C.....NAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FLOOR DEBATE ON

HOUSE BILL 1903



DATE:

April 30, 2003

LOCATION:

The Capitol

Tallahassee, Florida

TRANSCRIBED BY:

MARY ALLEN NEEL, RPR Notary Public, State

of Florida at Large

ACCURATE STENOTYPE REPORTERS, INC. 2894-A REMINGTON GREEN LANE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32308 (850) 878-2221

AUS CAF **CMP** сом <u>5</u> CTR ECR GCL OPC MMS SEC OTH

COCUMENT KLMSER-DATE

12342 DEC-38

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE SPEAKER: Read the next bill.
3	THE CLERK: By the Committee on Business
4	Regulation and Representative Mayfield, House Bill
5	1903, a bill to be entitled "An act relating to
6	regulation of telecommunications companies."
7	THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield,
8	you're recognized for a motion.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Madam Chair,
10	Madam Speaker, we're going to just go ahead, with you
11	permission, and take up 1903 and try to roll it to a
12	second reading. The Senate message is not here.
13	THE SPEAKER: Okay. Read the bill, House
14	ві]] 1903.
15	THE CLERK: By the Committee on Business
16	Regulation and Representative Mayfield, House Bill
17	1903, a bill to be entitled "An act relating to
18	regulation of telecommunications companies."
19	THE SPEAKER: Are there questions of the
20	sponsor?
21	Representative Mayfield, you're recognized
22	to explain it.
23	REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam
24	Speaker.
25	Members, I'm proud to stand here today in

front of you and introduce to you the
Telecommunications Innovation Infrastructure
Enhancement Act of 2003. I'm proud because we are
finally at a point where we have a solution that's the
right solution that's at the right time.

We are now putting, with the passage of this bill, issues with respect to ratemaking back in the hands of Public Service Commission. This bill also will take a bold step toward jump-starting the residential local service market competition. Beyond that, the bill will allow new technologies to flourish in our state free of unnecessary regulation.

Now, members, last year, last session, we passed a bill off of this floor almost unanimously, with some dissension, and the Senate passed a bill as well which was somewhat similar to this bill. The Governor ultimately vetoed that bill, and in his veto he expressed two areas of concern that boiled down to this: Number one, the Public Service Commission would not have enough discretion to consider whether rate changes should take place or not; and two, he believed that there wasn't going to be enough competition created by the bill.

Well, members, I'm here to tell you that this bill goes directly at both of those points. This

1.1

31.1

bill meets or exceeds all of his concerns in both of those areas.

1.0

With the implementation of this bill, the Public Service Commission will have sweeping authority, sweeping authority to trigger a three-phased transition to take us to a market-driven telecommunications environment. At each step of the way, at each step in the process, the PSC will have full authority to protect consumers while sparking competition.

Now, Madam Speaker, let me tell you what this bill does. Let me tell you what it does do and what it doesn't do. I think it's very important, because you're going to need this information when you get back home. Mark my words, you're going to be asked about this.

what the bill does do, first, it gives the PSC, as I've indicated, sweeping authority to oversee a measured three-step transition process to take us to a more effective competitive market. It retains PSC's oversight over vital consumer protection matters. It will also have the ability to continue to fine telecommunications companies. It expands -- and this is a very important point right here, members, because a lot of members have expressed some concern over

this. It expands Lifeline opportunities, to include a stand-alone criterion of 125% of the federal poverty level.

1.8

And, members, this is the most exciting thing that the bill does. It fences off intrusive regulation from emerging technology like voice-over-internet. It also substantially frees long distance companies from regulation, while retaining PSC oversight, including the opportunity to implement fines, as I mentioned.

This bill will do a lot for our state, members. It will give our citizens the opportunity to experience the benefits of a competitive market, including lower costs. It has the needed protections for the PSC to control the pace of the transition, if any, if any, to competition. It allows the PSC to protect and nurture any newly created and enhanced residential marketplaces.

Now, members, let me tell you what the bill does not do, does not do. It does not raise rates.

It does not contain any mandatory language that requires rate increases. It does not require the PSC to grant any petition from any company unless the Commission is completely satisfied that two conditions are met: Competition has to be created, and the

residential customers have to benefit. The PSC is
going to be responsible for sitting in judgment and
making sure that those two things take place before it
will grant any petition.

1.5

It does not lower service quality standards. It does not. The PSC has absolute authority to veto any effort by any company to lower or relax service quality standards.

It does not provide for 20% annual increases in rates. It does not. Only the PSC can grant the petition if a company proves the two criteria that I indicated just a moment ago. By sparking competition, this bill will provide the very mechanism that will prevent annual rate increases.

Madam Speaker, as you know, there has been a lot of rhetoric by the opponents to this bill, and I am convinced that this bill moves Florida's telecommunications environment into the 21st Century. It will make us and our state a leader in reshaping the telecommunications landscape. It will nurture and foster the emergence of new technology. It will provide a better price regulator for service than the PSC could ever be, competition. That's the ultimate regulator, competition.

It is time for the PSC to use their broad

powers which will be created by this bill to fulfill 1 2 our vision of a healthier climate for this industry. 3 which is going to result in a higher level of service at a lower cost. 5 Members, Madam Speaker, that's what the bill does. 6 7 If I could yield to Representative Ritter for a moment, she has a few comments also. 8 9 THE SPEAKER: Okay. Before we do that, 10 Representative Audrey Gibson, do you have a recognition? Do you have a recognition that you would 11 12 like to make? 13 REPRESENTATIVE GIBSON: Yes. Thank you. Madam Speaker. In the West Gallery today, I would 14 like to introduce and have stand students and faculty 15 from the Martin Luther King, Jr. Elementary School. 16 17 (Applause.) 18 REPRESENTATIVE GIBSON: This school also 19 focuses on foreign -- it's a foreign language academy 20 and a music enrichment program. Thank you all. 21 (Applause.) 22 THE SPEAKER: Welcome to the Florida House 23 of Representatives. 24 Representative Mayfield would like to refer 25 to Representative Ritter to make some comments.

Representative Ritter, you're recognized to make some comments, opening remarks on the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE RITTER: Thank you, Madam Speaker, and thanks to Chairman Mayfield for allowing me the opportunity to participate in this legislation, which we've been working on for three years in this House.

And none of us were here in 1995 when that Legislature took the right away from the Public Service Commission and brought it here to the Legislature to set local and long distance phone rates. We are not a regulatory body. We are a policy making body. We should not be in the business of setting rates. And what House Bill 1903 does is, it moves the regulation of local and long distance rates where it rightfully belongs, to the Public Service Commission. It was a bad decision in 1995 that we are going to correct in the 2003 legislative session.

This bill is better than last year's bill.

And I was fortunate to work on that one as well, but
this is a better piece of legislation, more consumer
friendly, more competitive, will bring competition in,
will lower our rates.

And Representative Mayfield did a fabulous job of explaining it, so I just want to say this. My

parents live on a fixed income. They are basic single-service residential customers. They are also my most vocal constituents. I am fortunate to have my mother and father living in my district. They know where and when to reach me any day, any hour, any time. If I thought that this bill would raise my parents' local rates, I wouldn't be supporting it here today. This bill does not do that, which is why I'm able to give it my full support today.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Okay. Okay, members. And I just caution that when we're making open remarks, it's to introduce the merits of the bills and not -- we'll get into debate when we're ready to do that.

Okay. They have explained the bill. There are questions of the sponsors.

Representative Prieguez, you're recognized for a series of questions.

much, Madam Speaker.

Chairman Mayfield, assuming for a moment that the PSC must find that the consumers will benefit, who are the residential consumers who must benefit? In other words, can the PSC find that the people who make a lot of long distance phone calls

1.5

will benefit, even though other people who only use their phones for local calls may not benefit? Will they be able to get a clear number as to how many people that happen to make a lot of long distance phone calls would benefit, and that that would override the rise in local rates for people that don't make a lot of long distance phone calls?

THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, you're recognized for a response.

REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Representative Prieguez, who -- I think your question was who are the residential customers. The residential customers that have to benefit are going to be the customers of that local exchange company. The Public Service Commission is going to have to sit in judgment and review and evaluate the petition and determine that the customers are benefiting and that both the competitive environment is being created before that petition can move forward.

THE SPEAKER: Representative Prieguez, you're recognized for a follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE PRIEGUEZ: Thank you very

much, Madam Speaker.

1.7

Representative Mayfield, a major goal of this bill is for local companies to eventually reach what is described as parity. And I'm sure later on in the day we'll get into that a little bit more, perhaps tomorrow.

A company will reach parity when it charges long distance carriers about the same rate for in-state calls as it charges now for state-to-state calls. Is it true that after a local company reaches parity, it can raise the price for basic service by as much as 6% a year, or even as much as 20% a year, even if there is another competitor in that market?

THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, you're recognized for a response.

REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Well, the answer -- thank you, Madam Chairman. The answer to your question is no. The primary goal is not to do what you just said. The goal of this legislation is to empower the Public Service Commission to manage this industry. That's the goal of this legislation. It is to -- and we do that by providing the Public Service Commission with the tools to do that. They're going to sit in judgment over these rate petitions, the petitions that come forward for the purposes of

increase or decrease. There's no guarantee that there's going to be a petition approved, or for that matter, Representative Prieguez, even one submitted.

THE SPEAKER: Representative Prieguez, you're recognized for a follow-up question.

much, Madam Speaker, and this will be my last question.

I know that the bill extends Lifeline subsidies for basic service to more people by raising income eligibility to 125% of the poverty level. It also freezes the price of basic service for them, even though it will go up for others. But doesn't the price freeze for Lifeline consumers end once a company reaches parity, which is supposed to happen in two to four years? Does it not end after parity is reached, Representative Mayfield?

THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, you're recognized for a response.

REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair.

The bill is actually silent on Lifeline increases. Lifeline customers are provided protection for two years. But beyond that, it's silent on whether Lifeline is going to increase or not.

Again, I'll go back to the comments about 1 competition. The best regulator for this industry is 2 going to be competition. What we do to create 3 competition in the local telecommunications market is going to do more to keep prices down than anything the 5 Public Service Commission could ever do. 6 THE SPEAKER: Okay. Further questions? Representative Domino, you're recognized 8 for a question. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE DOMINO: Thank you, Madam 11 Speaker. 12 Representative, how will this bill impact access fees for independent providers of DSL lines for 13 broadband Internet access? 14 15 THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, you're recognized for a response. 16 17 Representative Domino, could you repeat your question? You're recognized. 18 19 REPRESENTATIVE DOMINO: Thank you. 20 will this bill impact access fees for independent providers of DSL lines for broadband Internet access? 21 22 THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, 23 you're recognized for a reply. 24 REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam

25

Chair.

The access charges actually flow through to 1 the consumers. But with respect to broadband issues, 2 this bill still leaves broadband in the control of local government. THE SPEAKER: Further questions? Representative Fiorentino, you're 6 7 recognized for a question. REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: Thank you, 8 9 Madam Speaker. Representative Mayfield, I understand that 10 you were talking about the Lifeline being at 125% for 11 12 those people in poverty, but is it not true that BellSouth has already reached that, so therefore it 13 would not be expanded at all? 14 THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, 15 you're recognized for a response. 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair. 18 19 I can't speak to whether BellSouth has 20 reached that cap or not and whether or not the 125% is 21 going to impact BellSouth's coverage. I believe it 22 will expand Lifeline to their customers. 23 THE SPEAKER: Further questions? 24 Representative Sobel, you're recognized for 25 a question.

1 REPRESENTATIVE SOBEL: Thank you, Madam 2 Chair. 3 This is about the role of the Public Service Commission. Does Section 15 of this bill 4 5 allow the Public Service Commission to reject any 6 telephone rate proposal that does not create an overall benefit to residential customers? Could you 7 8 explain that? 9 THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, 10 you're recognized for a reply. 11 REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam 12 Speaker. 13 Good question. It allows -- this 14 legislation will allow the PSC to do exactly that. 15 will be able to reject any petition on the grounds of, 16 again, creating competition in the local market and 17 benefiting those customers that are being serviced by that local market. The PSC has absolute authority 18 over that petition, whether or not to agree to it or 19 20 reject it. 21 THE SPEAKER: Further questions? 22 Representative Attkisson, you're recognized 23 for a question. 24 REPRESENTATIVE ATTKISSON: Thank you, Madam

25

Chairman.

1 Two questions. Representative Mayfield. Representative Prieguez asked a question a minute ago 2 3 about the ability of a phone company to raise 6% a year if a competitor was in the marketplace. My 4 5 question is, doesn't this sort of take care of the need to be concerned about 6% increases if competition 6 will be eating up your market share? Why would you 7 want to raise your rates, even if you had the ability 8 9 to, if a competitor is over there getting ready to 10 gobble up all your market share? 1.1 THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield for a reply. Thank you. 12 13 REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam 14 Speaker.

Representative Attkisson, you've answered your own question. It makes absolutely no sense for a local provider to be increasing their rates if they're going to lose business to a competitor that would be created in that marketplace.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE SPEAKER: Representative Attkisson for a follow-up question.

REPRESENTATIVE ATTKISSON: Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The final question, we have seen in the paper, and it has been written that there will be

millions and millions of dollars of increases, yet, 1 2 Representative Mayfield, the way you and Representative Ritter have explained your bill, you're 3 4 telling me there will be no increases until the Public Service Commission, which has a history of treating 5 consumers fairly and equitably, reviews each potential 6 7 rate increase, and it must, it must be in the public interest. 8 Why do you think we keep hearing in the 9 10

why do you think we keep hearing in the papers, and with the viciousness, that there is a potential for millions of dollars of rate increases when nobody will read the bill?

THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield for a reply.

Attkisson, it makes great news stories. You've answered the question once again. It's because they're not reading the bill.

Madam Speaker?

THE SPEAKER: Representative Mayfield, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: I have a motion.

THE SPEAKER: You're recognized.

REPRESENTATIVE MAYFIELD: I move we temporarily postpone this bill.

25 temporarily postpo

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	THE SPEAKER: Okay. Representative
2	Mayfield moves we temporarily postpone House Bill
3	1903. Any objection?
4	Without objection, show that motion
5	adopted.
6	(Conclusion of consideration of House Bill
7	1903 on April 30, 2003.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
Ī	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

STATE OF FLORIDA:

COUNTY OF LEON:

I, MARY ALLEN NEEL, do hereby certify that the foregoing was transcribed by me from an audiotape, and that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 18 are a true and correct transcription of the aforesaid proceedings to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested in the foregoing matter.

DATED THIS 20th day of October, 2003.

MARY ALLEN NEEL, RPR

2894-A Rémington Green Lane Tallahassee, Florida 32308

(850) 878-2221