8/16/2004 4:23 PM

4:23 PM 040682-7I

Kay	Flynn		

To: Cc:

Tommy Williams Nonnye Grant

Subject:

RE: Memos on Dockets 040682 and 040783-TI

ORIGINAL 040783-TI

Okay.

Based on the information in your e-mail, which I will print copies of and place in each docket, we will change the company names in each of the dockets to what you have shown in the memos.

Thanks.

----Original Message----From: Tommy Williams

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2004 1:18 PM

To: Kay Flynn

Subject: RE: Memos on Dockets 040682 and 040783-TI

No, leave them like whats on the memo. If they want them change, which I doubt, we will do it in a name change memo some time in the future.

----Original Message----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2004 11:31 AM

To: Tommy Williams

Subject: RE: Memos on Dockets 040682 and 040783-TI

Do you mean leave the names like we have them in CMS and MCD?

If so, we'll need corrected memos for each.

----Original Message----From: Tommy Williams

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2004 11:29 AM

To: Kay Flynn

Subject: RE: Memos on Dockets 040682 and 040783-TI

Just leave them like they are....

----Original Message----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Monday, August 16, 2004 10:34 AM

To: Tommy Williams

Cc: Nonnye Grant; Hong Wang

Subject: Memos on Dockets 040682 and 040783-TI

CMP

COM ____ CTR ____

ECR ____

GCL ___

OPC ____

MMS _____

RCA ____

SCR

SEC

OTH 1 to

each der

Nounge

Tommy, Nonnye noticed on the memos for these 2 IXC registration dockets that the name of each as shown in the memo doesn't match the name as presented in the registration form. They are:

040682 - memo shows Xfone; registration form is XFone (F is capped)

040783 - memo shows Poltel; registation form is PolTel (T is capped)

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

08905 AUG 16 8

CCA Official Document...

8/16/2004 4:23 PM

4:23 PM

Please check on these and let us know if we should update CMS and MCD. If not, we will need memos correcting since the memos serve as "orders" for the IXCs.

Thanks.

Kay