

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY  
OF  
HOWARD T. BRYANT

Q. Please state your name, address and occupation.

A. My name is Howard T. Bryant. My business address is 702 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the company") as Manager, Rates in the Regulatory Affairs Department.

Q. Please provide a brief outline of your educational background and business experience.

A. I graduated from the University of Florida in June 1973 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration. I have been employed by Tampa Electric since August 1981. My work has included various positions in Customer Service, Energy Conservation Services, Demand Side Management ("DSM") Planning, Energy Management and Forecasting, and Regulatory Affairs. In my current position, I am responsible for the company's Energy Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") Clause, the

DOCUMENT NUMBER 171

06212 JUN-18

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause ("ECRC"), and retail rate design.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
Q. Have you previously testified before the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission")?

A. Yes. I have testified before this Commission on conservation and load management activities, DSM goals setting dockets, DSM plan approval dockets, and other ECCR dockets since 1993, and various ECRC activities since 2001.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present, for Commission review and approval, Tampa Electric's proposed numerical DSM goals for 2005 - 2014. The goals are separated into summer demand, winter demand and annual energy components for both residential and commercial/industrial sectors. In support of the proposed DSM goals, my testimony describes the process Tampa Electric utilized to establish reasonably achievable, cost-effective goals.

Q. Have you prepared an exhibit in support of your testimony?

1 A. Yes, under my direction and supervision, I have prepared  
2 an exhibit entitled, "Exhibit of Howard T. Bryant." It  
3 consists of four documents and has been identified as  
4 Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_\_ (HTB-1).

5  
6 2. Please describe the overall process Tampa Electric used  
7 to develop its proposed DSM goals.

8  
9 A. The overall process for Tampa Electric began with the  
10 identification of a comprehensive list of end-use  
11 measures that met the requirements of Rule 25-17.0021.  
12 In Docket No. 930551-EG, the Commission provided the  
13 Fourth Order Establishing Procedure during the first DSM  
14 goals setting process which established a comprehensive  
15 baseline of measures to be evaluated for the development  
16 of DSM goals. These measures were from the Synergic  
17 Resources Corporation ("SRC") report, "Electricity  
18 Conservation and Energy Efficiency in Florida: Technical,  
19 Economic and Achievable Results, Final Report."  
20 Subsequently, in Docket No. 971007-EG, the Commission  
21 Staff proposed that this previously adopted baseline of  
22 measures become the foundation for evaluations during the  
23 second DSM goals setting process and it was utilized as  
24 such. Due to its comprehensive nature and ability to  
25 meet the intent of the Rule, Tampa Electric deemed it

1 appropriate for this list of DSM measures to again form  
2 the basis for evaluations necessary to establish the  
3 company's DSM goals for the next ten-year planning  
4 period.

5  
6 The next step was to include any measures from the  
7 company's existing DSM programs and other research and  
8 development ("R&D") activity that were not on the SRC  
9 list. Finally, the cost-effectiveness evaluation of each  
10 measure was performed, and after minimal screening using  
11 empirical judgment, the proposed DSM goals were  
12 established for the residential and commercial/industrial  
13 sectors.

14  
15 **Q.** Please provide additional detail as to why the SRC  
16 measures are an appropriate starting point for Tampa  
17 Electric's evaluation process?

18  
19 **A.** The SRC measures and methodology for identifying their  
20 evaluation characteristics are established and well known  
21 to the Commission from previous proceedings.  
22 Furthermore, SRC developed data for the DSM measures  
23 specific to Tampa Electric's service area. Therefore,  
24 all that was needed was updating the previous data set to  
25 reflect inflation and measure costs. Finally, Rule 25-

1 17.0021(3) requires that the utility a.) propose goals  
2 reasonably achievable in both the residential and  
3 commercial/industrial market sectors; b.) consider  
4 measures applicable for new and existing construction in  
5 both market sectors; c.) assess major end-use categories  
6 listed in the rule; and d.) address such issues as  
7 overlapping measures, appliance efficiency standards,  
8 interactions with building codes, rebound effects and the  
9 utility's latest monitoring and evaluation data. The SRC  
10 measures meet the preponderance of these requirements.  
11

12 **Q.** Please describe the additional DSM measures Tampa  
13 Electric considered and included in the goals setting  
14 process.  
15

16 **A.** The additional DSM measures Tampa Electric included in  
17 the goals setting process included those measures  
18 currently promoted through the company's existing  
19 programs but not a part of the original SRC list. The  
20 measures added included a heat pump replacing strip heat,  
21 residential load management, commercial load management,  
22 standby generator and direct expansion air-conditioning  
23 replacement. The company also included the measures  
24 previously identified by the Commission as Code/Utility  
25 Evaluation ("CUE") measures. However, the CUE measures

1           were first screened for those that now exist in the  
2           Florida Energy Efficiency Code for Building Construction.  
3           Tampa Electric also considered measures that had been  
4           historically promoted through the company's custom  
5           incentive program and that had developed a consistent  
6           demand and energy savings profile across one or more  
7           commercial/industrial segments.       Finally, R&D measures  
8           that were demonstrated to be viable for the company's  
9           climate zone and potentially cost-effective were  
10          considered.   The comprehensive list of measures evaluated  
11          by Tampa Electric is shown in Document 1 of my Exhibit  
12          No. \_\_\_\_\_ (HTB-1).

13  
14   **Q.**   Once Tampa Electric compiled its list of measures for  
15          evaluation, did any screening occur based on a measure's  
16          cost-effectiveness results from any previous goals  
17          setting proceeding?

18  
19   **A.**   No.   All measures on the list were evaluated regardless  
20          of their cost-effectiveness results from previous goals  
21          setting proceedings.   This was done even though the  
22          avoided cost for combustion turbine generation has  
23          dropped significantly since the last proceeding.

24  
25   **Q.**   What impact did Tampa Electric's ongoing monitoring and

1 evaluating efforts have on the process?

2  
3 **A.** Tampa Electric's monitoring and evaluating efforts  
4 enabled the company to update certain demand and energy  
5 savings, company costs and customer equipment costs for  
6 measures that are integral to the company's current DSM  
7 programs.

8  
9 Additionally, the company was able to identify the  
10 shrinking market potential, residentially and  
11 commercially, for measures that have had successful  
12 penetration rates from the early 1980s forward.

13  
14 **Q.** Please describe the cost-effectiveness analysis Tampa  
15 Electric performed on the comprehensive list of measures.

16  
17 **A.** Consistent with previous goals setting proceedings, all  
18 measures were evaluated using the Commission prescribed  
19 cost-effectiveness methodology defined in Rule 25-17.008.  
20 The SRC and/or company specific data for each measure was  
21 input into the cost-effectiveness model (DSM\_FIRE)  
22 previously developed to meet the requirements of the  
23 Rule. Cost-effective results were identified as those  
24 measures that passed the Rate Impact Measure ("RIM")  
25 Test, the Total Resource Cost ("TRC") Test, and the

1 Participants' Test with a benefit-to-cost ratio ("BCR")  
2 of 1.0 or greater.

3  
4 Q. For those measures with a BCR of 1.0 or greater, please  
5 describe any additional analysis or screening that  
6 occurred.

7  
8 A. At this juncture, participation rates for the measures  
9 exhibiting a BCR of 1.0 or greater were analyzed. In  
10 some cases, the rate was projected at a fairly aggressive  
11 level due to the relative newness and moderate adoption  
12 rate of the measure thus far in the marketplace. The  
13 duct repair measure for existing residential air  
14 distribution systems is an excellent example.  
15 Conversely, some measures have been cost-effectively  
16 penetrating the marketplace since the early 1980s and  
17 their participation rates were projected at a more modest  
18 level. Heat pump replacing strip heat and ceiling  
19 insulation measures in the residential sector are  
20 examples of these types of measures. Simply stated, it  
21 is becoming increasingly more difficult to secure the  
22 next incremental participant for these maturing measures.  
23 However, both of these residential measures, along with  
24 other mature residential and commercial/industrial  
25 measures, are still cost-effective and their respective



1 contributions will continue to be counted toward the  
2 company's DSM goals.

3  
4 Measures that had a BCR of 1.0 or greater were also  
5 screened for permanency, customer behavioral  
6 characteristics, a measure's viability for inclusion in a  
7 utility DSM program, marketplace availability and free  
8 ridership.

9  
10 **Q.** Did all of the measures in Tampa Electric's current DSM  
11 programs maintain their cost-effectiveness?

12  
13 **A.** Although there was a continuing overall decline in BCRs  
14 due to decreasing costs for avoided combustion turbine  
15 generation, with the exception of Tampa Electric's  
16 residential load management measure, all measures from  
17 the company's current DSM programs maintained their cost-  
18 effectiveness. For residential load management, a  
19 significant effort was made to determine modifications  
20 that could be made in order to preserve the measure. All  
21 cost components were analyzed for potential reductions.  
22 A seasonal approach in lieu of a year round offering and  
23 a minimum requirement of two appliances for new  
24 participants were evaluated; however, no combination of  
25 these alternatives provided a cost-effective solution.

1 Q. What is Tampa Electric's plan for residential load  
2 management?

3  
4 A. Tampa Electric recognizes the value of its existing  
5 residential load management resource and the potential  
6 for incremental load that still exists in the  
7 marketplace. However, the company believes that a new  
8 approach must be taken in order to secure any portion of  
9 that existing potential. Therefore, Tampa Electric is  
10 evaluating a type of load management that the utility  
11 industry generically terms price responsive load  
12 management ("PRLM").

13  
14 PRLM has been demonstrated to be a viable and customer  
15 accepted alternative offered by utilities in the United  
16 States to their commercial/industrial sector of  
17 customers. It is now emerging as an option for the  
18 residential sector as well. The success of PRLM is based  
19 upon the premise that if a utility provides customers  
20 with high enough real-time pricing signals during periods  
21 when traditional load management would be exercised, PRLM  
22 customers will react to such higher priced signals and  
23 alter their energy consumption patterns, thereby  
24 providing demand reductions during a utility's peak

1 demand periods. In Florida, PRLM is being successfully  
2 demonstrated by Gulf Power Corporation.

3  
4 Tampa Electric has examined available data for a  
5 potential PRLM program in its service area. The initial  
6 evaluation is quite promising; however, company specific  
7 data is necessary for an informed decision to be made.  
8 Therefore, Tampa Electric anticipates filing a request  
9 with the Commission in August 2004 for a residential PRLM  
10 pilot project of up to two years in duration to begin  
11 field installation and data collection during the first  
12 quarter of 2005. In the interim and until the completion  
13 of the PRLM pilot, Tampa Electric will request that the  
14 current residential load management program remain open  
15 for new customer participation and that the company will  
16 be able to count any modest incremental savings achieved  
17 toward its goals for the current period. At the end of  
18 the PRLM pilot, Tampa Electric will evaluate the long-  
19 term viability of PRLM, the cost-effectiveness of its  
20 current load management program, and from those analyses,  
21 determine the appropriate plans for capturing the  
22 residential load management potential that exists at that  
23 time. The decision process and any necessary filings  
24 will be brought before the Commission.

1 Q. Based on Tampa Electric's evaluation process, what are  
2 the DSM goals the company is proposing for 2005 - 2014?

3  
4 A. For 2005 - 2014, Tampa Electric's cumulative proposed  
5 residential goals are a 15.2 MW reduction in summer  
6 demand, a 20.1 MW reduction in winter demand and a 43.5  
7 GWH reduction in annual energy. The cumulative proposed  
8 commercial goals are a 15.3 MW reduction in summer  
9 demand, an 8.2 MW reduction in winter demand and a 41.5  
10 GWH reduction in annual energy. Document 2 of my Exhibit  
11 No. \_\_\_\_ (HTB-1) provides the cumulative proposed  
12 residential goals for the period and Document 3 of my  
13 Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (HTB-1) provides the cumulative proposed  
14 commercial goals for the period.

15  
16 Q. Please comment on Tampa Electric's resource planning  
17 practices utilized in this current goals setting process?

18  
19 A. Tampa Electric's resource planning process for this  
20 current goals setting process is consistent with the  
21 integrated approach approved by the Commission in the two  
22 previous goals setting proceedings - Docket No. 930551-EG  
23 and Docket No. 971007-EG. The process is also delineated  
24 in the company's annual Ten-Year Site Plan filing.

25

1 Q. Please identify the avoided cost assumptions used for  
2 measure analysis.

3  
4 A. The avoided cost assumptions used for measure analysis  
5 are contained in Document 4 of Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (HTB-1).  
6 The data is consistent with information filed in the  
7 company's most recent Ten-Year Site Plan.

8  
9 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

10  
11 A. Tampa Electric initiated its current DSM goals setting  
12 process by utilizing a comprehensive list of measures  
13 derived from previous goals setting proceedings.  
14 Additional measures from company programs were added for  
15 evaluation. Based upon ongoing monitoring and evaluating  
16 conducted by the company, modifications to measure  
17 characteristics were made where appropriate. All  
18 measures were evaluated for cost-effectiveness. After  
19 appropriate screening, measures that passed the  
20 Commission prescribed cost-effectiveness tests with a BCR  
21 of 1.0 or greater established the goals for 2005 - 2014.  
22 The cumulative residential sector goals are 15.2 MW of  
23 summer demand, 20.1 MW of winter demand and 43.5 GWH of  
24 annual energy. The cumulative commercial/industrial

1 sector goals are 15.3 MW of summer demand, 8.2 MW of  
2 winter demand and 41.5 GWH of annual energy.

3 \*

4 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?

5 **A.** Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tampa Electric Company

Exhibit of

Howard T. Bryant

Tampa Electric Company

Index

Document No.	Title	Page
1	DSM Measure Evaluation List	17
2	Proposed Residential Goals	25
3	Proposed Commercial/Industrial Goals	26
4	Avoided Cost Assumptions	27



**Residential Existing Construction Measures Evaluated**

**Appliance Efficiencies**

CW-1	HIGH EFFICIENCY CLOTHES WASHER
FR-1	BEST CURRENT FREEZER (FROST-FREE)
FR-2	BEST CURRENT FREEZER (MANUAL)
FR-3	REMOVE SECOND FREEZER
LT-1	COMPACT FLUORESCENT
LT-2	EFFICIENT INCANDESCENT
LT-3	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (OUTDOOR)
LT-4	4'-34W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#2)
PP-1	HIGH EFFICIENCY POOL PUMP
RF-1	BEST CURRENT REFRIGERATOR (FROST-FREE)
RF-2	BEST CURRENT REFRIGERATOR (MANUAL)
RF-3	REMOVE SECOND REFRIGERATOR

**Building-Envelope Efficiencies**

RSC-10A	CEILING INSULATION (RO-R19)
RSC-10B	CEILING INSULATION (RO-R19)
RSC-11A	CEILING INSULATION (R11-R30)
RSC-11B	CEILING INSULATION (R11-R30)
RSC-12A	CEILING INSULATION (R19-R30)
RSC-12B	CEILING INSULATION (R19-R30)
RSC-13A	CEILING INSULATION (R30-R38)
RSC-13B	CEILING INSULATION (R30-R38)
RSC-15A	WEATHERSTRIP/CAULK W/BLOWER DOOR
RSC-15B	WEATHERSTRIP/CAULK W/BLOWER DOOR
RSC-16A	WINDOW FILM/REFLECTIVE GLASS
RSC-16B	WINDOW FILM/REFLECTIVE GLASS
RSC-17A	LOW EMISSIVTY GLASS
RSC-17B	LOW EMISSIVTY GLASS
RSC-18A	SHADE SCREENS
RSC-18B	SHADE SCREENS
RSC-19A	REFLECTIVE ROOF COATINGS
RSC-19B	REFLECTIVE ROOF COATINGS
TECO-R1	TECO CEILING INSULATION

## HVAC Systems

RSC-01	HIGH EFFICIENCY AIR SOURCE HEAT PUMP
RSC-02	GROUND SOURCE HEAT PUMP
RSC-03	TWO SPEED HEAT PUMP
RSC-05A	REDUCED DUCT LEAKAGE
RSC-05B	REDUCED DUCT LEAKAGE
RSC-07A	SETBACK/PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT
RSC-07B	SETBACK/PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT
RSC-21A	HIGH EFFICIENCY CENTRAL AC
RSC-22A	TWO SPEED CENTRAL AC
RSC-23A	WHOLE HOUSE FANS
RSC-23B	WHOLE HOUSE FANS
RSC-24A	HIGH EFFICIENCY ROOM AC
RSC-25A	AIR CONDITIONING/HEAT PUMP MAINTENANCE
RSC-25B	AIR CONDITIONING/HEAT PUMP MAINTENANCE
RSC-29	RESIDENTIAL HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP
TECO-R2	TECO HEATING AND COOLING SEER 12
TECO-R3	TECO DUCT REPAIR

## Water Heating Systems

WH-1	HIGH EFFICIENCY ELECTRIC RESISTANCE WATER HEATER
WH-2	INTEGRAL HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER
WH-4	HEAT RECOVERY WATER HEATER (DESUPERHEATER)
WH-5	ADD-ON HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER
WH-6	DHW HEATER TANK INSULATION
WH-7	DHW PIPE INSULATION
WH-8	DHW HEAT TRAP
WH-9	LOW FLOW SHOWERHEAD

## Peak Load Shaving

PP-3	DLC OF POOL PUMPS
RSC-8A	LOAD CONTROL FOR RESIDENTIAL HEAT
RSC-8B	LOAD CONTROL FOR RESIDENTIAL HEAT
RSC-26A	DLC OF CENTRAL AC
RSC-26B	DLC OF CENTRAL AC
WH-10	DLC OF ELECTRIC WATER HEATER
TECO-R4	TECO LOAD MANAGEMENT

## Solar Energy and Renewable Energy Sources

WH-3 SOLAR WATER HEATER

## Residential New Construction Measures Evaluated

### Appliance Efficiencies

CW-1	HIGH EFFICIENCY CLOTHES WASHER
FR-1	BEST CURRENT FREEZER (FROST-FREE)
FR-2	BEST CURRENT FREEZER (MANUAL)
LT-1	COMPACT FLUORESCENT
LT-2	EFFICIENT INCANDESCENT
LT-3	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (OUTDOOR)
LT-4	4'-34W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#2)
RF-1	BEST CURRENT REFRIGERATOR (FROST-FREE)
RF-2	BEST CURRENT REFRIGERATOR (MANUAL)

### Building-Envelope Efficiencies

RSC-19A	REFLECTIVE ROOF COATINGS
RSC-19B	REFLECTIVE ROOF COATINGS

### HVAC Systems

RSC-01	HIGH EFFICIENCY AIR SOURCE HEAT PUMP
RSC-02	GROUND SOURCE HEAT PUMP
RSC-03	TWO SPEED HEAT PUMP
RSC-05A	REDUCED DUCT LEAKAGE
RSC-05B	REDUCED DUCT LEAKAGE
RSC-07A	SETBACK/PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT
RSC-07B	SETBACK/PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT
RSC-21A	HIGH EFFICIENCY CENTRAL AC
RSC-22A	TWO SPEED CENTRAL AC
RSC-24A	HIGH EFFICIENCY ROOM AC
RSC-29	RESIDENTIAL HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP

### Water Heating Systems

WH-1	HIGH EFFICIENCY ELECTRIC RESISTANCE WATER HEATER
WH-2	INTEGRAL HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER
WH-3	SOLAR WATER HEATER
WH-4	HEAT RECOVERY WATER HEATER (DESUPERHEATER)
WH-5	ADD-ON HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER
WH-6	DHW HEATER TANK INSULATION
WH-8	DHW HEAT TRAP
WH-9	LOW FLOW SHOWERHEAD

### Peak Load Shaving

PP-3	DLC OF POOL PUMPS
RSC-8A	LOAD CONTROL FOR RESIDENTIAL HEAT
RSC-8B	LOAD CONTROL FOR RESIDENTIAL HEAT
RSC-26A	DLC OF CENTRAL AC
RSC-26B	DLC OF CENTRAL AC
WH-10	DLC OF ELECTRIC WATER HEATER
TECO-R4	TECO LOAD MANAGEMENT

### Residential CUE Measures Evaluated

PP-1	HIGH EFFICIENCY POOL PUMP
PP-2	DOWN-SIZED POOL PUMPS W/OVERSIZED PLUMBING
RSC-06A	REDUCED DUCT HEAT TRANSFER - NEW CONSTRUCTION
RSC-06B	REDUCED DUCT HEAT TRANSFER - NEW CONSTRUCTION
RSC-09A	CEILING INSULATION - NEW CONSTRUCTION
RSC-09B	CEILING INSULATION - NEW CONSTRUCTION
RSC-28A	CEILING FANS
RSC-28B	CEILING FANS

### Commercial Existing Construction Measures Evaluated

#### Appliance Efficiencies

CD-18	CONVECTION OVENS
CD-19	ENERGY EFFICIENT ELECTRIC FRYERS

## Lighting Efficiencies

LD-01	4'-34W FLOUR LAMPS/HYBRID BALLASTS (#1)
LD-02	4'-34W FLOUR LAMPS/HYBRID BALLASTS (#2)
LD-03	4'-34W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#1)
LD-04	4'-34W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#2)
LD-05	8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#1)
LD-06	8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#2)
LD-07	T8 LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#1)
LD-08	T8 LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#2)
LD-09	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 4'-40W FLOUR LAMPS/EE BALLAST
LD-10	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 4'-34&40W FLOUR LAMPS/EE BALLAST
LD-11	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-75W FLOUR LAMPS/EE BALLAST
LD-12	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/EE BALLAST
LD-13	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 4'-34&40W FLOUR LAMPS/HYBD BALL
LD-14	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 4'-34&40W FLOUR LAMPS/HYBD BALL
LD-15	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 4'-34&40W FLOUR LAMPS/ELEC BALL
LD-16	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 4'-34&40W FLOUR LAMPS/ELEC BALL
LD-17	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/ELEC BALL
LD-18	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/ELEC BALL
LD-19	4'X34W FLOUR LAMPS/DIMMING BALLAST(#1)
LD-20	4'X34W FLOUR LAMPS/DIMMING BALLAST(#2)
LD-21	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (70/100/150/250W)
LD-22	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (70/100/150/250W -W/ES BALLAST)
LD-23	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (35W)
LD-24	METAL HALIDE (32W)
LD-25	COMPACT FLOURESCENT LAMPS (15/18/27W)
LD-26	TWO LAMP COMPACT FLOURESCENT (18W)
LD-27	ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR LIGHTING
LD-28	OCCUPANCY SENSORS
TECO-C1	TECO INDOOR LIGHTING

## Refrigeration Equipment

RD-01	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/NO SUBCOOLING
RD-02	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/AMBIENT SUBCOOLING
RD-03	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/MECHANICAL SUBCOOLING
RD-04	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/AMBIENT & MECHANICAL SUBCOOL
RD-05	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/EXTERNAL LIQUID SUCTION HX
RD-06	OPEN DRIVE REFRIGERATION SYSTEM (ASD)
RD-07	ANTI-CONDENSATE HEATER CONTROLS
RD-08	HIGH R-VALUE GLASS DOORS
RD-09	REFRIGERATION ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EMS)
RD-10	DUAL PATH AIR CONDITIONING

## HVAC Systems

SCD-01	HIGH EFFICIENCY CHILLER
SCD-02	HIGH EFFICIENCY CHILLER W/ASD
SCD-03	HIGH EFFICIENCY DX AC
SCD-04	HIGH EFFICIENCY ROOM AC UNITS
SCD-05	COOL STORAGE
SCD-06	HEAT PIPE ENHANCED DX AC
SCD-08	HOTEL OCCUPANCY SENSORS
SCD-10	A/C MAINTENANCE-CHILLER
SCD-11	A/C MAINTENANCE-DX AC
SCD-12	HVAC AIR DUCT/WATER PIPE INSULATION-CHILLER
SCD-13	HVAC AIR DUCT/WATER PIPE INSULATION-DX AC
SCD-16	TEMPERATURE SETUP/SETBACK-CHILLER
SCD-17	TEMPERATURE SETUP/SETBACK-DX AC
VD-01	LEAK FREE DUCTS DX AC
VD-03	VAV SYSTEMS W/INLET VANES-DX AC
VD-04	ASD VENTILATION CONTROL W/VAV-DX AC
VD-05	ASD VENTILATION CONTROL W/VAV-CHILLERS
VD-06	TIME/PROGRAM VENTILATION CONTROL-CHILLERS
VD-07	TIME/PROGRAM VENTILATION CONTROL-DX AC
VD-10	SEPARATE MAKEUP AIR/EXHAUST HOODS-CHILLERS
VD-11	SEPARATE MAKEUP AIR/EXHAUST HOODS-DX AC
TECO-C2	TECO DX AC REPLACEMENT

## Building-Envelope Efficiencies

SCD-18	ROOF INSULATION-CHILLER
SCD-19	ROOF INSULATION-DX AC
SCD-22	WINDOW FILM-CHILLER
SCD-23	WINDOW FILM-DX AC
SCD-26	LIGHT COLORED ROOFS-CHILLER
SCD-27	LIGHT COLORED ROOFS-DX AC

## Power Equipment/Motor Efficiencies

SCD-09	2-SPEED MOTOR FOR COOLING TOWER
VD-08	HIGH EFFICIENCY MOTORS-CHILLERS
VD-09	HIGH EFFICIENCY MOTORS-DX AC

## Water Heating

WD-11	HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER
WD-13	HEAT RECOVERY WATER HEATER
WD-14	DHW HEATER INSULATION
WD-15	DHW HEAT TRAP
WD-16	LOW FLOW VARIABLE FLOW SHOWERHEAD
WD-17	DWH RECIRCULATION PUMPS

## Solar Energy and Renewable Energy Sources

WD-12	SOLAR WATER HEATER
-------	--------------------

## Peak Load Shaving

TECO-C3	TECO COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT
TECO-C4	TECO STANDBY GENERATOR

## Commercial New Construction Measures Evaluated

### Appliance Efficiencies

CD-18	CONVECTION OVENS
CD-19	ENERGY EFFICIENT ELECTRIC FRYERS

### Lighting Efficiencies

LD-05	8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#1)
LD-08	T8 LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#2)
LD-11	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-75W FLOUR LAMPS/EE BALLAST
LD-12	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/EE BALLAST
LD-17	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/ELEC BALL
LD-18	REFL/DELAMP INSTALL 8'-60W FLOUR LAMPS/ELEC BALL
LD-21	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (70/100/150/250W)
LD-22	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (70/100/150/250W -W/ES BALLAST)
LD-23	HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM (35W)
LD-25	COMPACT FLOURESCENT LAMPS (15/18/27W)
LD-26	TWO LAMP COMPACT FLOURESCENT (18W)
LD-27	ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR LIGHTING
LD-28	OCCUPANCY SENSORS
LD-29	DAYLIGHTING DESIGN

## Refrigeration Equipment

RD-01	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/NO SUBCOOLING
RD-02	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/AMBIENT SUBCOOLING
RD-03	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/MECHANICAL SUBCOOLING
RD-04	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/AMBIENT & MECHANICAL SUBCOOL
RD-05	MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/EXTERNAL LIQUID SUCTION HX
RD-06	OPEN DRIVE REFRIGERATION SYSTEM (ASD)
RD-07	ANTI-CONDENSATE HEATER CONTROLS
RD-08	HIGH R-VALUE GLASS DOORS
RD-09	REFRIGERATION ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EMS)
RD-10	DUAL PATH AIR CONDITIONING

## HVAC Systems

SCD-01	HIGH EFFICIENCY CHILLER
SCD-02	HIGH EFFICIENCY CHILLER W/ASD
SCD-03	HIGH EFFICIENCY DX AC
SCD-04	HIGH EFFICIENCY ROOM AC UNITS
SCD-05	COOL STORAGE
SCD-09	SPEED CONTROL FOR COOLING TOWERS
SCD-12	HVAC AIR DUCT/WATER PIPE INSULATION-CHILLER
SCD-13	HVAC AIR DUCT/WATER PIPE INSULATION-DX AC
SCD-16	TEMPERATURE SETUP/SETBACK-CHILLER
SCD-17	TEMPERATURE SETUP/SETBACK-DX AC
VD-01	LEAK FREE DUCTS DX AC
VD-04	ASD VENTILATION CONTROL W/VAV-DX AC
VD-05	ASD VENTILATION CONTROL W/VAV-CHILLERS
VD-06	TIME/PROGRAM VENTILATION CONTROL-CHILLERS
VD-07	TIME/PROGRAM VENTILATION CONTROL-DX AC
VD-10	SEPARATE MAKEUP AIR/EXHAUST HOODS-CHILLERS
VD-11	SEPARATE MAKEUP AIR/EXHAUST HOODS-DX AC
TECO-C2	TECO DX AC REPLACEMENT

## Building-Envelope Efficiencies

SCD-18	ROOF INSULATION-CHILLER
SCD-19	ROOF INSULATION-DX AC
SCD-26	LIGHT COLORED ROOFS-CHILLER
SCD-27	LIGHT COLORED ROOFS-DX AC



### Power Equipment/Motor Efficiencies

SCD-08 2-SPEED MOTOR FOR COOLING TOWER  
VD-08 HIGH EFFICIENCY MOTORS-CHILLERS  
VD-09 HIGH EFFICIENCY MOTORS-DX AC

### Water Heating

WD-11 HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER  
WD-13 HEAT RECOVERY WATER HEATER  
WD-14 DHW HEATER INSULATION

### Solar Energy and Renewable Energy Sources

WD-12 SOLAR WATER HEATER

### Peak Load Shaving

TECO-C3 TECO COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT  
TECO-C4 TECO STANDBY GENERATOR

### Commercial CUE Measures Evaluated

LD3 4'-34W FLOUR LAMPS/ELECTRONIC BALLASTS (#1)  
RD1 MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/NO SUBCOOLING  
RD2 MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/AMBIENT SUBCOOLING  
RD3 MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/MECHANICAL SUBCOOLING  
RD4 MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/AMBIENT & MECHANICAL SUBCOOL  
RD5 MULTIPLEX AIR-COOLED/EXTERNAL LIQUID SUCTION HX  
RD6 OPEN DRIVE REFRIGERATION SYSTEM (ASD)  
RD7 ANTI-CONDENSATE HEATER CONTROLS  
RD8 HIGH R-VALUE GLASS DOORS  
RD9 REFRIGERATION ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EMS)  
SCD08 2-SPEED MOTOR FOR COOLING TOWER  
SCD09 SPEED CONTROL FOR COOLING TOWERS  
SCD18 ROOF INSULATION-CHILLER  
SCD19 ROOF INSULATION-DX AC  
SCD20 WALL INSULATION-CHILLER  
SCD21 WALL INSULATION-DX AC  
SCD22 WINDOW FILM-CHILLER  
SCD23 WINDOW FILM-DX AC  
SCD24 SPECIALLY SELECTIVE WINDOWS-CHILLERS  
SCD25 SPECIALLY SELECTIVE WINDOWS-DX AC

## Proposed Residential Goals 2005 - 2014

Year	Summer Goal (MW)	Winter Goal (MW)	Annual Energy Goal (GWH)
2005	2.4	4.0	7.0
2006	4.4	6.7	12.6
2007	6.2	9.1	17.9
2008	7.9	11.4	22.7
2009	9.5	13.4	27.2
2010	10.9	15.2	31.2
2011	12.2	16.7	34.9
2012	13.3	18.1	38.2
2013	14.3	19.2	41.0
2014	15.2	20.1	43.5

**Proposed  
Commercial/Industrial Goals  
2005 - 2014**

Year	Summer Goal (MW)	Winter Goal (MW)	Annual Energy Goal (GWH)
2005	2.1	1.0	6.7
2007	6.0	2.9	18.4
2008	7.7	3.8	23.4
2009	9.3	4.7	27.8
2010	10.7	5.5	
		6.2	
2012	13.3	6.9	37.7
2013	14.3	7.6	39.9
2014	15.3	8.2	41.5

**Avoided Unit Information  
2005 Base Year**

(1)	PLANT NAME AND UNIT NUMBER	BAYSIDE UNIT 3A
(2)	CAPACITY	
	A. SUMMER	160
	B. WINTER	180
(3)	TECHNOLOGY TYPE	COMBUSTION TURBINE
(4)	ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION TIMING	
	A. FIELD CONSTRUCTION START DATE	JUL 2006
	B. COMMERCIAL IN-SERVICE DATE	JAN 2008
(5)	FUEL	
	A. PRIMARY FUEL	NATURAL GAS
	B. ALTERNATE FUEL	DISTILLATE OIL
(6)	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL STRATEGY	DRY LOW NO <sub>x</sub> BURNER
(7)	COOLING METHOD	N/A
(8)	TOTAL SITE AREA <sup>1</sup>	APPROXIMATELY 213 ACRES
(9)	CONSTRUCTION STATUS	PROPOSED
(10)	CERTIFICATION STATUS <sup>3</sup>	N/A
(11)	STATUS WITH FEDERAL AGENCIES	N/A
(12)	PROJECTED UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA	
	PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR (POF)	1.9
	FORCED OUTAGE RATE (FOR)	4.8
	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (EAF)	93
	RESULTING CAPACITY FACTOR (2008)	5.5%
	AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE (ANOHR) <sup>2</sup>	10,600 Btu/kWh
(13)	PROJECTED UNIT FINANCIAL DATA	
	BOOK LIFE (YEARS)	26
	TOTAL INSTALLED COST (IN-SERVICE YEAR \$/kW)	254.97 <sup>4</sup>
	DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST (\$/kW)	230.18 <sup>5</sup>
	AFUDC AMOUNT (\$/kW)	3.28
	ESCALATION (\$/kW)	19.48
	FIXED O&M (\$/kW - Yr)	2.74
	VARIABLE O&M (\$/MWH)	8.76
	K FACTOR	1.6926

<sup>1</sup> REPRESENTS TOTAL GANNON OR BAYSIDE SITE.  
<sup>2</sup> BASED ON IN-SERVICE YEAR.  
<sup>3</sup> CERTIFICATION NOT REQUIRED.  
<sup>4</sup> AFUDC COSTS REVISED INCREASING TOTAL COSTS FROM 247.77 TO 254.97.  
<sup>5</sup> DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COSTS REVISED TO REFLECT BASE YEAR OF 2005 vs. 2004.