Richard A. Chapkis Vice President & General Counsel – Southeast Region

February 25, 2004

2



201 North Franklin St., FLTC0717 P.O. Box 110 Tampa, FL 33601

Phone: 813-483-1256 Fax: 813-273-9825 richard.chapkis@verizon.com

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 030852-TP Implementation of requirements arising from Federal Communications Commission's Triennial UNE Review: Location-Specific Review for DS1, DS3, and Dark Fiber Loops, and Route-Specific Review for DS1, DS3, and Dark Fiber Transport

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed an original and 15 copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Motion to Compel AT&T Loop Discovery for filing in the above matter. Service of the Motion has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. An additional copy of the Motion has been included to be file stamped and returned to the person filing the Motion. If there are any questions regarding this filing, please contact me at 813-483-1256.

Sincerely,

Richard A Chapkis/JC

Richard A. Chapkis Vice President & General Counsel – Southeast Region

RAC:mbc Enclosures

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02783 FEB 25 3 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

EXHIBIT ONE

.

. . .

۰,

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Implementation of requirements arising from Federal Communications Commission's triennial UNE Review: Location-Specific Review for DS1, DS3, and Dark Fiber Loops and Route-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Transport Docket No. 030852-TP Filed: February 2, 2004

VERIZON FLORIDA INC.'S SECOND REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS (NO. 3), SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 25-27) AND SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NO. 12) TO AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, LLC

Verizon Florida Inc. ("Verizon") hereby requests that AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC ("Respondent" or "AT&T") respond to the following Combined Requests for Admission, Interrogatories, and Production of Documents (collectively, "Requests"). These Requests are to be answered by the Respondent's corporate officers, employees, or agents who know the requested information and are authorized to respond on behalf of Respondent, with said answers being served upon Verizon within 20 calendar days of service of these Requests pursuant to Order No. PSC-03-1265-PCO-TP. These Requests are continuing in nature and therefore require Respondent to submit supplemental answers or documents should additional responsive information become known or documents supplied in response prove to be incorrect or defective.

I. INSTRUCTIONS

A. If you object to any part of a Request, answer all parts of such Request to which you do not object, and as to each part to which you do object, set forth the specific basis for the objection.

B. If you claim any form of privilege or other protection from disclosure as a ground for withholding information responsive to a Request contained in a non-written communication, state the following with respect to the non-written communication:

- 1. The date;
- 2. The identity of each of the participants in the non-written communication;
- 3. The identity of each person present during all or any part of the non-written communication;
- A description of the non-written communication that is sufficient to identify the particular communication without revealing the information for which a privilege or protection from non-disclosure is claimed;
- 5. The nature of your claim of non-discoverability (e.g., attorneyclient privilege); and
- Each and every fact on which you rest your claim of privilege or other protection from disclosure, stated with sufficient specificity to permit Verizon to make a full determination as to whether your claim is valid.

C. If you claim any form of privilege or other protection from disclosure as a ground for withholding information responsive to a Request contained in a document, set forth with respect to the document:

1. The date and number of pages;

- 2. The identity of the author(s) or preparer(s);
- 3. The identity of the addressee, if any;
- 4. The title;
- 5. The type of tangible thing (e.g., letter, memorandum, telegram, chart, report, recording disc);
- 6. The subject matter (without revealing the information as to which privilege or protection from non-disclosure is claimed);
- The identity of each person who has received the document or to whom knowledge of the contents of the document was communicated;
- 8. The identity of the present custodian(s);
- 9. The nature of your claim of non-discoverability (e.g., attorneyclient privilege); and
- 10. The facts on which you rest your claim of privilege or other protection from disclosure, stated with sufficient specificity to permit Verizon to make a full determination as to whether your claim is valid.

D. On each Request response, list the name and title of the person or persons who prepared the response or who is responsible for the information contained therein.

II. DEFINITIONS

As used in these Requests, the following terms have the meaning as set forth below:

A. The terms "your company" shall include all of your subsidiaries and affiliates, including without limitation all former and present officers, attorneys, "servants, agents, and representatives. For example, a request to AT&T includes without limitation TCG, and a request to MCI or WorldCom includes without limitation Intermedia.

B. The term "Verizon" shall include former GTE, including without limitation all former and present officers, attorneys, servants, agents, and representatives.

C. The terms "relates to" or "relating to" mean referring to, concerning, responding to, containing, regarding, discussing, describing, reflecting, analyzing, constituting, disclosing, embodying, defining, stating, explaining, summarizing, or in any way pertaining to.

D. The term "including" means "including, but not limited to."

E. The terms "document" or "documents" shall include, without limitation, any writings and documentary material of any kind whatsoever, both originals and copies (regardless of origin and whether or not including additional writing thereon or attached thereto), and any and all drafts, preliminary versions, alterations, modifications, revisions, changes and written comments of and concerning such material, including but not limited to: correspondence, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, papers, files, books, contracts, contract amendments or supplements, contract

offers, and records of any sort (printed, recorded or otherwise) of any oral communication whether sent or received or neither, and other written records or recordings, in whatever form, stored or contained in or on whatever medium including computerized or digital memory or magnetic media.

F. The term "date" shall mean the exact day, month and year, if ascertainable, or if not, the best approximation thereof, including relationship to other events.

G. The term "person" or "persons" means and includes any individual, committee, task force, division, department, company, contractor, state, federal or local government agency, corporation, firm, association, partnership, joint venture or any other business or legal entity.

H. The terms "identify" and "identity" when used with reference to a <u>natural</u> <u>person</u> mean to state his or her full name, present or last known address, present or last known telephone number, present or last known place of employment, position or business affiliation, his or her position or business affiliation at the time in question, and a general description of the business in which he or she is engaged.

I. The terms "identify" and "identity" when used with respect to <u>any other</u> <u>entity</u> mean to state its full name, the address of its principal place of business and the name of its chief executive officers.

J. The terms "identify" and "identity" with respect to <u>a document</u> mean to state the name or title of the document, the type of document (e.g., letter, memorandum, telegram, computer input or output, chart, etc.), its date, the person(s)

who authored it, the person(s) who signed it, the person(s) to whom it was addressed, the person(s) to whom it was sent, its general subject matter, its present location, and its present custodian. If any such document was but is no longer in Respondent's possession or subject to its control, state what disposition was made of it and explain the circumstances surrounding, and the authorization, for such disposition, and state the date or approximate date thereof.

K. The terms "identify" and "identity" with respect to any <u>non-written</u> <u>communication</u> mean to state the identity of the natural person(s) making and receiving the communication, their respective principals or employers at the time of the communication, the date, manner and place of the communication, and the topic or subject matter of the communication.

L. The terms "and" and "or" have both conjunctive and disjunctive meanings as necessary to bring within the scope of the Requests.

M. The terms "transport services" or "transport facilities" include but are not limited to transport services or facilities that directly or indirectly connect a Verizon wire center or switch to another Verizon wire center or switch.

III. INTERROGATORIES

- 25. In connection with AT&T's responses to the Florida Public Service Commission Staff's Data Request Loop Questions, please answer the following:
 - a. For each location where AT&T indicated that it cannot serve all customer at that location:
 - (i) Explain how AT&T has terminated its fiber to serve the customer at that location.

- (ii) Explain the circumstances at that location that cause AT&T not to have access to the entire customer location, including each individual unit within that location.
- b. Identify the number of strands of fiber optic cables deployed to the location, the number that are dark, and the number that are lit.
- 26. Provide a list of the customer locations to which you have obtained highcapacity loop facilities or services from a supplier other than an ILEC (including wholesale providers and non-certificated providers), as well as the address of each location.
- 27. For each of the facilities identified in response to Interrogatory No. 26, please provide the following information:
 - a. The suppliers from whom you have obtained those facilities.
 - b. The capacity or capacities (e.g., DS-1, DS-3, voice-grade equivalent lines) obtained to each location.

Form for Re	esponse to Interrogatories 2	6 and 27
Customer Locations Currently Se	rved with Self-Deployed Hig	h-Capacity Loop Facilities
Customer Address (Street, City, Zip)	Supplier	Capacity
[use as many lines as needed]		

IV. REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS

3. Please admit that AT&T typically deploys two 24-strand fiber optic cables to each building location to which it has deployed loop facilities.

V. REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS

12. Please provide any and all documents that describe, discuss or relate to any fiber loop facilities that AT&T offers to other carriers.

EXHIBIT TWO

.

.

٠

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

In re: Implementation of requirements arising from Federal Communications Commission's triennial UNE review: Location-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Loops, and Route-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Transport.

Docket No. 030852-TP

Filed: February 23, 2004

AT&T'S RESPONSES TO VERIZON'S SECOND REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS (NO. 3), SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 25-27) AND SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NO. 12)

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC ("AT&T") pursuant to Rule 28.106-206, Florida Administrative Code, Rule 1.340, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and Order No. PSC-03-1055-PCO-TP, issued in this docket on September 22, 2003, hereby files its Responses to Verizon's Second Request for Admissions, Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 25-27) and Second Request for Production of Documents (No. 12) as follows:

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS

REQUEST: Verizon Request For Admission

DATED: February 2, 2004

Request forPlease admit that AT&T typically deploys two 24-strandAdmission No. 3:fiber optic cables to each building location to which it has
deployed loop facilities.

Response:

AT&T cannot admit to this statement. AT&T has variously deployed a number of different configurations of one or two cables having 12 or 24 fiber strands per cable.

. . .

RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

- REQUEST: Verizon's 2nd Set of Interrogatories
- DATED: February 2, 2004
- Interrogatory No 25: In connection with AT&T's responses to the Florida Public Service Commission Staff's Data Request Loop Questions, please answer the following:
 - a. For each location where AT&T indicated that it cannot serve all customer at that location:
 - (i) Explain how AT&T has terminated its fiber to serve the customer at that location.
 - (ii) Explain the circumstances at that location that cause AT&T not to have access to the entire customer location, including each individual unit within that location.
 - b. Identify the number of strands of fiber optic cables deployed to the location, the number that are dark, and the number that are lit.
- Response:
- a. The information AT&T provided to the FPSC Staff AT&T did not include any such indications.
- b. AT&T has no information responsive to this Interrogatory.

3

,

......

.

REQUEST:	Verizon's Second Set of Interrogatories
DATED:	February 2, 2003
Interrogatory 26:	Provide a list of the customer locations to which you have obtained high-capacity loop facilities or services from a supplier other than an ILEC (including wholesale providers and non- certificated providers), as well as the address of each location.
Response:	AT&T has not obtained high capacity loop facilities or services from a supplier other than an ILEC.

,

....

•

¢

-

,

REQUEST: Verizon's First Set of Interrogatories

DATED: February 2, 2003

Interrogatory 27: For each of the facilities identified in response to Interrogatory No. 26, please provide the following information:

Form for Res	ponse to Intern and 27	rogatories 26
Customer Location Deployed High	•	
Customer Address (Street, City, Zip)	Supplier	Capacity
[use as many lines as needed]		

Response:

-

See Response to Interrogatory No. 26.

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST:	Verizon's Second Request for Production of Documents
DATED:	February 2, 2004
POD No. 12:	Please provide any and all documents that describe, discuss or relate to any fiber loop facilities that AT&T offers to other carriers.
Response:	AT&T does not offer wholesale loop facilities to other carriers and therefore has no documents to provide.

*

SUBMITTED this 23th day of February, 2004.

many Hotch 1 las

Tracy W. Hatch, Esq. 101 N. Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 425-6360 thatch@att.com

Attorney for AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC and TCG South Florida

EXHIBIT THREE

.

¢

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

AT&T GA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion Into Competition for Local Exchange Service. R.95-04-043

(Triennial Phase) 1.95-04-044

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion Into Competition for Local Exchange Service.

STIPULATION IN CONNECTION WITH DATA REQUEST 3.1(b) TO VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC.'S (U 1002 C) THIRD SET OF DATA REQUESTS OF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF CALIFORNIA, INC., TCG LOS ANGELES (U 5462 C). TCG SAN DIEGO (U 5389 C), AND TCG SAN FRANCISCO (U 5462 C)

AT&T Communications of California, Inc., et. al ("AT&T") stipulate, solely

for purposes of this proceeding, that they have dark fiber strands in combination with lit

fiber at each building for which Verizon contends the California Public Utilities

Commission ("CPUC") should find that there is no impairment (see Testimony of Orville

D. Fulp and John White, dated December 30, 2003, Attachment G) and that also is

identified in AT&T's spreadsheet responses to the CPUC's October 22, 2003 Data

Request on High Capacity Loops. Verizon agrees that this answer satisfies its Data

Request 3.1(b) for purposes of this proceeding.

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF CALIFORNIA, INC TCG LOS ANGELES (U 5462 C) TCG SAN DIEGO (U 5389 C) TCG SAN FRANCISCO (U 5462 C)

By:

Attorney for AT&T Communications of California, Inc.

By:

795 Folsom Street, Room 2161 San Francisco, CA 94107 415.442.3776 281.664.9946 (Fax)

Dated: February 11, 2004

VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC.

Joub A. Roman

JESUS G. ROMAN Attorney for Verizon California Inc. 112 Lakeview Canyon Road San Francisco, CA 91362

SFI 1385439 11456/47550

.

¢

ATet

795 Folsom St., 2nd Floor

San Francisco, CA 94107

FAX Date: February 13, 2004 Number of pages including cover sheet: 3 From: To: Greg Hoffman, Esq. Jesus Roman AT&T Verizon Phone: 805-372-6233 Phone: 415-442-3779 Fax phone: 805-373-7515 Fax phone: 281-664-9946 CC: REMARKS: Urgent For your review Reply ASAP Please comment ł Confidentiality Notice This facsimile transmission cover sheet, and any documents which may accompany it, contains information from AT&T which is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and which may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the

information that is privileged, confidential and/or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying or other use of this communication or its substance is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for the destruction of the communication of the communication or its return to us at our expense. Thank you.

If you experience any problems receiving this transmission, please call Agnes Ng at 415-442-5557.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

In re: Implementation of requirements arising from Federal Communications Commission's Triennial UNE Review: Location-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Loops, and Route-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Transport

Docket No. 030852-TP Filed: February 25, 2004

VERIZON FLORIDA INC.'S MOTION TO COMPEL AT&T LOOP DISCOVERY

Pursuant to Rules 28-106.204 and 28-106.206 of the Florida Administrative Code and Rules 1.280 and 1.380 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Verizon Florida Inc. ("Verizon"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby submits this Motion to Compel Discovery requesting the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") to order AT&T Communications of the Southern States ("AT&T") to respond fully and completely to Verizon's Second Request for Admissions (No. 3), Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 25-27) ("Interrogatories") and Second Request for Production of Documents (No. 12) (collectively, "Verizon's Second Set") (Exhibit 1). Verizon served AT&T with these discovery requests, which concern AT&T's loop facilities in Florida, on February 2, 2004. On February 23, 2004, AT&T filed Responses to Verizon's Second Set ("Responses") (Exhibit 2). Verizon here specifically seeks a complete response by AT&T to Interrogatory No. 25(b).

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(3), Verizon has conferred with AT&T regarding this motion: counsel for AT&T indicated that he would look into the particular issue raised by Verizon. However, because today (February 25, 2004) is the discovery cut-off for this case, Verizon is filing this motion to preserve its right to complete responses from AT&T to Verizon's Second Set. In the event AT&T provides additional information or makes further representation

regarding its loop facilities, Verizon will assess whether to withdraw this motion.

Verizon's Interrogatory No. 25 states:

In connection with AT&T's responses to the Florida Public Service Commission Staff's Data Request Loop Questions, please answer the following:

- a. For each location where AT&T indicated that it cannot serve all customer [sic] at that location:
 - (i) Explain how AT&T has terminated its fiber to serve the customer at that location.
 - Explain the circumstances at that location that cause AT&T not to have access to the entire customer location, including each individual unit within that location.
- b. Identify the number of strands of fiber optic cables deployed to the location, the number that are dark, and the number that are lit.

In response to (b), AT&T stated as follows: "AT&T has no information responsive to this Interrogatory."

AT&T should not be allowed to evade basic discovery about its loop facilities through the highly suspect contention that it has "no" responsive information. Critically, Interrogatory 25(b) asks AT&T to identify the number of strands of fiber optic cables deployed, the number that are dark, and the number that are lit *at all customer locations* identified on AT&T's responses to the Florida Public Service Commission staff's data requests. Certainly AT&T must have *some* records containing information responsive to this request. For example, AT&T should be able identify whether it has dark fibers going to these locations. Indeed, AT&T provided information about its dark fiber deployments in response to similar discovery in other states. At a minimum, AT&T should be made to stipulate that it has dark fiber in each building at its customer locations, as it did in California (Exhibit 3).¹

By all appearances, AT&T has not been fully forthcoming in response to Verizon's Interrogatory 25(b). AT&T should be made to provide a full and complete response to this question.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and in light of the impending discovery cut-off on February 25, 2004 and subsequent hearings beginning March 3, 2004, the Commission should order AT&T immediately to provide full and complete responses to Verizon's Second Set, including specifically Interrogatory 25(b).

Respectfully submitted,

Verizon Florida Inc.

By: Pichard A Chaptis /FC

Richard A. Chapkis Esq. V.P. & General Counsel-SE Region Verizon Florida Inc. 211 N. Franklin - FLTC0717 P.O. Box 110 Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Telephone: (813) 483-1256 Facsimile: (813) 273-9825

Counsel for Verizon Florida Inc.

February 25, 2004

The California stipulation, which states that it was made "solely for purposes of [the California] proceeding," clearly does not apply to this proceeding. However, Verizon suggests that it is indicative of AT&T's network and the information it has available regarding its loop facilities.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Motion to Compel AT&T Loop Discovery in Docket No. 030852-TP were sent via electronic mail and U.S. mail on February 25, 2004 to:

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecomm. Inc. 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Tracy Hatch AT&T 101 N. Monroe, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michael Gross Florida Cable Telecomm. Assn. 246 East 6th Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32303

> Susan Masterton Charles Rehwinkel Sprint-Florida 1313 Blairstone Road MC FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Donna McNulty MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1203 Governors Square Blvd. Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960

Lisa A. Sapper AT&T 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter Reeves Law Firm 117 South Gadsden Street .

9

Tallahassee, FL 32301 Floyd Self Messer Caparello & Self 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Marva Brown Johnson KMC Telecom III, LLC 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30034-8119

Nanette Edwards ITC^DeltaCom 4092 S. Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802

Charles V. Gerkin, Jr. Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 9201 North Central Expressway Dallas, TC 75231

Terry Larkin Allegiance Telecom Inc. 700 East Butterfield Road Lombard, IL 60148

Matthew Feil Scott A. Kassman FDN Communications 390 North Orange Avenue Suite 2000 Orlando, FL 32801

Norman H. Horton, Jr. Messer Caparello & Self 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jake E. Jennings NewSouth Comm. Corp. NewSouth Center Two N. Main Center Greenville, SC 29601

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle Flanigan Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301 Jorge Cruz-Bustillo Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. 2620 S.W. 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133

Jonathan Audu Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 220 Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027

> Bo Russell Nuvox Communications Inc. 301 North Main Street Greenville, SC 29601

> Thomas M. Koutsky Z-Tel Communications, Inc. 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Charles J. Beck Deputy Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

> J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

<u>Pakenet A Chagais / + C</u> Richard A. Chapkis