# ORIGINAL

### MCWHIRTER REEVES

TAMPA OFFICE: 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 P. O. BOX 3350 TAMPA, FL 33601-3350 (813) 224-0866 (813) 221-1854 FAX PLEASE REPLY TO:

TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE: 117 SOUTH GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 222-2525 (RSN) 222-5606 FAX

February 18, 2004

### **VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re: Docket No.: 031033-E1

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), enclosed for filing and distribution are the original and 15 copies of the following:

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Motion to Strike Tampa Electric Company's "Rebuttal Comments."

Also enclosed for filing and distribution is the original and 1 copy of the following:

Notice of Service of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Second Request for Production of Documents to Tampa Electric Company (No. 24)

Please acknowledge receipt of the above on the extra copy and return the stamped copy to me. Thank you for your assistance.

AUS CAF CAF CMP COM 5 COM 5 CTR ECR GCL OPC MMS SEC. 1 Enclosure	Sincerely,  Timothy J. Perry
SEC <u>I Enclosure</u> OTH	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of Tampa Electric Company's 2004-2008 waterborne transportation contract with TECo Transport and associated benchmark.

Docket No.: 031033-EI Filed: February 18, 2004

## THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S MOTION TO STRIKE TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S "REBUTTAL COMMENTS"

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), pursuant to rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, moves this Commission for an order striking Tampa Electric Company's (TECo) "Rebuttal Comments" Concerning FIPUG's Response in Opposition to Tampa Electric's Request for Confidential Classification (Reply). As grounds therefore, FIPUG states:

On January 26, 2004, TECo filed several Requests for Confidential Classification for documents it filed with the Commission. On February 9, 2004, FIPUG filed a Response in Opposition to TECo's Requests for Confidential Classification (Response in Opposition). TECo replied to FIPUG's response on February 13, 2004. Though TECo labels its pleading "Rebuttal Comments," that does not change the fact that it is an impermissible reply which is not appropriate under the Commission's rules and procedures.

The Commission has ruled many times that a reply to a response is not permitted. For example, in *In re: Request for arbitration concerning complaint of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC, Teleport Communications Group, Inc., and TCG South Florida for enforcement of interconnection agreements with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.*, Order No. PSC-03-0525-FOF-TP, Docket No. 020919-TP (Apr. 2003), the Commission noted that:

... AT&T's Response to BellSouth's response is an inappropriate pleading. As noted by BellSouth, in previous cases where a party has filed a pleading not contemplated by our rules or the uniform rules, we have not considered the pleading. Specifically, in the Supra case, we found that "...neither the Uniform Rules nor our rules contemplate a reply to a response to a Motion." We find that

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

02350 FEB 18 #

AT&T's response is such a pleading. Thus, we shall not consider the arguments raised in AT&T's Response to BellSouth's response.

In In re: Complaint of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for violation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; petition for resolution of disputes as to implementation and interpretation of interconnection, resale and collocation agreements; and petition for emergency relief, Order No. PSC-00-1777-PCO-TP, Docket No. 980119-TP (Sept. 2000), the Commission struck a reply to a response to a motion for reconsideration. The Commission held:

We agree . . . that neither the Uniform Rules nor our rules contemplate a reply to a response to a Motion. Therefore, the Motion to Strike is granted.

See also, In re: Petition by ITCDeltaCom Communications, Inc. d/b/a ITCDeltaCom for arbitration of certain unresolved issues in interconnection negotiations between ITCDeltaCom and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Order No. PSC-00-2233-FOF-TP, Docket No. 99-0750-TP (Nov. 2000) ("the Uniform Rules and Commission rules do not provide for a Reply to a Response to a Motion for Reconsideration. Upon consideration, I find it reasonable to deny BellSouth's Motion for Leave to File a Reply Memorandum"); In re: Complaint of South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association, et al. against Florida Power & Light Company, request for expeditious relief, and request for interim rate procedures with rates subject to bond; In re: Review of Florida Power & Light Company's proposed merger with Entergy Corporation, the formation of a Florida transmission company ("Florida Transco"), and their effect on FPL's retail rates, Order No. PSC-01-1930-PCO-EI, Docket Nos. 010944-EI, 001148-EI (Sept. 2001) ("Consistent with the Uniform Rules of Procedure and Commission precedent, we strike and refuse to consider SFHHA's answer to FPL's response to SFHHA's request for clarification/reconsideration").

TECo's Rebuttal Comments are an unauthorized reply to FIPUG's Response in Opposition and, therefore, should be stricken.

WHEREFORE, the Commission should enter an order striking TECo's "Rebuttal Comments."

John W. McWhirter

McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson,

Kaufman, & Arnold, P.A.

400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450

Tampa, Florida 33602

Telephone:

(813) 224-0866

Telecopier:

(813) 221-1854

jmcwhirter@mac-law.com

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

Timothy J. Perry

McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson,

Kaufman, & Arnold, P.A.

117 South Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 222-2525 (telephone)

(850) 222-5606 (fax)

vkaufman@mac-law.com

tperry@mac-law.com

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Florida Industrial Power Users Group's to Motion to Strike Tampa Electric Company's "Rebuttal Comments" has been furnished by (\*) hand delivery, or U.S. Mail this 18th day of Febuary 2004, to the following:

(\*) Wm. Cochran Keating IV Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(\*) Lee L. Willis James D. Beasley Ausley & McMullen 227 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Rob Vandiver Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

R. Sheffel Wright Landers & Parsons 301 West College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mike Twomey Post Office Box 5256 Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256

Timothy J. Perry