DRIGINAL

MCWHIRTER REEVES

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

TAMPA OFFICE 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602-5126 P.O. Box 3350, Tampa, FL 336J01-3350 (813) 224-0866 (813) 221-1854 FAX

PLEASE REPLY TO:

TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE: 117 SOUTH GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 33201 (850) 222-2525

(850) 222-5606 FAX

December 3, 2003

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayo Division of Records and Reporting Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Ms. Pat S. Lee Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re:

Docket Nos. 030851-TP and 030852-TP, Covad Communications Company's Response

to 2003 TRO Data

Dear Ms. Bayo and Ms. Lee:

Attached are Covad Communications Company's Public Responses to Staff's Data Request in the above dockets in both electronic and hard copy format, as well as the notarized statement of Charles (Gene) Watkins, attesting to the accuracy of the responses.

Confidential information has been provided to Ms. Bayo under separate cover in accordance with the Commission's confidentiality procedures.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

WED & FILED

EPSC-BURFAU OF RECORDS

Sincerely, Clicai Knam Kaufman

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

VGK/bae Enclosures

cc:

AUS

CAF CMP DOM

ECR

2CL

IP C

MMS SEC.

Gene Watkins

This claim of confidentiality was filed by or on behalf of a "telco" for Confidential DN document is in locked storage pending advice on handling. To access the material, your name must be on the CASR. If undocketed, your division director must obtain written EXD/Tech permission before you can access it.

icc: contrecords

MCWHIRTER, REEVES, MCGLOTHLIN, DAVIDSON, KAUFMAN, & ARNOLD, P.A.

general_covad_FL General

	Α	В	C
	Please enter the information requested below in		
1 1	column B in reference to your company		
2	FPSC CODE	5719, 8328	The FPSC code should be on the address label used to send the paper copies of this data request to you.
	Company Name, as it appears on your	DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications	
3	provider's certificate		Use the company name that appears on the address label, as well.
4	OCN from LERG	Covad is not listed in the LERG	
5	OCN Name from LERG	Covad is not listed in the LERG	
6	Category (CLEC, ILEC, WIRELESS)		<u> </u>
7	AOCN from LERG (administrative)	Covad is not listed in the LERG	Continue with additional affiliations or DBA Names down the columns>
8	FLPSC code for Affiliations		•
9	DBA Names	Covad Communications Company	-
10	If you do not provide service or are providing service only via resale in Florida, please enter "No Service" and return this information	~	
11			
12			
13			
14	Please continue with the data requi	est. We appreciate the time required to complete it	

		T R	- c	T D	ΙE	[F	G	н	JT.	ĸ	L	М	N	٥	ΙP	Q	R S	Τļ	·ΓV	w	ΧΥ	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD		AF A		Al /	AJ [AK A	L AM	AN	AO	AP
1 FRONTEG												TOL	EC									Self-Pro	viders						_		Wholesek	ers			=	
2								Arrange-r	nent		Cantral Office Address						Arrange		Transport Type			Number of transport circuit			eircuits			7 reneport Type			Number of transport of			circuits		,
3			Central Office			Vert ical Coord- Instes	Horiz- ontal Coord- inates	aged7 (YM)	imasi? (Y/N)	111	Street Address		State		Vert-ical Coord Instas	Horiz- ontal Coord Instes	Caged? (Y/N)	Virtual? (YAV)	Self Provider? (Y/N) Dark Fiber?	X or blank) DS17 (X or blank)	DS37 (X or blank) 6 Oark Fiber transport	arcuts previsioned	# DS3 level transport	Cocurs provisioned	#DS1 level working	# DS3 level working circuits	Aholesater? (YAN)	mer?	X or blank) DSI? (X or blank)	DS37 (X or blank)	# Dark Fiber transport circuits provisioned	ar DS1 level transport	Float ever unispectorial provision of Court Fiber worthing	F DS1 level working	# DS3 level warking prouts	Supplier?
4	CITI	Street Address	Grey	State	- JP	inetes	11.21.43	0 0	- 5 '	-						ļ		\perp	_			-		-		+			+	++	_	\pm				
1 8	Coved fize n	ot self-previsioned any facili	ties in the state of f	lorida.									1	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	<u> </u>		ш			اسلم																

. .

	Ι Α !	В	С	D	ΓĒΙ	F	G	н	1	J	ĸ	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Ť	Ü	Γ	/	W	Х	Υ	Z	AΑ	AB A	CA	AE.	AF	AG
1									Self	-Provide	rs				╄	_		_	· · ·	Vholesal	ers			-			Н	+	-	\dashv			
	Customer In	fomation				High-C	apacity Type			Nt.	mber o	f circuits					Capac op Type			<u> </u>	Numbe	r of cir	cuits			 :			1	1			
2	Street Address	City	Zip	Wire Center CLLI	Self-Provider? (Y/N)	Dark Fiber? X or blank)	DS1? (X or blank)	DS3? (X or blank)	# Dark Fiber provisioned circuits	# DS1 level provisioned circuit	# DS3 level provisioned circuit	# Dark Fiber working circuts	# DS1 level working orcuits	apitotic scriptors lovel co.	# DS3 tevel working calcuts Wholesaler? (Y/N)	Dark Fiber? X or hank)	DS12 (X or blank)	7 (X or blan	# Dark Fiber provisioned circuits	# DS1 level provisioned circuit		# DS3 revel provisioned circuit	# Dark Fiber working circuits	# DS1 level working circuits	# DS3 level working circuits	Point-to-Polnt (P) or Ring (R) configuration	Accessible? (YIN)	Sole Access? (Y/N)	Using? (Y/N)	Gwing? (Y/N)	Loop Owner?	Loop Wholesaler?	Nature of agreement?
7	Street Address	ion,			Ť									1			Ţ.				-	+	<u> </u>				 	H	-+	-	 	+	
	Coved has not deployed any hi	h-canacity facilit	ies to any c	untomer location	ns in t	he state	of Fig	orida.				l			_1	1	1			Щ.,							ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		_	_			

Covad Communications Company's Clarifications To Its Responses to Staff's Data Requests in 030851 & 030852

All of the "switches" identified in the attached spread sheets are ATM switches and should not be considered in the mass market switching docket. Because Covad does not provide voice services over its ATM switches and because ATM switches are not circuit switches, discovery related to Covad's ATM switches serving only xDSL. customers is irrelevant to both the "triggers" and "potential deployment" analyses in this The Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") states in its Triennial Review Order ("TRO") that "Iflor purposes of the examination described here, mass market customers are analog voice customers . . ." TRO ¶ 497 (emphasis added). In the "triggers" analysis, the FCC repeatedly states that the switches to be considered are only those "actively providing voice service used to serve the mass market." TRO ¶ 499 (emphasis added); see also, ¶ 498 ("triggers identify existing examples of multiple competitive LECs using their own switches to serve mass market [analog voice] customers . . . ") (emphasis added); ¶ 499 ("the identified competitive switch providers should be actively providing voice service to mass market customers . . .") (emphasis added); ¶ 500 ("we find that states shall not evaluate any other factors The key consideration to be examined by state commissions is whether the providers are *currently* offering and able to provide [analog voice] service . . .") (emphasis added).

Similarly, in the "potential deployment" analysis, the FCC states that "States should first examine whether competitors are already using their own switches to serve voice customers..." and whether there "are two wholesale providers or three self-provisioners of switching serving the voice enterprise market..." TRO ¶ 508 (emphasis added); see also ¶ 507. Covad does not provide a single voice service – to mass markets or enterprise markets – over its ATM switches. While ATM switches may have the potential to support certain kinds of voice over internet protocol (VoIP) services, such nascent technologies are not currently available to serve mass markets. Indeed, BellSouth's potential deployment business model does not assert that VoIP is capable of serving a mass market.

Additionally, the FCC's consideration of circuit switches is wholly separate from the FCC's analysis of ATM switching. Indeed, the FCC's holdings regarding these two kinds of switches are diametrically opposed: circuit switches serving the mass *voice* market are unbundled while ATM switches serving the mass *data* market are not. *Compare* ¶¶ 459-485 *with* ¶¶ 535-541 (noting that ATM switches are ubiquitous and "are much cheaper to deploy than circuit switches." ¶ 538). Clearly then, ATM switches and circuit switches are not interchangeable. As such, the discovery served on Covad seeking information about Covad's ATM switches is not reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence and is, consequently, irrelevant.

With regard to end users being served by Covad's switches: Covad's switches are located in Covad collocation space in central offices which act as hubs in Covad's network (Central offices in which Covad is collocated are identified with the CLLI code of that central office. Switches serving those central offices are identified by the CLLI

code of the central office where the switch is located). No end user is directly attached to the switch. The packetized data traffic from end users is connected to Covad's DSLAMs in Covad's collocation space in central offices serving those end users. The totality of data traffic from all of the end users connected to Covad's DSLAMs are, in turn, transported to Covad's hubs, which is where Covad's switches are located, and then Covad's switch. Accordingly, none of Covad's switches "serve" any end users directly. Covad has responded as such.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Implementation of requirements arising From Federal Communications Commission Triennial UNE review: Local Circuit Switching

For Mass Market Customers

In re: Implementation of requirements arising From Federal Communications Commission's Triennial UNE review; Location-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Loops, And Route-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Transport.

Docket No. 030851-TP

Docket No. 030852-TP

AFFIDAVIT OF CHARLES (GENE) E. WATKINS

STATE OF Georgia

COUNTY OF Fulton

I, Charles (Gene) E. Watkins, being first duly sworn, do hereby depose and state as follows:

- 1. I am Senior Counsel to DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company (Covad).
- 2. I have reviewed the attached answers of Covad to Staff's Data Request. Such responses are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Charles (Gene) E. Watkins

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me this 2nd day of Dec., 2003, by Charles (Gene) E. Watkins, who is personally known to me.



Notary Public, State of Georgia

County of <u>Fulton</u> Commission Number:

My Commission expires: 3/18/2006