VOTE SHEET

JULY 6, 2004

RE: Docket No. 030443-WS - Application for rate increase in Pasco County by Labrador Utilities, Inc.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission grant Forest Lake's request for oral argument on its motion to intervene, motion for reconsideration of interim rate order, and request for emergency rate relief?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should grant Forest Lake's request for oral argument with respect to its request for emergency rate relief (Issue 4) and deny Forest Lake's request for oral argument with respect to its motion to intervene and motion for reconsideration (Issues 2 and 3).



COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

Mult m D and	DISSENTING
Matoke	
Membre	
Jen Dear	
fleggerally	

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07338 JUL-63

VOTE SHEET JULY 6, 2004

Docket No. 030443-WS - Application for rate increase in Pasco County by Labrador Utilities, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission grant Forest Lake's motion to intervene in this docket? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. Forest Lake has demonstrated that its substantial interests will be affected through this proceeding; therefore its motion to intervene should be granted.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should the Commission grant Forest Lake's motion for reconsideration of the interim rate order issued in this docket?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Forest Lake's motion for reconsideration should be denied. While the motion for reconsideration clarifies that the Forest Lake R.V. Resort pays one monthly bill for all water and wastewater service provided to lots within the R.V. Resort, this point of fact is not material to the Commission's determination of interim rates for Labrador.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 4</u>: Should the Commission grant Forest Lake's request for emergency rate relief?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Labrador's interim rates should be deemed effective as of the stamped approval date (February 10, 2004) on the tariff sheets reflecting those rates. The customer notice provided by Labrador complied with the Commission's rules because it was distributed no later than with the first bill to each customer reflecting the interim rates.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. This docket should remain open to allow for processing of Labrador's request for permanent rate relief.

APPROVED