TOBIN & REYES, P.A.

ORIGINAL

7251 WEST PALMETTO PARK ROAD
SUITE 205

DAVID S. TOBIN
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33433-3487
RICARDO A. REYES
TELEPHONE (561) 620-0656
JOSEPH R. GIBSON
FACSIMILE (561) 620-0657

WRITER'S E-MAIL dst@tobinreyes.com

March 22, 2004

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó
Director, Division of the Commission
Clerk and Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

CUTIMISSION

114R 23 AM 10: 51

Re:

Docket No. 030300-TP (Petition of the Florida Public Telecommunications Association ("FPTA") for Expedited Review of BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.'s ("BellSouth") Tariffs With Respect to Rates for Payphone Line Access, Usage and Features)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed please find an original and two copies of FPTA's Preliminary Objections to BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories/Admissions and Request for Production of Documents and the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission's First Set of Interrogatories/Admissions and Request for Production of Documents in the above referenced matter.

Please return the enclosed copy of this letter to indicate your receipt of the enclosed documents.

Very truly yours,

RECEIVED & FILED

PSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

TOBIN & REYES, P.A.

David S. Tobin

CMP ____ DST/ac
CTR ___ encl.
ECR ___

NUS

CAF

GCL OPC

MMS

Florida Public Telecommunications Association

Meredith E. Mays, Esq. Nancy White, Esq.

04 HVB 53 VH 10: 05

STAD-RIBMUN THEMUBPELION CENTER

03819 MAR 23 3

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for expedited review of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s intrastate tariffs for pay telephone access services (PTAS) rate with respect to rates for payphone line access, usage, and features, by Florida Public Telecommunications Association.

DOCKET NO. 030300-TP

FILED: March 22, 2004

FPTA'S PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS TO BELLSOUTH'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES/ADMISSIONS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Petitioner, Florida Public Telecommunication Association ("FPTA"), by and through undersigned counsel and pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, Rules 1.280, 1.340, 1.350, & 1.370 Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and Order No. PSC-03-1066-PCO-TP, issued in this docket on September 24, 2003, hereby files their Preliminary Objections to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s ("BellSouth") First Set of Interrogatories/Admissions and Request for Production of Documents.

The Objections stated herein are preliminary in nature and are based upon information now available to FPTA. Should grounds for additional and further objections be discovered prior to FPTA's response to BellSouth's discovery, FPTA expressly reserves the right to supplement, amend or modify these objections up to and including the time it files its written response to BellSouth's discovery.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. FPTA objects to each and every Interrogatory/Request for Admission and Request for Production to the extent that they call for a response which is neither relevant to the pending action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 2. FPTA objects to each and every Interrogatory/Request for Admission and Request for Production to the extent that said interrogatory or request is overly broad and/or that a response to said interrogatory or request would cause FPTA undue burden or expense.
- 3. FPTA objects to each and every Interrogatory/Request for Admission and Request for Production to the extent that the response to said interrogatory or request is intended for purposes of oppression, harassment or to cause embarrassment.
- 4. FPTA objects to the Interrogatories propounded by BellSouth to the extent that said Interrogatories, including all subparts thereof, exceed the maximum number of interrogatories allowable under Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340.
- 5. FPTA objects to the Definitions, Instructions, Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions, and the Requests for Production to the extent that they call for a response which is privileged under the attorney/client privilege, work-product doctrine or other privilege.
- 6. FPTA objects to the Definitions, Instructions, Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions, and the Requests for Production to the extent they call for a response that would disclose trade secrets or other business information of a confidential and proprietary nature. To the

extent such a response is called for, FPTA will provide said response, subject to any general or specific objections, upon execution of a mutually satisfactory confidentiality agreement.

- 7. FPTA objects to the Definitions, Instructions, Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions, and the Requests for Production to the extent that they seek to impose discovery obligations on FPTA beyond the scope of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 8. To the extent that the discovery requests require FPTA to produce "all documents" or to "identify all documents," FPTA objects to said request on the grounds that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome.
- 9. FPTA objects to the Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions and the Requests for Production to the extent they call for a response which is not within the possession, custody and control of the FPTA.
- 10. FPTA objects to the Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions and Requests for Production to the extent that the response thereto is already within the possession, custody or control of BellSouth.
- 11. FPTA objects to the Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions and Requests for Production to the extent that a response thereto concerns subjects which are not properly before the Commission and/or outside of the Commission's jurisdiction in this matter.
- 12. FPTA objects to the Definitions, Instructions, Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions, and the Requests for Production to the Definitions, Instructions,

Interrogatories/Requests for Admissions, and the Requests for Production to the extent that they are

vague and ambiguous.

13. To the extent that the discovery seeks information which is already available in the

public record before the Commission, FPTA objects to providing same.

The foregoing General Objections are incorporated by reference into FPTA's specific

responses to each Interrogatory/Request for Admission and Request for Production.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS TO INTERROGATORIES/REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS

Interrogatory No. 5

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that its response calls for information that is within

the possession, custody and control of BellSouth.

Interrogatory No. 8

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that: it is neither relevant nor reasonably

calculated to lead to the admission of admissible evidence; seeks business information that is

confidential and/or proprietary in nature, and; is intended solely for harassment or embarrassment.

Interrogatory No. 9

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that: it is neither relevant nor reasonably

calculated to lead to the admission of admissible evidence, and; is intended solely for harassment

or embarrassment.

PAGE 5

Interrogatory No. 18

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Interrogatory No. 23

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Interrogatory No. 24

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Interrogatory No. 25

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Interrogatory No. 26

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Interrogatory No. 28

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Interrogatory No. 29

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

Interrogatory No. 30

FPTA objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. To the extent

that a response to this Interrogatory would require discovery of the mental impressions, conclusions,

opinions or legal theories of FPTA's counsel or other representatives, FPTA further objects on the

grounds of the attorney/client privilege and/or work product doctrine.

FPTA'S PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS TO BELLSOUTH'S DISCOVERY

DOCKET NO. 030300-TP

PAGE 7

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

Request No. 1

FPTA objects to this Request for Production on grounds that it: is neither relevant nor reasonably

calculated to lead to the admission of admissible evidence; is overly broad, unduly burdensome

and/or that a response thereto would cause undue expense; is vague and ambiguous; is intended

solely for harassment; may call for the production of documents which are protected by the

attorney/client privilege, work-product doctrine or other privilege; seeks business information that

is confidential and/or proprietary in nature, and; is intended solely for harassment or embarrassment.

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of March, 2004.

fol

avid S. Tobin, Esq.

Tobin & Reyes, P.A.

7251 West Palmetto Park Road

Suite 205

Boca Raton, Florida 33433

(561) 620-0656

(561) 620-0657 (fax)

FPTA'S PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS TO BELLSOUTH'S DISCOVERY DOCKET NO. 030300-TP PAGE 8

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition of Florida Public)	
Telecommunications Association)	Docket No.: DN 030300-TD
for Expedited Review of BellSouth)	
Telecommunications, Inc.'s Tariffs)	March 22, 2004
with respect Rates for Payphone)	
Line Access, Usage, and Features.	.)	

I HEREBY CERTIFY that one copy of FPTA s Prehearing Statement has been furnished by U.S. Mail, this 22nd day of March, 2004, to the following:

Meredith E. Mays Regulatory Counsel BellSouth Corporation Legal Department 675 West Peachtree Street Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0001

Nancy White General Counsel – Florida BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 West Flagler Street Suite 1910 Miami, Florida 33130

⊈ Kavi

avid S. Tobin, Esq.

Tobin & Reyes, P.A.

7251 West Palmetto Park Road

Suite 205

Boca Raton, Florida 33433

(561) 620-0656

(561) 620-0657 (fax)