ORIGINAL



Susan S. Masterton Attorney

m

Law/External Affairs

FLTLH00103 1313 Blair Stone Rd. Tallahassee, FL 32301 Voice 850 599 1560 Fax 850 878 0777 susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

December 15, 2003

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 030851-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Sprint-Florida, Incorporated are the original and 15 copies of Sprint's General and Specific Objections to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 14-17) and Second Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 16-17)

Copies are being served on the parties in this docket pursuant to the attached certificate of service.

Please acknowledge receipt of this filing by stamping and initialing a copy of this letter and returning same to my assistant. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 850/847-0244.

RECEIVED & FILED

-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Sincerely,

Shows. with

Susan S. Masterton

Enclosure

AUS CAF CMP

COM CTR ECR

OPC MMS SEC OTH

13032 DEC 15 8

FPSC-COMPLISSION CLET

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 030851-TP & 030852-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail and U.S. mail on this 15th day of December, 2003 to the following:

AT&T Tracy Hatch 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC Ms. Lisa A. Sapper 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E., Ste. 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309-3579

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
R. D. Lackey/M. Mays/N.
White/J. Meza
c/o Ms. Nancy H. Sims
150 South Monroe Street, Suite
400
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Covad Communications Company Mr. Charles E. Watkins 1230 Peachtree Street, NE, 19th Floor Altanta, GA 30309-3574

FDN Communications Matthew Feil/Scott Kassman 390 North Orange Avenue, Suite 2000 Orlando, FL 32801-1640 Florida Cable
Telecommunications Assoc., Inc.
Michael A. Gross
246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100
Tallahassee, FL 32303

ITC DeltaCom Nanette Edwards 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802

KMC Telecom III, LLC Marva Brown Johnson, Esq. 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119

McWhirter Law Firm Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Messer Law Firm Floyd Self P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Verizon Florida Inc. Richard Chapkis P.O. Box 110, FLTC0717 Tampa, FL 33601-0110

Florida Public Service Commission Adam Tietzman 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Allegiance Telecom of Florida, Inc. Charles V. Gerkin, Jr. 9201 N. Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75231

Allegiance Telecom, Inc. Terry Larkin 700 East Butterfield Road Lombard, IL 60148

Florida Competitive Carriers Assoc. c/o McWhirter Law Firm Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.(GA) De O'Roark, Esq. Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200 Atlanta, GA 30328

Xspedius Communications Ms. Rabinai E. Carson 5555 Winghaven Blvd., Suite 300 O'Fallon, MO 63366-3868 Phone: (301) 361-4220

Granite Telecommunications, LLC Rand Currier/Geoff Cookman 234 Copeland Street Quincy, MA 02169-4005

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. Ms. Donna C. McNulty 1203 Governors Square Blvd., Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960 Miller Isar, Inc. Andrew O. Isar 7901 Skansie Avenue, St. 240 Gig Harbor, WA 98335

NewSouth Communications Jake E. Jennings Regulatory Affairs & Carrier Relations Two N. Main Center Greenville, SC 29601

Moyle, Flanigan, Katz Raymond & Sheehan, P.A. Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esq. The Perkins House 118 N. Gadsen St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Nuvox Communications, Inc. Bo Russell, Vice-President Regulatory & Legal Affairs 301 N. Main St. Greenville, SC 29601

Messer Law Firm Norman Horton P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Susan S. Masterton

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Implementation of requirements arising)	Docket No. 030851-TP
From Federal Communications Commission)	
Triennial UNE review: Local Circuit Switching)	Filed: December 15, 2003
For Mass Market Customers)	
)	

SPRINT'S GENERAL AND SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS TO STAFF'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 14-17) AND SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (Nos. 16-17)

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.340, 1.350 and 1.280(b), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Sprint-Florida, Incorporated hereby submits the following General and Specific Objections to Staff of the Florida Public Service Commissionion's (Staff's) Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 14-17) and Second Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 16-17), which were served on Sprint by e-mail on December 8, 2003.

INTRODUCTION

The objections stated herein are preliminary in nature and are made at this time for the purpose of complying with the seven-day requirement set forth in Order No. PSC-03-1054-PCO-TP ("Procedural Order") issued by the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") in the above-referenced docket. Should additional grounds for objection be discovered as Sprint prepares its responses to the above-referenced requests, Sprint reserves the right to supplement, revise, or modify its objections at the time that it serves its responses on Staff. Moreover, should Sprint determine that a Protective Order is necessary with respect to any of the material requested by Staff, Sprint reserves the right to file a motion with the Commission seeking such a order at the time that it serves its answers and responses on Staff.

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERIC

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Sprint makes the following General Objections to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 14-17) ("Second IRR") and Second Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 16-17) ("Second POD"). These general objections apply to each of the individual requests and interrogatories in the Second IRR and Second POD, respectively, and will be incorporated by reference into Sprint's answers when they are served on Staff.

- 1. Sprint objects to the requests to the extent that such requests seek to impose an obligation on Sprint to respond on behalf of subsidiaries, affiliates, or other persons that are not parties to this case on the grounds that such requests are overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and not permitted by applicable discovery rules.
- 2. Sprint has interpreted Staff's requests to apply to Sprint's regulated intrastate operations in Florida and will limit its responses accordingly. To the extent that any request is intended to apply to matters other than Florida intrastate operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, Sprint objects to such request to produce as irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive.
- 3. Sprint objects to each and every request and instruction to the extent that such request or instruction calls for information that is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege, or other applicable privilege.
- 4. Sprint objects to each and every request insofar as the request is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise, or utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these requests. Any responses provided by Sprint to Staff's requests will be provided subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing objection.

- 5. Sprint objects to each and every request insofar as the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this action. Sprint will attempt to note in its responses each instance where this objection applies.
- 6. Sprint objects to Staff's discovery requests, instructions and definitions, insofar as they seek to impose obligation on Sprint that exceed the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure or Florida Law.
- 7. Sprint objects to providing information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Commission, or elsewhere.
- 8. Sprint objects to each and every request, insofar as it is unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time consuming as written.
- 9. Sprint objects to each and every request to the extent that the information requested constitutes "trade secrets" which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that Staff's requests proprietary confidential business information which is not subject to the "trade secrets" privilege, Sprint will make such information available to counsel for Staff pursuant to an appropriate Protective Agreement, subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.
- 10. Sprint is a large corporation with employees located in many different locations in Florida and in other states. In the course of its business, Sprint creates countless documents that are not subject to Commission or FCC retention of records requirements. These documents are kept in numerous locations that are frequently moved from site to site as employees change jobs or as the business is reorganized. Therefore, it is possible that not every document will be provided in response to these discovery requests. Rather, Sprint's responses will provide, subject

to any applicable objections, all of the information obtained by Sprint after a reasonable and diligent search conducted in connection with these requests. Sprint shall conduct a search of those files that are reasonably expected to contain the requested information. To the extent that the discovery requests purport to require more, Sprint objects on the grounds that compliance would impose an undue burden or expense. To the extent that MCI's requests herein documents that have previously been produced to other parties in response to previous discovery, then without limiting any of the foregoing objections, Sprint incorporates herein by reference its objections to that previous discovery.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS SECOND IRR

17. Please identify any CLEC switches located within one of your central offices.

OBJECTION: This question appears to address information related to establishing a case for a finding of non-impairment for unbundled local circuit switching. Sprint is not challenging the FCC's national finding of impairment for unbundled local circuit switching and, therefore, Sprint objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS SECOND POD

16. Please provide maps indicating your wire center serving areas.

OBJECTION: This question appears to address information related to establishing a case for a finding of non-impairment for unbundled local circuit switching. Sprint is not challenging the FCC's national finding of impairment for unbundled local circuit switching and, therefore, Sprint objects to this POD on the basis that it is irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

- 17. Complete the electronic spreadsheet "switching_questions_FL_SprintFL.xls with the following information for each Florida serving switch with which you provide service, either to end users or other carriers.
 - (a) Please provide the 11 digit CLLI for every switch that provides qualifying service anywhere in the state of Florida, regardless of whether the switch itself is located in Florida. (Column A)
 - (b) Please provide the 11-digit CLLI for the wire center serving area that is served by the above named switch. (Column B)
 - (c) Please provide the name for the wire center serving area. (Column C)
 - (d) Please provide the vertical coordinate of the wire center serving area. (Column D)
 - (e) Please provide the horizontal coordinate of the wire center serving area. (Column E)
 - (f) Please provide the street address of the wire center. ($Column\ F$)
 - (g) Please provide the city of the wire center. (Column G)
 - (h) Please provide the five-digit zip code of the wire center. (Column H)
 - (i) Beginning in *column I row 2*, identify by full name each CLEC that is being provided unbundled local switching on each of your switches, as shown in the table below.
 - (j) Beginning in *column I*, identify the number of UNE-P being provide on each switch to each CLEC.

OBJECTION: This question appears to address information related to establishing a case for a finding of non-impairment for unbundled local switching. Sprint is not challenging the FCC's national finding of impairment for unbundled local circuit switching and, therefore, Sprint objects to this POD on the basis that it is irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DATED this 15th day of December 2003.

SUSAN S. MASTERTON

P.O. Box 2214

Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

(850) 599-1560 (phone)

(850) 878-0777 (fax)

susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Sum 5. moth

ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT