

ORIGINAL

Legal Department

Nancy B. White
General Counsel-Florida

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street
Room 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
305 347-5558

December 4, 2003

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó
Division of the Commission Clerk and
Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC
DEC -4 PM 1:59
COMMISSION
CLERK

Re: Docket No. 030851-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Direct Testimony of Kenneth L. Ainsworth, Dr. Debra J. Aron, Dr. Randall S. Billingsley, Alfred L. Heartley, W. Keith Milner, Ronald M. Pate, Dr. Christopher J. Pleatsikas, John A. Ruscilli, James W. Stegeman, Pamela A. Tipton, and Alphonso J. Varner, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Nancy B White
Nancy B. White (KA)

Enclosure

cc: Parties of Record
Marshall M. Criser III
R. Douglas Lackey
Meredith Mays

DNs 12409-03 thru 12419-03

AUS _____
CAF _____
CMP _____
COM 5 + orig
CTR 516049
ECR _____
GCL I
OPC _____
MMS I
SEC I
OTH _____

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Docket No. 030851-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via Electronic Mail, Hand Delivery* and FedEx this 4th day of December 2003 to the following:

Jason Rojas, Staff Counsel *
Jeremy Susac, Staff Counsel
Adam Teitzman, Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Phone: (850) 413-6212
Fax: (850) 413-6250
ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us
jrojas@psc.state.fl.us
jsusac@psc.state.fl.us

Michael A. Gross
VP Reg. Affairs & Reg. Counsel
Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc.
246 East 6th Avenue
Tallahassee, FL 32303
Tel. No. (850) 681-1990
Fax. No. (850) 681-9676
mgross@fcta.com

Joseph A. McGlothlin +
Vicki Gordon Kaufman ~ +
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold PA
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-2525
Fax. No. (850) 222-5606
Represents FCCA
Represents Covad ~
jmclothlin@mac-law.com
vkaufman@mac-law.com

Charles E. Watkins +
Covad Communications Company
1230 Peachtree Street, N.E.
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Tel. No. (404) 942-3492
Fax. No. (404) 942-3495
g Watkins@covad.com
jbell@covad.com

Nanette Edwards, Esq.
Director – Regulatory
ITC^DeltaCom
4092 S. Memorial Parkway
Huntsville, AL 35802
Tel. No. (256) 382-3856
nedwards@itcdeltacom.com

Floyd Self, Esq. +
Norman H. Horton, Esq. ~
Messer Capareello & Self
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-0720
Fax. No. (850) 224-4359
Represents ITC^DeltaCom,
Represents KMC
Represents MCI
Represents Xspedius~
fself@lawfla.com
nhorton@lawfla.com

De O'Roark, Esq. + ☒
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200
Atlanta, GA 30328
de.oroark@mci.com

Jon Moyle, Jr.
Moyle Law Firm (Tall)
The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Phone: (850) 681-3828
Fax: 681-8788
Email: jmoylejr@moylelaw.com

Andrew O. Isar
Miller Isar, Inc.
7901 Skansie Avenue
Suite 240
Gig Harbor, WA 98335
Tel. No. (253) 851-6700
Fax No. (253) 851-6474
aisar@millerisar.com

Jason Spinard, Esq.
Rand Currier
Geoff Cookman
Granite Telecommunications, LLC
234 Copeland Street
Quincy, MA 02169
Tel. No. 617 847-1500
Fax No. 617 847-0931
jspinard@granitenet.com
rcurrier@granitenet.com
gcookman@granitenet.com

Donna McNulty, Esq. +
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
1203 Governors Square Blvd., Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960
donna.mcnulty@mci.com

Tracy Hatch, Esq.
AT&T
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 425-6360
thatch@att.com

Lisa A. Sapper +
AT&T
1200 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 8100
Atlanta, GA 30309
Tel. No. (404) 810-7812
lisariley@att.com

Jake E. Jennings +
NewSouth Communications Corp
Two North Main Center
Greenville, SC 29601-2719
Tel. No. 864 672-5877
Fax No. 864 672-5313
jejennings@newsouth.com

Marva Brown Johnson, Esq.
KMC Telecom III, LLC
1755 North Brown Road
Lawrenceville, GA 30034-8119
marva.johnson@kmctelecom.com

Susan S. Masterton, Esq. +
Sprint-Florida, Inc.
Sprint Communications Co. L.P.
1313 Blair Stone Road
P.O. Box 2214
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214
Tel. No. (850) 599-1560
Fax. No. (850) 878-0777
susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Jeffrey J. Binder
Allegiance Telecom of Florida, Inc.
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
Tel. No (202) 464-1792
Jeff.binder@algx.com

Terry Larkin ☒
Allegiance Telecom, Inc.
700 East Butterfield Road
Lombard, IL 60148
Phone: (630) 522-6453
terry.larkin@alqx.com

Jean Houck ☒
Business Telecom, Inc.
4300 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27609
Tel. No. (919) 863-7325
jean.houck@bttelecom.net

Jonathan Audu ☒
Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Supra Telecommunications
1311 Executive Center Drive
Suite 220
Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027
Tel. No. (850) 402-0510
Fax. No. (850) 402-0522
jonathan.audu@stis.com

Margaret Ring, Director
Regulatory Affairs
Network Telephone Corporation
815 S. Palafox St.
Pensacola, FL 32501
850-465-1748
Margaret.Ring@networktelephone.net


Tier 3 Communications
Kim Brown
2235 First Street, Suite 217
Ft. Myers, FL 33901-2981
Phone: (239) 689-0000
Fax: (239) 689-0001
Email: steve@tier3communications.net

Jorge Cruz-Bustillo
Assistant General Counsel
Supra Telecommunications & Information
Systems, Inc.
2620 S.W. 27th Avenue
Miami, FL 33133
Phone: (305) 476-4252
Fax: (305) 443-1078
jorge.cruz-bustillo@stis.com

AT&T by E-Mail only: +
soniadaniels@att.com

Richard Chapkis/Kimberly Caswell
Verizon Florida Inc.
P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, FL 33601-0110
Phone: (813) 483-1256
Fax: (813) 273-9825
Email: richard.chapkis@verizon.com

Matthew Feil (+)
Scott Kassman
FDN Communications
390 North Orange Avenue
Suite 2000
Orlando, FL 32801-1640
Tel. No. 407 835-0460
Fax No. 407 835-0309
mfeil@mail.fdn.com
skassman@mail.fdn.com


Nancy B. White (KA)

(+)signed Protective Agreement
(*) via Hand Delivery
(☒) via E-mail only

1 **BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.**
2 **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KENNETH L. AINSWORTH**
3 **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**
4 **DOCKET NO. 030851-TP**
5 **DECEMBER 4, 2003**

6
7 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND YOUR**
8 **POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.**
9 **("BELLSOUTH").**

10
11 **A. My name is Ken L. Ainsworth. My business address is 675 West Peachtree**
12 **Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. My title is Director – Interconnection Operations**
13 **for BellSouth.**

14
15 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE WITH**
16 **BELLSOUTH.**

17
18 **A. I have over thirty-five years experience in the telecommunications industry. My**
19 **experience covers a wide range of network centers as well as outside plant**
20 **construction. Specifically, I have managed and/or supported the following**
21 **network centers: Switching Control Center, Special Service Center, Central**
22 **Office Operations, Access Customer Advocate Center, Facility Management**
23 **Administrative Center, Circuit Order Control Center, Network Operations Center,**
24 **Major Account Center, 911 Center and the Customer Wholesale Interconnection**
25 **Network Services Center. In addition, I deployed the Work Force Administration**

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12409 DEC-4 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1 ("WFA") system, which is used by these centers to track the status of certain
2 activities performed by BellSouth's Network personnel. I am currently a Director
3 for Interconnection Services directly supporting the Local Carrier Service Center
4 ("LCSC") and Customer Wholesale Interconnection Services ("CWINS") Centers
5 regarding pre-ordering, ordering, provisioning and maintenance activities for the
6 wholesale market. I have participated in and provided technical assistance to
7 numerous Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") workshops on issues
8 dealing with pre-ordering, ordering, provisioning and maintenance of resold
9 services and unbundled network elements.

10
11 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

12
13 A. My testimony will demonstrate two main points: (1) BellSouth has in place a
14 proven, seamless, high quality individual hot cut process to handle unbundled
15 loop ("UNE-L") volumes likely to result if BellSouth obtains full relief from
16 unbundled circuit switching; and (2) BellSouth has in place a batch hot cut
17 process that provides additional ordering efficiencies and the same proven,
18 seamless, quality migrations as individual hot cuts to convert the embedded base
19 of Unbundled Network Element Platform ("UNE-P") arrangements to UNE-L
20 arrangements if BellSouth obtains full relief from unbundled circuit switching.

21
22 Q. WHAT ISSUES ON THE FLORIDA ISSUES LIST DOES YOUR TESTIMONY
23 ADDRESS?

24
25 A. Issue 3 in its entirety.

1 Q. BASED ON THE VOLUME OF TESTIMONY FILED ON THE HOT CUT ISSUE,
2 SHOULD THE COMMISSION INFER THAT A "HOT CUT" IS A DIFFICULT OR
3 CUMBERSOME PROCESS?
4

5 A. Absolutely not. A hot cut, simply defined, is moving a jumper from one location
6 to another. The hot cut itself involves basic network functions and skills that are
7 used repeatedly in BellSouth's network every day. The extensive number of
8 customers being served in Florida by a combination of a BellSouth loop and a
9 CLEC switch demonstrates that BellSouth has a hot cut process that works.
10

11 Q. HAS THE COMMISSION REVIEWED BELLSOUTH'S HOT CUT PROCESS
12 BEFORE?
13

14 A. Yes. This portion of the case should be familiar to the Commission. The
15 Commission expended a great deal of time and energy reviewing the ordering
16 and provisioning of hot cuts in BellSouth's 271 case. In that case, the
17 Commission found that BellSouth provides CLECs nondiscriminatory access to
18 UNE loops, provided via a hot cut process.
19

20 I. **BELLSOUTH'S HOT CUT PROCESSES**
21

22 A. **General Overview of BellSouth's Different Hot Cut Processes**
23

24 Q. GENERALLY, WHAT TYPES OF HOT CUT PROCESSES AND WHAT TYPES
25 OF COORDINATION LEVELS DOES BELLSOUTH OFFER CLECS?

1 A. BellSouth provides three (3) different hot cut processes and three (3) different
2 levels of coordination. Despite this variety of service offerings, however, the
3 actual hot cut remains a simple, straightforward task – and a task BellSouth can
4 perform at high volumes with a high degree of accuracy and speed.

5
6 Q. WHAT ARE THE THREE (3) DIFFERENT TYPES OF HOT CUT PROCESSES
7 BELLSOUTH OFFERS?

8
9 A. BellSouth offers CLECs the following types of hot cuts: (1) individual hot cuts; (2)
10 project hot cuts; and (3) batch hot cuts.

11
12 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE INDIVIDUAL, PROJECT, AND BATCH HOT
13 CUT PROCESSES.

14
15 A. An individual hot cut service request is for a particular end-user account and is
16 available for both residence and business service lines. Service requests for
17 individual accounts may include single or multiple lines. Simply put, the
18 individual account service request will process a single order for a single end-
19 user.

20
21 The project hot cut is for cuts involving 15 or more lines to a single end-user. To
22 ensure an efficient cut, BellSouth involves a project manager to coordinate the
23 different work functions. The criteria for project hot cuts can be found at
24 http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/guides/html/other_guides.html

25

1 The batch hot cut service request (which is interchangeably referred to as the
2 “bulk” migration process) provides efficient processing for large volume
3 migrations of UNE-P service to UNE-L service and is particularly suited to the
4 migration of an embedded base of UNE-P circuits to UNE-L circuits. The batch
5 hot cut process applies to migrations of multiple accounts for the same service
6 type within a specific wire center. The batch process combines ordering
7 efficiencies and project management support with a proven hot cut provisioning
8 process. BellSouth’s batch hot cut process can be found at
9 <http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/guides/unedocs/BulkManpkg.pdf>

10
11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF COORDINATION
12 BELLSOUTH OFFERS AND THE PROCESSES TO WHICH THEY APPLY.

13
14 A. BellSouth offers CLECs three (3) hot cut coordination levels: (1) coordinated /
15 time specific, (2) coordinated, and (3) non-coordinated.

16
17 **COORDINATED / TIME SPECIFIC** hot cuts require BellSouth to convert the
18 CLEC account on a specific date and at a specific time designated by the CLEC.

19
20 When the CLEC elects this option, BellSouth contacts the requesting CLEC 24 to
21 48 hours prior to the due date to verify that BellSouth’s service order information
22 agrees with the CLEC’s request. At that time, BellSouth also confirms no
23 jeopardy situation exists (for either the CLEC or for BellSouth), validates the
24 specific conversion time requested, and provides to the CLEC the status of any
25 dial tone test (that is, BellSouth’s test of dial tone provided by the CLEC’s

1 switch).

2
3 On the due date, the CWINS Center contacts the CLEC prior to the established
4 conversion time for a final validation that the migration is still a "go". The
5 BellSouth CWINS technician communicates with the BellSouth's Network groups
6 at the specified conversion time and makes the execution request to perform the
7 hot cut. The CWINS technician stays on the call, awaiting Network completion
8 notification. When the technician in BellSouth's Network group completes the hot
9 cut, that technician notifies the CWINS technician who documents the hot cut
10 completion. At this point, the hot cut is complete in BellSouth's network.

11
12 Once the hot cut is complete, the CWINS technician attempts to notify the CLEC
13 for acceptance of the order. "Acceptance" means that the CLEC agrees that the
14 order has been fulfilled successfully and that it is appropriate for BellSouth to
15 close the order as complete. Once BellSouth confirms CLEC acceptance, or
16 default acceptance occurs (e.g., BellSouth never hears back from the CLEC), the
17 pending service orders are completed in BellSouth's systems by the CWINS
18 technician.

19
20 Coordinated/Time Specific is available for individual and project hot cuts.

21
22 COORDINATED hot cuts require BellSouth to convert the CLEC's customer
23 account on a date specified by the CLEC and a best effort time frame negotiated
24 by the parties. For coordinated hot cuts, BellSouth contacts the requesting
25 CLEC 24 to 48 hours prior to the due date to verify that BellSouth's service order

1 information agrees with the CLEC's request. At that time, BellSouth also
2 confirms no jeopardy situation exists (either for the CLEC or for BellSouth) and
3 provides to the CLEC the status of any dial tone test performed (that is,
4 BellSouth's test of dial tone from the CLEC's switch). Finally, during this call
5 during the 24 to 48 hours prior to the due date, the parties verify the targeted
6 time frame on the due date that the hot cut will be performed.

7
8 On the due date, CWINS will contact the CLEC prior to the conversion time for a
9 final validation that the migration is still a "go". The BellSouth CWINS technician
10 communicates with BellSouth's Network group prior to the conversion being
11 started. Once all BellSouth personnel are in communication, the CWINS
12 technician will make the execution request to perform the hot cut and stay on the
13 call, awaiting Network completion notification. When the Network technician
14 completes the hot cut, that technician notifies the CWINS technician who
15 documents the completion. At this point, the hot cut is complete within
16 BellSouth's network. The CWINS technician then attempts to notify the CLEC for
17 acceptance. As discussed earlier, acceptance in this sense means that the
18 CLEC agrees that the order has been fulfilled successfully and that is appropriate
19 that BellSouth close the order as complete. Once CLEC acceptance is
20 confirmed or default acceptance occurs, the pending service orders are
21 completed by the CWINS technician.

22
23 Coordinated service is available on individual, project, and batch hot cuts.

24
25 NON-COORDINATED hot cut requests are converted by BellSouth's Network

1 personnel during normal business hours (8 a.m. – 5 p.m.) at various times on the
2 due date based on the Network technicians' work load activity and schedule.

3
4 Once BellSouth network personnel complete the non-coordinated hot cut, the
5 technician completes the work order that, in turn, generates a notification (either
6 by facsimile or by e-mail) to the CLEC that the conversion is complete.

7
8 Non-coordinated service is available on individual, project, and batch hot cuts.

9
10 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE BENEFITS OF EACH COORDINATION LEVEL.

11
12 A. COORDINATED/TIME SPECIFIC hot cuts allow CLECS to schedule conversions
13 at a CLEC-requested time on the due date. This gives the CLEC an opportunity
14 to schedule a specific conversion time with certain end-user customers based on
15 the business needs of the CLEC or the end-user. The coordinated / time specific
16 hot cut is the most detailed of the three (3) types of conversions and, as the FCC
17 held, is not something BellSouth is required to "provide at no charge."

18 *Georgia/Louisiana Order, ¶ 222.*

19
20 COORDINATED hot cuts assure the highest level of monitoring and interaction
21 by BellSouth with the CLEC during the provisioning process culminating in direct
22 completion notification at the completion of the conversion activity. The
23 coordinated hot cut allows CLECs the added value of the coordination functions
24 and direct notification and acceptance activities at the conclusion of the
25 conversion. When CLECs desire coordination assurances, direct notification and

1 acceptance opportunities, the coordinated conversion would be a good choice.

2
3 NON-COORDINATED hot cuts, as suggested by the name, provide basic hot cut
4 conversion processing without coordination functionality. This is not meant to
5 suggest that BellSouth's provisioning activities are not internally coordinated for
6 this type hot cut, because they are. However, BellSouth does not coordinate its
7 conversion activities with the CLEC at the time of the hot cut. This type of hot cut
8 allows a CLEC to convert its end-user from BellSouth's switch to the CLEC's
9 switch over an unbundled loop (that is, the UNE-L) at the lowest possible cost to
10 the CLEC. Network non-coordinated provisioning functions are still performed by
11 BellSouth's Network personnel to assure a quality conversion. Completion
12 notification is triggered by service order activity completion by Network
13 personnel, which propagates either a facsimile or e-mail conversion completion
14 notification (as specified by the CLEC) to the CLEC.

15
16 **B. BellSouth's Individual Hot Cut Process**

17
18 **Q. HAS THE COMMISSION REVIEWED BELLSOUTH'S INDIVIDUAL HOT CUT**
19 **PROCESS BEFORE?**

20
21 **A. Absolutely. As I mentioned briefly at the outset, this Commission, as well as the**
22 **FCC, reviewed BellSouth's hot cut process during BellSouth's 271 applications**
23 **and determined that BellSouth's hot cut process provided CLECs with**
24 **nondiscriminatory access to unbundled loops. The provisioning process I**
25 **discuss here is the same process reviewed during the 271 case.**

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN BELLSOUTH'S INDIVIDUAL HOT CUT PROCESS.

2

3 A. BellSouth has a seamless individual hot cut process that ensures minimal end-
4 user service outage. A flow-chart of the individual hot cut process is attached to
5 my testimony as Exhibit KLA-1. BellSouth's process provides for the following:

6

- 7 1. Pre-wiring and pre-testing of all wiring prior to the due date
- 8 2. Verification of dial tone from the CLEC's switch
- 9 3. Verification of correct telephone number from the BellSouth and CLEC
10 switch using a capability referred to as Automatic Number Announcement
11 ("ANAC")
- 12 4. Monitoring of the line prior to actual wire transfer to ensure end-user
13 service is not interrupted
- 14 5. Notification to the CLEC that the transfer has completed

15

16 In addition to the activities listed above, coordinated hot cuts (including
17 coordinated/time specific hot cuts) also include:

18

- 19 1. Notification to the CLEC of CLEC wiring errors, dial tone, or ANI problems
- 20 2. Verification of end-user information with the CLEC prior to the conversion
- 21 3. Verification with the CLEC of cut date and or time 24 – 48 hours prior to
22 the conversion date
- 23 4. Joint acceptance testing, if requested by the CLEC.

24

25 Q. DOES BELLSOUTH CHECK FOR DIAL TONE PRIOR TO A HOT CUT?

1 A. Yes. BellSouth's processes require that a dial tone check be performed prior to a
2 hot cut. Hot cuts involving designed loops are tested for CLEC dial tone 24-48
3 hours before due date. If no dial tone is found, the CWINS Center technician
4 notifies the CLEC of the problem in order for the CLEC to have time to correct
5 the problem prior to the due date and not jeopardize the hot cut. Coordinated hot
6 cuts involving non-designed loops are tested for CLEC dial tone by the central
7 office ("CO") technician when they perform the pre-wiring for the hot cut. If no
8 dial tone is found, the CO technician places the order in jeopardy and the CWINS
9 technician notifies the CLEC of the problem in order for the CLEC to have time to
10 correct the problem prior to the due date and not jeopardize the hot cut.

11

12 For non-coordinated hot cuts, BellSouth checks for dial tone before the due date
13 but does not require CLEC notification of a no dial tone problem. BellSouth's CO
14 personnel check for CLEC dial tone when they perform pre-due date wiring
15 functions. The CO technician places the order in jeopardy if no CLEC dial tone is
16 present. The BellSouth CO technician checks again for CLEC dial tone on due
17 date and if dial tone is present, the CO technician performs the hot cut. If on the
18 due date, there is no CLEC dial tone, the hot cut does not go forward and the
19 BellSouth technician codes the order as a Missed Appointment ("MA") due to
20 CLEC problems. The CLEC is then notified, (either electronically, if the CLEC
21 placed its Local Service Request ("LSR") electronically, or by fax if the CLEC
22 placed its LSR manually), that the order is in MA status and that the CLEC must
23 either supplement its order for a new due date or cancel its order. Even in non-
24 coordinated cuts, the customer is not taken out of service if there is no dial tone
25 on the receiving end of the cut.

1 Regardless of which type of hot cut is ordered by the CLEC, BellSouth also
2 performs a check for CLEC dial tone immediately prior to the hot cut to ensure
3 that dial tone is present.
4

5 **Q. DOES THE HOT CUT PROCESS CAUSE SERVICE DISRUPTIONS? IF SO,**
6 **DOES THAT MEAN THAT BELLSOUTH'S PROCESS IS NOT SEAMLESS?**
7

8 **A. The very nature of a hot cut is that there is a physical transfer of the loop facility**
9 **serving the end-user from the existing central office switch (that is, BellSouth's**
10 **switch) to the CLEC's switch. This physical transfer interrupts dial tone and the**
11 **end-users ability to place or receive calls during this process only during the time**
12 **the loop is disconnected from BellSouth's switch but is not yet connected to the**
13 **CLEC's switch. Due to the pre-conversion work that BellSouth performs before**
14 **the actual transfer from switch to switch, the average conversion time to make**
15 **this physical transfer since January 2003 has only averaged 2:39 minutes in**
16 **Florida according to BellSouth Service Quality Measurements ("SQM") reports.**
17 **This indicates the end-user would only be without calling capability for only 2:39**
18 **minutes. The CLEC performs required number porting activities once the**
19 **transfer from BellSouth's switch to the CLEC's switch is effectuated. BellSouth**
20 **witness Mr. Varner will discuss the specifics of performance data.**
21

22 **Q. PLEASE ADDRESS HOW THE PROCESS CHANGES WHEN COSMIC**
23 **FRAMES OR MULTIPLE FRAMES ARE INVOLVED IN THE CUT.**
24
25

1 A. First, let me explain that the so-called "COSMIC" frame is a newer style modular
2 Main Distributing Frame ("MDF") whose assignment records are housed in a
3 system called SWITCH/FOMS ("Frame Order Management System"). Using a
4 "punch down tool" on this style frame, temporary connections referred to as
5 "jumpers" are made by punching the jumper wire onto special terminals that strip
6 the insulation and cut off any excess jumper wire in one stroke. This takes less
7 time than for older style frames that required soldered connections or so-called
8 "wire wrapped" connections. Wire wrapped connections required a special tool
9 that wound the jumper wire around a metal terminal once the technician had
10 removed the plastic insulation from the jumper wire. SWITCH/FOMS also
11 contains assignment algorithms meant to minimize the length of jumpers
12 connecting loops and switch ports thereby reducing work times required to place
13 jumpers. Thus, work times to complete required activities for an unbundled loop
14 order and the number of wiring connections that have to be made in the CO vary
15 depending on the frame type and/or the location of the demarcation point in a
16 particular CO between BellSouth's network and the CLEC's collocation
17 arrangement. The location of the demarcation influences work times because
18 the placement of the demarcation affects the total quantity of jumpers that
19 BellSouth's technicians must place to effectuate the transfer of an unbundled
20 loop. Non-designed loops can require from 1 to 3 jumpers to make the
21 connection from the CLEC demarcation point to the loops appearance on the
22 MDF while designed loops can require from 2 to 6 jumpers to make this
23 connection. Regardless of the arrangement, all of the jumpers are installed prior
24 to the actual hot cut occurring.

25

1 Q. HOW IS A CLEC NOTIFIED THAT BELLSOUTH HAS COMPLETED ITS
2 PORTION OF THE HOT CUT AND THAT THE CLEC SHOULD COMMENCE
3 ACTIVITIES TO PORT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER FROM BELLSOUTH'S
4 NETWORK TO THE CLEC'S NETWORK?
5

6 A. For coordinated hot cut conversions, the CLEC is directly notified by a telephone
7 call from CWINS Center personnel. This notification occurs after the conversion
8 is complete and takes place. From October 2002 to September 2003, BellSouth
9 averaged 1:35 minutes to notify the CLEC to port the number after the
10 conversions were completed. Exhibit KLA-2 sets forth the notification times for
11 the past year.
12

13 For non-coordinated conversions, BellSouth notifies the CLEC via facsimile or e-
14 mail (whichever the CLEC requests) at the completion of BellSouth's Network
15 technician's work activity. Remember, however, that non-coordinated hot cuts
16 only are an option for the CLEC for whom economics are of the utmost
17 importance. For CLECs who want virtually real-time notification, BellSouth
18 provides that option as well.
19

20 Q. WHEN DOES CLEC ACCEPTANCE OCCUR IN THE HOT CUT PROCESS?
21

22 A. Once BellSouth confirms CLEC acceptance, the BellSouth CWINS technician
23 completes the pending service orders in BellSouth's systems. The service order
24 also is completed in BellSouth's system if a default acceptance condition occurs.
25 Specifically, if the CLEC is notified before 3:00 p.m. that the hot cut is complete,

1 the CLEC has until 6:00 P.M. to accept. If the CLEC is notified of completion
2 after 3:00 P.M., the CLEC has until 12:00 P.M. of the next business day to accept
3 the hot-cut. If the hot-cut is not accepted within these timeframes, the orders are
4 closed by default acceptance.

5
6 Q. DOES THE HOT CUT PROCESS HAVE ANY NEGATIVE IMPACT ON E911,
7 NUMBER PORTABILITY ADMINISTRATION CENTER ("NPAC"),
8 PROVISIONING, REPAIR, BILLING, OR OTHER DATABASES?

9
10 A. No. Updates to the E911 database are triggered by disconnect orders closed in
11 Service Order Communication System ("SOCS"). These same disconnect
12 completions, along with the completion of all related orders, update all customer
13 service records in the downstream systems including the provisioning, repair and
14 billing information databases. BellSouth's process has no negative impact on the
15 NPAC database. Once the conversion orders are issued, BellSouth places a
16 concur message in the Local Number Portability ("LNP") gateway awaiting the
17 CLECs' subscription to create the port. Once the gateway receives the create
18 message from the CLEC, BellSouth will return the concur message that is
19 already pending in the gateway. This process allows the CLEC to activate the
20 port on the agreed upon date.

21
22 Q. IS BELL SOUTH'S INDIVIDUAL HOT CUT PROCESS EFFECTIVE?

23
24 A. Yes. This Commission and the FCC confirmed the effectiveness of BellSouth's
25 hot cut process during BellSouth's Section 271 Application approval process.

1 This Commission, eight other state commissions, and the FCC all found
2 BellSouth's hot cut process nondiscriminatory, timely, accurate, and effective.
3 Further, BellSouth's hot cut process was reviewed as part of the third party
4 testing performed by KPMG. That testing confirmed that BellSouth adhered to its
5 process.

6
7 Q. WAS THE HOT CUT PROVISIONING PROCESS REVIEWED DURING THE
8 FLORIDA OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SYSTEM ("OSS") THIRD PARTY TEST?

9
10 A. Yes. BearingPoint, formerly KPMG Consulting, did review the hot cut
11 provisioning process during the Florida Test. They assessed it from a process
12 standpoint in the PPR-9 Test Report Section which can be found beginning on
13 page 423 of the Florida Test Final Report. Additionally, they observed live hot
14 cuts both from a BellSouth and a CLEC perspective in the TVV-4 Test Report
15 which can be found beginning on page 448 of the Florida Test Final Report. The
16 evaluation criteria or test points for the hot cut observations can be found
17 beginning on page 458 of the report.

18
19 Q. WHAT WERE THE FINDINGS OF THE FLORIDA TEST FINAL REPORT?

20
21 A. BearingPoint determined that BellSouth had an adequate and effective loop
22 conversion or hot cut process. They found and reported on page 448 that:

23
24 "Loop Conversions (also referred to as Loop Migrations or Hot Cuts) – Existing
25 BellSouth lines are migrated to the ALEC collocation facility inside a BellSouth

1 central office. BellSouth frame technicians migrate the lines at the main
2 distribution frame (MDF) on the due date. The conversion is expected to occur on
3 the Frame Due Date for non-coordinated conversions. During coordinated
4 conversions, the cut occurs on the Frame Due Date and starts at the Frame Due
5 Time (FDT) as indicated on the LSR. Cases involving Integrated Loop Carrier
6 (IDLC) migrations require outside technicians to perform field work on the due
7 date and time.”

8
9 To establish that this process was adequate to migrate CLEC customers,
10 BearingPoint observed live hot cuts. For many of hot cut observations, CLECs
11 conducting business in Florida allowed BearingPoint to observe commercial
12 installations of their orders. Data was also gathered during field inspections of
13 hot cut activities in BellSouth central offices and from the CWINS Center. This
14 data was logged and analyzed to determine if BellSouth’s hot cut process along
15 with its methods and procedures were adequate for the migration of customers
16 from a BellSouth switch to a CLEC switch.

17
18 Beginning on page 458 of the Florida Test Final Report, BearingPoint listed their
19 specific test points or evaluation criteria. First, they assessed whether the
20 BellSouth technicians provisioned hot cuts in accordance with documented
21 methods and procedures. BearingPoint observed live hot cuts and determined
22 that the BellSouth technicians satisfactorily provisioned the hot cuts in
23 accordance with BellSouth documented methods and procedures. Second,
24 BearingPoint assessed BellSouth’s performance from an SQM perspective. To
25 achieve this, BearingPoint evaluated Bellsouth’s ability to meet the coordinated

1 customer conversion interval performance benchmark which is the P-7 SQM.
2 Additionally, BearingPoint assessed the P-7A SQM metric for Coordinated
3 Customer Conversions, the P-3 SQM metric for Percent Missed Installation
4 Appointments, the P-9 SQM metric for Percentage Troubles received within 30
5 Days of Service Order Completion, and the P-7C SQM metric for Percent
6 Provisioning Troubles Received Within Seven Days of a Completed Service
7 Order. For each measure, BearingPoint found that BellSouth indeed exceeded
8 the benchmark or parity standard for the observations that they assessed during
9 the test period. At the end of the testing, BearingPoint was able to confirm the
10 adequacy and effectiveness of BellSouth's hot cut process by rating each of the
11 test points or evaluation criteria as satisfied. This satisfactory rating provides an
12 endorsement for BellSouth's hot cut process.

13
14 Q. IS THERE COMMERCIAL USAGE OF BELLSOUTH'S INDIVIDUAL HOT CUT
15 PROCESS?

16
17 A. Certainly. As the FCC has repeatedly held, the most probative evidence of the
18 availability of a functionality is actual commercial usage. *Bell Atlantic New York*
19 *Order*, at ¶ 89. BellSouth has performed over 300,000 hot cuts between
20 November 2000 and September 2003. Recently, in Florida, BellSouth converted
21 over 200 lines for a single CLEC in one (1) central office on a single day. On the
22 same day, BellSouth converted a total of over 400 lines in six (6) central offices
23 in the same general area for the same CLEC. This level of commercial usage
24 alone demonstrates BellSouth's ability to perform hot cuts at existing and
25 foreseeable volumes.

1 Q. HOW IS BELLSOUTH'S PERFORMANCE ON COORDINATED HOT CUTS?

2

3 A. Superior. BellSouth witness Alphonso Vamer discusses BellSouth's
4 performance in detail, but I can tell you that BellSouth has performed at a very
5 high level of consistency and quality in regards to hot cuts. For the period
6 September 2002 through August 2003, BellSouth performed approximately
7 23,014 coordinated hot cuts in Florida. Of these, 99.92% of the hot cuts were
8 completed within 15 minutes, which exceeds the Commission-approved
9 benchmark of 95%.

10

11 Q. THE FCC INDICATED THAT NEITHER THE STATE'S NOR FCC'S 271
12 APPROVAL IS APPLICABLE TO A SITUATION IN WHICH CLECS WILL NOT
13 HAVE UNBUNDLED CIRCUIT SWITCHING OR UNE-P. DO YOU AGREE?

14

15 A. No. This Commission reviewed BellSouth's hot cut process and determined that
16 it provided CLECs non-discriminatory access to UNE loops. The fact that
17 volumes of UNE loops may increase does not change the fact that BellSouth's
18 process is nondiscriminatory and complies with all of BellSouth's obligations
19 under the Act as this Commission and the FCC confirmed. The Commission
20 does not need to revisit the process -- rather, if the Commission confirms that, as
21 BellSouth witness Mr. Heartley and I demonstrate, BellSouth's process is fully
22 scalable to meet forecasted demands, then the process is compliant.

23

24 C. **BellSouth's Project Hot Cut Process**

25

1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE BELLSOUTH'S PROJECT HOT CUT PROCESS.

2

3 A. Project conversions are available when the CLEC seeks to convert 15 or more
4 lines to the same end-user. When the CLEC requests a project conversion for
5 fifteen or more loops to be provisioned on a single individual order, a CWINS
6 Center technician and a Project Manager are assigned to the order and the order
7 is identified in the WFA system for Due Date tracking. The CWINS Center
8 technician or Project Manager reviews the order for accuracy and queries
9 associated systems for order status. The CWINS Center technician or Project
10 Manager contacts the CLEC prior to the due date to confirm or negotiate the
11 actual due date conversion time. The CWINS Center technician or Project
12 Manager then contacts any associated work group to schedule the conversion.

13

14 On the Due Date, the CWINS technician verifies that the required personnel are
15 scheduled for the conversion time. The CWINS Center technician sets up
16 communications with required conversion personnel to begin service cutover to
17 the CLEC. Upon completion of the cutover activity, the CLEC is notified. With
18 CLEC concurrence, the service order is completed.

19

20 The CWINS Center technician completes the order in BellSouth's systems after
21 concurrence of the CLEC. Any trouble conditions, made known by the CLEC,
22 related to the conversion are resolved with the CLEC before the order is closed.

23

24 Q. IS THE PROVISIONING PROCESS FOR PROJECT HOT CUTS THE SAME AS
25 FOR INDIVIDUAL HOT CUTS?

1 A. Yes. The “*Project Manager Implementation Guidelines*” posted on the Guides
2 website http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/guides/html/other_guides.html,
3 provides product-specific information.
4

5 **D. BellSouth’s Batch Hot Cut Process**
6

7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE BELLSOUTH’S BATCH HOT CUT PROCESS.
8

9 A. BellSouth’s “UNE-P to UNE-L Bulk Migration” is a batch hot cut process that
10 CLECs may use when migrating existing multiple non-complex UNE-P services
11 to a UNE-L offering. The batch hot cut process offers electronic ordering
12 capability and adds project-management services to the basic proven hot cut
13 provisioning process.
14

15 With respect to electronic ordering, CLECS can submit the Bulk Migration
16 Request electronically, which allows the migration of multiple UNE-Ps to a UNE-L
17 offering without submitting individual LSRs. BellSouth witness Mr. Pate
18 describes this ordering mechanism in his direct testimony. I will address the
19 project management services that are included in BellSouth’s batch hot cut
20 process in greater detail below.
21

22 Q. HOW DOES THE BATCH MIGRATION PROCESS WORK?
23

24 A. During the pre-ordering process, the CLEC submits a Notification Form to
25 BellSouth’s CCPM for UNE-P accounts to be converted to UNE-L within a single

1 wire center. The Customer Care Project Manager (“CCPM”) reviews the
2 Notification Form for errors and assigns a Bulk Order Project Identifier (“BOP”) and forwards the Notification Form to the Network Single Point of Contact
3 (“SPOC”) who assigns due dates to accounts and returns the Notification Form to
4 the CCPM, who then returns the Notification Form to the CLEC.
5

6
7 **Q. DURING THE PRE-ORDERING PROCESS, ARE THERE SPECIFIC**
8 **INTERVALS FOR THE RETURN OF THE NOTIFICATION FORM TO THE**
9 **CLEC?**

10
11 **A. Yes. Those intervals are as follows:**

- 12 • Up to 99 Telephone Numbers, 7 business days
- 13 • 100 – 199 Telephone Numbers, 10 business days
- 14 • 200 or more Telephone Numbers, the CCPM will negotiate with SPOC
- 15 • Multiple Batch Requests from multiple CLECs may be submitted
16 simultaneously
- 17 • Maximum Telephone Numbers per Batch Request is $99 \times 25 = 2475$

18
19 **Q. WHEN IS THE FIRST DUE DATE ASSIGNED?**

20
21 **A. The first due date to be assigned by the SPOC will be a minimum of 17 business**
22 **days after the Notification Form is returned to the CLEC. In other words, there**
23 **are 3 days for the CLEC to submit a clean bulk LSR into their electronic system**
24 **and then there is a minimum of 14 days after the LSR is submitted to the first**
25 **service order due date.**

1 The ordering activity is such that the LCSC will use its normal process to handle
2 orders that fall out for manual or partial handling.

3

4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ROLE THE PROJECT MANAGER PLAYS IN THE**
5 **BATCH MIGRATION PROCESS AND THE EFFICIENCIES GAINED FROM**
6 **PROJECT-MANAGEMENT.**

7

8 **A. The role of the project manager in the batch migration process is to be the SPOC**
9 **as the liaison between the CLEC and network operations. They coordinate due**
10 **dates, advise of potential delays or problems, and advise of completion of the**
11 **project. In the batch hot cut provisioning process, the BellSouth CCPM provides**
12 **CWINS and the network operations group with notification of planned bulk**
13 **activity, monitors status of the order(s), interfaces with the CLEC and Bellsouth**
14 **groups during the process, and tracks orders and the project until it is complete.**
15 **The project manager is the party responsible in the first instance for ensuring**
16 **successful completion of the process.**

17

18 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROVISIONING PROCESS IN THE BATCH**
19 **MIGRATION PROCESS.**

20

21 **A. The batch hot cut process provisioning process is the same as the individual hot**
22 **cut provisioning process. The benefits of this are obvious – the CLEC is afforded**
23 **access to the same nondiscriminatory, 271-compliant process that this**
24 **Commission approved only last fall.**

25

1 Q. WILL BELLSOUTH PROVIDE THE CLEC A WINDOW OF TIME WITHIN
2 WHICH BATCH HOT CUTS WILL BE COMPLETED?

3

4 A. Yes. Because the batch hot cut process provides the assistance of the CCPM, a
5 CLEC may request, through the project manager, that some of their coordinated
6 conversions, such as business accounts, be converted within a specified window
7 of time. The project manager will work with the centers and network groups to
8 make best efforts to accommodate the request.

9

10 A CLEC also may request work outside normal business hours, to be handled on
11 a special project basis and negotiated through a CCPM. As with all special
12 projects, this work would be subject to overtime billing as specified in the parties'
13 interconnection agreement.

14

15 Q. IS THE BATCH HOT CUT PROCESS MORE EFFICIENT FOR THE
16 CONVERSION OF AN EMBEDDED BASE OF UNE-P ORDERS TO UNE-L
17 ORDERS?

18

19 A. Yes, because it was designed specifically to handle large conversions of UNE-P
20 to UNE-L such as will be accomplished in the conversion of the embedded base.

21

22 Q. IS THERE COMMERCIAL USAGE OF BELLSOUTH'S BATCH HOT CUT
23 PROCESS?

24

25

1 A. Yes. Since bulk migration has been made available, there has been limited
2 activity requested by the CLECs. However, at the time of this filing, BellSouth
3 currently has a total of five (5) bulk migration requests pending. Four (4) bulk
4 migration requests have been successfully ordered and completed.

5
6 Q. IN ADDITION TO OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCIES, ARE THERE RATE
7 ADVANTAGES TO THE BATCH PROCESS?

8
9 A. Yes. The rate for the batch hot cut is discussed in the testimony of BellSouth
10 witness John Ruscilli.

11
12 Q. DOES BELLSOUTH'S BATCH HOT CUT PROCESS INCLUDE LOOPS
13 SERVED BY INTEGRATED DIGITAL LOOP CARRIER ("IDLC")?

14
15 A. Yes. IDLC is a special version of DLC that does not require a host terminal in the
16 central office, sometimes referred to as the COT, but instead terminates the
17 digital transmission facilities directly into the central office switch. In its Texas
18 271 Decision, the FCC found that "the BOC must provide competitors with
19 access to unbundled loops regardless of whether the BOC uses integrated digital
20 loop carrier (IDLC) technology or similar remote concentration devices for the
21 particular loops sought by the competitor." Memorandum Opinion and Order,
22 *Application by SBC Communications Inc., et al., Pursuant to Section 271 of*
23 *Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in*
24 *Texas*, 15 FCC Rcd 18354, ¶ 248 (2000) ("*Texas Order*"). BellSouth provides
25 access to such IDLC loops via the following methods:

- 1 ● **Alternative 1:** If sufficient physical copper pairs are available, BellSouth
2 will reassign the loop from the IDLC system to a physical copper pair.
- 3 ● **Alternative 2:** Where the loops are served by Next Generation Digital Loop
4 Carrier (“NGDLC”) systems, BellSouth will “groom” the integrated loops to
5 form a virtual Remote Terminal (“RT”) arranged for universal service (that
6 is, a terminal which can accommodate both switched and private line
7 circuits). “Grooming” is the process of arranging certain loops (in the input
8 stage of the NGDLC) in such a way that discrete groups of multiplexed
9 loops may be assigned to transmission facilities (in the output stage of the
10 NGDLC). Both of the NGDLC systems currently approved for use in
11 BellSouth’s network have “grooming” capabilities.
- 12 ● **Alternative 3:** BellSouth will remove the loop distribution pair from the
13 IDLC and re-terminate the pair to either a spare metallic loop feeder pair
14 (copper pair) or to spare universal digital loop carrier equipment in the
15 loop feeder route or Carrier Serving Area (“CSA”). For two-wire Integrated
16 Services Digital Network (“ISDN”) loops, the Universal Digital Loop Carrier
17 (“UDLC”) facilities will be made available through the use of Conklin
18 BRITEmux or Fitel-PMX 8uMux equipment.
- 19 ● **Alternative 4:** BellSouth will remove the loop distribution pair from the
20 IDLC and re-terminate the pair to utilize spare capacity of existing
21 Integrated Network Access (“INA”) systems or other existing IDLC that
22 terminates on Digital Cross-connect System (“DCS”) equipment.
23 BellSouth will thereby route the requested unbundled loop channel to a
24 channel bank where it can be de-multiplexed for delivery to the requesting
25 CLEC or for termination in a DLC channel bank in the central office for

1 concentration and subsequent delivery to the requesting CLEC.

- 2 • **Alternative 5:** When IDLC terminates at a switch peripheral that is capable
3 of serving “side-door/hairpin” capabilities, BellSouth will utilize this switch
4 functionality. The loop will remain terminated directly into the switch while
5 the “side-door/hairpin” capabilities allow the loop to be provided
6 individually to the requesting CLEC.
- 7 • **Alternative 6:** If a given IDLC system is not served by a switch peripheral
8 that is capable of side-door/hairpin functionality, BellSouth will move the
9 IDLC system to switch peripheral equipment that is side-door capable.
- 10 • **Alternative 7:** BellSouth will install and activate new UDLC facilities or
11 NGDLC facilities and then move the requested loop from the IDLC to
12 these new facilities. In the case of UDLC, if growth will trigger activation of
13 additional capacity within two years, BellSouth will activate new UDLC
14 capacity to the distribution area. In the case of NGDLC, if channel banks
15 are available for growth in the CSA, BellSouth will activate NGDLC unless
16 the DLC enclosure is a cabinet already wired for older vintage DLC
17 systems.
- 18 • **Alternative 8:** When it is expected that growth will not create the need for
19 additional capacity within the next two years, BellSouth will convert some
20 existing IDLC capacity to UDLC.

21
22 The eight (8) alternatives for giving a CLEC access to loops served by IDLC
23 listed above are listed in order of complexity, time, and cost to implement. The
24 simplest is listed first and the most complex, lengthy, and costly to implement
25 listed last. Also, Alternative 1 and the copper loop solution of Alternative 3 do not

1 add additional Analog to Digital conversions. When a CLEC orders a loop,
2 BellSouth delivers that loop to the specifications ordered by the CLEC. Thus,
3 ordinarily BellSouth chooses the method for delivering the loop meeting the
4 ordered specification without involving the CLEC.

5
6 **Q. WHAT HAPPENS IF ONLY ALTERNATIVES 7 OR 8 ARE AVAILABLE?**

7
8 **A.** In that scenario, which BellSouth anticipates occurring very infrequently,
9 BellSouth will provide the CLEC two choices – the CLEC may pay special
10 construction charges to build the necessary facilities, or BellSouth will provide the
11 CLEC a UNE-P at the TELRIC rate. BellSouth only will make the second of
12 these options available in those areas in which it receives relief from unbundled
13 switching.

14
15 **Q. HAS THIS COMMISSION REVIEWED THESE EIGHT (8) ALTERNATIVES**
16 **PREVIOUSLY?**

17
18 **A.** Yes. All nine of BellSouth's states and the FCC considered and approved these
19 eight (8) alternatives for providing unbundled loops served via IDLC during
20 BellSouth's Section 271 applications.

21
22 **a. SCALABILITY OF BELL SOUTH'S HOT CUT PROCESSES**

1 Q. IS BELLSOUTH'S INDIVIDUAL AND/OR BATCH HOT CUT PROCESS
2 SCALABLE TO MEET LOAD DEMAND THAT MIGHT RESULT IF BELLSOUTH
3 RECEIVES UNBUNDLED SWITCHING RELIEF?
4

5 A. Absolutely. BellSouth's systems and processes are scalable and the capacity of
6 those systems and processes may be readily increased as demand warrants. I
7 will address the scalability of the centers involved in the hot cut process, while
8 BellSouth witnesses Pate and Heartley address the scalability of the OSS and
9 network forces, respectively.
10

11 BellSouth's performance measurements demonstrate that BellSouth's LCSC and
12 CWINS organizations are staffed sufficiently to handle the current volumes of
13 unbundled loop orders. They also establish that BellSouth has scaled its
14 resources as necessary to handle changes in volumes of such orders over the
15 years. More fundamentally, the outstanding performance of the LCSC and
16 CWINS in handling both steady growth and spikes in demand makes clear that
17 BellSouth will continue to staff its LCSC and CWINS organizations sufficiently to
18 handle any reasonably foreseeable demand for hot cut conversions.
19

20 Finally, BellSouth has a strong incentive to ensure that the LCSC and CWINS
21 are adequately staffed to meet demand for all order types, including hot cut loops
22 in that BellSouth remains subject to penalties and voluntary payments under its
23 Self Effectuating Enforcement Measurements ("SEEMs") plan for performance
24 failures.
25

1 Q. FOR WHAT VOLUME LEVELS ARE THE CENTERS CURRENTLY STAFFED?

2

3 A. Current staffing of the LCSC and CWINS were predicated on expectation of
4 higher UNE loop conversion volumes than currently exist. There are three (3)
5 dedicated LCSCs (located in Atlanta, Georgia, Birmingham, Alabama and
6 Fleming Island, Florida) serving the CLEC community for preordering and
7 ordering. Further, there are two (2) dedicated CWINS operational centers
8 (located in Birmingham and Fleming Island) to perform hot cut coordination,
9 when required. These operational groups have currently redirected resources
10 due to lower than expected UNE conversion volumes. That means these
11 operational groups have the available capacity to reallocate these personnel at
12 such time that the UNE conversion volumes increase.

13

14 Q. CAN CENTERS PERSONNEL BE REALLOCATED AS PRODUCT DEMAND
15 CHANGES WITHOUT ADDITIONAL STAFFING?

16

17 A. Yes. The LCSC and CWINS personnel provide support across the entire range
18 of wholesale products and services BellSouth makes available. Any increase in
19 hot cut volumes resulting from the absence of UNE switching presumably would
20 be accompanied by a decrease in order types that rely on UNE switching (i.e.,
21 UNE-P), such that the resources currently dedicated to one could then be
22 devoted to the other. Initially, LCSC service reps are hired and trained in a single
23 product type, for example, residential resale or simple business resale or UNE-P.
24 As service representatives become more proficient with their initial discipline,
25 additional training to handle other types of order requests is provided. With this

1 cross training, many LCSC service representatives are able to handle multiple
2 types of service order requests thus enabling the LCSC organization to move
3 service representatives from one function to another. CWINS employees
4 complete various levels of technical classroom training, in addition to receiving
5 CWINS-specific training on the CLEC products or functions they are assigned to
6 support. CWINS employees therefore are capable of handling provisioning,
7 maintenance, and repair functions for a variety of wholesale products with
8 minimal additional on-the-job training. The CWINS reallocates its employees
9 among products as necessary to handle shift in demand.

10
11 Q. IF UNBUNDLED CIRCUIT SWITCHING IS ELIMINATED IN CERAIN AREAS,
12 HOW WILL BELLSOUTH MEET THE DEMAND?

13
14 A. The LCSC and CWINS organizations use sophisticated force models to ensure
15 that their operations are adequately staffed to meet anticipated CLEC demand.
16 BellSouth's sustained level of performance for both UNE loops and hot cuts
17 validates that the current force models have been successful in meeting CLEC
18 service order demand with quality and reliability.

19
20 Q. DID BELLSOUTH DO A FORCE MODEL TO ANTICIPATE STAFFING NEEDS
21 ASSUMING THE ELIMINATION OF UNBUNDLED CIRCUIT SWITCHING?

22
23 A. Yes. Using an estimated volume of UNE-L orders that I will discuss later,
24 BellSouth ran the centers force model to determine anticipated staffing needs
25 assuming a worst case scenario.

1 Q. DOES BELLSOUTH OBTAIN CLEC FORECASTS TO ASSIST IN SCALING ITS
2 WORK FORCE?

3

4 A. BellSouth attempts to obtain such forecasts. Accurate and timely CLEC
5 forecasts help BellSouth plan for future hot cut volumes, but are not required for
6 the operation of its force models. CLECs are requested to provide a forecasted
7 number of unbundled loops a minimum of 30 days prior to submitting their first
8 unbundled loop order. After CLECs order their first unbundled loop, BellSouth
9 requests six-month interval forecasts by unbundled loop type and wire center.
10 Accurate and timely forecast information is helpful in assisting BellSouth meet
11 projected hot cut volumes; however, BellSouth force models are not dependent
12 upon receipt of such forecasts because CLECs generally do not provide such
13 forecasts.

14

15 Rather, as noted above, the force models automatically factor demand
16 projections based on historical trends into LCSC/CWINS staffing requirements.
17 BellSouth makes adjustments, as necessary, to handle sudden increases in
18 volume – and undertakes hiring initiatives as soon as it becomes apparent that
19 additional resources will be necessary to handle anticipated future demand.
20 Nonetheless, CLECs could help BellSouth anticipate and fulfill future staffing
21 needs by providing timely and accurate forecasts, especially for substantial
22 increases in volumes.

23

24 Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY “WORST CASE” SCENARIO?

25

1 A. I am not using the term “worst case” in a negative or judgmental manner.
2 Rather, I am using it simply to refer to the maximum amount of hot cuts that the
3 LCSCs and CWINS Centers would reasonably be expected to handle if the
4 following were to occur:
5 1. This Commission finds that CLECs are not impaired without unbundled
6 switching (and thus, UNE-Ps) in any market in BellSouth’s nine-state region.
7 2. CLECs decide to convert the totality of their UNE-P base to unbundled loops
8 attached to the CLECs’ switches rather than BellSouth’s switches.
9 3. UNE-P growth and UNE-L growth is maintained throughout the relevant
10 period for the absolute highest volumes of each that has occurred at any time
11 in the last 33 months that BellSouth has maintained records.

12
13 Q. WHAT MONTHLY VOLUME OF UNE-P TO UNE-L CONVERSIONS RESULTS
14 FROM YOUR ASSUMPTIONS?

15
16 A. The “worst case” monthly volume of hot cuts (except for adjustments to that
17 volume that I will discuss later in this testimony) is 317,998 across the entirety of
18 BellSouth’s nine-state region. The following explains how I arrived at that value:

19
20 The highest single-month volume of UNE-Ps added (116,295) occurred in June
21 2002. The highest single-month volume of UNE-L inward movement added
22 (19,029) occurred in January 2001. These “highest ever” volumes were
23 assumed as monthly growth going forward. The pictorial in Exhibit KLA-3, which
24 is attached to this testimony, depicts how those volumes grow over time.

25

1 Following is a brief explanation:

2 In October 2003, there were about 2.21million UNE-Ps in service region-wide.
3 Projecting forward for nine (9) months to July 2004 (the earliest expected
4 decision by a Public Service Commission in BellSouth's region), there would be
5 3.26 million UNE-Ps in service (2.21M + (9 * 116,295). However, because the
6 conversion of a BellSouth retail account to a UNE-P arrangement does not
7 require a hot cut, the monthly volume expected in July 2004 is equal to the
8 quantity of "stand-alone" unbundled loops requested (19,029).

9
10 Assuming that in July 2004, all nine Commissions in BellSouth's region decided
11 that CLECs are not impaired without unbundled switching and that CLECs may
12 continue to request UNE-Ps for an additional five (5) months, the expected
13 quantity of UNEP-s in service in December 2004 would be 3.84 million. This
14 level of UNE-Ps becomes the "embedded base" which later will be converted to
15 stand-alone unbundled loops via the hot cut process. For the next eight (8)
16 months, the monthly volume of hot cuts would rise to 135,324. This is the sum of
17 the "worst case" unbundled loop volume (19,029) plus the "worst case" monthly
18 growth for UNE-Ps (116,295).

19
20 Beginning in August 2005, BellSouth would begin the transition of the embedded
21 base of UNE-Ps (3.84 million) plus handle the "worst case" monthly unbundled
22 loop volume (19,029) and the "worst case" monthly UNE-P growth volume
23 (116,295). During each of the subsequent seven-month intervals, BellSouth
24 would migrate one third of the embedded base. Thus, the "worst case" monthly
25 hot cut volume at the region level would be 317,998 (that is, 19,029 + 116,295 +

1 ((3.84M * 0.333)/7))

2
3 Because on average there are 22.3 business days per month, the daily volume
4 becomes 14,260 (that is, 317,998 / 22.3) at the regional level.

5
6 Q. WHAT OTHER ADJUSTMENTS TO ANTICIPATED VOLUMES HAVE YOU
7 ASSUMED?

8
9 A. During CLEC workshops, CLECs have suggested that two adjustments should
10 be made to increase the anticipated volume of hot cuts by including: (1) some
11 level of “churn” from one local carrier to another; and (2) increased trouble
12 reports for unbundled loops compared to UNE-P arrangements. While I do not
13 necessarily agree with the CLECs’ suggestions, I have included those
14 adjustments to prove my point that BellSouth can expand its LCSC and CWINS
15 groups to handle hot cut volumes even when these additional factors are taken
16 into account. . Accordingly, I made an upward adjustment of 4% churn per
17 month (48%) per year and an upward adjustment of 5% increased trouble report
18 rate. I treated these adjustments as if they resulted in additional hot cuts (again,
19 a “worst case” assumption) and the resultant monthly volume for hot cuts rose to
20 347,254 per month (15,572 per business day).

21
22 Q. WHAT ARE THE CENTERS’ INPUTS TO THE FORCE MODEL?

23
24 A. In order to ensure adequate staffing of the centers supporting CLECs, BellSouth
25 utilizes a work force model to anticipate staffing needs based on historical trends,

1 time and motion studies, internal forecasts and targeted benchmarks. The work
2 force model provides a means to assure adequate staffing of BellSouth's LCSC
3 and CWINS operations. The models utilize a forward-looking view of activity by
4 product type, which allows BellSouth sufficient time to hire and train personnel in
5 anticipation of any increase in activity. The force model has proved reliable. It
6 allowed BellSouth staff to meet tighter benchmarks for Firm Order Confirmations
7 ("FOCs") and rejects for partially mechanized orders. BellSouth has clearly
8 demonstrated, through its performance data, that the infrastructure to handle
9 increasing levels of orders is in place and functioning at a very high level.

10
11 Q. WHAT ARE THE CENTERS' STAFFING REQUIREMENTS FROM THE
12 MODEL?

13
14 A. Using daily volumes for Florida (29% of all the UNE-Ps in BellSouth's region)
15 means that BellSouth would have to hire and train 425 technicians in the CWINS
16 Centers and 105 service representatives in the LCSCs. Again we have assumed
17 a worst-case scenario for the CWINS Centers that 50% of the migrations would
18 be coordinated and thus would require CWINS involvement. BellSouth expects
19 the number of coordinated migrations to be much less than this.

20
21 Q. HOW CAN THE CENTERS MEET THESE PROJECTED STAFFING LEVELS?

22
23 A. Force and load management is something BellSouth has been doing for
24 decades. BellSouth would hire the additional force by engaging its Human
25 Resources Department. Human Resources would advertise the jobs in local

1 media and conduct job fairs and testing events to screen applicants. Human
2 Resources would require 90 days from notification to employees being added to
3 the payroll.

4

5 Q. HAS BELLSOUTH EVER HIRED CENTER PERSONNEL IN SUCH VOLUMES
6 BEFORE?

7

8 A. Yes. During the time period 1998-2001, BellSouth hired and trained
9 approximately 2,000 service representatives and technicians for its Wholesale
10 operations.

11

12 Q. DOES BELLSOUTH HAVE TO HIRE ALL OF THESE PEOPLE AT ONCE?

13

14 A. No. The transition period for the embedded base of UNE-Ps in the Order is
15 almost two years away (August 2005) as shown in Exhibit KLA-3, so BellSouth
16 has an extended period of over which to add force if needed.

17

18 Q. ARE THESE FORECASTED VOLUMES REALISTIC?

19

20 A. No. First, as other BellSouth witnesses describe, BellSouth only is seeking
21 elimination of unbundled circuit switching in certain areas of the state. Thus,
22 BellSouth's assumption of UNE-L orders is high in that unbundled UNE-P will
23 continue to be available in some areas of the state. Second, whenever it had a
24 choice, BellSouth used the highest volume value available – highest UNE-Ps in a
25 month etc. The point, however, is that if BellSouth can scale its forces to meet

1 the most unrealistic demand, it certainly can scale its forces to meet a more
2 realistic demand.

3
4 **b. REGIONALITY OF BELLSOUTH'S PROCESSES**

5
6 **Q. ARE BELLSOUTH'S HOT CUT PROCESSES REGIONAL?**

7
8 **A. Yes. In the 271 cases, state commissions and the FCC held that BellSouth's**
9 **OSS (pre-ordering, ordering, provisioning, maintenance and repair, and billing)**
10 **are regional. For example, in the FCC's Five-state Order, (WC Docket No. 02-**
11 **260, ¶130) the FCC held "We find that BellSouth, through the Pricewaterhouse**
12 **Coopers (PwC) report, provides evidence that its OSS in Georgia are**
13 **substantially the same as the OSS in each of the five states."**

14
15 **Further, in CC Docket No. 02-35 (GA/LA Order) at ¶111, the FCC held that "[t]he**
16 **record indicates ... BellSouth has provided detailed information regarding the**
17 **"sameness" of BellSouth's systems in Georgia and Louisiana, including their**
18 **manual systems and the way in which BellSouth personnel do their jobs.**
19 **Accordingly, we find that BellSouth, through the PwC audit and its attestation**
20 **examination, provides evidence that its OSS in Georgia are substantially the**
21 **same as the OSS in Louisiana. We shall consider BellSouth's commercial OSS**
22 **performance in Georgia and the Georgia third-party test to support the Louisiana**
23 **application and rely on Louisiana performance to support the Georgia**
24 **application."**

1 Q. DOES BELLSOUTH PERFORM ITS HOT CUT PROCESSES THE SAME WAY
2 IN ALL NINE OF ITS STATES?

3

4 A. Yes it does.

5


6 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

7

8 A. Yes.

9

Provisioning Process Flow (Coordinated cuts)



Hot Cut Report Notification Summary

Average time from Cut Completion to CLEC Notification (HRS:MIN:SEC)

State	Oct-02	Nov-02	Dec-02	Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03	Sep-03	12 Mo Avg
AL		0:01:00	0:02:00	0:00:30	0:01:00		0:00:20	0:00:00	0:00:00	0:01:00			0:00:35
FL	0:01:57	0:01:29	0:01:18	0:01:13	0:01:10	0:01:06*	0:01:11	0:01:15	0:02:59	0:01:02	0:03:25	0:00:59	0:01:35
GA	0:01:47	0:02:06	0:01:23	0:13:56	0:11:41	0:01:11	0:01:22	0:01:08	0:01:56	0:01:47	0:01:03	0:00:59	0:02:16
KY				0:02:00				0:02:00				0:01:00	0:01:40
LA	0:01:08	0:01:32	0:02:20	0:01:31	0:01:30	0:01:34	0:01:37	0:01:19	0:01:41	0:02:03	0:02:05	0:02:05	0:01:41
MS	0:17:00	0:01:20	0:01:06	0:01:27	0:01:20	0:01:47	0:00:38	0:01:40	0:02:33	0:01:24	0:01:26	0:01:25	0:03:09
NC/SC	0:01:22	0:01:31	0:01:04	0:01:42	0:02:00	0:01:15	0:02:05	0:01:26	0:01:33	0:01:30	0:02:04	0:01:03	0:01:35
TN	0:01:37	0:01:55	0:02:33	0:01:35	0:01:35	0:01:47	0:02:02	0:01:32	0:01:14	0:01:45	0:01:43	0:01:14	0:01:44
Grand Total	0:01:57	0:01:33	0:01:25	0:02:25	0:02:33	0:01:14	0:01:28	0:01:18	0:02:22	0:01:25	0:02:19	0:01:03	0:01:43

Percent Notifications in 5 minutes or less

State	Oct-02	Nov-02	Dec-02	Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03	Sep-03	12 Mo Avg
AL		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%
FL	92.3%	97.4%	99.0%	98.8%	99.2%	99.1%	99.5%	99.0%	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%	98.8%	98.2%
GA	96.7%	97.9%	98.9%	97.8%	99.2%	99.2%	97.7%	99.5%	99.2%	98.0%	99.2%	99.6%	98.7%
KY				100.0%				100.0%				100.0%	100.0%
LA	100.0%	97.0%	96.8%	100.0%	97.6%	97.0%	97.4%	99.2%	94.7%	94.9%	94.0%	90.8%	96.6%
MS	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	77.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	96.9%
NC/SC	97.9%	97.5%	100.0%	94.4%	92.3%	98.9%	97.1%	98.9%	98.5%	97.6%	94.6%	99.4%	97.2%
TN	98.9%	93.9%	91.9%	98.7%	98.0%	97.5%	93.5%	95.3%	100.0%	98.2%	97.7%	100.0%	96.6%
Grand Total	94.0%	97.3%	98.5%	98.1%	98.0%	98.8%	98.1%	98.8%	98.7%	98.5%	98.2%	98.8%	98.0%

* One order was removed from the Florida data for March 2003. There was a systems anomaly on this order that caused the results to be skewed.

Hot cut work load calculation

UNE-P growth per month = 116,295

UNE-L growth per month = 19,029

October 2003

UNE-Ps in service = 2.21M.

Continue UNE-P growth

For 9 months

Hot cuts per month = 19,029

(Note 1)

July 2004

UNE-Ps in service = 3.26M

PSC Decision

Continue UNE-P growth

For 5 months

Hot cuts per month = 19,029

(Note 1)

December 2004

UNE-Ps in service = 3.84M

No new UNE-Ps. All growth

Becomes UNE-L

For 8 months

Hot cuts per month = 135,324

(Note 2)

August 2005

UNE-Ps in service = 4.77M

Convert 1/3 of UNE-Ps to UNEL.

Handle UNE-L growth

For 7 months

Hot cuts per month =

317,998

(Note 3)

March 2006

UNE-Ps in service = 2.22M

Convert 1/3 of UNE-Ps to UNE-L.

Handle UNE-L growth

For 7 months

Hot cuts per month =

317,998

(Note 3)

October 2006

UNE-Ps in service = 1.11M

Convert 1/3 of UNE-Ps to UNE-L.

Handle UNE-L growth

For 7 months

Hot cuts per month=

317,998

(Note 3)

May 2007

UNE-Ps in service = 0

Handle UNE-L growth

Going forward

Hot cuts per month = 135,234

(Note 4)

Note 1: Only stand-alone UNE-L requests require a hot cut. (19,029)

Note 2: Sum of stand-alone UNE-L requests plus UNE-P growth requires a hot cut. (19,029 + 116,295 = 135,324)

Note 3: Sum of stand-alone UNE-L requests plus UNE-P growth plus attrition of UNE-P embedded base requires a hot cut. (19,029 + 116,295 + ((3.84M * 0.333)/7) = 317,998.

Note 4: Sum of UNE-L growth and UNE-P growth requires a hot cut. (19,029 + 116,295 = 135,324)