It's been suggested as well, it's been suggested that this is good for business, this will help business in some way, this will maybe create some jobs or something. I have a hard time understanding or believing that. When you think that if a senior citizen who, first of all, is on a limited income, on a fixed income, and a family who's barely making it by now with the income that they get, if their rates increase, if their phone rate increases by almost 90 percent, as proposed by one of the companies, well, that money is not going to be used to be spent in the economy. It's going to go to a phone company. It's not going to be used to go out and maybe buy more goods, whether it be a washing machine, a dryer, or a new car, whatever. That's fewer dollars that they will have in their pocket. Think about it.

You know, some people say \$4 or \$5 a month isn't that

big of a deal. I suggest to you to go into any of my communities that I represent and ask them what it would be to have to put out another \$100, \$150 a year in phone bills. What they could use that money for -- I've got senior citizens who are barely getting by, and for buying their prescription drugs -- and some of them don't even bother to eat some days in order to take their prescription drugs or use their prescription drugs every other day so they will last longer.

I wasn't going to get up here and talk about the financial woes of how it's going to affect the people of the state, but that's the bottom line, isn't it? The people, the individual will be the one who suffers, not businesses, not the phone companies. Look what they're making. Look at their estimates for the future years. They are doing quite well, aren't they? They don't need any more money from the constituents of the state of Florida. I would ask you to deny this rate increase. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Senator Fasano, thank you for being here. It's always appreciated when you take time out of your busy schedule to appear in front of us. I think at this time, Mr. Beck, it would be appropriate to let you all make opening statements, brief opening statements, all the parties, and then we'll start calling up witnesses.

Mr. Ciamporcero, are you here on behalf of Verizon? MR. CIAMPORCERO: Yes, I am.

CHAIRMAN JABER: We'll start with you, and then we'll turn to Mr. Beck and come back to Mr. Twomey.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good afternoon, Commissioners, and welcome to Tampa. And good afternoon to everyone who came today. We appreciate it. Before I start, I'd like to say we have a table outside with three experts out there in service, billing, and Lifeline. It's towards the back of anteroom out there. And if anybody -- I don't want you not to testify, but if you have specific questions about your bills or about your service, we can try to help you with that right now or after the hearing is over.

As you've just heard, Verizon filed a new proposal this week to restructure our telephone prices. Let me tell you what's in it. We're passing out a sheet that has the details. Basically we're asking the Commission's permission to reduce our carrier access charges by \$76 million in three annual installments. This will reduce the in-state access fee from about 5 cents a minute to about 1 cent per minute. This is good news for consumers because long distance companies are required, not encouraged to, but required to pass these savings on to you. This means all long distance companies, whether you use AT&T or whether you use a phone card, these savings should be coming to you from the reduction.

The legislation also requires long distance companies that have an in-state access fee to eliminate it by 2006.

That's a significant fee and that will go away. As we reduce access charges, we would make equal adjustments in basic monthly rates. Residential rates will be adjusted in three steps: \$1.58 in 2004, \$1.58 in 2005, and the balance, about \$1.57 in 2006. Business will be adjusted too so that all business zones will have a uniform price of \$32 by 2006. There will also be some adjustment to nonrecurring or hookup charges. Those are detailed on the sheets we've handed out. These changes will promote competition and benefit consumers and the economy.

Under the current rate structure, long distance users subsidize local users. Local residential service is provided below our cost, and because of that, not surprisingly, there is very little competition out there for the residential consumer. Compare the wireless market to the wired market. In the wireless market, there are no subsidies and lots of companies go after each and every customer. Service and calling plans become cheaper and more customer friendly every day. And they are all investing in new technology, like my new picture phone, as they try to outdo each other. We want to see the same kind of excitement in the wired market.

Now, you might ask, and Senator Fasano has just asked, why a company would support a price plan that would increase their competition. This is an important question, and it's true, it seems illogical until you think about it a little

more. Right now, we have competition, but it's only competition for our higher spending customers. Because of the old subsidy system, competitors don't have to go out and fight for your business. They can go out and limit their marketing to the bigger spenders. That's bad for you, and that's bad for If people are going to compete with us, we want them playing on the same field as we're playing on. That is not what's happening now, and it's hurting us.

Finally, I want to emphasize that Verizon is getting no additional revenue under this plan. In fact, we lose revenue because the legislation also expands eligibility for Lifeline. That's something we subsidize to the tune of about three-fifty a month, and we're happy to do it. I encourage all of you, in fact, to look into whether you might qualify for Lifeline, and you can ask at the table outside. They can help you do that.

And Senator Fasano's charts, all I can say is, I guess he's left, but if he works for a brokerage, I hope he will start to recommend our stock because right now nobody else is. It's been going down fairly significantly in the past couple of years. Anyway, thank you for your time. We appreciate having the opportunity to serve you, and we look forward to hearing your views.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Jaber. My name is

•

Charlie Beck with the Office of Public Counsel. And in case you're not familiar with our office, our office is independent of the Public Service Commission. We appear before them as a party and advocate on behalf of the citizens of the state.

This is the second petition that Verizon has filed, and they just filed it earlier this week. Their first petition was filed in late August. It asked for two increases 12 months apart. Our office filed a motion to dismiss their petition as well as the one from BellSouth and Sprint because the law requires that the changes take place over a period of no less than two years. This past Tuesday the Commission granted that motion, and Verizon very quickly refiled with a new case where it spreads out the changes over a longer period of time.

The Commission's decision shows that they have wide-ranging authority over the petitions that the companies have filed. They can accept or reject the petitions, and they have broad discretion in doing it. So your testimony is very important here today because it's formal evidence before the Commission. They will consider everything you say and your opinion about the bill and how it affects you. So we look forward to your testimony and encourage you to come up and testify. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Beck.

Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you, Madam Chairman, ladies and

gentlemen. I'm going to stay seated if you don't mind. I'm a lawyer for the AARP representing their 2.6 million members in the state of Florida and trying to represent the rest of you as well, all people that are residential and single-line business that have just one phone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Senator Fasano talked about the law. He voted against it, others should have, many did, but not enough. The theory behind it on the surface is absurd. These companies, including Verizon, BellSouth, and Sprint, came into the Legislature and said, we want more competition, and it costs us millions of dollars to get this legislation over two years in campaign contributions and lobbying expense, we want to get more competitors, and we're not going to gain a cent from it. We're going to lose customers if we're successful; we're not going to gain a cent. It doesn't make sense at all. The real reason they are doing this, ladies and gentlemen, and it puts a lie to the revenue neutrality language, is that they are losing 9 to 10 percent per year in access moneys they're getting from AT&T and the other long distance companies. And what they want to do by this is shift \$355 million a year from the access fee pot onto the shoulders of mostly residential customers. They save the sooner they do it. That's why they filed so quickly under the law. That's why they tried to do it in less than two years. That's the reason.

Now, the revenue neutrality part is an absolute and

total lie when it comes to you people. They are seeking to raise your rates by \$355 million. If you got a blue sheet from AARP, which I encourage you all to do, here in the Verizon territory, that's going to increase your rates \$55.32 a year, from 38 to 47 percent. BellSouth's go up less. Sprint, if you're served by them, their rates will increase 82.32 a year and, depending upon where you live, from 60 to 90 percent per year. Now, what they have said to the legislators, the companies and what the legislators many of them said when they voted for this bad bill, this bad law, they said, residential people, our constituents, their rates are going to break even. Their total bill is going to break even. Maybe they will do better because they'll save on reduced in-state toll rates.

The law does require the long distance companies to lower their rates. Okay. But who makes in-state business calls on a daily basis? Who do you think are making those kind of calls now? Business. And did I tell you that big business doesn't get a penny of rate increases under this legislation? These companies who wrote this law, each word of it, decided they would give only rate increases to residential people and single-line business. Read that as mom and pop. The big guys that have more than one business line, they don't get a rate increase at all, but the law provides, as pointed out by Senator Fasano, they can receive up 99 percent of the reduced in-state toll calls. If I was a long distance company, I would

give it to my best customers. I would give it to the business people. You can't know if you can possibly save even if you make in-state toll calls because these companies haven't bothered to tell these Commissioners how much the rates are going to go down. They say it's because they don't know. It's because the long distance companies have to decide that, and they are not parties to this case. Nobody is making them come. You can't know.

Also, if you use a cell phone to make your in-state toll calls, you won't save anything. If you use a Sam's Club card, you can't save. If you use an 800 dial-around, you can't save either. AARP thinks that almost all of you are going to lose, and you're going to lose big to the tune of about \$355 million a year.

The -- competition at higher prices. The big business folks, we had them in Jacksonville and Orlando last night, who aren't paying any increases and will probably get the bulk of the savings, there will probably be some in this room that will get up and they'll say, we like competition at any cost even if it costs more for your folks. That turns the traditional argument of competition on its head. We have all known competition in this country that give us better quality services at lower prices. Okay. These folks want to tell you that competition is going to be good for you when you have to pay higher prices, 35 to 90 percent higher, and you get lower

quality service. Why lower quality? Because the law they wrote says that after they reach parity, in two years and one day perhaps, they can try and take away the PSC's ability to control the quality of their service. So you get higher prices, lower quality of service.

The Lifeline, they like to tout the fact that they've increase the eligibility for Lifeline, which is true for a couple of them. The problem is they wrote the law so that after two to four years the Lifeline customers who under current law are protected from any increases will feel the full brunt of these rate increases, which necessarily will require many of them to lose service. Now, the AARP is trying promote or request legislation that would protect Lifeline customers from these rate increases indefinitely.

Under the current law, like Senator Fasano said, these Commissioners aren't required by the legislation to grant the increases, and they should not if they don't find that you're net beneficiaries of their increases. If they don't approve these increases -- if they do approve them, your rates will go up 35 to 90 percent per year, and immediately after that, these companies can raise their rates 20 percent per year without asking their permission or anyone else's. If they deny the increases, the current law provides that they can get rate increases. get this, of the rate of inflation minus 1 percent, inflation minus 1 percent, which currently means they can't

increase their rates but about 1 percent per year versus what they're asking here.

Lastly, again, if the PSC denies these increases, Lifeline people will be protected from increases indefinitely. I would encourage you to get up and testify, ladies and gentlemen. Don't worry about the oath requirement. Don't be nervous. Get up and if you can't afford the increases, don't want the increases, don't use in-state telephone toll calls, tell these Commissioners. We thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Twomey. I've asked our PSC staff counsel to quickly go through the actual terms of the legislation.

MS. KEATING: Thank you, Madam Chairman. Just briefly. As we've indicated, we're here today on three petitions that are based on new legislation passed just this past session. In addressing these petitions, the Legislature did define four criteria that the Commission specifically has to consider.

Those four criteria are: The Commission has to decide whether granting the petitions will remove current support for basic local telecommunication services that prevents the creation of a more attractive, competitive local exchange market for the benefit of the residential consumers. The PSC also has to decide whether granting the petitions will induce enhanced market entry as well as result in intrastate

switched network access rate reductions to parity over a period of not less than two years or more than four years. And parity essentially means that access charges, which are the charges charged to long distance companies for access to the networks, the charges for intrastate toll calls will then be the same as those charged for interstate toll calls. Granting the petitions will also ultimately have to be revenue neutral. And revenue neutrality is essentially defined as the changes in the access revenues will have to be offset by equal changes in the local rates.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Keating. I just noticed that the Attorney General for the state of Florida has just walked in, and I'd like to go ahead and introduce General Charlie Crist. And if you would like to make some brief remarks, please feel free to do so, General.

ATTORNEY GENERAL CRIST: Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate the opportunity, and I also want to thank the Public Service Commission for being in Tampa Bay, and we had the opportunity to be together last night in Orlando. I think it's awfully important, as you know and obviously as you recognize, to get out and listen to the people that the Commission serves. And we appreciate that very, very much. I also wanted to take this opportunity to introduce or reintroduce, I suppose, Mr. Jack Shreve who served as Public Counsel for a long time and very admirably. And I was saddened, as I mentioned, when I

1

4

5

6

8

7

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

heard that he was going to retire, and so as soon as I heard that, I started to recruit him to come on board with the Attorney General's Office. He was kind enough to accept the offer. And we are very, very pleased, Jack, to have you on board and continuing to fight for the people of Florida.

Having said that, we think it's important that the Attorney General's Office have a role because I think one of the most fundamental important functions of the Office of Attorney General is in consumer affairs and to look out for the best interest of our consumers. And so when this legislation passed, I wrote a letter to the members of the Legislature that we would be continuing to watch. And there's an old expression, "trust but verify," and so we wanted to be sure that this balance that is supposed to occur in fact does occur so that it is a revenue-neutral issue. And I wanted to hear what the people of Florida had to say and have an opportunity to listen to them and for Mr. Shreve to listen to them on behalf of our office. And I appreciate that opportunity today, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. Thank you very much for giving me an opportunity to talk to you, and we look forward to what the people have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. Thank you, General, for being here and for being part of the process. We appreciate it.

Mr. Beck, let's start with the first witness.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I'm going to call everybody in the order that you signed up on these sheets, so bear with us. The first person is Mr. Austin Curry.

## AUSTIN CURRY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. CURRY: Can you hear me now?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes, sir.

MR. CURRY: Honorable Commission members, Madam Chair, the Florida Silver Hair Legislature delights in honoring the Commission for their decision to have these hearings statewide. It is indeed the democratic process in action. And we are certainly beholding to the Commission for providing us a floor from which to speak.

Honorable Commissioners, we're talking about hundreds of millions of dollars at stake here. Folks, this isn't pocket change, this is big money. Now, can we see the beneficial results? Can we see the results to the citizenry of Florida in advantageous service improvements, in savings through the proposed plan? The Commission is charged with a responsibility of the stewardship for the citizens of Florida in their duties on the Public Service Commission of which you're all well aware. We realize your function is vital and critical to the citizens of Florida. The Florida Silver Hair Legislature asks

that you exercise your stewardship on behalf of the citizens of 1 2 Florida in denying this rate increase as it is unjustified as 3 presented. 4 We appreciate the opportunity to speak before you. The Florida Silver Hair Legislature is involved. They are 5 6 active in governmental affairs. They are certainly active in 7 participating in events that concern the citizens of Florida, 8 not just the senior citizens, but as this so vividly impacts 9 every citizen in the state of Florida. Can you hear me now? 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. 12 MR. BECK: The next witness is Diane Ellison. 13 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck, as the customer walks up, 14 can you spell her name, please. 15 MR. BECK: Ms. Ellison's last name is spelled 16 F-I-I-T-S-O-N. 17 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. 18 DIANE ELLISON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 19 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 20 21 DIRECT STATEMENT 22 MS. ELLISON: Thank you for allowing me to come before you. The phone bills -- I have Verizon, and whether I 23 24 use long distance or not, I still get charged. I'm on Social 25 Security disability and cannot afford a lot of rate hikes. I

have over \$500 worth of medical medicines that I need but cannot afford. I don't have any insurance, and it's just unfair that we are having to be assessed more money for services sometimes. To look at your phone bill, you almost need a college education to figure out who gets what. There's six or seven pages and there's taxes and fees and other things that are there. And we got a 1.4 percent raise in Social Security for this year which put \$12 into my account.

When I was working, I worked for 35 years, and I was making well over \$25,000, and when I went on disability, I went down to \$9,000. It's just not fair that all of our citizens should have to be burdened with fees that are not real. We just don't see what the raised cost is going to be. I mean, we don't benefit from it, and we end up having to pay more for it; therefore, I can't afford my medications. I can't afford to go to any doctors. I had to buy my own scooter out of my pocket. It's just not fair to be assessed these fees no matter which carrier you have. And I would just appreciate some legislature that would help citizens that can't afford a lot of things that we have to do out of pocket that we're not benefited for by not being able to afford certain -- like insurance and things like that. So any raise hike is going to be a very extensive burden.

My phone bills for each month run around \$46. I do have Internet included in that, but the Internet is the only

thing that I have to be able to communicate with people in 1 2 other states because it doesn't cost me to send to them. If I 3 make a phone call, you know, I'm assessed each minute, and then 4 anything over 30 minutes is what the fee is; then I'm assessed 5 another \$2.90 per minute to that. So it's just not fair. But 6 I thank you for allowing me to come before you. 7 CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Ellison, thank you for being 8 here today. Let me just let you know I'm going to ask our 9 staff to make sure on your way out that you receive -- I don't 10 know if the company has offered you or sent you information on 11

the Lifeline program, but I want to make sure that you don't leave here today without knowing about that and also the brochure on navigating the phone bill. And I appreciate that you were here today.

MS. ELLISON: Well, I appreciate your time.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Madam Chair?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Ciamporcero.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Debbie Kampert (phonetic) with Verizon could actually take her out and go through that right now.

> CHAIRMAN JABER: That's great.

MS. ELLISON: Thank you.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Ms. Ellison.

The next witness is Samuel Ferguson.

SAMUEL FERGUSON

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2

3

of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State

DIRECT STATEMENT

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. FERGUSON: Thank you very much. I really feel

bad I'm not in that bad of shape, but I am complaining about

the increase. My auto insurance went up almost 21 percent

since January, and now you're -- then you're going to add this telephone on to my monthly bills. It just doesn't seem to be

fair. Since November of '01 Verizon has increased my monthly

bill by 15.1 percent, and it just keeps adding it on.

Recently, I had just an experience a couple of days ago.

Sprint was my long distance carrier. Now because I bought a

cell phone, they gave me that five-ninety-five a month, but

they increased it by 99 cents, and with the taxes it's 1.12.

So I went up actually 100 percent, but I dropped my long

distance carrier. Now I've got to look at something else. So

it seems like I got 100 percent increase because I wasn't

paying, but if you compare that to the five-ninety-five, it's

15 percent. So the increases are there. They are coming at us

all the time.

And don't forget, their increases, I think, have largely been ignored. They add taxes onto that. So if we're going to add 46 percent to their bills, you know it's going to go to 50 to 55 percent because of the added taxes that goes onto that. So I think that's a consideration. So the

90 percent is going to be pushed to 100 percent because of the taxes. So I think -- I really hope that the Commission stops this. I don't think we need that. And I hope you do the right thing for not only myself but certainly that young lady that left. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Ferguson.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Ana Cruz, C-R-U-Z.

### ANA CRUZ

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. CRUZ: Good afternoon. I'm Ana Cruz from Tampa. Madam Chairwoman, General Crist and Commissioners, thank you for allowing me to address you today. A lot of press has been swirling around the state regarding the proposed rate increases in our phone services. And for some reason this debate has troubled me. I finally realized why. It's actually quite simple when you put it into perspective.

Since Alexander Graham Bell invented the phone some 100-plus years ago, the days of party lines and busy signals have now taken their place in history. Remember Laugh In's Lily Tomlin's one ringy-dingy, two ringy-dingy? Can you believe that that was only a few years ago? Today, you can send photos of your kids to a spouse that's on a business trip. The progress in phone service is astonishing. Today's

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grave.

Who brings us this technology? Phone companies do. Simply put, without their technology and expertise, these advances would not occur. For Verizon and others to do so, it simply costs money. I don't know about you, but I personally enjoy and benefit from our new technologies, our timesaving, communication-friendly services. And, yes, like everyone else, I'd like them for free, but we need to get real here. You know as well as I do that it costs money to develop new technologies and the systems needed to implement these technologies.

technology would make Alexander Graham Bell turn over in his

Who knows what tomorrow will bring or what tomorrow even holds? I do think that we must be realistic and occasionally recognize that technological advances are not happenstance. They do cost money. I personally support nominal price increases that we're discussing here today in the \$4 to \$6 range because I know that it will lead to new, improved technology, competitive rates and, yes, better service. When you think otherwise, just remember how far we've come since the first phone call that Alexander Graham Bell made to Watson.

Let's move forward. Let's agree to challenge our phone providers to reach higher. This rate proposal is a minimal price to move us forward. I welcome this challenge and look forward to the technology of the future. Let's make

tomorrow's technology a reality today. Thank you. 1 2 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Cruz. 3 MR. TWOMEY: Ms. Cruz. CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, you have a question? 4 5 MR. TWOMEY: Yes. ma'am. 6 CROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TWOMEY: 8 Let me ask you first, are you just a residence 0 9 customer or are you --10 I'm a residential customer and a consumer. 11 Are you aware, Ms. Cruz, that the telephone industry 0 12 is a declining cost industry? 13 I'm aware of what I have done on a personal level in 14 some skimming through articles and research on a consumer 15 level. And I will tell you that it is important to me and to 16 consumers that we take a realistic approach to deciphering out in the media what is real in this bill and what is not. What 17 18 is real to me as a consumer is that I will see technological 19 advances coming out of this bill; I will see faster downloads 20 on my Web sites for DSL access: I will see more advanced 21 technologies within wireless and in residential packaging. I'm 22 not a phone expert, I'm a consumer. 23

Q So you're willing to pay 40 or more percent per month and year in the hope that you'll receive those additional services, and you're willing to have Ms. Ellison pay those

24

25

additional costs too: is that correct?

A Yes. I'm pro consumer. We're going to get tangible benefits here from these services. We know it; we can feel it; we can touch it. You know, the days of, like I said in my testimony before, party lines and busy signals, we have really come a long way, and I actually look forward to seeing where we can go tomorrow. Thank you for your --

Q I want to ask one more question, and then I'll stop. Can you name me, Ms. Cruz, one improvement you know you'll get from the rate increases, and can you tell me where you know that from?

- A Can I ask you a question first?
- Q Yes.

A I actually came here to address the PSC. I have understood that you are actually a hired gun for the AARP, and I am here to address the Commissioners and I'm here to address our Attorney General. And I'm not going to take any further questions from you. I'm a consumer, and I'm here to voice my concerns to the Commissioners. Thank you.

MR. TWOMEY: Okay. The record will reflect that, Ms. Cruz. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck, your next witness.

MR. BECK: Madam Chairman, I think Representative Fiorentino is here, and I wonder if she would like to make some comments.

·

1	CHAIRMAN JABER: Welcome, Representative.
2	REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you for being here.
4	REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO
5	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
6	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
7	DIRECT STATEMENT
8	REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: I appreciate that you all
9	have come down here. I do want to say that. And I appreciate
LO	that you're taking the time to travel the state and to listen
L1	to the consumers. In saying that, let me also say that I just
.2	drove down from Pasco County. Now, when I first moved here,
L3	that would have taken me 45 minutes to come here. Today, it
L4	took me two hours. Many of my seniors, though I asked them to
L5	come here because I knew you weren't going to take testimony
L6	via e-mail or the phone, aren't able to make this drive. And I
7	just wanted to mention that.
.8	CHAIRMAN JABER: Excuse me, Representative. I'm very
.9	sorry, but I want to be able to hear everything you're saying.
20	Can I ask this corner out here Ms. Cruz, may I ask you all
21	to step outside?
22	MS. CRUZ: Sorry.
23	CHAIRMAN JABER: Because your discussions interfere
24	with our being able to hear. Go ahead.
25	REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: That's fine. And so I

just want to also say that I know that there are a lot of residents who would have liked to have come also with me, but I have come here on their behalf.

I pay extra for my phone. I don't mind that. As a working person today, I don't mind paying for my Call Waiting and my Internet and all my little bells and whistles that I pay extra on. However, for my seniors back in Pasco County, this is a real issue. When they have to choose between their medicine and paying bills already, there is a concern I have in raising their phone rates. When this came before the Legislature, and I voted no at that time, it was said it was only going to cost \$5 a year more. Well, and not that I think you can use the press as a litmus test here on what's the fact or not, but it did say that the costs would go up \$2 a month. That's \$24, that's not \$5. I have grave concerns over that. If my seniors are already having a problem over \$5 a month, what are they going to do when it's \$24 a year?

I mean, this is major money to them. This is Meals On Wheels for some of them, and you've got to remember, for many of my seniors, that's how they eat. We have no guarantees how this money is going to be utilized, and that's a concern I have. How we're going to -- that we're going to make savings to my elders. It's believed that only the savings is going to be to the businesses. And I believe in economic development. I think that's an important factor, but not off of the back of

my elder citizens.

Most needy is another population that lives in Pasco County that I represent. I have a lot of those that are on needy lists and are receiving state benefits. I don't think these are the type of people that we should be adding the cost to. They're on fixed incomes, and we have to look out for their welfare. We cannot allow that this be utilized so that businesses can be saved and our elderlies will be hurt. I think when it comes to our seniors, that's who we need to be protecting, and I really do have grave concerns. But I do appreciate you coming down here, your listening and your willingness to take on this issue with the citizens. And I thank you all very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Representative.

And I neglected to say this earlier when the Senator was speaking, but you brought it up again, so let me take an opportunity to let you know. We have scheduled all 14 service hearings now. We found locations for all 14, and there will be a service hearing in St. Petersburg on the evening of October 23rd. Notice will go out, a very similar notice, that will indicate the location and the details, but as always, we appreciate your participation in our process.

REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: It's good seeing you again too.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you.

1 REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck. 3 MR. BECK: The next witness is Gerald Meyer. CHAIRMAN JABER: Gerald Meyer. How do you spell --4 5 MR. BECK: M-E-Y-E-R. 6 GERARD MEYER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 7 8 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 DIRECT STATEMENT 10 MR. MEYER: Madam Chairman, Attorney General, if I might make a correction, the first name is Gerard. 11 12 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. 13 MR. MEYER: G-E-R-A-R-D. 14 MR. BECK: I apologize. 15 MR. MEYER: I appreciate this opportunity of 16 addressing the Commission in support of Verizon's revenue rebalancing petition. In my almost 40 years of experience in 17 18 the telecommunications business, this is one of the few times 19 that I've seen the opportunity for a Commission to enact on the 20 local exchange rate structure to bring it much closer to what 21 the actual cost of providing that service is. Now, what will that do? What that will do is provide the opportunity and 22 challenge for investment in that local market exchange. When 23 24 that happens, other communication companies will address that

and will come into the market. You can look at the wireless

25

1	market; yo	ou can look at the interstate long distance market.
2	Those are	the guidelines and parallels to what this
3	encourage	ment of investment in the local market exchange will
4	do, and I	encourage you to support Verizon's petition for the
5	revenue re	ebalancing. Thank you.
6		CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.
7		MR. TWOMEY: Madam Chair?
8		CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.
9		CROSS EXAMINATION
.0	BY MR. TWO	DMEY:
.1	Q	Mr. Meyer, are you still in the industry?
2	A	Yes, sir, I am.
.3	Q	And in what status or what's your
.4	A	Marketing and sales consulting.
.5	Q	For one of the LECs?
.6	А	No. We work primarily with the manufacturers and
.7	repair and	d remanufacturing companies.
.8	Q	Okay. I want to ask you just briefly, you
.9	apparently	/
20		CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, may interrupt you for a
21	minute?	
22		MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am.
23		CHAIRMAN JABER: You said for one of the LECs. We
24	should pro	bhably clarify that that means phone companies.
25		MR. TWOMEY: I'm sorry. One of the local companies,

ladies and gentlemen.

BY MR. TWOMEY:

- Q You apparently --
- A No, I do not do anything with the LECs.
- Q You apparently -- are you of the opinion that the local service rates don't cover their costs?
  - A Yes, I am of that opinion.
- Q Okay. You realize, don't you, that in making that calculation or that assertion that the local companies refuse to include in that calculation the revenues they derive from access fees for both in-state and interstate toll as well as the substantial revenues they take in from vertical or custom services? Do you understand that?

A I'm not familiar with the internal accounting procedures of the telephone companies.

Q Okay. Well, I'm just asking that if you knew it, but I want to tell you, it's the AARP's position that when the companies are required to count those revenues from vertical services like Caller ID and access fee revenues, it's our position that the local bills on average carry the cost and that there's no subsidy.

- A Are you asking for my opinion on that?
- Q I'm asking if you knew what the relationship was, and you said -- I understood you to say you didn't, and I'm telling you what the AARP's position is, which is that there is no

1	subsidy.
2	A Is AARP's position coming from an accounting position
3	or an audit position or just an opinion?
4	Q It's coming from our expert witness will file
5	testimony
6	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey?
7	MR. TWOMEY: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN JABER: I'm sorry to interrupt. Let me
9	interrupt both you and Mr. Meyer. If the purpose of your
10	comment is just to make Mr. Meyer aware of AARP's position, how
11	about we just refer him to this and move forward?
12	MR. TWOMEY: Okay. That's fine.
13	MR. MEYER: Good. I appreciate that, Madam Chairman.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Meyer, AARP has handed out a
16	blue sheet that looks very much like this, and you and others
17	may refer to that for AARP's position. Thank you, sir.
18	MR. MEYER: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you for your testimony.
20	MR. TWOMEY: Thank you.
21	MR. MEYER: Thank you.
22	MR. CIAMPORCERO: Madam Chairman, one
23	CHAIRMAN JABER: Question?
24	MR. CIAMPORCERO: Quick I guess it's a question.
25	I just want to make sure that everybody out there feels

comfortable coming up, and the fact -- please don't feel 1 2 intimidated. Please come up. You can answer the questions if you can, but if you can't, I think everybody understands that. 3 4 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Ciamporcero, thank you. And if 5 I feel like customers are intimidated by the process, I'm sure 6 I'll pick up on it in the future. 7 Next witness. Mr. Beck. 8 MR. BECK: Thank you, Madam Chairman. The next 9 witness is DeMaris Marsh. CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck, how about a spelling? 10 MR. BECK: Marsh? 11 12 CHAIRMAN JABER: DeMaris. MR. BECK: D-E-M-A-R-I-S. 13 DeMARIS MARSH 14 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 15 16 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 17 DIRECT STATEMENT MS. MARSH: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I'm DeMaris 18 Marsh. My friends call me Dee. It's obvious that I'm a senior 19 20 citizen. I do not fall in the Lifeline category, I'm very 21 happy to say, but Verizon has been able to sell me a lot of extra services which I buy in a package. And I wanted to tell 22 them that I am on a fixed income, and that I may have to 23 24 reconsider and drop my 7.95 package that gives me all the extra

services that you are so good to sell to me. As a senior

25

citizen, I don't use all of them, of course. Many of us do not use all those services. But I wanted you to know that I do not just speak for senior citizens, but there are many others, our children and others, who are going to be really touched by this and will have to drop extras which they have paid for on their telephone bills.

Also, one of the persons in saying that we needed to have technology, et cetera, it was my understanding that this was to be revenue neutral for the telephone companies, and if that's so, that would not pay for our new technology, would it? Is that not true? Did you not say that it's to be revenue neutral?

MR. CIAMPORCERO: It's revenue neutral, yes.

MS. MARSH: Okay. Then the technology that she so beautifully talked about that we want to happen would not be included in that.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Well, what it does -- would you like me to respond? I can or not, it's up to you.

MS. MARSH: Well, that's all right. It's just a thought that I had. And I want to thank you all for the hearing, and I want you to know that there are hundreds that are not in my position that it will really and truly be an imposition on them to have an increased bill.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Marsh, let me ask you a quick question.

MS. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JABER: As you look through your advertisements and the bills that you receive, do you receive information or advertisements from other phone companies? And I ask that because I'm trying to gauge whether you feel like there is meaningful competition in your service territory.

MS. MARSH: Commissioner, no, I do not receive others. I'm a Verizon customer and have been. And I notice that I pay the highest rate of any of the companies that you are dealing with here today. And I wanted them to know.

CHAIRMAN JABER: If you were to drop those extra services you were talking about, the package that you receive at 7.95, do you even know where to start in terms of contacting a different company? Is there a different company you would turn to?

MS. MARSH: No. No, I do not know. I do not know that we would have another company that would give us service in the Tampa area.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And my final question is, do you want that opportunity?

MS. MARSH: I have been perfectly happy with Verizon until we came up with these great increases which I know will affect people far more than me. It will just affect me for extra things. but there are people that it will really and truly affect who are not yet eligible for Lifeline.

1	CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, ma'am. I appreciate your
2	testimony.
3	MS. MARSH: Thank you.
4	MR. TWOMEY: Madam Chair?
5	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, you have a question?
6	MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am, I do.
7	CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. TWOMEY:
9	Q Ms. Marsh, Chairman Jaber asked you if you wanted
10	more competition, and my question to you is, would you find any
11	value in having more competition if all of it came at \$4.61 a
12	month more?
13	A No. I would like to stay with Verizon and have the
14	good services I've had in the past at our present rates because
15	I do not feel that I will benefit at all from this increase in
16	the intrastate long distance calling.
17	MR. TWOMEY: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, ma'am.
19	Mr. Beck.
20	MR. BECK: The next witness is Dorothy Darling.
21	DOROTHY DARLING
22	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
23	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
24	DIRECT STATEMENT
25	MS. DARLING: Thank you. First of all, most of the

raised it. Okay. They say rate adjustments.

Also, you all saw that Steve Otto column in the paper that said one long distance information call costs \$20.95 with taxes. The basic call was 14.75 plus taxes. That's the kind of thing we're looking into. And I'm not in a real serious financial situation, but I know hundreds and hundreds of people who are who cannot afford this. And what you're basically doing is forcing us to give up our phones or go to -- eventually I hope that cell phones will be less than the wall phones, which they will be; land phones I guess you call them.

If you really want to be realistic about this raise, you should look at the corporate returns, not what they say on papers like this. They've got to -- they have made billions of dollars on local -- on phone calls in Florida last year all together, and this is what we're paying. Now, I know that probably 50 percent of the people like Ms. Cruz can pay for that and without worry, but the rest of us are paying -- they're getting all those improvements, like picture phones and cell phones and things like that, they're getting that on the backs of the poor people, the 50 percent of us who don't want to pay this. And please, I beg you, don't charge -- don't give them this. It's outrageous, it really is. I'm so angry about it I'm shaking. I really am furious.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. Ms. Darling. Before you go, let me tell you that since you have your bill with you, it

general things have been covered by previous speakers and most especially Senator Fasano, so I want to make it very personal with my own situation. I dropped my long distance carrier and have gone to a phone card because the long distance carrier and the local carrier together cost me about \$65 a month even if I didn't even make any long distance phone calls. That's way too much, so I dropped it. And I'm saving myself \$30 or \$40 a month on long distance calls. Sam's card, by the way, only charges me 3 cents a minute with no taxes. So that's pretty good.

But I have Verizon. Imagine my surprise when I got my bill this time without any long distance call and I got a charge of \$15.22 for -- wait a minute, I'm sorry, I misplaced that -- for other providers. There are no other providers in my case. And I got my Verizon bill for \$15.22 plus tax would be \$17.96 that they charged me, and I haven't had another provider since March. How many people will not look at their bill? They will just pay it because they can't see or because they do it automatically. How many people will pay that money? But that's 50 percent of my bill, 50 percent additional of my bill.

Also, it's a basic bill that's taxed. So when Verizon raises my basic bill which is \$12.10 -- was \$12.10 but they added an additional 20 cents, so now it will be \$12.30. No statement about where that 20 cents came from. They just

	55
1	would be great if you could let our staff take a look at it. I
2	know that Verizon has some of their employees, customer service
3	representatives here. I'm very interested in finding out what
4	that \$15.22 charge is. Let us help you with that. I know that
5	Mr. Ciamporcero took a quick look at it.
6	MR. CIAMPORCERO: I couldn't tell. And we have the
7	expert out in the hall who can tell you absolutely what it is.
8	MS. DARLING: You can believe that as soon as I got
9	this bill, I called and raised Hell about it, and it's not on
10	my bill anymore. But I'm one of those that this lady said you

need a college education to understand this. Well, I have a Master's degree and I can't understand it. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Darling, thank you for being In addition to raising Hell the next time you call the here. company, there's one more place you should call if you ever have any trouble with Verizon or any customer service representatives of a company that we regulate, I hope you would call us too.

> Thank you. MS. DARLING:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Charles Hubbard.

## CHARLES HUBBARD

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

# DIRECT STATEMENT

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUBBARD: Good afternoon. I'm a disabled veteran. I have multiple disabilities. I'm a cancer survivor. I'm also a member of the AARP. And I firmly believe that none of us have any business being here. And the reason why I say that is because the state Legislature and the Governor obviously did not listen to the will of the people. There is no way that this increase should even be thought of. We shouldn't have to have commissions and discussions and take time out from work to come down here to talk about this because this increase is going into the pockets of the people that run Sprint, Verizon, and other people. Nothing is being improved. My home service is not being improved. The calls aren't clearer. They are not giving me extra services. It's just extra money in their pockets.

Now, if this money, which I calculate from for my bill would be another \$4.73, was going into infrastructure, for example, helping schools, I could understand that. That helps people all over the state. If it's improving roads, I don't mind a 4.73 increase or an increase in my property taxes. That's acceptable because everybody benefits, but this increase is strictly a benefit to these communications companies. It has no benefit for me whatsoever.

And by my calculations -- I'm also on a fixed income. I'm not eligible for Lifeline or any of those other services,

and I do have a cell phone, but I can tell you this, Verizon and Sprint and the rest of you, that if this increase goes through, you will lose \$461.04 a year from me because I will cut off your service and just use my cell phone because I have free nights and weekends, free Caller ID and all sorts of benefits that I'm not receiving from you. And right now, my landline phone is really becoming a burden. I don't receive the benefits that I think I should be getting.

I'm being charged \$2.76 so they don't have to use the ink to print my name and number in the phone book. Figure that. I'm paying \$7.95 so I can know who's calling me. And I'm not going to reduce these services, I'm just going to get rid of it across the board. I'm just going to do away with it. I mean, that's the bottom line because I just don't feel that these increases under the economic conditions that we have today are warranted whatsoever. I've heard no mention of Verizon, Sprint, or any other companies taking any cost-cutting measures to cut the fat in their business, to streamline, to consolidate, or do anything else. All they are asking for is more money. And I strongly disagree with this.

Also, I think that if this does go through, which I hope it doesn't, that the minimum that you will do, the Commission or the Governor or the Legislature, will make an amendment to this regulation that will exempt disabled and elderly from any further increases because we are the people

that are really going to suffer the most. As I said, I am on a fixed income. You know, I'm not on welfare. I'm not on food stamps. You know, I'm above that so-called poverty line, but I still have to watch my pennies. I don't eat out very much. I cook my own meals and so forth and so on. And as I said, I see no benefit, I see no benefit for anyone but the corporate executives at Verizon, Sprint and the other phone companies. And I thank you for this opportunity to speak to you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Hubbard, thank you very much for being here. Let me ask a quick question.

MR. HUBBARD: Sure.

CHAIRMAN JABER: You said you wouldn't hesitate to switch to cell phone service. You feel like you've got competition among the cell phone providers and you've got good service --

MR. HUBBARD: Yes. Absolutely none with the regular phone. And I listened to you question some of the other speakers. I don't receive any other information from Sprint, whatever other phone companies are out there. I don't get any competitive rates. And if I did, what's the point if they're all jacking up their rates the same amount or more? So, I mean, I'm just switching the name on the phone bill, I mean, that's all. So that's of no advantage to me whatsoever unless I can get a cheaper rate.

I've already cut off, I think, my long distance rates

for the most part because I use my cell phone for long distance. I used to call St. Petersburg a lot. I have some friends over there. I stopped doing that when they gave St. Pete a separate area code and now it's 25 cents. So, I mean, I've been losing for years. This has been costing me money. And like I said, I just feel that the Legislature did a poor job by not listening to the will of the people because this is not beneficial to any of us accept big business.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Hubbard.

MR. HUBBARD: Thank you for your time.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Denise Lasher.

# DENISE LASHER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. LASHER: Thank you very much for this opportunity to be heard this afternoon. I work for a nonprofit organization, and we provide scholarships to children from low income families throughout Florida. Our state organization serves over 4,000 low income families, and we speak with them frequently by phone out of our office here in Tampa. Most of our families have no access to e-mail. We have regular phone contact with over 700 schools throughout the state. So over 95 percent of our phone bill is for intrastate phone calls. I'm not one for supporting raising taxes, fees, or rates;

however, as a small nonprofit organization, this proposal will be a tremendous help for us.

We struggle to raise the operating funds we need to run our nonprofit organization. And next to our rent and salaries, I'd say our phone bill is probably the biggest expenses that we have due to the number of phone calls that we have to make every day in the state of Florida. This proposal will reduce our phone bill which will be a tremendous savings for our organization.

Another aspect of the proposal I'm really excited about is the Lifeline program and Link-Up Florida. As I stated, we have thousands of low income families that we work with every day, and we plan to contact our local phone carriers and work with them so that we can let our families know about this wonderful program. By raising the eligibility to participate in this program, I would say probably a majority, probably 70, 75 percent, of our families will fall within this income range. So there's going to be thousands of more families that we deal with that now will have more affordable phone service, and a number of them don't have a phone because they can't afford it. With this program, I'm sure that they will now be more likely to be able to get that phone in their home.

As a residential customer, because I'm also an individual consumer, the network access charge, I understand,

will be eliminated and so that will benefit me as a consumer. I think that if people would look over the last 15 or 20 years at your local phone bill, I don't think it's the local access service charge or local residential charge that's really gone up. I don't think that's gone up much at all. It's all these taxes that keep getting imposed on us, I mean, the federal government taxes, the state government taxes, telecommunication, local government. Here in Hillsborough County, they just raised the tax again on our phone service. So if you look at the taxes and fees on a phone bill, it's more than what it costs just for the basic service.

So in conclusion, I just want to reiterate that this program that's being proposed will save our foundation a substantial amount of money, and it's going to provide more affordable phone service for many of our families, thousands of families throughout the state of Florida that we work with every day. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Lasher, let me take a minute as you summarize. We've talked about Lifeline a lot today, and just in case there are members in the audience that do not know about the program, it is an assistance program that provides up to \$13.50 off of each monthly bill and up to \$30 of a discount with regard to hookup charges. And this legislation does have a provision that requires the PSC, which was already promoting the Lifeline services, but it requires the PSC to partner with

the Office of Public Counsel, other state agencies like the
Department of Elder Affairs and the Agency for Health Care to
promote the program and make sure that people that might be
eligible for the program sign up. There is a requirement that
these phone companies also participate in the program.

And. Mr. Beck. if you don't mind giving the 800

And, Mr. Beck, if you don't mind giving the 800 number and maybe elaborating on what Public Counsel has done at this point, I think it would be appropriate.

MR. BECK: Sure.

CHAIRMAN JABER: I bring this up during your testimony, Ms. Lasher, because I would love for you on your way out to take extra of these copies and leave your contact information. We could always provide you more copies to take to those families that you work with closely. These pamphlets are produced in Spanish as well.

MS. LASHER: Wonderful. We have a lot of Hispanic families we work with.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And on our Web site, which is floridapsc.com, customers can also find a link which provides the same information on Lifeline and all of the appropriate phone numbers to contact.

Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Yes. We have an 800 number that's dedicated just to assisting people, not only just with information on Lifeline, but actually signing them up, and it's

1-800-540-7039. And we will certify people if they are 1 eligible based on their income, you know, as opposed to just 2 3 participation in a program. So we've gotten a lot of calls and 4 we're hoping for more. 5 MS. LASHER: We also plan to distribute these to the 700 schools that we work with so they are available for parents 6 to pick up also. So we look forward to this. 7 8 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Lasher. 9 MR. TWOMEY: Ms. Lasher. 10 CROSS EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. TWOMEY: 12 Let me tell you and the audience as well, my goal Q 13 wasn't to intimidate you. The reason you are under oath is the 14 Commission is going to use your testimony to try and decide 15 whether to grant these increases or not. And those people testifying who appear to have interests that are adverse to my 16 client's, I feel it's part of my job to try and probe and 17 18 question. 19 Α It is America; you do have that right. 20 I wanted to ask you, you said that -- let me ask you, 0 does your agency have more than one phone? 21 22 Α Do we have more than one phone? 23 Q Yes. ma'am. 24 Α Yeah. In our office?

25

Q

Yes.

1 2

3

5

4

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

We have multiple phones. We have more than one person working, so every person who works in our office does have a telephone, yes, sir.

Okay. So are you aware that if you have multiple phones, it's unlikely that your agency will receive any local service rate increases from the legislation?

Sir. what I addressed was our intrastate rates that Α we pay. Like I said, 95 percent of all of our calls are intrastate long distance calls, and so what I'm addressing today is that impact that will have on our nonprofit foundation. We have very little money that we operate on, and so this will be a tremendous help.

Yes, ma'am, I understand that, but has anybody told 0 you how much those rates will go down?

I'm sure that you have addressed some of that in your Α blue sheet, and if you want to send me some information, they do have my -- on the registration form there. If you would send me that information, that would be very helpful for us. Thank you.

No, ma'am. We don't know, and I'm asking you -- my 0 question is, is do you know?

Do I know exactly what the local rate will be for our Α nonprofit foundation?

No. ma'am. Q

No, sir, I can't answer that question. Α

1	Q You're
2	A I'm
3	Q I'm sorry. I'll let you finish.
4	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.
5	A I'm just here to share with you our perspective as a
6	nonprofit foundation and my perspective as a consumer, and I
7	hope that I was able to relay my position on this adequately to
8	at least be on the record for our position.
9	Q Yes, ma'am, but my question is this: You've asserted
10	in your testimony that you think your agency will achieve
11	savings in your long distance calls within the state, and my
12	question is, do you know has anybody told you how much
13	you'll be able to save?
14	A On our intrastate rates?
15	Q Yes, ma'am.
16	A I know those rates will be going down. Have we done
17	an internal calculation exactly to that dollar amount? No,
18	sir, but we do know that those rates will go down, and any
19	savings we realize will be a tremendous benefit. I really
20	didn't want to get into a debate today, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Lasher, let me ask
22	MR. TWOMEY: You're required to.
23	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.
24	Ms. Lasher, let me ask you a couple of questions.
25	With regard to the competitive providers around you that offer

1	services to businesses of your size, do you feel like you've
2	got choices?
3	MS. LASHER: For local service, no, ma'am, we don't.
4	Obviously for long distance we do. But we don't have any other
5	providers that have approached us or that I've read about for
6	our local service.
7	CHAIRMAN JABER: And you do seem confident that your
8	long distance charges will decrease. Is that based on your
9	knowledge of the legislation or a representation someone made
10	to you?
11	MS. LASHER: It's based on what I have read, yes,
12	ma'am.
13	CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, ma'am. I appreciate your
14	testimony.
15	MS. LASHER: Thank you so much.
16	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Madam Chair.
17	CHAIRMAN JABER: Commissioner Bradley.
18	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Just for the record, I think
19	that we need to recognize that Ms. Lasher represents a
20	nonprofit agency and not a business.
21	CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes, absolutely. Thank you,
22	Commissioner.
23	MR. TWOMEY: Madam Chair?
24	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, go ahead.
25	MR. TWOMEY: Since I was cut off, I want to make this

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

observation, and what I wanted to ask Ms. Lasher is, if -- I heard her say that they are on regular telephone communication with at least 700 hundred of their customers.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Clients; right.

MR. TWOMEY: If those clients are all served by Verizon, whose rates will go up 55.32 per year if these petitions are granted, that's over \$39,000 a year, and I was going to ask her if she was confident that any in-state savings she would get would exceed those increases that her clients would receive. That was where I was going.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Well, Mr. Twomey, here's the problem with that question. You're asking her to speak with regard to her clients on what savings they may incur. I'll certainly invite Ms. Lasher back up. My feeling is she's not going to be able to answer that question, but we'll invite her back up.

Ms. Lasher, is that something you feel like you can address?

MS. LASHER: We haven't looked at exactly the number of families that will qualify. I can tell you that we have quite a few families that have under \$10,000 household income. The average income is around 20,000 for a household size of four. Each and every family qualifies under the federal Free/Reduced Lunch program. So I would have to go back and do that calculation. crunch those numbers. and then compare to -- if they signed up for Lifeline, the savings there compared to,

you know, savings we're receiving within our company, any additional charges; I mean, that would take some time to do that calculation. But I can tell you that there will be thousands of additional families, we are certain, that will qualify now for a reduced rate through the Lifeline program.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: I have one more question.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Last question.

CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. TWOMEY:

Q Do you realize, Ms. Lasher, that under Verizon's petition with this Commission that even if all of your clients were to receive Lifeline benefits, that their proposal says that at the end of two years that they will snatch those protections away and will impose the rate increases on their clients -- their customers?

A Sir, I don't believe that that's correct. They will still be able to qualify for Lifeline, so that \$13.50, I think, savings, they will still as far as I understand be eligible after two years as long as they still meet those income guidelines. As long as they still meet those income guidelines, they will still be eligible for a reduction of \$13.50.

- Q That's correct. My point was --
- A I do know that.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, that was your last 1 2 question. 3 MR. TWOMEY: Okay. CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Lasher, thank you for your 4 5 testimony. 6 MS. LASHER: Okay. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck, as you call your next 8 witness, here are the rules going forward. Mr. Twomey and the 9 parties, all the parties, I will allow questions. Mr. Twomey 10 is absolutely right. This is a formal part of our proceeding 11 where we are taking testimony, and the parties are entitled to 12 ask guestions, and I've allowed that flexibility. They need to be questions and not statements of position. I would ask that 13 14 you extend the same courtesy I've extended you. 15 Mr. Beck. call the next witness. 16 MR. BECK: Yes. Madam Chairman. The next witness is Herbert Lyon, L-Y-O-N. 17 18 HERBERT LYON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 19 20 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 21 DIRECT STATEMENT 22 MR. LYON: Yes, I'm Herbert Lyon. I'm a retired 23 electrical engineer. I still hold a professional engineering license in the state of Texas. And I'm not currently employed 24 25 in engineering, but in the late '70s my name went forward to

the -- from the Legislature to the Governor for a staff -- or for a seat on your Commission, Public Service Commission. I wasn't selected, but I've followed with interest.

One of the things that I found that in this hearing, normally there is a notice in the paper that says you can go to such and such a place to see the filing. And normally you'd go to Verizon headquarters and you can see the filing to the Commission, and I didn't see that this time. Therefore, I'm shooting from the hip because normally when I come before these hearings, and I have on several occasions, I know what I'm coming up against. And apparently it's a moving target because they are moving it every day. I read in the paper they changed it.

Okay. I think this is pure corporate greed. No more, no less. It's way out of line with the cost of living increases. It is approximately 20 percent the first go-around, another 15 percent after that. I don't make any interstate calls with Verizon because I think you're dumb if you do. You can go to Sam's for three cents a minute. You can make calls anyplace in the country. You can't even call St. Petersburg, you have to pay 25 cents. You know, Verizon still hasn't come into the modern way of thinking that this is a metropolitan area. They have to be dragged kicking and screaming into the fact that I live closer to a lot of places in St. Pete than I do in Tampa. If I want to make a reservation, I have to pay 25

cents or use my credit card to go over to a restaurant.

Verizon is totally anticompetitive, and I will give you an example. I asked for DSL service from Earthlink, and every time Earthlink would go to Verizon, they have to go through Genuity and all around the horn to get them to get the DSL to me. And every time they come back and Verizon said, it's not our customer; we can't provide the thing. And I went through that for almost six weeks, and I finally mounted a multiprong attack. I'm not without political influence in the city of Tampa, and so I got ahold of a name up in Verizon and got ahold of somebody in the Verizon office. I also went to the Federal Trade Commission and I went to Mr. Beck. And through those three-prong attack, Genuity finally figured out that I was a Verizon customer. This is totally anticompetitive, and they intend to keep it that way. And they still are.

My DSL service goes off occasionally because there's continuing bickering about providing service that is required by the FCC and the Federal Trade Commission to provide me DSL service via Verizon. And they're still bickering, and so occasionally they cut off the service. And when you call in, you can't do it. That's another issue.

Verizon is not a good Florida corporate citizen.

They do not hire within Florida for their -- all of their phone answering is done out of state. Whenever you talk to somebody,

you try to get service, it's somebody from out of state. So it's not -- they're not good Florida citizens. They should be using -- we have adequate call answering people here in the state of Florida; Verizon just chooses not to use them. And it's also a safety issue because when you call in to Verizon and try to get -- talk to them, the people do not know what Tampa -- where anything is in Tampa or any of the streets or anything else. They don't know anything about it. They are off up in the Midwest somewhere where they can get cheap rates.

Well, also, Verizon is not maintaining their outside plant. They have -- the line down behind my house in the summer every year it goes out because of ants in it. Ants are up in one of the junction boxes. They refuse to replace it; they refuse to do anything about it. They are not providing good service; yet they want this rate increase. And I strongly oppose this rate increase. And I think that -- you know, I'll admit that they are a little bit better than General Tel when you used to get fast busy signals every time you had to call across town. That's improved a little bit. But that's -- and it's better in the Peninsula but not by much.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. Mr. Ciamporcero, I wanted to follow up on just something Mr. Lyon said with regard to customers being able to come in to the Verizon offices and take a look at the petition. I think that's a very good idea.

1 I don't see a problem with having the filing available in an 2 open place in the Verizon Tampa offices. Is that something you 3 can accommodate? 4 MR. CIAMPORCERO: We will take care of that. Ιn 5 fact, let me just huddle with my staff, and we'll figure out 6 the best places to do that. Probably do it in the phone marts 7 which are spread out and easier to get to. 8 CHAIRMAN JABER: Hang on, Mr. Twomey. What I think 9 Mr. Lyon is referring to, with PSC proceedings, primarily in 10 water, the rate case synopsis and the petitions for the water 11 rate increase are readily available if you go to the water 12 facility. Now, this is a different kind of case, and that's 13 why we didn't require that the filing be available, but I think 14 if any customer has requested it --15 MR. CIAMPORCERO: We'd love to do that. What do you 16 think we should -- we can make copies available here and 17 then --COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me make a suggestion. 18 19 CHAIRMAN JABER: Commissioner Deason has a 20 suggestion. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: In the past, a procedure that, 22 I think, has worked fairly well is making them available in 23

public libraries.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Great. We will take care of that. May I also just say we have 13,000 employees in the Tampa Bay

24

25

1 area, one of the biggest employers, so it's not that we don't 2 hire Florida people. 3 CHAIRMAN JABER: Commissioner Deason, thank you for 4 that suggestion. I'd forgotten, but that's right, in public 5 libraries. 6 Is that what you were going to say, Mr. Twomey? MR. TWOMEY: Yes, ma'am, I was going to suggest the 7 historical practice to the libraries. 8 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. 9 10 Commissioner Bradley. COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Mr. Lyon also mentioned some 11 quality of service issues. Mr. Ciamporcero, would you be so 12 kind as to have staff work with him to address those issues 13 14 also? MR. CIAMPORCERO: I think Debbie is already on her 15 16 way back there. 17 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Beck. your next witness. 18 MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Brad 19 Jackson. 20 BRAD JACKSON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 21 22 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 23 DIRECT STATEMENT 24 MR. JACKSON: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the Public Service Commission. My name is Brad Jackson; I live 25

at 2804 University Square Drive.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Jackson, let me have you speak right into the microphone.

MR. JACKSON: Is that better?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: Now, I think that the concept of following your dream is something that Americans understand very well. I think the Americans relate to that. Now, for people like me to follow what they consider their main purpose, their goal in life, a lot of times we have to save a lot of money. And one of the ways that we can do that is to cut down on our utility bills, including the phone bill, which is what I have to do. Now, the only way that I know of that I can actually influence my phone bill is by not making long distance calls, which I have done. So I don't make long distance calls anymore.

I can't cut down on the rate by not making local calls, I don't believe that affects the phone bill. So if you are going to ask people like myself who are not making long distance phone calls whether or not we would agree to this kind of rate hike, please keep in mind that from what I understand anyway, if I'm understanding it correctly, this proposed hike will increase the money for the basic rates and decrease the money for the long distance calls, but that is not going to help people like me who are voluntarily sacrificing the long

distance calls.

So what is the phone bill like for someone like me that does not make long distance calls and also doesn't even want to make and almost never makes calls even across the Bay to St. Petersburg or Clearwater; somebody like me that doesn't even like to make 411 calls? I've forgotten at what point they started charging for them. Now, if you look at my bill, you'll see that just for this basic rate, I have the residence line, which, I think, that's the main charge, which I understand, makes sense, that's \$12.10 and that's fine. That sounds about right, I guess, for somebody that makes maybe ten or maybe I make more than ten calls a month. I probably get less than that, but I don't really use the phone that much. So even that, even \$12.10 seems a little steep probably, but I think that's fair enough.

But besides that, we have a -- or I have a Tele
Access Act charge, I have a federal subscriber line charge, I
have a federal excise tax at 3 percent, I have a local
communication service tax, I have a state gross receipts tax, I
have a County 911 funding fee and a service Svc provider number
portability fee, and I also have a federal universal service
fee. Now, again, for somebody like me that only makes maybe
ten calls or so a month and receives even less, that seems
strange. I don't understand that. I don't understand why we
have to have all these taxes and fees for just using very, very

basic telephone usage.

Now, I must say that when I have called the telephone company before, they have been very polite, and they have been very willing to try and explain what all these fees mean. So I can't really blame them for not wanting to explain it because they really did try to explain it for me. And most of it I could understand, but some of it I really couldn't. We get into areas where you're talking about -- I don't know if you would term it creative accounting or what you would call it, but when they are trying to explain it to me, it's just not in ways I think that the average person can relate to.

And, furthermore, if I understood at one point what they were explaining to me, they said that there's a tax on a tax. You have a tax and then the tax is taxed. So, again, we're getting into areas that I think we in Hillsborough County are a little familiar with. You probably are not aware of the public access issue, that is, public access television, that this County had to deal with just recently. But in that issue, we had to deal with the philosophical question as to whether or not a certain fee is a tax or a fee, and it got very complicated and very convoluted. And I think that that might be perhaps a tactic that can be used sometimes to deliberately cloud the issue.

As a matter of fact, somehow the telecommunications fee is supposed to be involved with the public access issue.

don't even know whether or not the phone companies have anything to do with the telecommunications fee or not, but I was told, for example, that if you use a cell phone, that somehow that tax money -- or somehow when you use a cell phone, that it goes to public access television. But anyway, that might not have anything to do with you, and I don't want to get too far off the topic.

The point is that when you have these taxes of taxes and all these other fees, I think that gets into the area of what they are now calling columnization. I think it used to be called creative accounting, but with the advent of Enron and WorldCom, Global Crossing and all of that, I think they're starting to use the term columnization, which means that if you take some money, you can be philosophical with the money and you can put it under more than one column and say that this money not only applies to this column, but it applies to the other column as well, which goes out of the realm of absolute numbers and into a philosophical realm that can very easily confuse people.

You can also take money that is supposed to be in one category and say, no, it's not really in this category, it's over there. Like, for example, when they had the scandals with the businesses, from what I understand, they might have taken money that was supposed to be for investment and they said, no, this wasn't really investment, this was profit, and this is why

we're making money. And I think that the public is becoming a little bit more aware of this columnization effect as a result again of the fallout from Enron, WorldCom, et cetera.

So to sum up, if you're going to ask people like me whether or not it's a good idea to have even what might be considered a modest increase, from our point of view, look at what we already have to pay. Look at all the fees that I've just described. Maybe we consider that to be too much already, and we don't even understand it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Jackson.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Janet Hiltz,

H-I-L-T-Z.

### JANET HILTZ

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. HILTZ: Good afternoon. My name is Janet Hiltz; I live at 10902 Honeyhill Drive, Tampa, Florida. I thank you for having this meeting today and having the opportunity to speak to you about these changes. We are Verizon customers. My husband is retired; he retired four years ago. We have lived in Hillsborough County. We've been residents since 1971, and we've had the same telephone service continuously, although it was GTE before until just recently. We're on a fixed income. We're senior citizens. As I said, my husband retired

four years ago. And I am -- also, somebody had mentioned they were a cancer survivor. I'm also a cancer survivor. About the time that he retired, my cancer was discovered.

I don't use a cell phone because I have concerns. I don't think all the answers are in whether or not that could possibly be contributory to cancer and as well as microwaves. We don't know those things yet. Anyway, we also have a developmentally disabled daughter that lives at home with us. She lost a full-time job last November and was on unemployment for three months, and now she has a part-time job with no benefits. She lives with us, and we provide support for her. So in all these things, we've had to try to cut corners and cut down in our expenses. And we had several extra services before, and about four years ago we cut back to the bare bones. We have no extras on our line.

Last year one of the bills came in, and it was a little bit higher, and I looked at it. And they had added a charge for a nonpublished listing. Now, when we moved from one house to the another, we decided not to list because it had been listed under both of our names previously, and we had a number of very undesirable phone calls. So we said, well, it's probably best not to list at all. That's what we did. And then last year, even though we've been customers, as I said, since 1971, we got a nonpublished listing fee of \$2.30 above the regular fees. So I called and asked about that, and they

said, well, that's what they do. So they have just been adding that fee on ever since then. I don't think that's right to pay for something that you're not getting, and it certainly doesn't stop the marketers from calling us. Somehow they find out our telephone number, and they call us anyway even though I ask them, well, how did you get our telephone number? And I guess there's lists that we're on that we don't know we're on, but they manage to get through to us anyway and disturb usually our dinnertime.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I really don't think that it's fair to raise these fees. It doesn't matter what company we're with. It seems like all of them want to raise their fees. Now, we do have our long distance, our out-of-state long distance with Sprint. And they had called us to ask if we would like to have our local calling changed to their company. So I asked them, well, can you do that? They looked it up; they cannot do that. Verizon is the only provider in our area. Verizon also provides for our local, or interstate (sic) I guess you call it, within the state calling. And we make very, very few long distance calls. This last month we had no long distance calls at all either with Sprint out of state or within the state with Verizon; yet we did get some extra charges billing for Sprint. We got a carrier universal service charge, single bill fee, carrier property tax. We don't get the service fee because it's waived because we do have a special discount with USAA as far as

Sprint is concerned. We got a local communication service tax and a Florida communication service tax. So with absolutely no calls, we still got an extra total charge for Sprint for \$1.74. And that's on top of what the previous gentleman mentioned were all these monthly services regulated and the regulated service taxes and surcharges. We got all of those charges in addition to our regular \$12.06 bill. So it comes to -- we came to a total of \$25.99, almost \$26, for just basically our local services and all these other additional charges.

I can't see how it would help us to have any of these companies as our local billing if they're all going to go up. I don't think this is right. There are a lot of people like we are who are senior citizens who are on a fixed income. And just -- we have to have a phone. We have family here in town; we have emergency situations; we have to have 911. So we can't go completely without a telephone. We don't have, as I said, either a cell phone or computer, so we're just at the bear-bones minimum that we can do, and we do not want to see an increase in these charges. So I thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Hiltz.

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Eric Green.

### ERIC GREEN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. GREEN: Good afternoon, Commissioners and state officials. I come to you as someone in the community who is concerned. I'm also a community activist as well as an entrepreneur. And I guess I was sitting back there listening to everyone speak, and one of the thoughts that came to me was what would really be truly fair? And another thing is, I've heard a lot of talk about bills and what they have on their bills and the calls that people get. I know, for instance, I got a phone call not too long ago where someone from Verizon called me to ask me how was my service doing and that they found a way to consolidate all the different services that I was having to somehow get my bill reduced.

Do I like bills? No. I get quite a few bills from different people that I'd rather not have, and I'd rather them to be reduced. However, the point is, is that I look more at the future and more at opportunity at this point in my life and for the kids that I service and things like that. And I believe that we -- to open up to free enterprise, by giving the increase, you create that margin. And by creating that margin, you allow others to get involved in entrepreneuing (phonetic) and to communications. So I think it's more of an incentive, and I think it would be more positive than negative to have the increase, personally.

I also feel that free enterprise and the Lifeline

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

program is very important as well, and I think that it opens up because of the way they have it set up now, whereas it's going to affect another thousand or a couple of thousand people. And I think it will even affect more people than that because of the different ways that they have set it up. A lot of people will be able to take advantage of it. In fact, I noticed that a lot of people don't even know about Lifeline. And, of course, they've said now that they plan on doing some more advertisement in the communities that supposedly are going to be affected and will not be able to have the services. So I definitely support it as someone in the community who is looking more in the future and for different opportunities for other people to get involved in communications.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Green.

Mr. Twomey, you have a question. And just so you all know, right after Mr. Green's testimony, we'll take a five-minute break, let our court reporter stand up, stretch her legs a little bit.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. TWOMEY:

- Q Mr. Green, are you a Verizon customer?
- A Yes, I am, sir.
- Q Is your bill also \$12.10 a month?
- A No. In fact, I have what's called the Freedom Plan.
  As I said, recently they've called me, and they assessed my

1	bills with the different incentives that I had and advised
2	me and I didn't call them. They called me to let me know
3	that they could consolidate all these different things that I
4	had to save me money.
5	Q So you have a bundle? Is that what
6	A Excuse me?
7	Q Do you have a bundle or a package of service
8	A Freedom Plan, yes.
9	Q Did they tell you whether or not you would get rate
10	increases if you have one of these packages or Freedom Plans?
11	A Did they tell me that
12	Q Whether these rate increases would be applicable to
13	you if you have that bundle you have now.
14	A Well, no, they haven't. In fact but the amount o
15	savings that I've received from getting that Freedom Plan, eve
16	if it was a \$5 increase on my bill, it's nowhere near the
17	amount of money that I was paying initially. So it would stil
18	be a savings for me.
19	MR. TWOMEY: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN JABER: We're going to take a five-minute
21	break and come back and continue with testimony. Thank you.
22	(Brief recess.)
23	CHAIRMAN JABER: We're going to go ahead and get
24	stanted And Mn Rock I'll let you call your next witness

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Gerrit

25

Conover. Is Gerrit Conover here?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Repeat the name again, Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Gerrit Conover from New Port Richey.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Let's go to the next one.

MR. BECK: All right. John Fox.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And just remind me, we'll come back to that right after this.

### JOHN J. FOX

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. FOX: Good afternoon. It seems like it's been longer. I am a concerned customer. My name is John J. Fox; I live at 18945 Dvorak Drive, Springhill, Florida. I have been sworn, of course. I am a retired mechanical engineer. I thank you for giving me time to express my objection to these proposed rate increases. I will not duplicate previous speakers.

I need an explanation of how giving the current telephone companies a rate increase will bring in competition. This will only give the current telephone companies more money to stave off any newcomers. This is fuzzy logic. I think any studies you may have made that support this position have exceeded the famous "How To Lie With Statistics" by Darrell Huff. This idea is similar to the selling of the lottery to

improve education. That failure is well documented.

Looking around the audience, the imbalance between company representatives and consumers is obvious. The company representatives are paid to attend. The media has given the impression to the customers that the hearings are lame duck and of no significance. The rate increase of 40 to 90 percent are unconscionable.

Another thing, competition in wireless service is not comparable to landline. The current companies have virtually a lock on land services; i.e., no real competition. Therefore, I object to any rate increases under the guise of increasing competition. If there's no questions, thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Fox.

MR. BECK: I'm going to try Gerrit Conover one last time.

The next witness is Frank Fuchs, F-U-C-H-S.

# FRANK FUCHS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. FUCHS: Good afternoon. My name is Frank Fuchs; I live in Brandon, 505 South Oakwood Avenue. An earlier speaker, Ana Cruz, spoke about Alexander Graham Bell turning over in his grave, and I think -- I usually take that phrase to mean something derogatory, but I would say instead of him

turning over in his grave, I think he would rise out and look at the wonderful things that have happened in communications. I think he would be totally impressed. I think he would say it's amazing that you're able to have all these improvements. And so I feel that he would like all of us to make use of those.

Now, I want to give you an image that has come to my mind from what I've been listening to and my purpose and your purpose for being here today. I envision or I see a gold mine. Now, gold mines typically, and at least in my mind, have veins of great wealth, and then they have areas that are petering on down, not so good. Well, in this gold mine, we have on the floor forming or has formed in the past soap, kind of like golden bubbles. Now, these golden bubbles on the floor have been used, and I equate that to being the long -- the service, intrastate service. And I see the bubbles kind of like breaking, and the phone companies own the gold mines. And they see that the bubbles are breaking, that they are going to lose money on these golden bubbles that they've had all along, and they are going to have to get more realistic on that part of the pricing.

Now, I see the Public Service Commission, and I see public service and I see that meaning me, I, the citizen of Florida, and I see you people as the Commissioners being representative of me, the citizen of Florida, that you are

interested in my interest. And I have to trust that that's what you are interested in. I, fortunately, can pay an increase in phone bills, but I see that in this gold mine that's the communications industry, we have Internet connections, we have wireless, we have special services, Caller ID, Call Waiting, all these little things that are tacked on. Now, I see that's the wealth of the communication industry, and I see also that it's the duty of the Public Service Commission to control or to limit how the wealth is used. I think it's up to the phone companies who own this mine instead of saying, we're mining a poor vein today, we're not getting much out of this, so we're going to have to spread the costs over to somebody else. We're going to say that we're losing money, which maybe they can claim in paperwork, we're losing money in local service, but I think that is the basic rate.

The basic rate of wired service within a community should be the very minimum cost regardless of other costs in the system. Why? Because it's a service being performed for the public. It's a monopoly certainly in this county in this area with Verizon. It's a monopoly because there's not any effective wired communication people in competition. And I don't agree that competition as a word is worth considering. We should just throw that word out. And I'm not looking to the future and saying, oh, competition is going to help you. I think the basic thing should be that the Public Service

Commission should not recognize any mining of the basic rate; that, in other words, it should be a rate that those people out on the street can afford. There's many hardworking people who can't afford increases. You've heard them today. I'm not one of those, fortunately, but I speak to the idea that within our society, we must have reasonable rates for the poor people. And I don't mean under Lifeline and those special programs, I mean in general. To pull up our society with the communications industry, we should maintain the wired network.

Personally, what's going to happen in my household if the rates continue to rise on up on the wire, well, Verizon knows that there's competitors out there in the wireless. The markets are there. The golden bubbles on the floor of this mine are decreasing because most people are no longer worrying about long distance. It's not an issue when they offer thousands of minutes or whatever of long distance free under the basic plan. Free, quote, free. Right. When you pay \$40, \$50, \$60, \$70, \$100 a month, you get free minutes.

So my point is, consider that the communications industry has a gold mine. The bubbles may be breaking on the floor right now, the ones that they used to scoop up, and that's the basic rate or intrastate rates. Okay. So some of those bubbles are breaking, and they're going to lose some money. They don't have to shift it to the local market. What they can do is look at their whole income. And I'm talking

about every speck of penny that comes in: Internet, wireless. Whatever money is there, let's see the bottom line with somebody letting us all see how much they're actually making, bottom line. And then say, okay, you should be entitled to a fair profit, or let's nationalize the communications industry and take it over and let the government run it because, after all, they're working in a monopoly right now. There's no competition in the wired market.

So I urge you, I ask you, I plead with you to please turn down the rate increase as structured. If they come back with something more reasonable that spreads it out, moves it to other areas, but I ask you to protect the basic rate. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Fuchs.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Geraldine Gridley.

# GERALDINE GRIDLEY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. GRIDLEY: Commissioners, Madam Chairman, I'm Geraldine Gridley. I've lived in Tampa since 1978. I live in south Tampa. I'm retired. I want to say, first, I agree with everything Mr. Fox said, and then on this technology business, businesses invest in technology to decrease their cost, not to be kind to consumers. On -- try to -- this long afternoon I've

	92
1	forgotten what I was going to say. That the PSC is here to
2	protect the customers, not to give into the greedy phone
3	companies. I don't have Call Waiting, I don't have Caller ID,
4	I don't have a cell phone because I can't afford them. My
5	basic telephone bill I need. I've had a stroke and a heart
6	attack. I have to be able to reach my doctors in case of an
7	emergency, so I need a phone; otherwise, I would give it up.
8	I don't think the people who think they are going to
9	get lower long distance rates out of this agreement are all
10	wrong. As soon as this if this should go through, then the
11	phone companies will raise the long distance rates. They have
12	no guarantee they won't do that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Gridley.

The next witness is Ron Poole. P-O-O-L-E. MR. BECK:

# RON POOLE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. POOLE: Good afternoon.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: Good afternoon, Mr. Poole.

MR. POOLE: I appreciate the opportunity to talk I hadn't intended to until I read this morning's paper. today. I've been following the issue every day, but after reading this morning and thinking about it, I have a lot of respect for the Commission here, but I do have to make a statement here.

1 2

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To get an employee, a working person here today or a businessman like myself here today, you couldn't have made it harder if you would have held this meeting on a ship in the middle of Tampa Bay. Now, shame on you for that. I left my business at noon, at noon. I'm in Largo, Florida, the great city of Largo. I drove over here, and I fought the lunch traffic. Why you didn't hold it on the lunch hour so you could get some more people, I have no idea. You hold it at one o'clock in the afternoon so whoever is trying to get here has to go through the lunch-hour traffic. So I did that. Then you get over here and you get across the bridge, and Kennedy goes the other direction, so you've got to find your way around there. I don't live in Tampa. I love Tampa because there's an awful lot to offer here, but I don't live here, so I'm not familiar with where to park and not to park during a Friday afternoon, a business day. But I found a parking spot and I got here on time. Thank God.

However, I just made it, so I'm last to talk or one of the last people to talk, so I had to sit here for three and a half hours, which has been enlightening, by the way. So I just scold the Commission greatly for whoever came up with this one o'clock in the afternoon on a Friday on the 26th floor. You might as well held it on the roof. I mean, I just can't believe it.

I counted about 65 people in the room. If you remove

all of the people who are attorneys and lobbyists and everything else, I'll bet you're going to end up with the same 30 people, just like myself, average Joe, who tried to come down here to make some sense out of what you people -- what I've been reading in the paper and what the phone companies are trying to get.

I mentioned I'm a businessman. I have a small company over in Largo. It's a picture frame shop. And in my way of doing business -- and, you know, I'm 62 years old. There's nothing new about it. I have a lot of competition too, and I enjoy competition. They're all worthy of their business, and they work hard every day. And they try to compete with me, and I'm successful every year, but the reason I'm successful is I work at it. I don't just raise my prices because my cost goes up. I'd lose my business if I did that. What I do is I find unique ways to cut corners in my shop.

I'm a single-line business, for example. I do a lot of business, you know, and I do it on one phone. And I don't have a lot of frills on that phone. I don't have Call Waiting and all that. My customers wait. But they understand and I understand they have a minimal amount of dollar to spend on an item that's not an item that's needed, for Heaven sakes. It's not like gasoline, and it's not like a phone, it's a picture frame. But they come to my place because they know they're going to get good service, they're going to as good a product

as they can get anywhere else, and they're going to pay less for it. And I think that the phone companies ought to take a lesson, for God sakes. A 90 percent increase. I mean, if I had seen a 5 percent or a 3 percent increase request, I probably wouldn't have even noticed it, but I'm looking at 35 and 90 percent. Why didn't you ask for 100 percent? I'd imagine in the conference meeting one probably looked at the other and said, we can't ask for 100, somebody would notice that. Really, it's that simple.

So I don't think that the phone companies have presented a case to me that says that they are losing money. I haven't heard them stand up here and say, we're losing money here, and so we're trying to offset it here. We're losing money there, and we're trying to offset it there. I did hear the one gentleman say that on the phone business -- I forget the name you call it for the people who can't afford their bill.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Lifeline.

MR. POOLE: What is it?

CHAIRMAN JABER: The Lifeline service.

MR. POOLE: Lifeline service. I did hear him mention that he loses money on that. Well, I'd like to find out what other items they lose on. For God sakes, you write that off to promotion and advertising, you know.

And as far as the technology is concerned, there's

several people that come up here, and I think they are confused. They say, well, you know, I'm willing to pay all of this money because think of the technology that's taken place since Graham Bell died. I mean, get real. A lot of technology has happened in the picture framing business too. I have glass that I can put on a person's picture that from across the room and with the sun right on it, you can't even see there's a reflection. You don't even know there's glass there. Well, that's expensive glass, but I don't offer that to everybody. I don't charge it to everybody. I give it to the customer who wants it. And I think that all this technology that you have is fantastic. It's terrific. But it should be paid for by the people who want it and not by everybody just so that those few people can have it. Okay? So, I mean, I think some common sense has to be applied here.

As far as the location of the meeting, if you really wanted a lot of people to come up here and tell you what their opinions were and really get a good deal, I live at 1892 Paradise Lane. The 12th of this month is Sunday after this Sunday. Show up at my place in the front yard. I guarantee you I'll have more people than you've got here. And don't worry about where their people are going to eat lunch. I live on Paradise Lane. That ought to tell you something. I live in a super neighborhood. They will come with covered dishes just to have you there. And they won't yell and scream

at you. We'll all sit around and the phone companies will be there, and we'll say, now, why do you need this increase? And if you guys have a legitimate reason, other than, oh, this is going to make -- give us more competition, which doesn't make sense to me at all. Nobody has explained that to me yet. And I don't mind competition. Competition brings business to my place.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If somebody opened up across the street with a frame shop, they are going to bring customers there. They've got to come and check me out. So I don't mind competition. But my gosh, how this is going to bring competition is beyond me. So I don't think you made your case. Maybe you're right. it will bring competition. Maybe there is going to be a saving someplace. But, boy, you sure haven't sold me. And I haven't found anybody you've sold. The couple people here that have told about, spend the money because, boy, the technology is going to be terrific, I think they are right in that respect, but not give it to everybody, not burden everybody with it. Offer it to people who want it. It's just like a cell phone with -- that takes pictures. Well, you don't want to carry a camera and you want to do that, fine. If you can afford it, fine. Buy it. Offer it to them. But don't just sell that and give it to everybody.

I think some common sense has to take place here. So I would invite you to my place and hold a meeting at my place.

I don't know what this room cost. It would be free at my place, but I guarantee you there would be a couple hundred people. Then you would really get a discussion. You could sit around, shoot the breeze, and I think you would all walk away with exactly what -- you know, a good clear picture of what this is all about.

I'm sorry, phone companies, I don't think you made your case. I know that -- one reason that I'm a single phone businessman is to cut corners. So I'm going to get hit with that price increase, and I don't think it's fair to pass it on to my customers. I think it's wrong. So I'm here saying I wouldn't put it through, and I would reconsider allowing it and have the phone companies come back with a better story. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Poole.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Steve Klindt. I may not be pronouncing it right, K-L-I-N-D-T.

## STEVE KLINDT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. KLINDT: Thank you very much. My name is Steve Klindt, and I'm here today speaking as an individual and a consumer and a resident of Florida for the last two years. And I'm a real big fan of participatory democracy, and so I've

really enjoyed today. I think if you would put a notice you were handing out \$100 bills, everybody would have found this room, so I disagree with this previous speaker.

This is a really complicated and emotional issue, and I think people are speaking about it from their personal emotions, and I certainly am speaking about it from a personal standpoint as to what it does and will do for me. And in saying that, I have to say, I am a very satisfied Verizon customer. I've lived in New Jersey for two years, came from another state. My service is better, my rates are cheaper, and it's been much -- the company has been much more responsive than my previous service provider. But it being a complicated and emotional issue, I have to say that a lot of people go right to the bottom line. They want to know how is this going to affect them; how is it going to affect their pocketbook.

I understand that folks that are on and earning less money, what they describe as fixed incomes that have other costs, this is a big concern to them. But this may be one of the only places where we have a transparent increase in a cost in our lives. Certainly the cost of lettuce, Publix does not hold a hearing about raising their cost of lettuce. And how many of us can remember what it cost to buy gasoline or to park in a Tampa parking garage two years ago today when I moved to town? These things were not discussed. They were part of our lives that we had this type of hearing for.

I'm a big technology fan. I don't care what the technology has been since Alexander Graham Bell died. concerned about what kind of technology will we develop from this day going forward. And I think too that we have to invest 4 5 in that technology. You can't separate out the money that's 6 paid for, the phone service that goes to the new picture cell 7 phone, and what goes to providing quicker 911 response. So you 8 need to spread this cost out over everyone. I believe that the 9 phone service that we receive is of the highest quality in the 10 world at probably the cheapest price. I've got nothing to back

that up with other than again my gut emotion.

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Phone service is the only utility that I use in this town that has not increased its rate in two years, the two years that I've been here. So everything else that I get from cable TV to the cost of the newspaper has increased. And this is the only hearing that I remember being able to come to to talk about that. From what I've read and studied, the phone increase from the last couple of decades has barely kept up with what I would consider either inflation or the cost of living. I just haven't seen that happen. People are concerned about how is this going to benefit them; how is it going to hit them; how is it going to hit in the pocketbook.

On the way in today, I saw one of the people who I later saw at this meeting who later spoke. They were extinguishing their cigarettes as they came in. If this is a hardship on them, as they claim it to be, I would encourage them to quit smoking for two days, and that will pay for a month and a half of the increase that they are likely to experience.

Thank you for this opportunity. If the increase goes through and we don't get the services, I hope there will be another chance for us to come here and say, I remember speaking in favor of that, and you didn't deliver, and so I'm going to hold the feet to the fire. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Klindt, Mr. Twomey has a question for you.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

# BY MR. TWOMEY:

Q Mr. Klindt, are you a business man?

A I understand that Verizon provides telephone and communication services in Florida, and that's their prime business, whereas AARP's prime business is being an insurance broker.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Klindt, I do need you to answer the questions. And Mr. Twomey ask questions. But I do need you to answer them.

MR. TWOMEY: That was a question, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Twomey, you interrupted me. Mr. Klindt, Mr. Twomey does have an opportunity to ask questions, and I'm going to allow them, but I need you to

lanswer them.

MR. KLINDT: Okay. Do I have to answer them?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Well, as a consumer, I would hope that you want us to fill the record. You don't have to answer them --

MR. KLINDT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JABER: -- but let me tell you, the testimony we receive from consumers is going to be evaluated. And whether you are pro the company's petition or not, we need to be able to evaluate it. So you can refuse to answer, but I would ask that you not because it all goes into our record. And I need to read that record, and I need to make an informed decision.

MR. KLINDT: Okay. That's fair. I do think that it is a technique to keep people from coming up here and feeling comfortable in a testimony because they are going to be asked a lot of questions that they didn't come here to ask -- to answer. And so I just -- you know, having said that, fire away.

### BY MR. TWOMEY:

- Q I wanted to know if you were a businessman, and if you have multiphone --
  - A No. I'm not.
- Q And lastly, is it your testimony that you're interested in paying -- are you a Verizon customer or Sprint?

1	A I'm a Verizon customer.
2	Q And you're interested in paying \$4.61 more per month
3	in anticipation of receiving better technology?
4	A I think that's two questions. Yes, I am willing to
5	pay \$4.61 additional a month, period. I anticipate that
6	whether or not this goes through that Verizon will continue to
7	provide better technological services. I think that if they
8	have more money to do that and if it comes from this rate
9	increase, then that I do expect better technology, yes.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Klindt.
12	MR. BECK: The next witness is Bill Roberts. Is Bill
13	Roberts here?
14	Renee Benton.
15	RENEE BENTON
16	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
17	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
18	DIRECT STATEMENT
19	MS. BENTON: Thank you, Commissioners, for allowing
20	me to come forward and speak what I feel is important as a
21	consumer. I'm a Verizon customer right here in Tampa. I
22	believe that over the long term we have to consider what kind
23	of benefits we'll have by allowing rate restructuring. From my

accepting that it is a rate restructuring, and as such I think

perspective, it doesn't appear to be a rate increase. I'm

24

25

that all businesses have the right, and I expect that periodically things will be restructured. But if over the long term we have the kind of competition within the residential market that we now have in the long distance market. I think that would certainly be a benefit to me as well as to my mother who is 65 years old who has a brother in New York, a brother in Alabama, and a son in Georgia that she likes to call frequently.

I remember the day when calling long distance used to be something special. When we grew up in New York, you'd get a long distance phone call, it was a big deal. Even when I was in high school or in college, you made a long distance call, you expected to pay a lot of money. I believe that the competition in the market has increased such that that has been a good thing. If we get that same kind of thing over time in the residential market, I think that too would be a benefit. So I'm here to speak in favor of that rate increase.

The other thing is that I have found Verizon to be a very good corporate citizen. So I'm hoping that over time again we'll see that kind of competition; that Verizon will continue to do the kinds of things like continue the Lifeline service and the kind of community things that they have been involved with for many years now.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Benton, who are some of the independent providers that are in your service territory for

residential?

MS. BENTON: I'm not even very familiar with the independent ones because for the most part there is Verizon, and I know there was some other very, very small company that was providing or made available telephone service, but it's such a small one and the rates were not really very competitive.

CHAIRMAN JABER: So you're really looking at it from a benefit to competition value added to technology that will be enhanced. You haven't really looked at it as a competitive framework for other providers coming into your territory?

MS. BENTON: More so for myself to have choices.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And products or --

MS. BENTON: And products, exactly.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. TWOMEY:

Q Ms. Benton, you mentioned the toll calling practices of your family like your mother. Are you aware that the toll reductions mandated by this law are just for in-state toll?

A I don't know the particulars of it, but if over time again we still realize a reduction such that we can have again choices -- again, my telephone, my long distance rates now almost drive me to the point of saying I too may just consider having only a cell phone. So if again we get over time those

kind of same benefits within the residential market, I'd like to see that.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Benton.

MS. BENTON: Thank you.

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is David Falwell.

### DAVID FALWELL

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. FALWELL: Thank you for being here. I too came from across the Bay to be here. I left my business and my dog at noon. And actually, I've taken out about three pages of my notes because some of the other speakers who are not in favor of this rate voiced that for me. I'm not an AARP member, but I'm very familiar with them, and I agree with their position as do many of my other middle-aged friends.

I don't see how this rate hike can be justified. There's nearly a monopoly in service already, and the corporations are writing their own laws for us. My telephone service will neither improve nor will it be more affordable and nor will the increases, if they are allowed, will the profits guarantee any new technology. I have a feeling new technology, the funds come from other sources. And I don't see how any way in today's global corporate atmosphere that a rate hike would

even remotely stimulate any kind of local competition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One other factor in this rate hike proposal is in the amounts that our bills will increase. People have mentioned the \$12.10 a month current local service. Mine is also, but when you add up the regulated services, taxes and surcharges, that's another \$11.45, so basically my real monthly service fee is already \$23.55. If they raise the basic service fee, then the regulated fees will also increase a similar percentage.

One issue that -- on some of those regulated services, years ago I contacted -- well, as many phone numbers I had to call and keep getting other phone numbers, but considering the SVs provider number portability fee, and I was told by a Congressman that to change that or do away with that it would literally take an act of Congress. The phone companies had lobbied our politicians to put that in effect. And they explained to me that it was in case another small company came in the area and I wanted to continue using my same phone number that at that time I could. In the meantime, all of us are paying this fee totalling millions of dollars and who knows where the money goes. And over the years, the only other small company to come into the area was when Verizon bought out my GTE service. And actually, I did get to keep my telephone number. But I don't see where -- the PSC does not have to approve this raise hike, and I don't think they should. Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Falwell. 2 MR. FALWELL: Oh, I did have kind of some concerns or 3 questions. 4 CHAIRMAN JABER: Go ahead. 5 MR. FALWELL: I wondered if some of these 6 corporations are also raising the rates in the other states 7 that they service. 8 CHAIRMAN JABER: Let me let Mr. Ciamporcero address 9 that for his company, and then maybe I can give you a flavor 10 for what's happening around the country and other areas. 11 MR. CIAMPORCERO: In most states where we operate, 12 this rebalancing or restructuring has already been 13 accomplished. So generally you have local rates more in the 14 \$20 range than here we have 12 going to, say, 15 and a half. But it's -- Florida is very on the very low end of the rates. 15 16 And after we're finished with this, if we're permitted to do 17 it. it will be around the middle. 18 CHAIRMAN JABER: It's important to emphasize that the 19 PSC has not made a decision yet. This is only the third public 20 hearing in a total of 14. We got the revised petitions. I 21 think yesterday was -- the Verizon petition was refiled 22 yesterday, and BellSouth filed theirs Tuesday and I think Sprint Wednesday. So we're taking a look at it all. 23

But what is different about Florida is the Legislature just this last session gave the PSC jurisdiction

24

25

back to take a look at what those access charges are, what the 1 2 local company charges to the long distance company, and that's 3 something new that we are going to review and that's unique to 4 our state. Other states have had that authority at the PSC. So I think the answer to your question is other states have 5 6 dealt with it because they legally could. We have not been 7 able to until this year. MR. FALWELL: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN JABER: And I appreciate your testimony. 10 MR. FALWELL: One other thing, would this affect any of the government municipality phone lines, you know, all the 11 numbers that are in the blue pages for the public to be able to 12 13 call and contact anybody? 14 CHAIRMAN JABER: No. Your government services shouldn't be affected by that. 15 16 Mr. Ciamporcero, do you have anything to add? MR. CIAMPORCERO: It just depends on how the 17 18 government agency buys its service. If it's a big contract. these particular changes wouldn't affect it at all. So there 19 20 may be small government offices that buy normal business lines, 21 in which case they would be affected, a little bit. 22 MR. FALWELL: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. 24 Commissioner Deason, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I guess maybe a comment

25

and maybe I can be corrected, but while it may not affect local governments, their basic rates, the fact that there could be a reduction in intrastate toll and to the extent that government agency incurs calls intrastate, it would have an affect, would it not?

MR. CIAMPORCERO: That's absolutely right. I was only thinking of the one side of the adjustment.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Al Estes.

### AL ESTES

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. ESTES: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Good afternoon, Mr. Estes.

MR. ESTES: I would like to thank this Commission for their situation that they did Tuesday in stopping this rate increase that these phone companies were trying to pass, even though it was on a technical part of the law, and now we're in another facet here where the people are against this and it's not needed. Has our service improved with these phone companies? I don't think so. As a matter of fact, no.

And as someone mentioned before, have these companies shown that they've taken any cost-cutting measures or any of that sort of thing? And with the added knowledge that we have in our world today, why doesn't some of these things replace

some of the costs that you have? And they have also mentioned that you've got DSL lines and all of these sort of things, where is the money -- where does it show in your financial statement or anything where that money is being used as income also?

These proposals were drawn up by the phone companies themselves which would be like letting the wolf in the hen house, so to speak. And just today, I went to my house, and I see -- I got a notice just today. I just opened it up. Sprint is filing theirs now since the Commission blocked them. It's right here. Brand new. I haven't even had a chance to look at it. And I'm a -- I don't have a Master's degree or any of these type of situations, so I have an accountant do my business. I have about 15 lines that I pay for, about 15 of them. And, of course, that's counting three that I have in my house. And I do yellow pages. I've done yellow pages.

I can remember going to a Commission meeting like this some 35 years ago in St. Petersburg. And the main argument at that time was the telephone company was charging the people in St. Petersburg 25 cents to make a call to Clearwater. And if anyone knows this region at all, Clearwater is just a hop, skip, and a jump north. That was defeated. And I'm hoping that I bring good luck to these people out here and that you folks don't approve this because you have the power. Even though the Governor and the Legislature didn't want to

really get into it this time and they fluffed it off, so to speak, on this Commission, you all don't have to pass this. You don't have to pass it at all. It says so right here, that Governor Jeb Bush or the Legislature said that the PSC does not have to raise these rates. It's up to your discretion to do that. And I got that out of the St. Petersburg Times. So that's my source of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I haven't said what kind of business I'm in. but I don't mind. I'm in the bail bond business. And I do a lot of -- of course, I do my business at the jails. So anyone that's incarcerated in that jail that wants to call out of there, they have to call collect, and that fee for me is \$2.25 for someone to call out of that jail. Needless to say, they are in there and they need help, and a lot of people have blocks on their lines and all that sort of thing, but I think that's terrible when you have the jail overcrowding and everything that you have. They shouldn't have to pay that kind of a fee to do business on the outside to get out where they can be productive back in society again until their case is over with. But that's what's happening. It's happening in Clearwater, it's happening in Tampa, and it's catching on like a disease in the rest of the state. So that could be something to look at.

You call New Port Richey from St. Petersburg right now and you have to dial 1-727 and the person's phone number in

1	New Port Richey, and it's a long distance call, but it's still
2	the same area code. I've never been able to figure that out.
3	Why, if someone is in the same area code you are, you have to
4	pay a fee for that. If you dial Tampa, then it's expected
5	because it's 813. I have an office in Tampa also. So it's
6	just it just doesn't seem fair. And I don't think as a
7	matter of fact, I know, in my opinion, and that's what I'm
8	voicing now, is that the phone companies have not presented a
9	case that's good enough to raise these rates on people. They
10	just haven't done it. So I'll wind my deal up.
11	But I drove over here like some of these other peopl
12	and the traffic is atrocious, 26 floors up. I commandeered my
13	son and said, take me down there and drop me off because I
	11

But I drove over here like some of these other people and the traffic is atrocious, 26 floors up. I commandeered my son and said, take me down there and drop me off because I wasn't going to mess with any parking situation, and that's what he did. So now, when I leave here, I've got to find a way to get back to my office, but I think it was worth it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir, for being here.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Dorothy Royal,

R-O-Y-A-L.

\_

# DOROTHY ROYAL

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. ROYAL: Good afternoon. My name is Dorothy

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

3

4

5

6

7

Royal. Can you hear me? And I thank you, Honorable ladies and

gentlemen, for letting me be here.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you.

MS. ROYAL: I'm not in favor of the increase, and

that you are going to have a meeting in St. Petersburg, and I

convenient for the people in the community. I live in south

St. Pete and -- well, wherever you are in St. Pete, you may

want to go in two or three different areas, but everybody can't

be able to afford it when I leave here. I've been here since

understand that you've already made a decision as to where it

convenient for people who are not real mobile can get to. And

will be. Well, hopefully it will be someplace that will be

the time is very important. One o'clock in the day on a

weekday is good for -- I'm retired so it's good for me and

probably a lot of other people, but there are a lot of people

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

about 12:30, quarter to 1:00. But that's one of the things

that I wanted you to hopefully consider when you -- I

Now, my car is parked in the garage. Hopefully I'll

would just like to ask you to pick a place, a time that is

drive over here -- or drive to someplace.

everyone who's practically been up here has already voiced

that, so I'm not going to say it again. There are a few things

I would like to say, however. I live in St. Petersburg, and I

decided I was coming over here. And before I came, I found out

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 who work that would like to be there also.

A few things I still would like to say. I think a gentleman before me discussed a portability fee. I never did understand that because I feel like if you want to keep the phone, you are the person who should have pay for it. I shouldn't be paying for you to keep a number because, you know, I would like to keep mine too, and I probably would be willing to pay for it. But why is everybody paying for this? This never made sense. In the last few months since you've been talking about this increase, at first I looked at it, and I said, they have got to be kidding. Do they expect us to believe that they are really going to decrease something after getting an increase over here? And then after listening today, some people really think we believe that but most know that there's not going to be any advantage to those of us who have to pay the bill each month.

I live on a fixed income also. And I have some extras on my phone, and it may come to the point, well, I'll use a wireless. I like having my wired phone. You know, wireless phones work and sometimes they don't work, so I like that stability. But if it's going to cost me a good percentage of my income each month, then I may have to go. In fact, I was thinking about going back to smoke signals. It seems like that would probably be the better way. You know, lights and everything else, I said kerosene lamps. It's like everything in my life is going up. I get a few cents raise each year and

\_\_\_

then along comes this that goes up. So I don't realize it. I'm not getting it. You know, I'm running in place and so are a lot of other people. In fact, I know there are a lot worse off than I am. And think about those people who can't afford to buy food and medicines. You know, that's really, really sad. And a local phone service is necessary; long distance is not. You can buy cards, you can do a lot of things, you know, for long distance, but most people need local service. So please keep it affordable. I'd appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Royal. Let me answer a couple of your questions. Let me start with your question on the number portability charge. You're absolutely right, some of these charges get to be confusing. A lot of them are federally imposed or relate to local and state taxes. Because of that, we came up with, the PSC came up with a very concise explanation of a lot of those charges. And I'd encourage you to pick one of these up -- for those in the audience, you can do the same -- "Navigating The Phone Bill."

But basically the number portability charge, the FCC allowed the companies to collect, recognizing that the ability to allow a customer to take that phone number requires that the infrastructure and facilities always be available, and that infrastructure has a cost, that there's always that cost to make that service.

MS. ROYAL: How many times do we have to pay for it?

1	What I'm saying is, once they set the infrastructure, do they
2	have to continually redo something, or is it once it's there,
3	it's there?
4	CHAIRMAN JABER: It's both. The answer to your
5	question is both. Once the infrastructure is in place and the
6	ability to do it, that remains, but the technology that's
7	associated with allowing the number to be carried
8	MS. ROYAL: So every month 72 cents from all the
9	millions of customers has got to be paid to maintain that?
10	CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes, ma'am.
11	MS. ROYAL: That doesn't make a lot of mathematical
12	sense to me.
13	CHAIRMAN JABER: The second question
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry, but there's a period
15	of time when that charge will disappear; is that correct?
16	CHAIRMAN JABER: Do you recall what that is off the
17	top of your for Verizon, Mr. Ciamporcero.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
19	MS. ROYAL: If that goes down, maybe I'll agree with
20	the increase. No, not really.
21	CHAIRMAN JABER: I need Mr. Ciamporcero to answer
22	that for you because he has a microphone, and I'd remind people
23	in the audience again to not speak without a microphone.
24	MR. CIAMPORCERO: Can I check with my person in the
25	audience?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes. It's our understanding that it's five years. Now, that's going to vary company to company because I don't know when they implemented it. It is an FCC charge, but we'll let Mr. Ciamporcero --

MR. CIAMPORCERO: I believe five years is the sunset.

MS. ROYAL: And when did we start? When it did it begin? It's been about three years; right?

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Excuse me, one second.

CHAIRMAN JABER: While they are doing that,

Ms. Royal, let me answer your second question related to St. Petersburg. It is absolutely an evening hearing. We were still in the process of looking for locations. That's why it wasn't announced until yesterday, the day before. And it is on October 23rd. It will be at 6:00 p.m. and it's at the City Council Chambers. And the way we do that is we look for governmental facilities for the convenience of the consumers. We try to pick days and times that we know are going to be convenient. Fridays we really do target the morning or the afternoon, obviously, for the convenience of the consumers. It is a Friday, we recognize that. You know, it's one of those things if you pick a time, it will be inconvenient for some, more convenient for others. But I certainly appreciate your comments and your feedback on the location.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Madam Chairman, I understand that it expires in March of 2004 for Verizon customers.

Ιt

MS. ROYAL: Oh, I have something to look forward to. 1 2 Thank you very much. Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Royal. 4 MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Martin 5 Alther. Is Martin Alther here? 6 Jim Turtle. 7 JIM TURTLE 8 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 DIRECT STATEMENT 10 COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Jim? 11 MR. TURTLE: Turtle, just like the kind that crawl 12 13 and snap. I'm president of the TAT Civic Association. TAT stands for Tahitian Homes, Aloha Gardens, and Tiki Village. 14 15 consists of 2.287 homes. Residents are diverse in incomes. ranging from financially stable to others that live their lives 16 in guiet desperation. They don't have enough money to make it 17 every month. They need not only a phone for emergency 18 911 service but also to communicate with their children, their 19 20 parents and friends and doctors, et cetera. Most of our 21 residents cannot afford rate increases requested by these 22 providers.

Seniors are splitting their drug tablets. We've heard that over and over again. Young people are buying their clothes at Goodwill instead of Sears because they can't afford

23

24

25

it. We have a lot of young families in our area. Some of them are waitresses, cooks, nursing aides, lawn care people and that group. Their phones are necessary, not a luxury. The percentage that the phone company is asking for is way too high. When we get that kind of increase in our salary, come and ask us for more money. We'll be glad to give you a 40 percent raise when we get a 40 percent increase in our income. And I think that's fair.

Where I live in Holiday, which is part of Pasco, we have three area codes in one county. I call my friends just a few miles away, that's a long distance call, 25 cents a call. I call the mall, which is almost within walking distance to me, another 25 cents. Our 25 cents adds up to \$6 to \$12 a month. It depends on how many times my wife has to call or I have to call being president of the club. So we have a lot of additional costs on there, and yet that's not providing a service. In yesteryear, without that technology, we could call way across the area and not have to pay the 25 cents that we have just in the recent few years. So I think the denial of the rate increase would be advisable. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Turtle.

MR. BECK: The next witness is Will Perry.

WILL PERRY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. PERRY: Madam Chairperson, ladies and gentlemen on the Commission, ladies and gentlemen in the audience, my name is Wilford Perry. I reside at 2574 Frisco Drive, Clearwater, Florida. I spent the better part of an hour getting over here, and I do thank you for giving me the

opportunity to speak to you today.

As I have followed this saga through the media for the last several months, I was reminded of senator -- the late Senator Everette Dirkson when he was commenting on one of Lyndon Johnson's budgets. In one of the statements he made was, "A billion here, a billion there, pretty soon you're talking about real money." And I think that's kind of what we're dealing with here with the phone company with the 35 percent to 90 percent request for basic phone rate increases.

I'd like to ask the Commission a question. You've been asking about competition that we might have been solicited for or by here in this area. How many landline local service competitors have gone into territories like Verizon here in the state of Florida over the last ten years? And I'm talking about competition, I'm not talking about takeovers.

CHAIRMAN JABER: We have -- statewide I can tell you that we have over 400 small companies that have received certificates from the PSC to operate in the state of Florida.

<u>۔</u>

Now, that's statewide. How many of them have chosen to operate in the Verizon territory, I can't answer that for you today. I'd be glad though to have someone give you a list later on.

MR. PERRY: Well, perhaps the Verizon representative can answer that question. I'm sure he's up on this sort of thing.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: I can't tell you exactly, but there are, I think, at least 40 or 50. None of them, however, go into the neighborhoods. There are very few of them at this point that go into the residential neighborhoods, which is really what this is all about.

MR. PERRY: Yes, it is. Right. And I think that speaks volumes because it is ludicrous to expect anybody to come in and duplicate the infrastructure that is now in place and has been in place for a goodly number of years. So that argument should be tossed out the window, that it will enhance competition for we who are the residential customers. All this is a money grab by Verizon and the other phone companies.

One of the things that I think you should be particularly careful about is the possibility for the law of unintended consequences to enter in here. If Verizon and BellSouth, some of the other biggies in the phone industry, the telecommunications industry get their way with this, what's to keep Florida Progress from coming to you and saying, hey, we need a 20 percent increase in our rate to our residential

customers so that we can foster some competition out there? I mean, isn't that the next logical step? And for every other industry that you recommend -- or that you oversee here? That is one of the real possibilities that I think you should consider and consider carefully because remember the old adage, "What's sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander."

Now, one last question. We keep hearing so much about how this is supposed to foster competition. I'd like somebody to give me an educated guess on when I could expect this so-called competition to arrive in my neighborhood given the statement made by the Verizon representative a few minutes ago.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: And you live in again Clearwater? MR. PERRY: Yes. I do.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: I think that that is covered by Nology (phonetic), the company that just bought the old cable system that was there, the overbuilt cable system. And I think their intention is to start -- and they said, in light of the legislation, their intention is to start offering residential service I think in --

MR. PERRY: Phone service?

MR. CIAMPORCERO: Yes, phone, Internet, and cable together in 2004. I also believe that Brighthouse has talked about, and partly in light of this, starting to offer voice over Internet protocol, that is, telephone service over their

Three ries connection, I think as early as next year.
MR. PERRY: What about for those folks who don't have
computers?
MR. CIAMPORCERO: There are also 20 or 30 other
companies that I think are on the edge of going out beyond
downtown St. Petersburg and the suburbs of St. Petersburg and
will get, I hope, very quickly into the neighborhoods.
MR. PERRY: That will be interesting. I've been a
resident of Clearwater, Florida, now for 25 years, and I have
seen no meaningful competition to first GTE and now Verizon in
my 25 years of residence here. And should I be lucky enough to
live for another 25 years, I seriously doubt that we will see
any competition any further in this regard. I thank you for
taking my comments and appreciate your being here today.
CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir.
MR. BECK: The next witness is Ralph Madison. Is
Ralph Madison here?
Mike Meidel, M-E-I-D-E-L.
MIKE MEIDEL
was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
DIRECT STATEMENT
MR. MEIDEL: Good afternoon. I do want to thank you
for the opportunity to speak to you this morning. In listening
to a previous speaker about the picture frame analogy, I think

they've got a little bit. It's not a perfect analogy, but it might help some people understand the competition situation here.

Imagine a world in which there was only one frame shop in all of Tampa Bay and the government set the prices for every frame they offer; not only that, the government wants to make sure everybody can afford a picture frame. And so they say that for a simple black frame with no mat and no glass, you're going to get a good cost on a picture frame. And it turns out that the cost for the labor and materials for that frame is less than it costs for the guy to put it together, but the government allows him to charge more to the other customers that come into the shop that want fancy frames and more mats and glass and everything else.

And so that all works fine for a while, but then the rules change, and we allow other frames shops to open in the Tampa Bay. But the new frame shops don't have to offer those simple little frames anymore, and they don't have to sell their product at a loss. They can pick the customers they want to serve. And they'll serve the ones with the fancy frames, and they offer even better frames and more options to this new -- to the high-end customers because they can afford to do that. They're not taking a loss on the little things. And that's kind of the world we've got now, and we're trying to switch from one world to another and in order to -- and to try and

create competition. And a lot of the previous speakers did talk about the fact we don't have any competition in Tampa Bay now. That's the problem. That why we're here. We're trying to develop some competition to be able to have some choices.

I've looked at the business world and looked at all the options and choices they have for their phone service, for Internet, for any kind of communications. There are a myriad of companies out there competing for your dollars. They're mailing you and e-mailing you and trying to contact you every day with a new offer, a new package, a way to save money. We don't see that in a new residential market, and we don't see it because there's that little requirement that they're going to lose money if you offer it.

And this little bit of a rate increase -- now, I do agree, another caveat here is that we've got to take care of the fixed income, low-end economy people. I mean, there is no doubt about that. The Commission knows that; the Legislature knows that. I can't imagine either body allowing people on low income to be hurt by this, especially for emergency service. But for the rest of the world, we're limited now to a black picture frame with no glass and no mat. And we aren't going to have any other company going to come in here and offer us anything else. And until we can fix that problem, we're really hobnailed here, and it's just not anyway we can operate.

And I fear for the future of this area competitively

and for the ability to attract people who -- you know, creative young people who like to have that Internet service, have all those new high tech options. And in order to bring those people into this area, get them interested in living here so that we can have quality jobs and employers, we can have good services provided to the fixed income residents, somebody has got to be around to provide services to everybody else. And that's what we're looking here. Just look at the broader market, the people that want some choices for the first time in their lives. And they look at the choices businesses have and they come back and say, hey, you know, we want to have the same options at the residential level.

And if you look at what you've asked us to address today, the extent of competition in the local area, practically nothing. The idea of this small rate increase does everything that -- those four items that you've asked for. It makes it more attractive for other companies to come into the area. It makes it -- induces new market entry, new companies to come to the area. It is revenue neutral by definition. It's up to you to make sure it stays revenue neutral. And then as far as making parity between intrastate and interstate long distance, again that's by definition what we're trying to do here. So all of those things are met in the legislation. I would just urge you to go ahead and proceed with this and give the world some choices beyond the business world or everyday consumer.

So thank you very much. 1 2 CROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. TWOMEY: 4 Mr. Meidel, are you a phone company employee or 0 5 married to one or --6 No. -- a member of the Chamber of Commerce? 7 I am a president of the Clearwater Regional Chamber 8 Α 9 of Commerce, but I do not speak on behalf of that today because 10 our board has not made a stand on this issue. I'm entirely 11 here as a private resident. 12 MR. TWOMEY: Thank you. 13 MR. MEIDEL: Thank you. 14 MR. BECK: I'd like to ask just one more time for some of the people who didn't show up earlier. Gerrit Conover, 15 16 Martin Altner, or Ralph Madison. MR. ALTNER: I had to go out and feed the meter. 17 18 MR. BECK: Okay. So come on up. 19 MARTIN ALTNER 20 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 21 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 22 DIRECT STATEMENT 23 Hi. I also came today -- Martin Altner MR. ALTNER: 24 of Safety Harbor, Florida -- with no intention to speak, but I 25 have been following the situation in the newspapers,

specifically in the St. Pete Times. And I appreciate their reporting. I do want to -- I guess the Chair -- we lost the Chair today. Okay. No, I'm just noting someone not here. Sorry I had to leave and come back, but again this location --

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Excuse me, sir. Would you spell your last name, please.

MR. ALTNER: A-L-T-N-E-R. The gentleman who made the point about the picture frame also made the point about this meeting site, this area and this time and this day. And I've been out four times feeding the meters. There's also no parking here in the area. And I'm sure that has dissuaded anybody of moderate to low income basically from being here, outside of the few that have come. So I'm sure the Commission intends to have hearings that are available to all, and I'm glad to hear there's going to be another hearing in St. Petersburg, and I hope it will be at a better time and location for people to be able to come.

At the same point, I've read some information in the St. Pete Times, and I don't want to credit myself with this information, but my understanding is, is that we're supposed to be making this decision -- or actually, you, of course, are supposed to be making this decision based on the certain grounds that are specifically spelled out in the law that was passed by the Legislature. And also from what I understand, and I'm hearing it today, I'm hearing a lot of supposition, but

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

the grounds simply have not been proven. And I don't quite understand how we could even proceed to consider this without these grounds proven.

I understand one point is that the law states the customers must benefit from a rate hike, but there is absolutely no proof of this. In fact, many customers, as other people have stated, actually will be hurt. The only time a customer breaks even under this rate hike is if he or she makes a lot of in-state long distance calls using a home telephone. Those who use cell phones, those that don't make long distance calls, or those who mostly call out of state get nothing. So I'm lost here on that, number one.

I'm hearing that local service, according to Verizon and the other suppliers, is some kind of money loser, but mirroring the gentleman who mentioned creative accounting but wasn't specific, I do understand that besides the fact that we don't actually get to see all of their financials, they don't include in the financials in regard to residential customer service the money that they make off customers from Caller ID, Call Waiting or all of the other services that they bundle. So they're leaving out a rather large part these days, from what I understand, of how they make money on residential customers and that, frankly, is distorted. So their figures are distorted.

The promise of lower in-state long distance rates. I understand that there's no guarantee. Please correct me if I'm wrong. Nothing in the law prevents in-state long distance
companies from giving, let's say, per the newspaper, 99 percent
of the rate break to business customers while leaving the
residential customers with nothing. Is that information

incorrect? I guess not.

The rate hike will not necessarily increase local competition. I mean, I'm listening to people. I've heard this before, and I think a lot of us have been hearing it, especially in the past four years, competition being the answer to everything. And I like competition. I also am a small businessman, and I think competition generally works. But there is no guarantee again of any competition.

We're hearing even from the gentleman from Verizon now vaguely just now in answer to prior questions there's a company in Clearwater that he thinks is maybe -- he's heard that -- well, how about, you know, getting some letters of intent here from 10 or 12 or 15 companies that are going to say, we're going to come in, and if you guys raise these rates, absolutely, we'll see profitability here? We'll come in and we'll give competition. I haven't heard that from one company. I haven't read it from one company. So what is this really all about?

The only concrete thing that I am hearing here -- and again, I just came at this point from the point of view of being a simple businessman consumer who, yes, like others, is

being hit again and again lately over the head with insurance increases and electricity increases and increases from everybody, but just try to keep an eye on this. And also having some sympathy -- yes, my business happens to be apartment buildings in lower, middle income in working class areas, and I share at times the reality of what people in the lower income brackets deal with, not only the elderly. God bless them. But there is plenty of working people working two and three jobs right now trying to survive.

I don't know if again some of the people who testified who have another point of view realize what's going on out there economically for a lot of people these days and who cannot afford these increases in phone services. And again, of course the elderly, of course those who are chronically in need. But we also happen to be in a bit of a nonrecession recession for people who again are on the lower income brackets. And I don't believe that they can easily absorb these kinds of increases that again are based on promises of what? Things that aren't going to benefit them and other things that may or may not happen.

In a couple of years from now, you're hoping, again assuming you pass it, and I hope that will not be the case, that we'll all forget about it. The rates will be in place. We won't have gotten competition in Clearwater. We won't see a big lowering of our long distance situation. Even Lifeline,

the gentleman from AARP mentioning within two years they will be free to raise those rates. So we're giving them kudos for providing a service that should be provided to those who are in need with a \$13 discount. If I understood from some of the older people here, the minimum that you pay is \$27. So they're still paying \$14 a month. Now we'll add the increases in two years, and these poor people won't be able to afford the Lifeline program. Again, are there any guarantees that those rates will be held, that those increases will be held to certain levels? I don't believe so. I'm sure the gentleman from Verizon would be jumping up and telling me I'm incorrect if I was incorrect.

I just -- I guess like others, I'm looking at this and I see a pig in a poke. And I see the little guy, yeah, the little guy, and, boy, is that what's been going on lately. And I'm not a member of either political party. I'm just a guy who tries to keep an eye on what's going on. We live in a great country. And lately it's just the little guy who winds up with the increased bill for everything, the increased bill for everything. And this is just another case of that from what I see with a bunch of promises that if they don't happen -- again, if you are going to make promises to the public -- and I don't mean to go on about this -- and this is to Verizon and any other big corporation, put it in writing. You put that bill in to the Legislature, from what I understand. Phone

companies, I understand, wrote that bill. That's been reported again and again in the newspapers for the past year, and no one has gotten up and said, no, you're wrong; no, you're wrong St. Pete Times; no, you're wrong, Orlando Sentinel. We'll prove to you that that wasn't what was happening. That's what happened. These guys wrote the bill. You guys know that. They wrote the bill, and somehow there's no guarantees for any one of these things in the bill. Well, big surprise. Big surprise.

Finally, just a couple of real quickies. I'm sorry to go on and on. I also question, as the gentleman from AARP did -- that I'm a member of AARP. I'm older than I look, and I thank you for your representation here on the part of all of us. I also question some of the people who came up, their motives for speaking in favor of this or at least their real knowledge of the issue in any way, shape, or form. It was interesting that they are going to save money, but they can't reply how they are going to save money or how much they are going to save. So again, what are they basing their testimony on? Although, of course, in a democracy they are more than entitled to their opinion.

But I would like to say that my understanding of technology in this world, forgetting poor Alexander Graham Bell, is that it lowers costs. I don't pay the same amount of money for a computer today. I don't pay the same amount of

money for a phone, cell phone. I don't pay the same amount of money for my calculator. Lord, when I bought my first one back in '70s, it cost me \$180. What do they cost now, a dollar in the stores? I mean, the bottom line here is we're supposed to get lower costs to consumers and, yes, more competition from increased technology. And here we're being told that somehow or other because they're going to make more money, we're going to get even better technology. Well, guess what? Verizon doesn't even make the technology, folks. It's made by Nokia and Ericsson and Motorola. What should we be doing for them? Should they be coming in and asking for a consumer tax, which they spend money on their own products to make money for their companies and their shareholders? Well, God bless them. That's the American way.

We as consumers do not have to subsidize that.

Verizon is in business with these people. And there's nothing to say. We have no idea what their profit margins are in relation to the Motorola phones that they buy. I just don't see how that's relevant. I don't see why we are here to subsidize the technological increases that should be lowering consumer costs as they do in just about -- I think in literally every other technological consumer industry.

Finally, I just want to reiterate that the initial gentleman and ladies who spoke pointing out that this rate increase is then taxed must also be emphasized. We're taxed to

death on our phones. And very frankly, phones to me -- I mean, there's a reason -- another gentleman came up and said, well, how would you feel if there was controls on your lettuce costs? There's a reason that the progressive movement in this country in the early twentieth century under a Republican president Teddy Roosevelt established things like PSCs, FTCs, and FCCs. That was to protect consumers on essential situations and have government get involved to create fairness where there had been none. And I'm sure that you all have some knowledge of that period of history. The reason that you exist as a body is because phone service, yes, is one of those pretty much these days essential services. Absolutely. And you have a full right not only to regulate it, unlike lettuce or whatever else the gentleman was referring to, but you are doing it in the interest of the people to make sure that we get the right service for the right price.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, Verizon, you are in a unique business. You chose to be in it. If you don't want to be in it, sell your interest to somebody else and go into the hotel business. That's the bottom line, folks. So as much as I don't want to stand here refuting prior speakers, I admit that I felt the need to do so. And I hope you understand my point. I'll look forward to your decision.

And I will point out just one last thing that I understand that again one very important part of this bill was

Governor Bush, all the legislators who support it swearing up and down that the bill did not require you to raise the rates. It was your decision. Exercise your judgment and don't raise the rates. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir.

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Stuart Rogel, R-O-G-E-L.

#### STUART ROGEL

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. ROGEL: Thank you very much. I want to share one thing that perhaps hasn't been covered too much today and that is that telecommunications is a very important piece of this economy in the Tampa Bay region, and it is a very important deciding factor in where businesses locate and how businesses locate. Quality of service and the ability to continue to invest into the telecommunications structure and provide the needs and services of residents and businesses in the community alike is very, very important. Having the kind of robust technology, having the kind of robust telecommunications that we have been able to have in the Tampa Bay area has benefited us in our economy and our economic development. So it's important to make sure that we find ways to provide investments back into infrastructure and in the telecommunications in our

community.

If indeed this -- if this petition does provide that kind of opportunity to provide the investment back into the telecommunications structure, I believe that's a very important piece of what we need in our community to continue to grow. And I might tell you that Verizon has been a good corporate citizen. It has created a number of jobs in the Tampa Bay region, and it has been a company that has been involved in the community in a variety of different ways through their employees and through their resources. And so it is, I think, very important to continue to make sure that we have competition, we have investment back in, and that we have companies that invest back into the telecommunications of your region. Thank you very much.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. TWOMEY:

- Q Let me ask you the same question I asked Mr. Meidel, if I may. Are you a phone company employee, married to one --
  - A No, sir, I'm not.
- Q -- a Chamber member or a large business representative?

A I'm not a Chamber member. Tampa Bay Partnership is my employee (sic). I'm president and CEO, but our organization has not taken this issue up. I'm speaking as an individual today and as a resident of the Tampa Bay area.

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you.

2

MR. ROGEL: Thank you.

3

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Rogel.

4

MR. BECK: The next witness is Paul McClintock.

5

PAUL McCLINTOCK

6

7

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

8

# DIRECT STATEMENT

9

MR. McCLINTOCK: Good afternoon, all. Thank you very much also for this opportunity to speak before you today. My name is Paul McClintock. I live at 4557 Ontario Drive in the

11

10

city of New Port Richey. actually outside the city limits in

12 13

New Port Richey. Anything that I say here today should not be

14

taken in any way as being derogatory to this Commission or the

15

work that it does. I thank you and appreciate fully the

16

pressure that you're under and the talent that it takes to deal

17

with it the way you are. We appreciate it.

18

long as everybody is sworn in, that you might take a lesson

19 20

from this past Legislature. And when they started dealing with

First of all. I would make a recommendation that as

21

the people -- dealing with medical malpractice hearings, that

22

they had them swear in and asked them, will you gain or lose

23

from this, and that would pretty much cover that. I am not a

24

telephone company employee nor do I own any telephone company stocks. I will not gain in any way from the passage of this

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

bill. I am not in favor of the bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Turtle, who spoke just before me, his association is one of -- I represent the Pasco County Council of Neighborhood Associations. It's a group of 135 or more now civic and homeowner's groups who represents a total of over 40,000 residents in Pasco County. Collectively they have stated through their association representative to us, CONA, Council of Neighborhood Associations, their objection to these proposed rate hikes. That's 40,000 people in Pasco County, and they collectively have come together to say this.

You have seen the graphs. You know exactly what -this is nothing but -- in their opinion and mine also, I can speak for myself on this one, that it is sheer greed, greed, greed. And where do you go? You go where the money is. Just like who was it? Dillinger. Why do you hold up banks? Because that's where the money is. Well, I appreciate -again, and I don't mean to insult any of you in any way because I know you all know your duties and responsibilities, but you are charged as a Commission to protect the interest of Florida's residents. Similar to the way the insurance commission -- your obligation is primarily to the consumers, and I hope you don't mind if I read from my notes here, then to the companies to assure that they operate within the parameters of reason so that they, the companies, can make a reasonable profit and still maintain a standard quality of service.

I have to refer to my notes because I was an AARP chapter president 15 years ago, so do the math, and for those that know. The competition, I just came into the world of competition or the awareness of competition just recently, and that is that several power companies can transmit through the same power line and several gas companies can transmit through the same gas line and also with water. And I presume that they can do the same thing pretty much with telephones except for the switching, and as I'm sure there are ways to get around that. So competition should be available, and it should be good, if that is about the same way.

Sorry for the break.

CHAIRMAN JABER: That's okay. Take your time.

MR. McCLINTOCK: I also utilize the wonderful services that are available, but I pay extra for it. If I want photographs or Internet capability for my cell phone, I'm willing to pay for it. And as several other speakers have said, we're fortunate we're able to pay for it. But basic phone service, for those that can't pay the difference, I hate to say this, but I'm going to say it, I think that the Lifeline is probably a help. And I'm sure that there's a means test to get on that. And if that means test could be expanded -- and I know this is a very, very sensitive area. People don't want to submit a copy of their IRS reports or their stockholdings to prove that they can't afford an increase, but certainly we know

Ш

that there are many in our communities that cannot.

I realize -- when I say this ahead of time, I'm going to qualify this. I realize that the date is 2003. We are in the twenty-first century. I'm really surprised -- I think we ought to come into the twentieth century and put those postings on-line. Is there anybody here that can't access a computer and just say, okay, I can see these posting, these petitions? I mean, it's so easy to do. We can get any copy of state law or any -- I think that's a brainer as opposed to a no-brainer.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. McClintock, you've given me an excellent opportunity to give our Web site again.

MR. McCLINTOCK: Thank you. Do that.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. It's floridapsc.com, and that's Florida spelled out psc.com. And you can access these petitions on-line, and all of the notices are on the PSC Web site as well. If you have any trouble using that Web site, just give us a call.

MR. McCLINTOCK: Thank you. I navigate fairly well. Thank you.

And as far as expenses for things that we don't need or use, for those of you that may remember, when they came up with unleaded gas, they charged us more for something they didn't do. They charged us more for unleaded gasoline. So that's the same thing as we're not going to put your name in

1 the
2 And
3 and
4 Sho
6 car
7 me.
8 sam
9 for
10 and
11 and
12 her
13 app
14 dif
15 You

the phone book, but we're going to charge you extra for that.

And those -- some things just appear ludicrous to the consumer and I am one of them.

I think I got it all. The portability fee I think should have been placed on a per case basis. If I want to carry my portability, I'll pay \$50 or \$100 to take that with me. And I don't think that I should be paying -- if I'm in the same residence for 40 years, I don't think I should be paying for somebody who does move. Anyway, that's that one.

As far as your venue, you did the very best you can, and I fully appreciate the opportunity to have this meeting here. There are other more convenient places to get to, but I appreciate the effort that you put to it. And I know how difficult it is to put a meeting together and make it click. You've done very well.

I will close. And I speak for my friends in Pasco County and for myself when quote from David Horowitz, "I'm mad as Hell, and I'm not going to take it anymore." Please fix it.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. McClintock.

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Marilyn Smith.

# MARILYN SMITH

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SMITH: Good afternoon.

2

CHAIRMAN JABER: Good afternoon, Ms. Smith.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SMITH: I've been here for a while. I thought I might have another birthday while I was here. As a member, I'm used to dealing with government, and it does take a long time to get through. Let me just open with this. I am the grandmother-at-large for Hillsborough County. And Mr. Rudy Bradley knows very well who I am because he's seen me address some of my legislative delegation. And my legislative delegation I would notably say three of the four senators in Hillsborough County delegation voted no on this. And I don't think Tom Lee is a stupid man. He's voted no every time somebody thought it was a good idea. He said, how is that going to help my people? Tom is right, it isn't.

This is robbery without a gun or a mask. And this whole thing about competition, well, let me tell you something about Brighthouse. They're going to come out with this and that. Wonderful, wonderful. It used to be Time Warner and before that it had several other names. They have a virtual monopoly. Don't mistake that. There's a little company running around, but they don't cover the whole area of Hillsborough County either. They don't have the facilities, and they can't afford to put them in. They don't have the infrastructure. That infrastructure is a really key word whether you all know it or not.

1 2 h
3 i
4 i
5 f
6 r
7 g
8 t
9 I
10 r
11 I
12 I
13 g

here, a Ragu, if you will. Tell me how the price of that Ragu is going to go down if you are raising the price of the ingredients. Now, that's bottom line. We're talking about food here. We don't have to talk about big technology. It's a really good comparison though. You've got a little lamb, you got a little onion, tomato, a little basil. And some guy wants to raise the price of that basil like 8 percent -- 800 percent. Is that going to make that pot of stew go down? The answer is no. Any second grade child that can add can tell you that. It's not rocket science, but it is robbery.

Now, picture that this is a pot of stew that you have

Accessibility. When people can't get to their government, that's not accessible, and that's unfortunate.

Now, you're called the Public Service Commission, just in case you forget what your name is. The first word is public. That could be confused with pandering from the other side. Okay?

People are very mistrustful of any government right now. We're seeing what's going on. We've been lied to big time. Here's your chance to look like a champion. You do not have to pass this. You do not have to pass it. You have the power to say, you know what? People have had all the fun they can stand.

And as far as the comparison to the frames, well, if you want to have something framed and matted and done up in a nice way, then you go to a professional to do it. If you just want to frame a picture, there's plenty of competition. Go to

Target, go to Kmart. You can go anywhere you want for a frame. That's ludicrous to bring that up. Maybe he's not aware of that. You know, where's the beef? Where's the benefit? Where is the benefit of this? Those that are in my age bracket who are not going out to get another job -- even though you probably think I could whip lots of people with my mouth, you're right, I could, but I can't do like I used to do. I made my living being able to talk, but it's difficult to sustain over a long period of time.

Now, let's see here. Oh, yeah, about the extras. I got slammed by Verizon. Get this. I bought into and I had a package and I moved from one part of Tampa -- actually, unincorporated Hillsborough County down to Bay Shore area. And because I had their package that included Caller ID and some other stuff in it that I really never used -- and I think there was Call Waiting, but I don't care about that anyway. Anyway, I had this little package, and it was maybe \$30 a month, whatever was all included. So when I moved they said, oh, well, you're a good customer. We're not going to charge you to re-set you up. I got a new phone number. I didn't ask for the same phone number. I was clear across the county. That's silly, you know, to do that.

So I did move. And then I'm getting a bill, a notice that they're going to charge me \$3 more a month because I'm getting a service, and if I don't do that, they're going to

charge me \$3 anyway. Anyway, that, in my mind, was slamming. I called them up and I said, now, wait a minute, Verizon. You see, I used to be an LD operator. I am not an idiot when it comes to the phone company. I was with Pac Bell many years ago. I was in the first office and did the first direct distance dial board. So don't tell me about how the phone company works. The big pull cords. I did Mexico calls. Okay. Habla. So, you know, you're not talking to dumb people when you're talking to those of us with gray hair. That's called the little gray cells.

So here we go. I call them up, and I said, you want to explain this to me while I'm getting -- I got this in the mail. It came out of the Las Calinas office outside of Dallas, near the stadium. I used to live there, so I know all about GTE and Southwestern Bell also.

Well, you know, for \$3 you're being undercharged. I said, excuse me? Yes. And, well, if you don't do this -- I mean, they were really pushing the point. And the local people here had hands off about this. Oh, we -- that's (inaudible). And I said, oh, I'm glad you all work together. You got the same logo called Verizon. So they didn't realize they were talking to a person that knew about the phone companies, from coast to coast, the phone companies, the monopolies. So then they were saying to me, well, you know, we have to do this because we have been charging you illegally not enough money.

Well, you know, that lit my fire. I said, tell you what I'm going to do, Verizon, you take everything out of that package.

You give me the basic phone rate.

Well, months went by and they kept sticking me with calls that I did not make. And I made them explain. I said, now, explain this one, explain this one. Who is this and who is this? And pretty soon they quit doing it. But they were sticking me with everything they could. Outrageous garbage. I wasn't utilizing it. I was using my telephone when I wanted to, but I wasn't doing any LD calling anyway. I know who I call. I am not stupid when it comes to the utilization of that little thing that hangs on the wall that they call a telephone.

We do not need another \$5 on there to make competition because there ain't nobody going to go our there and invest in infrastructure at the rates that we have today. What? Do you think we're stupid? If you don't know what it costs to go out there and plant that infrastructure, you better find out before you raise the rates. Because just as soon as you raise the rates, you're going to have another bunch of horses up in Tallahassee writing more bills. They're called lobbyists, and they're paid real well.

In fact, you just had one here a few minutes ago. I had to step out to go to the bathroom, and that man there represents a downtown partnership, Mr. Rogel. I remember him chiding one of my commissioners, local commissioner because she

had the unmitigated gall to ask Tampa Bay Water questions. 1 2 if you all know anything about Tampa Bay Water, we're getting 3 raped and robbed without a gun there too. We're getting a reservoir that's unstable, and we're getting no desal, that 4 doesn't work. But we were promised and he had -- oh, you 5 6 should never guestion that well. We have the science, and we 7 told him it wasn't going to work. So there you go. You've got 8 to start listening to us public. We're not stupid and we're 9 not wrong. And if you want to call intrastate to your buddies over in St. Lucie, that's your privilege, pay for it, but don't 10 straddle people who cannot withstand another increase with the 11 12 taxes and the pertinent cents that go with it, which won't be \$4 or \$5. By the time the smoke clears, it will be another 13 14 \$7 or \$8. Do the math. I presume some of you went to college. 15 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Ms. Smith.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BECK: The next witness is Glenn Marks.

## GLENN MARKS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. MARKS: Good afternoon. There appears to me to be two areas of this proposal. One is offsetting the lost access fee revenue with an increase in local monthly phone rates, and the other is attracting competition of the local

telephone company. Well, the first one obviously is giving businesses lower local -- or intrastate phone service at the expense of the local company residential people. I don't think that this is a good idea. There's other ways through the systems of rates and tariffs phones companies come up with their services, cost for services. I think that's the best way to handle that, not by giving business people money at the expense.

Secondly, the competition that everybody seems to be concerned about, I doubt if that will ever occur because the telephone company has what's known as a natural monopoly, the same as your power companies. And any way you cut it, whenever investments are made in local plant equipment, there's no way anyone else is going to come in and duplicate that or overlap it and provide services. It's impossible to do. So there's little chance of any competition occurring in local rate.

That's my comments. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir.

MR. BECK: Dr. Ann Dolgin, D-O-L-G-I-N.

## ANN DOLGIN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. DOLGIN: Good afternoon, members of the Commission and fellow guests. I'd like to address two issues

today; one I've been hearing a great deal about, Lifeline. And I have a cousin who is in her 80s. She's on Medicaid at a senior assisted living housing complex in Tampa. And so I've become quite familiar with Lifeline. Lifeline has changed as far as some of its rules and regulations since she first started many years ago. My cousin is in her 80s. She is on Medicaid. She turns over her little over \$500 to the residence, and also the state and local governments pay an additional fee for her room and board. And she is given \$54 a month for her personal spending. So her room and board is taken care of, but \$54 is used for her personal necessities.

In working with Lifeline, I have found the following, and I could be wrong. First of all, she would have to pay a little over \$6 a month, but she is permitted one call a day; otherwise, it's extra. Long distance would be extra, and I could be wrong, so you could please correct me on this. So I told them that she doesn't make long distance calls, and we didn't want the extra part of this bill. And also, she would receive free a lockout where somebody who would come into her room would not use her phone. And she would also receive free if somebody called these 900 numbers, you know, with excessive charges.

But the thing that surprised me the most was this one call a day when she has to talk to doctors or call me and the hookup charge, which is about \$50. Nobody has to go to the

1

5

4

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

institution in person to do the hookup. I was told by the phone company who took the order that somebody will do it from a distance, and I felt that the \$50 was an excessive fee. So I wanted to raise this as an issue since this is one of the proud features that your company uses. And it is -- it still can be improved, in my opinion.

The second issue I would like to address is the rate increase itself. I am a stockholder of a telephone company; yet I oppose the rate increase for a number of reasons. One, as we sit here and see people of all different ages, all different socioeconomic circumstances, this rate increase would be a hardship for them. Secondly, I view telephone companies as regulated monopolies whose rates can increase based on their earnings. And I don't see this particular comparison in this particular law. I just see the likelihood, this is the way to increase competition. So I -- and I'm sure throughout your other 13 or 14 meetings you will see a number of people who can't afford it. I would offer you the following compromise to consider. Why don't we exempt certain groups of people who cannot afford this rate increase and allow those who will benefit from the rate increase to pay the additional sums of money?

People have come up here and talked about economic development. I have worked with a number of economic development offices based on my previous employment. Florida,

1 on the basis of its economy, tends to attract tourism and 2 encourages many retired people to come and live in our state. 3 As a result, we have a big service industry. Many of these 4 people are now elderly, and they cannot afford these rates. So 5 if they pay these rates, then other parts of our economy might 6 stall. When people talk about economic development and say it 7 will bring more companies and we have to maintain the jobs, I 8 agree, but yet if you compare the state of Florida to 9 Northeastern communities where I grew up in, I took a pay cut 10 when I moved to Florida, but on the other hand, the utilities 11 such as electricity and telephone were less. So when previous 12 guests here talk about that they are paying less, there's a 13 reason for that. It bears -- it's in relationship to the 14 economy that we have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. It's Dr. Dolgin? MS. DOLGIN: Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: Let me ask our staff, the Lifeline discount is a discount on the monthly bill for local service. It sounds like, Ms. Keating or Ms. Salak, it sounds like Dr. Dolgin's cousin may have some sort of special program that actually restricts certain kinds of calls as well. Does any of that sound familiar? I know Lifeline does not limit the amount of calls per day. That's what triggered my attention, but if you have your cousin's bill or any sort of --

MS. DOLGIN: I'm in the process of getting this for

154 her. And in speaking to the operator, they said, do you want 1 2 this? This is an extra charge, et cetera. And then they said, you know, she can only make one local call a day. 3 CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. It sounds like they were 4 5 offering certain services --6 MS. DOLGIN: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN JABER: -- and one of those services may have tried to limit the calls per day to maintain a certain 8 9 monthly charge that she would pay. What's the company? We 10 haven't talked about the company yet. Which --11 MS. DOLGIN: It's Verizon. 12 CHAIRMAN JABER: It is Verizon? 13 MS. DOLGIN: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. Mr. Durbin. 15 MR. DURBIN: Commissioners. I believe that it sounds 16 17 18 19 glad to get with Dr. Dolgin and provide her with more

to me like she may have what some companies call the Small Talk Plan, that the customer is limited to 30 calls per month. I believe that Verizon still offers that plan. I'd be more than information on Lifeline so that it may be a better plan for her.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: Dr. Dolgin, this is Dick Durbin, he's with out consumer affairs staff. And we do -- I know that Verizon has some folks here too that are willing to help you. But if you could talk to him about what you think she's got on

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Dolgin.

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

her plan and maybe try to work with the company and our staff to tailor a plan that's helpful for her.

MS. DOLGIN: The reason I raise this issue is because this one group of people living in assisted living centers and who are on Lifeline, they have not been represented here today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, ma'am.

Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Thank you. The next witness is Gary

### GARY DOLGIN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

Good afternoon. My name is Gary Dolgin. MR. DOLGIN: I'm an attorney in downtown Tampa, but I'm not here representing anyone today except myself and speaking in agreement with most of the people who have spoken here today. I was -- and this is my mother, but we didn't come down here together. It was just a coincidence. And I had decided I was going to take a well deserved day off today. I went to my five-year-old twins' school to read to the class. I was expecting to spend the afternoon playing with them, but when I got home, I remembered Howard Troxler's column on Tuesday that said, come on down and speak up. And I'm here. And then I

5

4

7

6

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

turned on Channel 22 and saw my mother sitting behind the Attorney General and I said, well, then I better get down there. And my kids, instead of watching the Disney Channel, are watching Channel 22 this afternoon with their mother at home because they want to see Dad and Grandma speak.

In any event, I have some questions here, and I wonder maybe if the Verizon representative -- I guess everyone was put under oath today, and someone had alluded to before that we really did get to the bottom of things when we had that medical malpractice testimony. It's amazing when you had some of the insurance companies come forth and say, well, we really are very profitable here in Florida, and there is no crisis. And I guess what I -- the thing that really bugged me is that my understanding is that the phone companies wrote this legislation, individual legislators did not; is that correct? Oh, you're the Verizon rep.

MR. CIAMPORCERO: You're asking Verizon. legislative process, that there were hearings, and we certainly input into the process. We appeared at the hearings. We made suggestions about what we thought would be the way to do this, and the legislators write the bill. We don't write the bills.

I read in the paper that the MR. DOLGIN: legislators -- I mean, that the representatives of Verizon or your lobbyists or your lawyers actually wrote the bill, that a specific legislator did not write the bill, that you got a

1	legislator to sponsor the bill, but that you guys wrote it.
2	And I'm curious, am I incorrect on that?
3	MR. CIAMPORCERO: We certainly made suggestions about
4	particular pieces of it with respect to language, but the
5	Legislature the legislative committee, in fact, sits down
6	and puts this all together and comes out with a bill.
7	MR. DOLGIN: My other question is, how much in
8	political campaign contributions did Verizon make to
9	legislators and the Governor during the past year?
10	MR. CIAMPORCERO: You know, I don't know offhand. I
11	would have to look. It's pretty much in keeping with any major
12	corporation in the state. And last year, the year before,
13	every year is pretty much the same. We are supporters of the
14	process. We support the democratic process like everybody
15	else. We support good policy.
16	MR. DOLGIN: Well, good policy that favors Verizon I
17	would imagine; correct?
18	MR. CIAMPORCERO: We support we believe that if
19	the right policy is chosen, we will be able as to compete and
20	succeed, yeah. What we don't like is policies that make an
21	uneven playing field, and we do argue against that.
22	MR. DOLGIN: Well, I guess when you're talking about
23	uneven playing field, you are the only company that I can use
24	for local service here in Tampa. Am I correct on that?
25	MR. CIAMPORCERO: Certainly not for your business.

MR. DOLGIN: I'm talking about residential because you're raising the rates -- or you're wanting to raise the rates on residential customers. So do we have a choice on who we can use for residential service besides Verizon?

MR. CIAMPORCERO: At this point you have four or five wireless companies, but in terms of landline, we expect there will be choices very soon and as a result of this activity, but right now, not a lot, no.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Dolgin, if I could interrupt for a second and ask you some questions in that regard. Do you feel like you've got choices in your service territory for local providers?

MR. DOLGIN: No, I don't. Now, what I do have a choice on is long distance. And, for example, I had -- I do have at my office, and I'm a solo practitioner, and I do have -- Verizon did when I was switching my service, they said that they could save me money over AT&T long distance. And so I'm trying out the Verizon long distance to see if I'm saving money, and I'm going to compare on that.

But as far as at home, I do not. And with AT&T, the long distance at home, I looked at the bill and I said, you know what? With my cell phone, since I get free long distance calls on the weekend and 300 minutes a month, I'm going to make my long distance calls with my cell phone. So I, like many other people in my age group, may be more likely to use cell

phones than maybe senior citizens who are the majority of people here in making my long distance calls there. But guess what? AT&T is still sending me a bill. Last month, we made one long distance phone call on a regular phone for 50 cents, but the bill was like for \$6 or \$7. All these extra taxes are on there. The \$4 to \$5 a month that you're saying you want to increase is not going to affect me, fortunately, but it will affect my cousin, as my mother was talking about, and it will affect any seniors and people who are barely getting by.

And in my work experience, I've had the experience of working with people who barely get by, whether it's in a family law practice or whether when I was a public defender and worked with indigent people and even as a former prosecutor when I would deal with domestic violence victims who would come into the office, and obviously, most of them, it seemed like, were from lower socioeconomic backgrounds and barely getting by. So it won't affect me as far as, you know, where's my next meal coming from, but it still bothers me because it doesn't make sense to me that this is going to be a good deal for the consumer. It is going to be a good deal for the phone company.

Now, if you talk about these phone companies, they're going to come in and compete with you for local service, why don't we come back and do this after we have competition?

Because then we, as consumers, can choose between you and what other phone company is there. I get the choice on the long

distance, and I went with you over AT&T because it seemed to me that I was going to get a better deal there. But you're a monopoly with regard to local service, and you make a lot of extra money from Call Waiting, from Caller ID, from Internet service. Why not raise your rates in those areas? Then people can choose whether or not they want to pay for that. With local phone service, that's a necessity. So you have to keep in mind the people who can barely afford that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there was someone up here earlier that was talking about the -- I was looking at my phone bill today because it just keeps going up and up every month, and I keep wondering why. Twelve dollars, it's the basic rate, but obviously our phone bills are like -- my phone bill this month was almost \$30. Now, I do have Call Waiting, but if I subtract that, it's about \$25. So all of these taxes -- and what I find very interesting -- and this bill was passed by -- this isn't a Democratic or Republican party thing because I was shocked to see the legislators that voted for this. There were Democrats who I like and who I have voted for who were supposed to stand for the little people, they voted for this bill. There are also Republicans who voted for the bill who stand up all day long and say, no new taxes, read my lips. Well, this is to me like a tax and even because it affected all the other taxes that get added on to that. And we need transparency here.

If a political official wants to raise your taxes,

1 and none of them admit that they want to, let them come out and 2 explain the reason for it. If a phone company, a monopoly 3 wants to raise your phone rate, let them come out and tell us 4 why there needs to be a raise in the phone rate. Don't talk 5 about this revenue neutral. Why would you have spent -- and 6 I'm assuming the campaign contributions were in millions of 7 dollars. Why would you have spent millions of dollars to put 8 in political candidates' campaign contribution accounts if you guys were not going to make money on it? There's no problem 9 10 with you making money. I'm a telephone stockholder as well. 11 And I certainly hope that the stock price of the telephone 12 company goes up because I'll do better. But if you need a 13 phone rate increase, come before the people, come before the 14 Legislature and say, here is our profit and loss statement; this is why we need an increase. And then obviously exempt 15 16 some of the people who can barely afford to get by. But don't 17 tell us about this -- the long distance rates because for someone like me who uses a cell phone, I'm not going to benefit 18 19 from that. Just focus on the local rates, on why you need an 20 increase there. Make it simple for people to understand why, 21 and then they may be willing to support it.

But it sounds kind of fishy. When you look last year, you tried to get this bill through, and the Governor vetoed it last year. At the time everybody said that's a great decision that the Governor made. This year when I see him sign

22

23

24

25

it, I have to ask myself, did he veto it last year because last year was an election year and he was focussed on his reelection? And now he's been reelected he doesn't have the face the Florida voters at least for the job of Governor again. Is that why he signed it this year? And the legislators of both parties who passed this bill, I mean, I'm just shocked that they would do that when they're supposed to be out there representing people. And I have to believe that it's because they rely on campaign contributions. And Verizon is probably one of their best contributors.

Let me just review my notes and see if there's any other points that I want to make. A lot of people covered and made very good points today. I was curious, my understanding is that phone companies and utilities come before Public Service Commissions. Sometimes they're supposed to either ask for a rate increase, and aren't they also sometimes supposed to come in if maybe they're getting too much money and ask for a rate reduction; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Dolgin, let me take a stab at that. Actually, in 1995 the Florida Legislature did deregulate the companies to some extent. They placed price caps on the companies. And, no, the answer to your question is, there is no earnings review or audit procedure as it relates to what the PSC can do with the telecommunications companies. This came before the PSC because the Legislature passed a law that gave

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

jurisdiction over the access charges, and that's why we are taking a look at the rates for that purpose. I hope that answers your question.

MR. DOLGIN: Well, I think maybe there ought to be. But in any event, I will close by reminding the Public Service Commission on what at least two or three other people said today, and that's the first word of your title is public, and you represent the public. And, you know, I looked at each of your biographies. It seems that, I think, all of you were appointed by Governor Bush, maybe one of you was appointed by Governor Chiles, and Governor Bush signed this bill, and maybe there's some political pressure on you to follow along since that's why you have your jobs, and you probably owe some allegiance to him. But you represent the public. And there's a lot of cynicism out there in the public as we've heard today. And the people you've heard from today, multiply it by ten or a hundred because all the people that couldn't come here today because they work during the day -- and please have meetings at night in the future for people who can make it here. But wouldn't it be great if people wake up and read the paper and one day see, hey, the Public Service Commission actually sided with the people. That would be great. That would restore some faith in government.

And I really wouldn't feel that sorry for Verizon.

They're going to make their millions of dollars, and I'm going

164 to get my dividend check, although it's with BellSouth, not 1 2 Verizon, but I considered buying Verizon stock. That would be great. And I hope that the Public Service Commission will vote 3 4 as the majority of the people who took the time to come down 5 here today have asked them to. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. BECK: The last witness who signed up is Bentley 8 Lipscomb. 9 BENTLEY LIPSCOMB 10 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State

of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. LIPSCOMB: Madam Chairperson, thank you, members of the Commission. I did not intend to speak today, but because of a misstatement was made because one of Verizon's witnesses earlier. I felt the need to clarify the record. The AARP does not sell insurance. We do not function as a broker for insurance, and I'd like the record to reflect that, please.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, sir.

MR. BECK: Madam Chairman, Mr. Lipscomb is the last person who signed up.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Let me just make sure. Is there anyone in the audience who did not sign up to speak but would like to speak at this point before we adjourn?

Let me close by thanking everyone for hanging in

there. I know it's been a long day, but the testimony is very, very helpful to our process. Again, this is only the third public hearing in 14, and you can access the Web site at the PSC to keep up with the time line for this proceeding at floridapsc.com. And with that, we'll adjourn this public hearing. (Service Hearing concluded at 5:20 p.m.) 

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	i contract the least of the contract of the co
5	I, TRICIA DeMARTE, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
6	time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
12	the action.
13	DATED THIS 15th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2003.
14	Fricia Demarte, RPR
15	TRICIA DEMARTE, RPR FPSC Official Commission Reporter
16	(850) 413-6736
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	