Outline of Covad presentation

Title: Why the audit should look at BellSouth's incremental changes of performance measures since 2002.

- I. Overview
 - a. BellSouth has modified measures over 1,000 times since April 2002
 - b. Almost every measure has been modified
 - c. Changes have the affect of improving performance (and reducing penalties) without actually improving performance
 - d. SEEM payments have been decreasing without any noticeable improvement in performance
- II. Procedures do not allow examination of methodology
 - a. Notice of minor changes
 - b. CLEC objections specific to minor change
 - c. BellSouth unilateral answer
 - d. Possible appeal to PSC limited to minor change
 - e. Allows for "Death by 1000 cuts"
- III. There is no transparency
 - a. How are changes developed? We don't know.
 - b. How are changes prioritized? We don't know.
 - c. How are changed implemented? We don't know.
 - d. Are projected impacts to measures accurate? We don't know.
 - e. Are backward-applied reimburses of penalty payments by BellSouth to itself applied accurately and fairly? We don't know.
 - f. Has BellSouth identified upward adjustments but not made them? We don't know.
- IV. What the audit should examine
 - The process BellSouth uses to identify, prioritize and implement changes to measures
 - b. Whether those processes are properly documented
 - c. Whether changes were implemented accurately
 - d. The accuracy of BellSouth's projections about the impact to measures
 - e. Whether BellSouth has fairly and accurately applied backward reimbursements to itself
 - f. Whether BellSouth has identified any appropriate changes but not made them

Presentation time: 30 minutes

Presenter: Charles E. (Gene) Watkins, Senior Counsel, Covad Communications