

ORIGINAL

LAW OFFICES
Messer, Caparello & Self
A Professional Association

Post Office Box 1876
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876
Internet: www.lawfla.com

RECEIVED - FPSC
03 DEC 17 PM 3:22
COMMISSION
CLERK

December 16, 2003

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director
Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Room 110, Easley Building
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 030851-TP


Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. d/b/a ITC^DeltaCom and Business Telecom, Inc. are an original and fifteen copies of ITC's Preliminary Objections to Sprint's First Set of Interrogatories (No.1) in the above referenced docket.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.


Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely yours,


Floyd R. Self

AUS _____
CAF _____
CMP _____
COM _____
CTR _____
ECR _____
GCL _____
OPC _____
MMS _____
SEC _____
OTH _____

FRS/amb
Enclosures
cc: Parties of Record

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

RECEIVED - FPSC
03 DEC 17 PM 3:22
COMMISSION
CLERK
13145 DEC 17 8
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Implementation of Requirements)	
Arising From Federal Communications)	
Commission Triennial UNE Review:)	Docket No.: 030851-TP
Local Circuit Switching for Mass)	Filed: December 16, 2003
Market Customers)	
<hr/>		

**ITC'S PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS TO SPRINT'S
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (No. 1)**

ITC^DELTACOM COMMUNICATIONS, INC., d/b/a ITC^DeltaCom ("ITC" or "ITC^DeltaCom"), pursuant to the *Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-03-1054-PCO-TP, issued September 22, 2003, and the *Second Order on Procedure*, PSC-03-1265-PCO-TP, issued November 7, 2003 (hereinafter "*Procedural Orders*"), Rule 28-106.206 of the Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.280 and 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby generally and specifically objects to the First Set of Interrogatories (No. 1) to ITC, served on December 9, 2003, by Sprint Communications Limited Partnership and Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (collectively, "Sprint") via email after 5:00 PM Eastern Time. The Objections stated herein are preliminary in nature and are made at this time for the purpose of complying with the seven-day requirement set forth in Order No. PSC-03-1054-PCO-TP, by the Florida Public Service Commission (hereinafter the "Commission") in the above-referenced docket.

A. General Objections

ITC makes the following General Objections to Sprint's First Set of Interrogatories (No. 1), including the applicable definitions and general instructions therein ("Sprint discovery"), which as appropriate will be incorporated into each relevant response when ITC's responses are served on Sprint.

1. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery to the extent that such discovery seeks to impose an obligation on ITC to respond on behalf of subsidiaries, affiliates, or other persons that are not parties to this case on the grounds that such discovery is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and not permitted by applicable discovery rules. ITC further objects to any and all Sprint discovery that seeks to obtain information from ITC for ITC subsidiaries, affiliates, or other related ITC entities that are not certificated by the Commission.

2. ITC has interpreted the Sprint discovery to apply to ITC's regulated intrastate operations in Florida and will limit its responses accordingly. To the extent that any Sprint discovery is intended to apply to matters that take place outside the state of Florida and which are not related to Florida intrastate operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, ITC objects to such request as irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive.

3. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery to the extent that such discovery calls for information which is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege, or other applicable privilege.

4. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery insofar as such discovery is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise, or utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these requests. Any responses provided by ITC in response to the Sprint discovery will be provided subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing objection.

5. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery insofar as such discovery is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this action.

6. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery insofar as it seeks information or documents, or seek to impose obligations on ITC which exceed the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure or Florida law.

7. ITC objects to providing information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Florida Public Service Commission or which is already in the possession, custody, or control of Sprint.

8. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery to the extent that such discovery is overly broad, unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time consuming as written.

9. ITC objects to each and every request to the extent that the information requested constitutes "trade secrets" which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that Sprint's requests seek proprietary confidential business information which is not the subject of the "trade secrets" privilege, ITC will make such information available to counsel for Sprint pursuant to an appropriate Protective Agreement and the Commission's Protective Order, subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.

10. ITC is a large corporation with employees located in many different locations in Florida and in other states. In the course of its business, ITC creates countless documents that are not subject to Florida Public Service Commission or FCC retention of records requirements. These documents are kept in numerous locations and are frequently moved from site to site as employees change jobs or as the business is reorganized. Therefore, it is possible that not every document has been identified in response to these requests. ITC will conduct a reasonable and diligent search of those files that are reasonably expected to contain the requested information. To the extent that the Sprint discovery purports to require more, ITC objects on the grounds that compliance would impose an undue burden or expense.

11. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery that seeks to obtain “all,” “each,” or “every” document, item, customer, or other such piece of information to the extent that such discovery is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Any answers that ITC may provide in response to the Sprint discovery will be provided subject to, and without waiver or, this objection.

12. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery to the extent such discovery seeks to have ITC create documents not in existence at the time of the request.

13. ITC objects to the Sprint discovery to the extent that such discovery is not limited to any stated period of time or a stated period of time that is longer than is relevant for purposes of the issues in this docket, as such discovery is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

14. In light of the short period of time ITC has been afforded to respond to the Sprint discovery, the development of ITC’s positions and potentially responsive information to the Sprint requests is necessarily ongoing and continuing. Accordingly, these are preliminary objections to comply with the Commission’s *Procedural Orders*, and ITC reserves the right to supplement, revise, or modify its objections at the time that it serves its actual responses to the Sprint discovery. However, ITC does not assume an affirmative obligation to supplement its answers on an ongoing basis, contrary to the Sprint General Instruction.

B. Specific Objections

ITC makes the following Specific Objections to Sprint’s First Set of Interrogatories (No. 1), including the applicable definitions and general instructions therein (“Sprint discovery”), which as appropriate will be incorporated into each relevant response when ITC’s responses are served on Sprint.

15. ITC objects to the definition of “voice-grade equivalent lines,” and each and every interrogatory or request for production that includes such term, as this term is not used by

ITC in the course of its business. Any answer to such questions will be based upon the information in ITC's business records.

16. ITC objects to each and every interrogatory or request for production that seeks information regarding enterprise customers as such discovery is irrelevant for purposes of this docket and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence since the scope of this proceeding, as set forth by the FCC and the Commission, is limited to local circuit switching for mass market customers.


17. ITC objects to each and every interrogatory or request for production that seeks information regarding non-switched services (e.g., services that do not depend on local Class 5 switches) except for non-switched services (e.g., DSL) provided on loops that are also used to provide switched services), as such discovery is irrelevant for purposes of this docket and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence since the scope of this proceeding, as set forth by the FCC and the Commission, is limited to local circuit switching for mass market customers.

18. ITC objects to each and every interrogatory or request for production that seeks information regarding ITC's operations in ILEC service areas other than the Sprint ILEC service area within the state of Florida as such information is irrelevant to Sprint's case in this docket and such discovery is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

19. ITC objects to each and every interrogatory or request for production that seeks to obtain information regarding "past" representatives or employees, and any "past and present" representatives or employees of Sprint, as such information is not within ITC's control, would be unduly burdensome to attempt to obtain and is likely irrelevant.

20. ITC objects to each and every interrogatory or request for production that seeks information regarding ITC's projections regarding future services, revenues, marketing strategies, equipment deployments, or other such future business plans as such requests are trade secrets and, for purposes of this proceeding, would be highly speculative and irrelevant to the issues to be decided in this docket.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of December, 2003.

 Floyd Self, Esq. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302 (850) 222-0720	Nanette Edwards, Esq. ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. 4092 S. Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802
---	--

Attorneys for ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served on the following parties by Hand Delivery (*), electronic mail, and/or U. S. Mail this 16th day of December, 2003.

Adam Teitzman, Esq.*
Office of General Counsel, Room 370
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Jason Rojas, Esq.*
Office of General Counsel, Room 370
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy B. White
c/o Nancy H. Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Susan S. Masterton, Esq.
Sprint-Florida, Incorporated
Sprint Communications Company Limited
Partnership
P.O. Box 2214
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Richard A. Chapkis, Esq.
Verizon Florida Inc.
P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, FL 33601-0110

Nanette Edwards
ITC^DeltaCom
4092 S. Memorial Parkway
Huntsville, AL 35802

Mr. James White
ALLTEL
601 Riverside Avenue
Jacksonville FL 32204-2987

Ms. Laurie A. Maffett
Frontier Telephone Group
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester NY 14646-0700

Mr. R. Mark Ellmer
GT Com
P. O. Box 220
Port St. Joe FL 32457-0220

Mr. Robert M. Post, Jr.
ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc.
P. O. Box 277
Indiantown FL 34956-0277

Ms. Harriet Eudy
NEFCOM
11791 110th Street
Live Oak FL 32060-6703

Ms. Lynn B. Hall
Smart City Telecom
P. O. Box 22555
Lake Buena Vista FL 32830-2555

Michael A. Gross
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
& Regulatory Counsel
Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc.
246 E. 6th Avenue
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Tracy W. Hatch, Esq.
AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC
101 N. Monroe Street, Suite 701
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Lisa Sapper
AT&T
1200 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 8100
Atlanta, GA 30309

Donna McNulty, Esq.
WorldCom
1203 Governors Square Blvd, Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960

De O'Roark, Esq.
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 600
Atlanta, GA 30328

Vicki Kaufman, Esq.
Joe McGlothlin, Esq.
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A.
117 S. Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Marva Brown Johnson, Esq.
KMC Telecom III, LLC
1755 North Brown Road
Lawrenceville, GA 30034-8119.

Charles V. Gerkin, Jr.
Regulatory Counsel
Allegiance Telecom, Inc.
9201 North Central Expressway
Dallas, TX 75231

Terry Larkin
Allegiance Telecom, Inc.
Regional Vice President
700 East Butterfield Road
Lombard, IL 60148

James C. Falvey, Esq.
Senior Vice president, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Communications, LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

Norman H. Horton, Jr.
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Mr. Jake E. Jennings
NewSouth Communications Corp.
Two N. Main Center
Greenville, SC 29601

Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esq.
Moyle, Flanigan, Katz, Raymond & Sheehan, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Charles E. Watkins
Covad Communications Company
1230 Peachtree Street, NE, 19th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30309

Rand Currier
Granite Telecommunications, LLC
234 Copeland Street
Quincy, MA 02169

Andrew O. Isar
Miller Isar, Inc.
7901 Skansie Avenue, Suite 240
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Jorge Cruz-Bustillo, Esq.
Supra Telecommunications and
Information Systems, Inc.
2620 S.W. 27th Avenue
Miami, Florida 33133

Mr. Jonathan Audu
Supra Telecommunications and
Information Systems, Inc.
1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 220
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Thomas M. Koutsky
Vice president, Law and Public Policy
Z-Tel Communications, Inc.
1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036


Floyd R. Sell