

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

AUGUST 17, 2004

RE: Docket No. 981834-TP - Petition of Competitive Carriers for Commission action to support local competition in BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s service territory.

Docket No. 990321-TP - Petition of ACI Corp. d/b/a Accelerated Connections, Inc. for generic investigation to ensure that BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Sprint-Florida, Incorporated, and GTE Florida Incorporated comply with obligation to provide alternative local exchange carriers with flexible, timely, and cost-efficient physical collocation. (Deferred from August 3, 2004 conference.)

Issue 9A: For which collocation elements should rates be set for each ILEC?

Recommendation: Staff recommends that AT&T's single model approach should not be adopted. Therefore, rates should be set for the collocation elements identified in the individual collocation cost studies of BellSouth, Sprint, and Verizon, subject to incorporating staff's recommended changes in all other applicable issues. The collocation elements (and associated rates) are listed in Appendices B-D of staff's July 22, 2004 recommendation.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of majority commissioners on a set of four horizontal lines.

Four horizontal lines for dissenting signatures, currently blank.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08940 AUG 17 04

VOTE SHEET

AUGUST 17, 2004

Docket No. 981834-TP - Petition of Competitive Carriers for Commission action to support local competition in BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s service territory.

Docket No. 990321-TP - Petition of ACI Corp. d/b/a Accelerated Connections, Inc. for generic investigation to ensure that BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Sprint-Florida, Incorporated, and GTE Florida Incorporated comply with obligation to provide alternative local exchange carriers with flexible, timely, and cost-efficient physical collocation.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 9B: For those collocation elements for which rates should be set, what is the proper rate and the appropriate application of those rates?

Recommendation: Due to the large number of inputs and elements contained within this issue, staff has provided the table shown in staff's July 22, 2004 memorandum containing each input and element.

MODIFIED Staff's recommendation was approved with the modification that, rather than requiring Verizon to refile its study with regard to floor space, staff's recommended rate of \$1.78 per square foot (see note on p. 190 of staff's 7/22/04 memorandum) should be used. Verizon's occupancy level will be modified from 4 to 5.43 (space prep) building modification)

Issue 10: What are the appropriate definitions and associated terms and conditions for the collocation elements to be determined by the Commission?

Recommendation: The definitions and associated terms and conditions for the collocation elements identified in Issue 9A are those proposed by BellSouth, Sprint, and Verizon subject to incorporating staff's recommended changes in all other applicable issues.

APPROVED

Issue 11: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: If Verizon is ordered to make a compliance filing, these dockets should remain open until staff has the opportunity to evaluate the filing and bring its findings before the Commission. If a compliance filing is not required, the dockets may be closed. Recurring and non-recurring rates and charges should take effect when existing interconnection agreements are amended to incorporate the approved rates, and the amended agreements are deemed approved by the Commission. For new interconnection agreements, the rates shall become effective when the agreements are deemed approved by the Commission. Pursuant to Section 252(e)(4) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, a negotiated agreement is deemed approved by operation of law after 90 days from the date of submission to the Commission.

APPROVED The dockets will be closed.