

Timolyn Henry

ORIGINAL

From: Richzambo@aol.com
 Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 12:28 PM
 To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
 Cc: Nancy.McCann@ci.tampa.fl.us; jbooth@swa.org; mike.salmon@ci.tampa.fl.us; mcbruner@swa.org; David.McCary@ci.tampa.fl.us; rschauer@swa.org; mmorrison@swa.org
 Subject: FPSC Docket No. 020233-El GridFlorida Market Issues Workshop

RECEIVED-FPSC

MAY 27 PM 1:20

COMMISSION CLERK

Attached for filing in the referenced docket please find the **Joint Post Workshop Comments of The City of Tampa and The Solid Waste Authority of Palm Beach County** in connection with the May 19-21, 2004 Market Issues Workshop.

If you have any questions regarding this filing, or require anything further, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Thanks you.

Rich Zambo

Richard A. Zambo, P.A.
 1334 S.E. MacArthur Boulevard
 Stuart, Florida 34996

Phone 772 224 5400
 email richzambo@aol.com

CMP _____
 COM _____
 CTR _____
 ECR _____
 GCL _____
 OPC _____
 MMS _____
 RCA _____
 SCR _____
 SEC |
 OTH _____

5/27/2004

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 06065 MAY 27 3
 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

RICHARD A. ZAMBO, P.A.
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS
1334 S.E. MacArthur Boulevard
Stuart, Florida 34996
Telephone (772) 220-9163

ORIGINAL

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEY

COGENERATION & ALTERNATIVE ENERGY
ENERGY REGULATORY LAW

May 27, 2004

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director
Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Review of GridFlorida RTO Proposal
FPSC Docket No. 020233-EI
Post Workshop Comments

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Attached for filing in the referenced docket please find the joint response of the City of Tampa and the Solid Waste Authority of Palm Beach County to Applicants' Draft Positions in connection with the May 19-21, 2004 Market Issues Workshop.

Pursuant to Commission instructions, this joint response was electronically distributed to registered stakeholders via the GridFlorida E-mail Explorer List.

If you have any questions regarding this filing, or require anything further, please do not hesitate to contact this office. Thanks you.

Sincerely,

/s/ Richard A. Zambo

RAZ/ms
Enclosure

Richard A. Zambo
Florida Bar No. 312525

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06065 MAY 27 3

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Review of GridFlorida)
Regional Transmission)
Organization (RTO) Proposal)
_____)

Docket No. 020233-EI
By Electronic filing: May 27, 2004

Market Issues Workshop

**Joint Post Workshop Comments
of
City of Tampa
Solid Waste Authority of Palm Beach County**

The City of Tampa and the Solid Waste Authority of Palm Beach County (Florida QFs) submit these joint post workshop comments relating to the GridFlorida workshop proceedings.

1. The Commission’s December 15, 2003 procedural order in this Docket established the current workshop process and provided, among other things, that the parties:

“. . . are encouraged to make use of the opportunity afforded by the workshops to diligently communicate their positions and concerns, and to work in the cooperative spirit demonstrated throughout this docket and at the September 15 FERC technical conference.” (emphasis supplied)

2. At this juncture, it would be difficult to describe the workshops process as one characterized by the diligent communication of positions and concerns. Stakeholders, including, Florida QFs, have responded in substantial detail to the issues identified by Staff - articulating their positions on the issues, and/or providing their preferred alternative solutions. Applicants, for the most part, have provided menus/matrices of options for addressing issues contained in Staff’s list, but have provided little in the way of identifying their positions on those issues or the specifics of where they agree or disagree with stakeholders.

3. Staff, stakeholders and Applicants have now participated in two workshops. Florida QFs are of the opinion that little, if any, progress can be made in limiting or resolving the many controversial and complicated issues involved unless the workshop format is modified. What has thus far been lacking in the process is Applicants’ statement of positions on the issues, and a definitive reply to the responses and positions of the stakeholders. Absent such Applicant input to identify areas of agreement or disagreement, the workshop process is unlikely to result in the narrowing of issues or defining areas of agreement on any of the issues.

4. As a solution, Florida QFs suggest modifying the workshop format so that Applicants provide written positions on each issue and detailed replies to each of the written responses filed by stakeholders – including those filed in the prior two workshops as well as the third scheduled workshop – prior to the next workshop. Written replies should specify each area of agreement or disagreement with stakeholders’ stated positions. This minor format modification would expedite the free and full exchange of information and result in the communication of positions and concerns for which these workshop proceedings were intended.