## ORIGINAL

## REQUEST FOR CHANGE TO AGENDA CONFERENCE HAND DELIVER

Date of Request: 8/2/2004 Date of Agenda Conference: 8/3/2004 Item No. 4	,
	•
Bilet Title. Complaint by Michael Fedrick Against FFL	
Requested by:  Staff  Other  Michael Hedrigk MMISSION (Name)  CLERK	
STAFF's Recommendation to Executive Suite (IF OTHER)	
ACTION REQUESTED [see APM 2.11]	
☐ Defer Item to Agenda Scheduled Date: 9/21/2004	
Change Order of Item or Take Up at Time Certain	
☐ Withdraw Item (not expected to return to Agenda)	
Late Filed Recommendation (must be filed no later than 3:00 p.m. on the date approved for late filing) A copy of the front pag recommendation must be provided to CCA by 12 noon on the regular filing date for use as a place-holder during agenda prepared.	ration.
Add Item to Published Agenda [see Section 120.525(2), F.S.] – Issue an ADDENDUM and give Legal NOTICE	
Add Emergency Item to Published Agenda [see Section 120.525 (3), F.S.] – Issue an ADDENDUM and Give Fair NOTICE	
Concise explanation, justification or comments (attach additional sheet if necessary):	
In the attached letter, Mr. Hedrick states that he did not receive the recommendation until July 29 <sup>th</sup> , and that it	R
would be impossible for him to make arrangements to be at the Agenda Conference on such short notice.	)L
OF	.c
Mit	//S
RC	A
SC	R
SE SE	C
Signature (Technical Staff): Initials (Division Director or Designee):	H.By
Ad 1	$\leq 10$
Signature (Legal Staff): Initials (General Counsel or Designee):	
Recommendation to the Chairman's Office	
Initials: MAB Date: 8/2/04	
Comments:	
CHAIRMAN's OFFICE:  Approve Request  Deny Request	
Initials: Date: 8/2/04	
Executive Suite will send the original to the Division of Commission Clerk & Administrative Services and return copy to the requesting staff after the Chairman's Office takes action on this request. Requesting staff should distribute copies to the Division Directors (OPR & OCR) and Attorney assigned to the docket.	

08355 AUG-23

## Fax

 To:
 Adrienne Vining, Esq.
 From:
 Michael R. Hedrick

 Fax:
 850-413-7180
 Pages:
 3 Including Cover

 Phone:
 850-413-6183
 Date:
 7/30/04

 Re:
 Docket No. 040543-El
 CC:
 File

2 Page letter attached.

July 30, 2004

Adrienne Vining Senior Attorney Office of the General Counsel State of Florida – PSC 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: Hedrick/Florida Power & Light Co. Docket No. 040543-EI

Dear Ms. Vining:

On July 29, 2004 I received via U.S. Mail, a copy of a memorandum issued in the above referenced matter.

First, please be advised that this is the first and only time I have ever received any type of documentation from the Commission, other than correspondence, that contained any details regarding this matter, as provided to the Commission by Florida Power & Light Co. ("FPL")

I note with great concern that the report is severely lacking in detail. Most importantly, none of the information that was requested by, and provided to the Commission's assigned investigator (Ms. Raspberry?) was included in this report. In fact, FPL notified me that they had made a request to the Commission for a copy of the extensive documentation that I sent to your investigator, and was told that it did not exist. I know your investigator did indeed receive the documentation, because she called me to discuss it upon receipt more than ten months ago.

Also of concern is that the crux of this report, is based solely on the information that was provided to the commission by FPL. Much of the information provided is erroneous and in fact, incorrect. FPL has misstated the actual consumption figures to the Commission. Either that, or FPL sent an entirely different set of figures to me. Since the commission never provided me with any type of reports received from FPL as promised by the commission, I was unable to raise the issue of incorrect data sooner.

Also missing in this report, is the fact that FPL was challenged on the accuracy of their meter testing, in that I made requests to have the meters independently tested which was my right, requests that were denied by FPL. I made repeated demands to FPL to re-perform their double meter testing which they also refused. Then, in late March or early April of this year, FPL again placed a secondary monitoring meter on my home to record consumption. This test lasted three and one half months. The data from that testing was also never provided to me, nor, I assume, was it provided to the commission as if it were provided, it would have called into serious question FPL's assertions made after their first test.

I still assert that FPL's testing was flawed, that mistakes were made which FPL does not want to admit since even though flawed, the testing is in their favor.

FL-PUC 7.30.04 Letter – Vining Docket No. 040543-El Page -2

For the reasons stated herein, the fact that data reported to the commission in order to persuade it to generate a finding in favor of FPL, and the fact that FPL has withheld data from a second testing not only from the commission but from me as well, *I request that the Commission hold it's August 3, 2004 Proposed Agency Action meeting regarding this docket in abeyance until all documentation can be requested by the commission and evaluated on it's merit and relevance to this matter.* 

It is regretful that the commission has given me but 2 business/4 calendar days to re-arrange my highly sensitive work schedule to make attempts to attend this meeting. It would be impossible for me to make arrangements on such short notice, and I take exception to the fact that the commission waited until the last possible moment to inform me of this meeting.

Your prompt response to my request will be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Michael R. Hedrick

CC: File