### ORIGINAL



Tracy Hatch Senior Attorney Law and Government Affairs Southern Region Suite 700 101 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 850-425-6360

February 17, 2004

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director The Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Room 110, Easley Building Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 030851-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing are an original and 15 copies of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC's General Objections to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T in the above-referenced docket.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

		Sincerely yours,
AUS		Transflate
CMP		Tracy W. Hatch
COM		<b>.</b>
CTR	ıs	
GCLEnclosu		
OPC	Parties of Record	
MMS SEC		
OTH	RECEIVED & FILED	
	<u>Oh</u>	FOODDC
	EPSC-BUREAU OF R	FCOKDS

DIT PH 4: 48
ONNISSION
CLERK

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02252 FEB 17 3

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Implementation of Requirements)
Arising From Federal Communications ) Docket No.: 030851-TP
Commission Triennial UNE Review: )
Local Circuit Switching for Mass )
Market Customers )

# AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, LLC'S OBJECTIONS TO VERIZON FLORIDA, INC.'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 13-19)

Subject to the Motion for Protective Order filed contemporaneously with these Objections, AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC (hereinafter "AT&T"), pursuant to the *Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-03-1054-PCO-TP, issued September 22, 2003, and *Second Order on Procedure*, Order No. PSC-03-1265-PCO-TP issued November 7, 2003 (hereinafter collectively "*Procedural Orders*"), by the Florida Public Service Commission (hereinafter "Commission"), Rule 28-106.206 of the Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.280 and 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, objects generally to Verizon Florida, Inc.'s (hereinafter "Verizon") Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC.

#### **OVERVIEW**

AT&T files these objections for purposes of complying with the seven (7) day requirement set forth in the *Procedural Orders*. These objections are preliminary in nature. Should additional grounds for objection be discovered as AT&T prepares its responses to any discovery, if so required by the Commission, or at any time prior to hearing, AT&T reserves the right to supplement, revise, and/or modify these objections.

COCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

02252 FEB 17 3

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

AT&T makes the following general objections to the Interrogatories which will be incorporated by reference into AT&T's specific responses when, and if, AT&T is ordered to respond to the Interrogatories.

#### 1. Instructions

- A. AT&T objects to the "Instructions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the "instructions" operate to impose discovery obligations on AT&T inconsistent with, or beyond the scope of, what is permitted under the *Procedural Orders* and the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to the foregoing, and without waiving any objections, responses will be provided in accordance with the *Procedural Orders* and the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.
- B. AT&T objects to the "Instructions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the "instructions" operate to seek disclosure of the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of AT&T concerning the subject of litigation without the requisite showing under Rule 1.280(b)(3) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.
- AT&T objects to the "Instructions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the "instructions" operate to seek disclosure of "all" information in AT&T's "possession, custody or control" and to the extent that said "instruction" requires AT&T to provide information or materials beyond its present knowledge, recollection or possession. With respect thereto, AT&T has employees located in many different locations in Florida and other states. In the course of conducting business on a nationwide basis, AT&T creates numerous documents that are not subject to either the Commission or FCC record retention These documents are kept in numerous locations and requirements. frequently are moved from location to location as employees change jobs or as business objectives change. Therefore, it is impossible for AT&T to affirm that every responsive document in existence has been provided in response to those Interrogatories. Instead, where provided, AT&T's responses will provide all information obtained by AT&T after a reasonable and diligent search conducted in connection with those Interrogatories. Such search will include only a review of those files that are reasonably expected to contain the requested information. To the extent that the instructions require more, AT&T objects on the grounds that compliance would be unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time consuming to provide such responsive information.

#### 2. Definitions

- A. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that such terms are overly broad, unduly burdensome, irrelevant, oppressive and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence pursuant to the *Procedural Orders*, Rule 28-106.206 of the Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.280 and 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Furthermore, AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section to the extent that it utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations, but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these Interrogatories.
- B. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to include the discovery of information protected by attorney/client privilege, the accountant/client privilege, the work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.
- C. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to include the discovery of information and/or materials containing the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of AT&T concerning the subject of the proceeding and prepared and developed in anticipation of litigation pursuant to Rule 1.280(b)(3) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure without the requisite showing from Verizon that it has substantial need of the requested information and materials in the preparation of the case and is unable without undue hardship to obtain the substantial equivalent of the materials by other means.
- D. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to impose discovery obligations on AT&T inconsistent with, or beyond the scope of, what is permitted under the *Procedural Orders* and other applicable Florida law.
- E. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to seek discovery of matters other than those subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission's (hereinafter "FCC") Triennial Review Order, Florida Administrative Code and Florida Statutes.
- F. AT&T objects to the "Definitions" section of Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the definitions operate to seek disclosure of information that is proprietary confidential information

or a "trade secret" without the issuance of an appropriate Protective Order or Confidential Classification as outlined by the *Procedural Orders*, §364.183 of the Florida Statutes, §90.506 of the Florida Statutes and Rule 25-22.006.

G. AT&T objects to the terms "you," "your," "your company," "AT&T," "person" and "persons" to the extent that the definitions include natural persons or entities which are not parties to this proceeding, not subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and not subject to the applicable discovery rules. Subject to the foregoing, and without waiving any objection, general or specific, unless otherwise ordered, responses, if required, will be provided on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC, which is a certificated carrier authorized to provide regulated communications services in Florida and which is a party to this proceeding.

#### 3. General Objections to Interrogatories

- A. AT&T objects to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the Interrogatories are overly broad, unduly burdensome, irrelevant, oppressive and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence pursuant to the *Procedural Orders*, Rule 28-106.206 of the Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.280 and 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.
- B. AT&T objects to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the Interrogatories purport to seek discovery of information protected by attorney/client privilege, the accountant/client privilege, the work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.
- C. AT&T objects to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the Interrogatories purport to seek discovery of information and/or materials containing the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of AT&T concerning the subject of the proceeding and prepared and developed in anticipation of litigation pursuant to Rule 1.280(b)(3) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure without the requisite showing from Verizon that it has substantial need of the requested information and materials in the preparation of the case and in unable without undue hardship to obtain the substantial equivalent of the materials by other means.
- D. AT&T objects to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the Interrogatories purport to impose discovery obligations on AT&T inconsistent with, or beyond the scope of, what is permitted under the *Procedural Orders* and the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

- E. AT&T objects to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the Interrogatories purport to seek discovery of matters other than those subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission pursuant to the FCC's Triennial Review Order, Florida Administrative Code and Florida Statutes.
- F. AT&T objects to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the Interrogatories purport to seek disclosure of information that is proprietary confidential information or a "trade secret" without the issuance of an appropriate Protective Order or Confidential Classification as outlined by the *Procedural Orders*, §364.183 of the Florida Statutes, §90-506 of the Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006.
- G. AT&T objects to all Interrogatories which require the disclosure of information which already is in the public domain or otherwise on record with the Commission or the FCC.
- H. AT&T objects to Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories to AT&T to the extent that the Interrogatories seek information and discovery of facts known and opinions held by experts acquired and/or developed in anticipation of litigation or for hearing and outside the scope of discoverable information pursuant to Rule 1.280(4) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.
- I. Pursuant to the *Procedural Orders*, the Triennial Review Order, Rule 28-106.206 of the Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.280 and 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, to the extent that Verizon's Interrogatories request specific financial, business or proprietary information regarding AT&T's economic business model, AT&T objects to providing or producing any such information on the grounds that those Interrogatories presume that the market entry analysis is contingent upon AT&T's economic business model instead of the hypothetical business model contemplated by the Triennial Review Order.

Respectfully submitted, this the 17th day of February, 2004.

Tracy Hatch

AT&T Communications of the Southern

States, LLC

101 North Monroe Street,

Suite 700

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 425-6360

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 030851-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic mail and U.S. Mail or as indicated this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February 2004, to the following parties of record:

Jeremy Susac	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. *	
Office of the General Counsel	Nancy B. White	
Florida Public Service Commission	c/o Ms. Nancy H. Sims	
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400	
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556	
Tananassee, TE 32377-0030	Phone: (850) 224-7798	
	Fax: 222-8640	
	Email: nancy.sims@bellsouth.com	
Florida Cable Telecom. Assoc., Inc.	MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. *	
Michael A. Gross	Ms. Donna C. McNulty	
246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100	1203 Governors Square Blvd., Suite 201	
Tallahassee, FL 32303	Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960	
Phone: 850-681-1990	Phone: (850) 219-1008	
Fax: 681-9676	Fax: 219-1018	
1 -	1	
Email: mgross@fcta.com	Email: donna.mcnulty@wcom.com  KMC Telecom III, LLC *	
Sprint – Florida*   Susan S.Masterton	(	
	Marva Brown Johnson, Esq. 1755 North Brown Road	
1313 Blairstone Road		
MC: FLTLHO0107	Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119	
Tallahassee, FL 32301	Phone: (678) 985-6261	
Phone: (850) 847-0244	Fax: (678) 985-6213	
Fax: 878-0777	Email: marva.johnson@kmctelecom.com	
Email: susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com	ETCAD 1. C. +	
Covad Communications Company*	ITC^DeltaCom *	
Charles E. Watkins	Nanette Edwards	
1230 Peachtree Street, NE	4092 South Memorial Parkway	
19 <sup>th</sup> Floor	Huntsville, AL 35802	
Atlanta, GA 30309	Phone: (256) 382-3856	
Phone: (404) 942-3492		
Email: gwatkins@covad.com	77 . 73 . 1 . 4	
McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson*	Verizon Florida Inc.*	
Kaufman & Arnold, PA	Mr. Richard Chapkis/Kim Caswell	
Vicki Gordon Kaufman	201 N. Franklin Street, MCFLTC0007	
117 South Gadsden Street	Tampa, FL 33601	
Tallahassee, FL 32301	Phone: (813) 483-2606	
Phone: (850) 222-2525	Fax: (813) 204-8870	
Email: vkaufman@mac-law.com	Email: richard.chapkis@verizon.com	
Allegiance Telecom of Florida, Inc.	Allegiance Telecom, Inc.	
Charles V. Gerkin, Jr.	Terry Larkin	
9201 North Central Expressway	700 East betterfield Road	
Dallas, TX 75231	Lombard, IL 60148	
Phone: (469) 259-4051	Phone: 630-522-6453	
Fax: 770-234-5965	Email: terry.larkin@algx.com	
Email: charles.gerkin@algx.com		

Messer Law Firm *	MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.(GA)*	
	De O'Roark, Esq.	
Floyd Self/Norman Horton P.O. Box 1876		
<del>-</del>	Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30328	
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876	Email: de.oroark@wcom.com	
Phone: 850-222-0720	Email: de.orgark@wcom.com	
Fax: 850-224-4359	Millon Toon Too	
Granite Telecommunications, LLC	Miller Isar, Inc. Andrew O. Isar	
Rand Currier/Geoff Cookman		
234 Copeland Street	7901 Skansie Avenue, St. 240	
Quincy, MA 02169-4005	Gig Harbor, WA 98335	
Phone: (617) 847-1500	Phone: (253) 851-6700	
Fax: (617) 847-0931	Fax: (253) 851-6474	
Email: rcurrier@granitenet.com	Email: aisar@millerisar.com	
Moyle Law Firm (Tall)	NewSouth Communications Corp.*	
Jon Moyle, Jr.	Jake E. Jennings/Keiki Hendrix	
The Perkins House	Two North Main Center	
118 North Gadsden Street	Greenville, SC 29601-2719	
Tallahassee, FL 32301	Phone: (864) 672-5877	
Phone: (850) 681-3828	Fax: (864) 672-5313	
Fax: 681-8788	Email: jejennings@newsouth.com	
Email: <u>imoylejr@/moylelaw.com</u>		
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.*	Supra Telecommunications and Info. Systems	
R. Douglas Lackey	Jorge Cruz-Bustillo	
675 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 4300	2620 S.W. 27 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	
Atlanta, GA 30375	Miami, FL 33133	
Phone: (404) 335-0747	Phone: (305) 476-4252	
Fholie. (404) 333-0747	Fax: (305) 443-1078	
	Email: Jorge.cruz-bustillo@stis.com	
Supra Telecommunications and Info. Systems	Sprint (KS)	
Jonathan Audu	Kenneth A. Schifman	
1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 220	6450 Sprint Parkway	
Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027	Mailstop: KSOPHN0212-2A303	
Phone: (850) 402-0510	Overland Park, KS 66251-6100	
Fax: (850) 402-0522	Phone: 913-315-9783	
Jonathan.audu@stis.com		
Sprint (NC)	Xspedius Communications	
H. Edward Phillips, III	Ms. Rabinai E. Carson	
14111 Capital Blvd.	5555 Winghaven Blvd., Suite 300	
Mailstop: NCWKFR0313-3161	O'Fallon, MO 63366-3868	
Wake Forest, NC 27587-5900	Phone: (301) 361-4220	
Phone: 919-554-7870	Fax: (301) 361-4277	
	Email: rabinai.carson@xspedius.com	
	Scott A. Kassman	
	FDN Communications	
	390 North Orange Avenue	
	Suite 2000	
	Orlando, FL 32801	
	(407) 447-6636	
	skassman@mail.fdn.com	
	skussinan(w,man,tun.com	

Casey & Gentz, L.L.P. Charles J. Beck Deputy Public Counsel Bill Magness 919 Congress Avenue, Suite 1060 Office of Public Counsel Austin, TX 78701 C/O The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, #812 Phone: 512-225-0019 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Fax: 512-480-9200 Phone: 850-487-8240 Fax: 850-488-4491 Beck.charles@leg.state.fl.us Pat Lee Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 plee@psc.state.fl.us

Tracy W. Hatch

likey And