

BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 040002-EG

IN RE: CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT

OF

HOWARD T. BRYANT

FILED: September 24, 2004

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

10391 SEP 24 5

FPSC-COMMISSION OF FRK

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 040002-EG FILED: 09/24/04

| 1 | | BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY |
| 3 | | OF |
| 4 | | HOWARD T. BRYANT |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Q. | Please state your name, address, occupation and employer. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | А. | My name is Howard T. Bryant. My business address is 702 |
| 9 | | North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am |
| 10 | | employed by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or |
| 11 | | "the company") as Manager, Rates in the Regulatory |
| 12 | | Affairs Department. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Q. | Please provide a brief outline of your educational |
| 15 | | background and business experience. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Α. | I graduated from the University of Florida in June 1973 |
| 18 | | with a Bachelor of Science degree in Business |
| 19 | | Administration. I have been employed at Tampa Electric |
| 20 | | since 1981. My work has included various positions in |
| 21 | | Customer Service, Energy Conservation Services, Demand |
| 22 | | Side Management ("DSM") Planning, Energy Management and |
| 23 | | Forecasting, and Regulatory Affairs. In my current |
| 24 | | position I am re sponsible for the company's Energy |
| 25 | | Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") clause, the |

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause ("ECRC"), and retail
 rate design.

3

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the company's actual conservation costs incurred during the period

January 2003 through December 2003, the actual and 8 projected period of January 2004 to December 2004, and 9 the projected period of January 2005 through December 10 Also, I will support the level of charges 2005. 11 (benefits) for the interruptible customers allocated to 12 the period January 2005 through December 2005. The 13 balance of costs will be charged to the firm customers on 14 a per kilowatt-hour ("kWh") basis in accordance with 15 Docket No. 930759-EG, Order No. PSC-93-1845-FOF-EG, dated 16 1993. Finally, I will support the December 29, 17 appropriate Contracted Credit Value ("CCV") for potential 18 General Service Industrial Load participants in the 19 Management Riders ("GSLM-2" and "GSLM-3") for the period 20 January 2005 through December 2005. 21

22

Q. What is the basis of this request for expenses to be based on different charges for interruptible and firm customers?

Tampa Electric believes that its conservation and load 1 Α. management programs do not accrue capacity benefits to 2 This position has been interruptible customers. 3 Florida Public Service Commission supported by the 4 ("Commission") in Docket Nos. 900002-EG through 030002-5 The company estimates the cumulative effects of its EG. 6 conservation and load management programs will allow the 7 have lower fuel costs interruptible customers to 8 (\$0.31/MWH) due to the reductions in marginal fuel costs. 9 10 How were those benefits calculated? Q. 11 12 To determine fuel savings effects, we have calculated a Α. 13 there "what if had been no conservation programs" 14 The results indicate that the avoided 15 scenario. gigawatt-hours have actually reduced average fuel costs 16 due to the fact that higher priced marginal fuels would 17 have been burned if the gigawatt-hours had not been 18 The attached analysis, Exhibit No. (HTB-2), saved. 19 Conservation Costs Projected, portrays the costs and 20 benefits. 21 22 Q. Will charging different for firm 23 amounts and 24 interruptible customers conflict with the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act? 25

The act requires the utilities, through the guidance 1 Α. No. Commission, to cost effectively reduce peak of the 2 energy consumption and the use of scarce demand, 3 resources, particularly petroleum fuels. It does not 4 require all customers to pay the utilities' conservation 5 costs whether they receive the same level of benefits or 6 The relationships between costs and benefits not. 7 received are specifically the determination of the 8 Commission. 9 10 Please describe the conservation program costs projected Q. 11 by Tampa Electric during the period January 2003 through 12 December 2003. 13 14For the period January 2003 through December 2003, Tampa 15 Α. Electric projected conservation program costs to be 16 \$18,734,993. The Commission authorized collections to 17 recover these expenses in Docket No. 020002-EG, Order No. 18 PSC-02-1738-FOF-EG, issued December 10, 2002. 19 20 For the period January 2003 through December 2003, what Q. 21 were Tampa Electric's conservation costs and what was 22 recovered through the ECCR Clause? 23 24For the period January 2003 through December 2003 Tampa 25 Α.

conservation of incurred actual net costs Electric 1 \$17,518,874, plus a beginning true-up over-recovery of 2 \$1,138,692 for a total of \$16,380,182. The amount 3 collected in the ECCR Clause was \$17,794,674. 4 5 What was the true-up amount? 6 0. 7 The true-up amount for the period January 2003 through 8 Α. December 2003 was an over-recovery of \$1,428,023. These 9 calculations are detailed in Exhibit No. (HTB-1), 10 Conservation Cost Recovery True Up, Pages 1 through 11, 11 filed May 03, 2004. 1.2 13 Q. Please describe the conservation program costs incurred 14 and projected to be incurred by Tampa Electric during the 15 period January 2004 through December 2004. 16 17 Α. The actual costs incurred by Tampa Electric through 18 August 2004 and estimated for September 2004 through 19 December 2004 are \$16,963,026. For the period, Tampa 20 Electric anticipates an over-recovery in the ECCR Clause 21 of \$1,990,596 which includes the previous period true-up 22 and interest. A summary of these costs and estimates are 23 fully detailed in Exhibit No. (HTB-2), Conservation 24 Costs Projected, pages 12 through 26. 25

Please describe how the conservation program costs Tampa 0. 1 is estimating for the projected period of Electric 2 January 2005 through December 2005 relate to the DSM 3 goals approved by the Commission in Docket No. 040033-EG. 4 5 040033-EG, Tampa Electric filed Α. In Docket No. its 6 proposed DSM goals with supporting testimony for the 2005 7 The Commission approved through 2014 period. the 8 company's DSM goals in Order No. PSC-04-0765-PAA-EG dated 9 In that supporting testimony, August 9, 2005. the 10 company identified its residential load management 11 program - Prime Time - as no longer cost-effective. 12 However, the testimony further stated that there was 13 company's existing residential value in the load 14 management resource and the potential for incremental 15 16 load management in the marketplace. Therefore, the testimony stated that the company would request a new 17 18 program for residential load management _ Price Responsive Load Management ("PRLM") - to be deployed as a 19 20 pilot program for up to two years. In the interim, and until the completion of the PRLM pilot, the testimony 21 stated that the company would request its Prime Time 22 23 program remain open at least during the term of the PRLM pilot. Tampa Electric proposes not 24 to engage in promotional activities for Prime Time during the PRLM 25

Simply keeping the program available to pilot period. 1 new customers who request it during the PRLM pilot period 2 will greatly mitigate customer anger and complaints that 3 will stem from not having a program alternative during 4 Therefore, the estimated costs for the the PRLM pilot. 5 projected period of January 2005 through December 2005 6 7 contain those dollars associated with cost-effective DSM 8 programs necessary to achieve the Commission approved DSM goals for 2005, the cost associated with the PRLM pilot 9 program and the expenses necessary to maintain 10 the 11 existing Prime Time program while allowing for the potential of a minimal number of 12 new customers to participate. 13

14

15 Q. For the period January 2005 through December 2005, what 16 are Tampa Electric's estimates of its conservation costs 17 and cost recovery factors?

18

The company has estimated that the total conservation 19 Α. costs (less program revenues) during the period will be 20 \$17,921,677 plus true-up. Including true-up estimates 21 22 and the interruptible sales contribution 0.031 at cents/kWh, the cost recovery factors for firm retail rate 23 classes will be 0.098 cents/kWh for Residential (RS), 24 0.090 cents/kWh for 25 General Service Non-Demand and

Temporary Service (GS, TS), 0.078 cents/kWh General 1 Service Demand (GSD) - Secondary, 0.077 cents/kWh for 2 General Service Demand (GSD) - Primary, 0.073 cents/kWh 3 for General Service Large Demand and Standby Firm (GSLD, 4 SBF) - Secondary, 0.073 cents/kWh for General Service 5 Large Demand and Standby Firm (GSLD, SBF) - Primary, 6 0.072 cents/kWh for General Service Large Demand and 7 Standby Firm (GSLD, SBF) - Subtransmission and 0.031 8 cents/kWh for Lighting (SL, OL). Exhibit No. (HTB-9 2), Conservation Costs Projected, pages 14 through 19 10 contain the Commission prescribed forms which detail 11 12 these estimates. 13 Has Tampa Electric complied with the ECCR cost allocation 14 Q. 15 methodology stated in Docket No. 930759-EG, Order No. PSC-93-1845-EG? 16 17 Α. Yes, it has. 18 19 Please explain why the incentive for GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 20 Q. rate riders is included in your testimony. 21 22 In Docket No. 990037-EI, Tampa Electric petitioned the 23 Α. Commission to close its non-cost-effective interruptible 24 service rate schedules while initiating the provision of 25

,

a cost-effective non-firm service through a new load 1 This new program would be funded management program. 2 through the ECCR Clause and the appropriate annual CCV 3 for customers would be submitted for Commission approval 4 as part of the company's annual ECCR Projection Filing. 5 Specifically, the level of the CCV would be determined by 6 using the Rate Impact Measure ("RIM") Test contained in 7 the Commission's cost-effectiveness methodology found in 8 Rule 25-17.008, F.A.C. By using a Rim Test benefit-to-9 1.2, the level of the CCV would be ratio of cost 10 established on a per kilowatt ("kW") basis. This program 11 and methodology for CCV determination was approved by the 12Commission in Docket No. 990037-EI, Order No. PSC-99-13 1778-FOF-EI, issued September 10, 1999. 1415

Q. What is the appropriate CCV for customers who elect to
 take service under the GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 rate riders
 during the January 2005 through December 2005 period?

19

A. For the January 2005 through December 2005 period, the
 CCV will be \$4.46 per kW. If the 2005 assessment for
 need determination indicates the availability of new non firm load, the CCV will be applied to new subscriptions
 for service under those rate riders. The application of
 the cost-effectiveness methodology to establish the CCV

| 1 | | is found in the attached analysis, | Exhibit No | (HTB- |
|----|----|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 2 | | 2), Conservation Costs Projected, | beginning on | page 44 |
| 3 | | through 53. | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | Q. | Does this conclude your testimony? | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Α. | Yes it does. | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | 1 | | | |
| 25 | İ | | | |

EXHIBIT NO. _____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) FILED: 09/24/04

CONSERVATION COSTS PROJECTED

INDEX

| <u>SCHEDULE</u> | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---|------|
| | Fuel Cost Impact on Interruptible Customers | 12 |
| | Calculation Of Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class | 13 |
| C-1 | Summary of Cost Recovery Clause Calculation | 14 |
| C-2 | Program Costs - Projected | 16 |
| C-3 | Program Costs - Actual and Projected | 20 |
| C-4 | Calculation of Conservation Revenues | 26 |
| C-5 | Program Description and Progress | 27 |
| | Calculation of GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 Contracted Credit Value | 44 |

Fuel Cost Impact of Conservation and Load Management Programs On Interruptible Customers January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005

| Month | With | uel Costs Conservati ad Manage | | Witho | Fuel Costs out Conser oad Manag | vation | Fuel Benefits | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (4) - (1) | (5) - (2) | (6) - (3) | | |
| | (\$000) | (GWH) | (\$/MWH) | (\$000) | (GWH) | (\$/MWH) | (\$000) | (GWH) | (\$/MWH) | | |
| January | 50,089 | 1,540.5 | 32.51 | 52,696 | 1,620.5 | 32.52 | 2,607 | 80 | 0.01 | | |
| February | 43,206 | 1,367.3 | 31.60 | 45,452 | 1,437.3 | 31.62 | 2,246 | 70 | 0.02 | | |
| March | 48,941 | 1,524.4 | 32.11 | 50,858 | 1,566.4 | 32.47 | 1,917 | 42 | 0.36 | | |
| April | 45,113 | 1,490.7 | 30.26 | 46,271 | 1,517.7 | 30.49 | 1,158 | 27 | 0.23 | | |
| May | 60,966 | 1,830.3 | 33.31 | 62,806 | 1,866.3 | 33.65 | 1,840 | 36 | 0.34 | | |
| June | 65,627 | 1,902.5 | 34.50 | 67,836 | 1,944.5 | 34,89 | 2,209 | 42 | 0.39 | | |
| July | 74,025 | 2,064.3 | 35.86 | 76,720 | 2,110.3 | 36.36 | 2,695 | 46 | 0.50 | | |
| August | 74,517 | 2,070.7 | 35,99 | 76,976 | 2,117.7 | 36.35 | 2,459 | 47 | 0.36 | | |
| September | 66,864 | 1,917.2 | 34.88 | 69,011 | 1,957.2 | 35.26 | 2,147 | 40 | 0.38 | | |
| October | 65,115 | 1,795.5 | 36.27 | 66,633 | 1,823.5 | 36.54 | 1,518 | 28 | 0.27 | | |
| November | 53,924 | 1,533.4 | 35.17 | 55,927 | 1,574.4 | 35.52 | 2,003 | 41 | 0.35 | | |
| December | 50,215 | 1,634.0 | 30.73 | 53,176 | 1,699.0 | 31.30 | 2,961 | 65 | 0.57 | | |
| Jan 2005 - Dec 2005 | 698,602 | 20,670.8 | 33.80 | 724,362 | 21,234.8 | 34.11 | 25,760 | 564 | 0.31 | | |

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2)

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY CALCULATION OF ENERGY & DEMAND ALLOCATION % BY RATE CLASS JANUARY 2005 THROUGH DECEMBER 2005

| | (1) AVG 12CP Load Factor at Meter (%) | (2) Projected Sales at Meter (MwH) | (3) Projected AVG 12 CP at Meter (Mw) | (4) Demand Loss Expansion Factor | (5) Energy Loss Expansion Factor | (6) Projected Sales at Generation (MwH) | (7) Projected AVG 12 CP at Generation (Mw) | (8) Percentage of Sales at Generation (%) | (9) Percentage of Demand at Generation (%) | (10) 12 CP & 1/13 Allocation Factor (%) |
|----------|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| RS | 55.19% | 8,803,380 | 1821 | 1.0576 | 1.0472 | 9,219,229 | 1,926 | 49.80% | 58.28% | 57.62% |
| GS,TS | 61.70% | 1,066,950 | 197 | 1.0576 | 1.0472 | 1,117,350 | 208 | 6.04% | 6.29% | 6.28% |
| GSD | 76.55% | 5,324,965 | 794 | 1.0565 | 1.0466 | 5,573,284 | 839 | 30.11% | 25.39% | 25.75% |
| GSLD,SBF | 83.61% | 2,303,507 | 315 | 1.0444 | 1.0359 | 2,386,175 | 329 | 12.89% | 9.95% | 10.18% |
| SL/OL | 781.26% | 205,941 | 3 | 1.0576 | 1.0472 | 215,669 | 3 | 1.16% | 0.09% | 0,17% |
| TOTAL | | 17,704,743 | 3,130 | | | 18,511,707 | 3,305 | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |

. 15

(1) AVG 12 CP load factor based on actual 2003 calendar data.

(2) Projected MWH sales for the period January 2005 through December 2005.

(3) Calculated: Col (2) / (8760 x Col (1)), 8760 hours = hours in twelve months.

(4) Based on 2003 demand losses.

(5) Based on 2003 energy losses.

(6) Col (2) x Col (5).

(7) Col (3) x Col (4).

┶┥

ŝ

(8) Col (6) / total for Col (6).

(9) Col(7) / total for Col(7).

(10) Col (8) x 1/13 + Col (9) x 12/13

NOTE: Interruptible rates not included in demand allocation of capacity payments

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2)

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Energy Conservation Adjustment Summary of Cost Recovery Clause Calculation For Months January 2005 through December 2005

| Total Incremental Cost (C-2, Page 1, Line 17) | <u>17.921.677</u> |
|---|-------------------|
| 2. Demand Related Incremental Costs | <u>13.144.609</u> |
| 3. Energy Related Incremental Costs | 4,777,068 |
| Interruptible Sales (@\$0.31 per MWH) | <u>(455,829)</u> |
| 5. Net Energy Related Incremental Costs (Line 3 + Line 4) | <u>4.321.239</u> |

RETAIL BY RATE CLASS

| | | <u>RS</u> | <u>GS.TS</u> | <u>GSD</u> | <u>GSLD.SBF</u> | <u>SL.OL</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|----|---|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | 6. Demand Allocation Percentage | 57.62% | 6.28% | 25.75% | 10.18% | 0.17% | 100.00% |
| | Demand Related Incremental Costs (Total cost prorated based on demand allocation % above) | 7,573,924 | 825,481 | 3,384,737 | 1,338;121 | 22,346 | 13,144,609 |
| | Demand Portion of End of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery Shown on Schedule C-3, Pg 5, Line 12 (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) | <u>(837.296)</u> | <u>(91.257)</u> | <u>(374.182)</u> | <u>(147,929)</u> | <u>(2.471)</u> | <u>(1,453,135)</u> |
| | 9. Total Demand Related Incremental Costs | <u>6,736,628</u> | <u>734.224</u> | <u>3.010.555</u> | <u>1.190.192</u> | <u> 19.875</u> | <u>11.691.474</u> |
| | 10. Net Energy Related Incremental Costs | 2,151,976 | 261,003 | 1,301,125 | 557,008 | 50,126 | 4,321,238 |
| | Energy Portion of End of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery Shown on Scedule C-3, Pg 5, Line 13 | (267,656) | <u>(32.463)</u> | <u>(161.830)</u> | <u>(69,279)</u> | <u>(6,233)</u> | <u>(537,461)</u> |
| 14 | (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 12. Total Net Energy Related Incremental Costs | <u>1.884.320</u> | <u>228.540</u> | <u>1.139.295</u> | <u>487,729</u> | <u>43.893</u> | <u>3.783.777</u> |
| | 13. Total Incremental Costs (Line 7 + 10) | 9,725,900 | 1,086,484 | 4,685,862 | 1,895,129 | 72,472 | 17,465,847 |
| | 14. Total True Up (Over)/Under Recovery (Line 8 + 11) (Schedule C-3, Pg 5, Line 11) | <u>(1.104.952)</u> | (123.720) | <u>(536.012)</u> | <u>(217,208)</u> | <u>(8.704)</u> | <u>(1.990,596)</u> |
| | (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 15. Total (Line 13 + 14) | <u>8.620.948</u> | <u>962.764</u> | <u>4.149.850</u> | 1.677.921 | <u>63.768</u> | <u>15.475.251</u> |
| | 16. Firm Retail MWH Sales | 8,803,380 | 1,066,950 | 5,324,965 | 2,303,507 | 205,941 | 17,704,743 |
| | 17. Cost per KWH - Demand (Line 9/Line 16) | 0.07652 | 0.06882 | ŧ | + | 0.00965 | |
| | 18. Cost per KWH - Energy (Line 12/Line 16) | 0.02141 | 0.02142 | * | | 0.02131 | |
| | 19. Cost per KWH - Demand & Energy (Line 17 + Line 18) | 0.09793 | 0.09024 | * | • | 0.03096 | |
| | 20. Revenue Tax Expansion Factor | 1.00072 | 1.00072 | * | * | 1.00072 | |
| | 21. Adjustment Factor Adjusted for Taxes | 0.0980 | 0.0903 | * | + | 0.0310 | |
| | 22. Conservation Adjustment Factor (cents/KWH) - Secondary - Primary - Subtransmission (ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 PER KWH) | 0.098 | 0.090 | 0.078 0.077 N/A | 0.073 0.073 0.072 | 0.031 | |

* See attached Schedule C-1, page 2 of 2.

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-1 SCHEDULE C-1 PAGE 1 OF 2

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-1 PAGE 2 OF 2

Calculation of ECCR Factors for Customers Served at Levels Other than Secondary Distribution

| | <u>GSD</u> | <u>GSLD, SBF</u> |
|--|--------------|------------------|
| Line 15 Total (Projected Costs & T/U) (Schedule C-1, pg 1, Line 15) | | |
| -Secondary | 4,042,237 | 858,413 |
| - Primary | 107,613 | 814,302 |
| - Subtransmission | N/A | 5,206 |
| - Total | 4,149,850 | 1,677,921 |
| Total Firm MWH Sales | | |
| (Schedule C-1, pg 1, Line 16) | | |
| -Secondary | 5,185,521 | 1,172,636 |
| - Primary | 139,444 | 1,123,614 |
| - Subtransmission | N/A | 7,257 |
| - Total | 5,324,965 | 2,303,507 |
| Cost per KWH - Demand & Energy | | |
| -Secondary | 0.07795 | 0.07320 |
| - Primary | 0.07717 | 0.07247 |
| - Subtransmission | N/A | 0.07174 |
| Revenue Tax Expansion Factor | 1.00072 | 1.00072 |
| Adjustment Factor Adjusted for Taxes | | |
| -Secondary | 0.07801 | 0.07326 |
| - Primary | 0.07723 | 0.07252 |
| - Subtransmission | N/A | 0.07179 |
| Conservation Adjustment Factor (cents/k | (WH) | |
| -Secondary | <u>0.078</u> | <u>0.073</u> |
| - Primary | <u>0.077</u> | <u>0.073</u> |
| - Subtransmission | N/A | <u>0.072</u> |

Note: Customers in the GSD rate class are only

served at primary and secondary distribution levels.

The calculation for interruptible classes did not change the factor from the original (\$0.31 per MWH).

16

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Conservation Program Costs

Estimated for Months January 2005 through December 2005

ESTIMATED

| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Heating and Cooling (E) | 48,012 | 48,013 | 48,013 | 48,013 | 48,013 | 48,013 | 48,013 | 48,013 | 48,013 | 48,012 | 48,012 | 48,012 | 576,152 |
| 2 Prime Time (D) | 1,104,142 | 1,076,223 | 1,050,867 | 860,939 | 865,274 | 857,890 | 870,884 | 860,443 | 855,895 | 854,007 | 1,007,964 | 1,011,985 | 11,276,513 |
| 3 Energy Audits (E) | 145,549 | 195,598 | 145,642 | 195,639 | 140,493 | 140,559 | 140,559 | 140,558 | 140,495 | 140,448 | 140,402 | 140,356 | 1,806,298 |
| 4 Cogeneration (E) | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 10,559 | 126,708 |
| 5 Ceiling Insulation (E) | 46,417 | 26,765 | 80,339 | 76,508 | 23,829 | 35,817 | 31,494 | 30,517 | 30,516 | 29,701 | 29,700 | 28,885 | 470,488 |
| 6 Commercial Load Mgmt (D) | 1,438 | 1,441 | 1,694 | 1,914 | 2,169 | 1,922 | 2,175 | 1,928 | 2,181 | 1,934 | 1,470 | 1,481 | 21,747 |
| 7 Commercial Lighting (E) | 7,820 | 7,820 | 8,084 | 7,821 | 7,820 | 8,085 | 7,820 | 7,821 | 7,820 | 8,085 | 7,820 | 7,820 | 94,636 |
| 8 Standby Generator (D) | 49,096 | 49,096 | 49,096 | 49,096 | 49,096 | 49,096 | 50,596 | 50,596 | 50,596 | 50,596 | 50,596 | 50,596 | 598,152 |
| 9 Conservation Value (E) | 8,028 | 8,028 | 8,078 | 8,028 | 8,028 | 8,078 | 8,028 | 8,028 | 8,078 | 8,028 | 8,028 | 8,078 | 96,536 |
| 10 Duct Repair (E) | 73,346 | 73,347 | 73,347 | 73,346 | 73,347 | 73,347 | 73,346 | 73,347 | 73,347 | 73,347 | 73,346 | 73,347 | 880,160 |
| 11 Renewable Energy Initiative (E) | 3,282 | 3,532 | 3,357 | 10,084 | 2,834 | 3,159 | 2,834 | 3,084 | 10,357 | 3,532 | 3,282 | 3,159 | 52,496 |
| 12 Industrial Load Management (D) | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 50,867 | 610,404 |
| 13 DSM R&D (D&E) | 669 | 1,719 | 5,958 | 21,661 | 933 | 2.008 | 660 | 20,660 | 660 | 660 | 660 | 660 | 56,908 |
| (50% D, 50% E) 14 Commercial Cooling (E) | 2,103 | 2,103 | 2,103 | 2,103 | 2,104 | 2.103 | 2,103 | 2,102 | 2.103 | 2,103 | 2,103 | 2.103 | 25,236 |
| 15 Residential New Construction (E) | 881 | 880 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 880 | 881 | 880 | 880 | 880 | 880 | 10,566 |
| 16 Common Expenses (D&E) (50% D, 50% E) | 16.332 | 16,348 | 16.301 | 16.377 | 16.380 | 16,356 | 16.428 | 16.425 | 16.359 | 16.380 | 16,351 | 16.288 | 196,325 |
| 17 Price Responsive Load Mgmt - Pilot (D&E) (50% D, 50% E) | 37,986 | 234,731 | 177,696 | 111,589 | 60,303 | 62,237 | 56,024 | 56,984 | 56.776 | 56.552 | 55,592 | 55,882 | 1,022,352 |
| 18 Total | 1,606,527 | 1,807,070 | 1,732,882 | 1,545,425 | 1,362,930 | 1,370,977 | 1,373,270 | 1,382,813 | 1,365,502 | 1,355,691 | 1,507,632 | 1,510,958 | 17,921,677 |
| 19 Less: included in Base Rates | <u>0</u> | <u>o</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>o</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>o</u> | 0 |
| 20 Recoverable Consv. Expenses | 1.606.527 | <u>1.807.070</u> | <u>1.732.882</u> | <u>1.545.425</u> | <u>1.362,930</u> | <u>1.370.977</u> | <u>1.373.270</u> | <u>1.382.813</u> | <u>1.365.502</u> | <u>1.355.691</u> | 1.507.632 | <u>1.510.958</u> | 17.921.677 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of Demand & Energy | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy | 373,490 | 503,044 | 480,380 | 507,795 | 356,716 | 370,901 | 362,192 | 371,944 | 369,065 | 361,491 | 360,433 | 359,614 | 4,777,068 |
| Demand | 1,233.037 | 1,304,026 | 1,252,502 | <u>1,037,630</u> | <u>1.006,214</u> | 1.000.076 | <u>1.011.078</u> | <u>1,010,869</u> | <u>996,437</u> | 994,200 | <u>1,147,199</u> | <u>1,151,344</u> | <u>13,144,609</u> |
| Total Recoverable Consv. Expenses | <u>1.606.527</u> | <u>1.807.070</u> | <u>1.732.882</u> | <u>1.545.425</u> | <u>1.362.930</u> | <u>1.370.977</u> | <u>1.373.270</u> | <u>1.382.813</u> | <u>1.365.502</u> | <u>1.355.691</u> | <u>1,507,632</u> | <u>1.510.958</u> | 17.921.677 |

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-2 PAGE 1 OF 4

17

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Conservation Program Costs

Estimated for Months January 2005 through December 2005

| | | (A) Capital | (B) Payroll & | (C) Materials | (D) Outside | (E <u>)</u> | (F) | (G) | (H) | (I) Program | (J) |
|-----------------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Progra | m Name | Investment | Benefits | & Supplies | Services | Advertising | Incentives | Vehicles | Other | Revenues | Total |
| 1. Heatin | g and Cooling (E) | 0 | 83,856 | 0 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 473,600 | 600 | 3,096 | 0 | 576,152 |
| 2. Prime | Time (D) | 1,738,002 | 802,858 | 129,824 | 150,100 | 0 | 8,364,868 | 49,797 | 41,064 | 0 | 11,276,513 |
| 3. Energy | / Audits (E) | 0 | 1,195,338 | 3,500 | 138,500 | 370,000 | 0 | 47,840 | 51,120 | 0 | 1,806,298 |
| 4. Cogen | eration (E) | 0 | 124,512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,196 | 0 | 0 | 126,708 |
| 5. Ceiling | Insulation (E) | 0 | 153,288 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 300,000 | 4,800 | 2,400 | 0 | 470,488 |
| 6. Comm | ercial Load Mgmt (D) | 2,758 | 8,844 | 500 | 1,000 | 0 | 8,345 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 21,747 |
| 7. Comm | erical Lighting (E) | 0 | 6,336 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 78,000 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 94,636 |
| 8. Standb | y Generator (D) | 0 | 11,472 | 504 | 0 | 0 | 585,000 | 1,176 | 0 | 0 | 598,152 |
| 9. Conser | rvation Value (E) | 0 | 6,336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 96,536 |
| 10. Duct R | epair (E) | 0 | 207,336 | 1,200 | 0 | 150,000 | 500,000 | 10,140 | 11,484 | 0 | 880,160 |
| 11. Renew | able Energy Initiative (E) | 0 | 36,096 | 0 | 14,300 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 1,800 | 0 | 52,496 |
| 12. Industr | ial Load Management (D) | 0 | 9,504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600,000 | 900 | 0 | 0 | 610,404 |
| 13. DSM R (50% F | &D (D&E) 0, 50% E) | 0 | 9,558 | 30,200 | 17,000 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 56,908 |
| | ercial Cooling (E) | 0 | 4,344 | 0 | . 0 | 5,000 | 15,592 | 300 | 0 | : i 0 | 25,236 |
| 15. Reside | ntial New Construction (E) | 0 | 2,772 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,494 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 10,566 |
| | on Expenses (D&E) 0, 50% E) | 0 | 195,925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 196,325 |
| 17. Price R (50% D | Responsive Load Mgmt - Pilot (D&E) 0, 50% E) | 0 | 205,303 | 201,813 | 612,286 | Ö | 0 | 1,542 | 1,408 | 0 | 1,022,352 |
| 18. Total A | Il Programs | <u>1,740,760</u> | <u>3.063.678</u> | 367,541 | <u>943,186</u> | 550,000 | <u>11,022,899</u> | <u>120.941</u> | <u>112.672</u> | Q | <u>17.921.677</u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary o | f Demand & Energy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy | | 0 | 2,025,607 | 120,706 | 477,443 | 550,000 | 1,464,686 | 67,722 | 70,904 | 0 | 4,777,068 |
| Demand | | <u>1,740,760</u> | <u>1.038.071</u> | <u>246,835</u> | <u>465,743</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>9,558,213</u> | <u>53,219</u> | <u>41,768</u> | <u>0</u> | 13,144,609 |
| Total All Pro | ograms | <u>1.740.760</u> | <u>3.063.678</u> | <u>367.541</u> | <u>943,186</u> | 550,000 | <u>11.022.899</u> | <u>120,941</u> | <u>112.672</u> | Q | <u>17.921.677</u> |

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-2 PAGE 2 OF 4

•

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Schedule of Capital Investment, Depreciation and Return

Estimated for Months January 2005 through December 2005

PRIME TIME

| | · <u> </u> | Beginning of Period | Jan | Feb | Mar_ | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | O <u>ct</u> | Nov | Dec | Total |
|----|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Investment | | 63,599 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 12,594 | 202,133 |
| 2. | Retirements | | 149,177 | 164,262 | 205,413 | 141,212 | 127,553 | 187,265 | 98,499 | 158,965 | 104,710 | 163,739 | 94,538 | 135,830 | 1,731,163 |
| 3. | Depreciation Base | | 7,633,423 | 7,481,755 | 7,288,936 | 7,160,318 | 7,045,359 | 6,870,688 | 6,784,783 | 6,638,412 | 6,546,296 | 6,395,151 | 6,313,207 | 6,189,971 | |
| 4 | Depreciation Expense | | <u>127,937</u> | <u>125,960</u> | <u>123.089</u> | <u>120.410</u> | <u>118.381</u> | <u>115.967</u> | <u>113,796</u> | <u>111.860</u> | <u>109.873</u> | 107.845 | 105,903 | <u>104,193</u> | 1.385.214 |
| 5. | Cumulative Investment | 7,719,001 | 7,633,423 | 7,481,755 | 7,288,936 | 7,160,318 | 7,045,359 | 6,870,688 | 6,784,783 | 6,638,412 | 6,546,296 | 6,395,151 | 6,313,207 | 6,189,971 | 6,189,971 |
| 6. | Less: Accumulated Depre | 4,089,862 | 4.068.622 | <u>4,030,320</u> | <u>3,947,996</u> | <u>3,927,194</u> | <u>3,918.022</u> | <u>3.846,724</u> | <u>3,862,021</u> | <u>3.814.916</u> | <u>3,820,079</u> | <u>3,764,185</u> | <u>3,775,550</u> | <u>3,743,913</u> | <u>3.743,913</u> |
| 7. | Net Investment | <u>3.629.139</u> | <u>3.564.801</u> | <u>3,451,435</u> | <u>3.340.940</u> | <u>3,233,124</u> | <u>3,127,337</u> | <u>3,023,964</u> | <u>2.922.762</u> | <u>2,823,496</u> | 2,726,217 | <u>2.630.966</u> | <u>2.537.657</u> | <u>2,446.058</u> | <u>2.446.058</u> |
| 8. | Average Investment | | 3,596,970 | 3,508,118 | 3,396,188 | 3,287,032 | 3,180,231 | 3,075,651 | 2,973,363 | 2,873,129 | 2,774,857 | 2,678,592 | 2,584,312 | 2,491,858 | |
| 9. | Return on Average Invest | tment | 21,402 | 20,873 | 20,207 | 19,558 | 18,922 | 18,300 | 17,692 | 17,095 | 16,510 | 15,938 | 15,377 | 14,827 | 216,701 |
| 10 | . Return Requirements | | <u>34,842</u> | <u>33,981</u> | <u>32,897</u> | <u>31,840</u> | <u>30,805</u> | <u>29,792</u> | <u>28,803</u> | <u>27,831</u> | <u>26,878</u> | <u>25,947</u> | <u>25,034</u> | <u>24,138</u> | <u>352,788</u> |
| 11 | . Total Depreciation and Re | eturn | <u>162,779</u> | <u>159,941</u> | <u>155,986</u> | <u>152.250</u> | <u>149.186</u> | <u>145.759</u> | <u>142,599</u> | <u>139.691</u> | <u>136.751</u> | <u>133,792</u> | <u>130.937</u> | <u>128,331</u> | <u>1.738.002</u> |

NOTES:

Depreciation expense is calculated using a useful life of 60 months.

Return on Average Investment is calculated using a monthly rate of 0.59500% . Return requirements are calculated using an income tax multiplier of 1.6280016.

.



h.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Schedule of Capital Investment, Depreciation and Return

Estimated for Months January 2005 through December 2005

COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT

| | Beginning | 1 | 5-6 | N/~~ | A | Mar | l. m | الدرا | A | Can | 0~ | May | Dee | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | of Period | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| 1. Investment | | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 2,160 |
| 2. Retirements | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Depreciation Base | | 8,316 | 8,496 | 8,676 | 8,856 | 9,036 | 9,216 | 9,396 | 9,576 | 9,756 | 9,936 | 10,116 | 10,296 | |
| 4. Depreciation Expense | | <u>137</u> | <u>140</u> | <u>143</u> | <u>146</u> | <u>149</u> | <u>152</u> | <u>155</u> | <u>158</u> | <u>161</u> | <u>164</u> | <u>167</u> | <u>170</u> | <u>1.842</u> |
| 5. Cumulative Investment | 8,136 | 8,316 | 8,496 | 8,676 | 8,856 | 9,036 | 9,216 | 9,396 | 9,576 | 9,756 | 9,936 | 10,116 | 10,296 | 10,296 |
| 6. Less: Accumulated Depreciation | <u>476</u> | <u>613</u> | <u>753</u> | <u>896</u> | <u>1,042</u> | <u>1.191</u> | <u>1,343</u> | <u>1,498</u> | 1,656 | <u>1,817</u> | <u>1,981</u> | <u>2,148</u> | <u>2,318</u> | <u>2.318</u> |
| 7. Net Investment | <u>7.660</u> | <u>7.703</u> | <u>7.743</u> | <u>7.780</u> | <u>7.814</u> | <u>7.845</u> | <u>7,873</u> | <u>7,898</u> | <u>7.920</u> | <u>7,939</u> | <u>7.955</u> | <u>7.968</u> | <u>7.978</u> | <u>7,978</u> |
| 8. Average Investment | | 7,682 | 7,723 | 7,762 | 7,797 | 7,830 | 7.859 | 7,886 | 7,909 | 7,930 | 7,947 | 7,962 | 7,973 | |
| 9. Return on Average Investment | | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 560 |
| 10. Return Requirements | | <u>75</u> | <u>75</u> | <u>75</u> | <u>75</u> | <u>77</u> | <u>77</u> | <u>77</u> | <u>77</u> | <u>77</u> | <u>77</u> | 77 | <u>77</u> | <u>916</u> |
| Total Depreciation and Return | | <u>212</u> | 215 | <u>218</u> | <u>221</u> | <u>226</u> | <u>229</u> | <u>232</u> | <u>235</u> | 238 | <u>241</u> | 2 <u>44</u> | <u>247</u> | <u>2,758</u> |

19

NOTES:

Depreciation expense is calculated using a useful life of 60 months. Return on Average Investment is calculated using a monthly rate of 0.59500% .

Return requirements are calculated using an income tax multiplier of 1.6280016.

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-2 PAGE 4 OF 4

.

20

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Conservation Program Costs

Actual for Months January 2004 through August 2004 Projected for Months September 2004 through December 2004

| Program Name | Capital Investment | Payroli & Benefits | Materials | Outside Services | Advertisino | Incentives | Vehicle | Other | Program Revenues | Total |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | | 1 750 | 0 | 497,706 |
| 2. Actual 3. Projected | 0 | 49,640 <u>26,364</u> | 21 0 | 6,216 <u>1,000</u> | 7,363 <u>13,260</u> | 432,575 <u>195,295</u> | 141 <u>72</u> | 1,750 <u>1.032</u> | 0 0 | 237,023 |
| 4. Total | <u>0</u> 0 | 76,004 | 21 | 7.216 | 20.623 | 627,870 | 213 | 2,782 | 0 | 734,729 |
| 5. Prime Time 6. Actual | 1,318,504 | 405,690 | 39,541 | 108,378 | 12,998 | 5,668,461 | 27,991 | 21,097 | o | 7,602,660 |
| 7. Projected | 655.892 | 276,543 | 45,688 | 51.872 | 18,568 | 2.860.244 | 15,450 | <u>12,212</u> | Q | 3,936,469 |
| 8. Total | 1,974,396 | 682,233 | 85,229 | 160,250 | 31,566 | 8,528,705 | 43,441 | 33,309 | o | 11,539,129 |
| 9. Energy Audits 10. Actual | ٥ | 571,588 | 3,821 | 222,187 | 135,690 | Û | 34,773 | 28,242 | (821) | 995,480 |
| Projected | <u>o</u> | 361,915 | 808 | <u>171.576</u> | 190,972 | <u>68</u> | 16,940 | 14,636 | <u>0</u> | 756,915 |
| 12. Total | ٥ | 933,503 | 4,629 | 393,763 | 326,662 | 68 | 51.713 | 42,878 | (821) | 1,752,395 |
| 13. Cogeneration 14. Actual | ٥ | 108,241 | 0 | o | 0 | o | 660 | 1,752 | o | 110,653 |
| 15. Projected | <u>o</u> | 62.340 | <u>o</u> | <u>o</u> | <u>0</u> | Q | <u>592</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>o</u> | 62,932 |
| 16. Totai | ۵ | 170,581 | 0 | ٥ | ٥ | 0 | 1,252 | 1,752 | ٥ | 173,585 |
| 17. Ceiling Insulation 18. Actual | o | 85,959 | 44 | 3,092 | 4,166 | 310,290 | 3,346 | 1,424 | 0 | 408,321 |
| 19. Projected | ġ | 48,088 | Q | Q | <u>6,632</u> | 76,800 | 1,604 | <u>800</u> | <u>0</u> | 133,924 |
| 20. Total | o | 134,047 | 44 | 3.092 | 10,798 | 387,090 | 4,950 | 2,224 | 0 | 542.245 |
| 21. Commercial Load Managemer 22. Actual | nt O | 4,295 | Ð | 9,250 | 0 | 6,848 | 313 | o | 0 | 20,706 |
| 23. Projected | 745 | 1,648 | <u>0</u> | <u>o</u> | <u>0</u> | 2,626 | 203 | 0 | õ | 5,222 |
| 24. Total | 745 | 5.943 | a | 9.250 | ٥ | 9,474 | 516 | ٥ | 0 | 25,928 |
| 25. Commercial Lighting 26. Actual | 0 | 2,293 | 0 | a | 3,426 | 149,011 | 59 | c | C | 154,789 |
| 25. Actual 27. Projected | 0 0 | <u>1,386</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>6,632</u> | 36,000 | <u>40</u> | <u>c</u> | Q | 44,058 |
| 28. Total | ō | 3,679 | ō | ō | 10.058 | 185,011 | 99 | ō | ā | 198,847 |
| 29. Standby Generator 30. Actual | 0 | 6,620 | 161 | ٥ | o | 439,458 | 706 | o | c | 446,945 |
| 31. Projected | <u>o</u> | 0,02,0 | <u>88</u> | | ŏ | 192,000 | <u>196</u> | ġ | Q | 194,439 |
| 32. Total | ā | 8,775 | 249 | 0 | ā | 631,458 | 902 | ō | ō | 641,384 |
| Conservation Value Actual | o | 1,395 | 0 | 0 | D | 46,609 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 48,004 |
| 35. Projected | ğ | 479 | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>1.956</u> | <u>25</u> 25 | Q | ğ | 2,460 |
| 36. Total | ā | 1,874 | ō | ō | ō | 48,565 | 25 | ō | ō | 50,464 |
| 37. Duct Repair 38. Actual | o | 113,420 | (403) | 5,517 | 105,476 | 350,410 | 6,757 | 6,441 | O | 587,618 |
| 39. Projected | Q | 68.120 | 400 | Q | 132,616 | 176,916 | 3,320 | 3,668 | Q | 385,040 |
| 40. Total | Ō | 181,540 | (3) | 5,517 | 238.092 | 527,326 | 10.077 | 10,109 | ٥ | 972,658 |
| Renewable Energy Initiative Actual | 0 | 19,906 | 3,907 | 921 | 788 | ٥ | 117 | 870 | o | 26,509 |
| 47. Projected | <u>0</u> | 5,444 | Q | 36,500 | Q | <u>0</u> | 80 | <u>76</u> | <u>0</u> | 42,099 |
| 48. Total | 0 | 25.350 | 3.907 | 37.421 | 788 | 0 | 197 | 945 | O | 68,608 |
| 49, Industrial Load Management 50, Actual | 0 | o | o | 0 | a | a | D | 0 | D | ٥ |
| 51. Projected | 0 | 0 | ō | 0 | 0 | g | <u>0</u> 0 | 0 | <u>0</u> 0 | 0 |
| 52. Total | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | o | a | U | 0 | U | 0 |
| 53. DSM R&D 54. Actual | a | 3,072 | 445 | 45,900 | 0 | o | 81 | 5 | 0 | 49,503 |
| 55. Projected | <u>0</u> | 1.388 | <u>o</u> | (25,000) | 0 | 0 | 50 | σ | C | 23,562 |
| 56. Total | 0 | 4,460 | 445 | 20,900 | ٥ | 0 | 131 | 5 | C | 25,941 |
| 57. Commercial Cooling | o | 5 4 4 5 | o | 0 | 4 373 | 0.000 | D | o | 0 | 11,547 |
| 58. Actual 59. Projected | Q | 2,143 <u>2,112</u> | 0 | 0 | 1,372 <u>2,645</u> | 8,032 <u>6,600</u> | 0 | 0 | <u>o</u> | <u>11,347</u> |
| 60. Total | Ō | 4,255 | ō | Ō | 4,017 | 14,632 | ō | ō | ō | 22,904 |
| 61. Residential New Construction 62. Actual | O | 777 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 400 | ٥ | 0 | o | 1,402 |
| 62. Actual 63. Projected | <u>a</u> | 2 <u>96</u> | Q | <u>o</u> | 225 Q | 600 | <u>0</u> | 0 0 | <u>0</u> | 1,402 |
| 64. Total | 0 | 1,073 | ō | ō | 225 | 1.000 | ā | ō | ō | 2.298 |
| 65. Commorn Expenses 66. Actual | C | 115,554 | 40 | 0 | o | O | 29 | Ð | ٥ | 115,623 |
| 67. Projected | Q | 63,268 | (40) | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>o</u> | 100 | Q | <u>o</u> | 63,328 |
| 68. Total | ō | 178,822 | 0 | ō | ō | ō | 129 | ō | ō | 178,951 |
| 69. Price Responsive Load Mgmt - 70. Actual | Pilot | 8,086 | o | 293 | ٥ | 0 | 109 | 2,060 | c | 10.548 |
| 71. Projected | <u>o</u> | 20,296 | <u>1,560</u> | Q | Q | <u>0</u> | 372 | <u>184</u> | Q | 22,412 |
| 72. Total | 0 | 28,382 | 1,560 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 481 | 2,244 | C | 32,960 |
| 73. Total All Programs | <u>1.975.141</u> | 2.440.521 | <u>96.081</u> | <u>637.702</u> | 642.829 | <u>10.961,199</u> | 114.125 | 96.248 | <u>(821)</u> | 15.963.026 |

EXHIBIT NO. <u>40002-EG</u> DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-3 PAGE 1 OF 6

۰.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Schedule of Capital Investment, Depreciation and Return Actual for Months January 2004 through August 2004 Projected for Months September 2004 through December 2004

PRIME TIME

| _ | _ | | Beginning of Period | January Actual | February Actual | March Actual | April Actual | May Actual | June <u>Act</u> ual | July A <u>ct</u> ual | August Actual | September Projected | October Projected | November Projected | December Projected | Total |
|----------|-----|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | 1. | Investment | | 115,883 | 117,349 | 120,036 | 112,185 | 101,808 | 101,955 | 89,845 | 70,004 | 103,400 | 111,536 | 111,536 | 111,536 | 1,267,072 |
| | 2. | Retirements | | 33,854 | 71,653 | 92,754 | 67,137 | 66,199 | 111,724 | 115,443 | 130,853 | 64,132 | 53,315 | 100,210 | 138,233 | 1,045,507 |
| | З. | Depreciation Base | | 7,579,464 | 7,625,160 | 7,652,442 | 7,697,490 | 7,733,099 | 7,723,330 | 7,697,732 | 7,636,883 | 7,676,151 | 7,734,372 | 7,745,698 | 7,719,001 | |
| | 4. | Depreciation Expense | | <u>125,641</u> | 126.705 | <u>127,313</u> | <u>127.916</u> | 128,588 | <u>128,804</u> | <u>128,509</u> | <u>127,788</u> | <u>127.609</u> | 128.421 | <u>129.001</u> | <u>128,872</u> | <u>1.535.167</u> |
| | 5. | Cumulative Investment | <u>7,497,435</u> | 7,579,464 | 7,625,160 | 7,652,442 | 7,697,490 | 7,733,099 | 7,723,330 | 7,697,732 | 7,636,883 | 7,676,151 | 7,734,372 | 7,745,698 | 7,719,001 | 7,719,001 |
| | 6. | Less: Accumulated Depreciation | <u>3,600,202</u> | <u>3.691,989</u> | <u>3,747,041</u> | <u>3,781,600</u> | <u>3,842,379</u> | <u>3,904,768</u> | <u>3,921,848</u> | <u>3.934,914</u> | <u>3.931.849</u> | <u>3,995,326</u> | <u>4,070,432</u> | 4,099,223 | <u>4,089,862</u> | 4,089,862 |
| | 7. | Net Investment | <u>3,897,233</u> | <u>3.887.475</u> | | | <u>3.855.111</u> | <u>3.828.331</u> | <u>3.801.482</u> | <u>3.762,818</u> | <u>3.705.034</u> | <u>3,680,825</u> | <u>3.663.940</u> | <u>3.646.475</u> | <u>3,629,139</u> | <u>3.629.139</u> |
| | 8. | Average Investment | | 3,892,354 | 3,882,797 | 3,874,481 | 3,862,977 | 3,841,721 | 3,814,907 | 3,782,150 | 3,733,926 | 3,692,930 | 3,672,383 | 3,655,208 | 3,637,807 | |
| | 9. | Return on Average Investment | | 23,160 | 23,103 | 23,053 | 22,985 | 22,858 | 22,699 | 22,504 | 22,217 | 21,973 | 21,851 | 21,748 | 21,645 | 269,796 |
| N | 10. | Return Requirements | | <u>37,705</u> | <u>37,612</u> | <u>37,530</u> | <u>37,420</u> | <u>37,213</u> | <u>36,954</u> | <u>36.637</u> | <u>36,169</u> | <u>35.772</u> | <u>35,573</u> | 35,406 | <u>35,238</u> | 439.229 |
| 1 | 11. | Total Depreciation and Return | | <u>163.346</u> | <u>164.317</u> | <u>164.843</u> | <u>165,336</u> | <u>165,801</u> | <u>165.758</u> | <u>165.146</u> | <u>163,957</u> | <u>163.381</u> | <u>163,994</u> | <u>164.407</u> | <u>164,110</u> | <u>1,974,396</u> |

NOTES:

Depreciation expense is calculated using a useful life of 60 months. Return on Average Investment is calculated using a monthly rate of 0.59500% Return requirements are calculated using an income tax multiplier of 1.6280016.

> EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-3 PAGE 2 OF 6

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Schedule of Capital Investment, Depreciation and Return Actual for Months January 2004 through August 2004 Projected for Months September 2004 through December 2004

COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT

| | | Beginning of Period | January Actual | February Actual | March Actual | April Actual | May Actual | June Actual | July Actual | August Actual | September Projected | October Projected | November Projected | December Projected | Total |
|-----|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | 1. Investment | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,136 |
| | 2. Retirements | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3. Depreciation Base | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,136 | 8,136 | 8,136 | 8,136 | |
| | 4. Depreciation Expense | | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>68</u> | <u>136</u> | <u>136</u> | <u>136</u> | <u>476</u> |
| | 5. Cumulative Investment | <u>0</u> | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,136 | 8,136 | 8,136 | 8,136 | 8,136 |
| | 6. Less: Accumulated Depre | <u>o</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | Q | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>68</u> | <u>204</u> | <u>340</u> | <u>476</u> | <u>476</u> |
| | 7. Net Investment | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | <u>0</u> | Q | <u>0</u> | Q | 8.068 | <u>7,932</u> | 7.796 | <u>7.660</u> | 7,660 |
| | 8. Average Investment | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,034 | 8,000 | 7,864 | 7,728 | |
| | Return on Average Investi | nent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 165 |
|) 1 | 0. Return Requirements | | <u>0</u> | Q | Q | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>39</u> | <u>78</u> | <u>77</u> | <u>75</u> | <u>269</u> |
| | 1. Total Depreciation and Re | turn | Q | Q | Q | Q | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>Q</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>107</u> | <u>214</u> | <u>213</u> | <u>211</u> | <u>745</u> |

NOTES:

とと

Depreciation expense is calculated using a useful life of 60 months.

Return on Average Investment is calculated using a monthly rate of 0.59500% . Return requirements are calculated using an income tax multiplier of 1.6280016.

23

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Conservation Program Costs

Actual for Months January 2004 through August 2004 Projected for Months September 2004 through December 2004

| Pro | gram Name | January Actual | February Actual | March Actual | April Actual | May Actual | June Actual | July Actual | August Actual | September Projected | October Projected | November Projected | December Projected | Grand Total |
|------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Heating and Cooling | 44,180 | 48,862 | 44,340 | 82,445 | 54,087 | 76,845 | 84,452 | 62,495 | 59,256 | 59,256 | 59,256 | 59,255 | 734,729 |
| 2 | Prime Time | 1,075,672 | 1,075,709 | 1,043,968 | 881,205 | 874,145 | 903,140 | 882,851 | 865,970 | 899,818 | 902,667 | 1,064,244 | 1,069,740 | 11,539,129 |
| 3 | Energy Audits | 54,531 | 203,703 | 88,703 | 144,835 | 97,017 | 120,176 | 116,621 | 169,894 | 174,223 | 177,612 | 177,564 | 227,516 | 1,752,395 |
| 4 | Cogeneration | 11,243 | 12,606 | 13,378 | 19,830 | 14,413 | 13,663 | 13,335 | 12,185 | 15,733 | 15,733 | 15,733 | 15,733 | 173,585 |
| 5 | Ceiling Insulation | 47,294 | 26,576 | 91,347 | 92,147 | 22,900 | 39,925 | 33,889 | 54,243 | 33,481 | 33,481 | 33,481 | 33,481 | 542,245 |
| 6 | Commercial Load Management | 659 | 606 | 1,338 | 1,753 | 1,206 | 1,877 | 12,139 | 1,128 | 1,466 | 1,573 | 1,105 | 1,078 | 25,928 |
| 7 | Commercial Lighting | 322 | 1,103 | 22,914 | 1,943 | 36 | 439 | 254 | 127,778 | 11,015 | 11,014 | 11,015 | 11,014 | 198,847 |
| 8 | Standby Generator | 58,377 | 53,035 | 60,872 | 56,875 | 50,844 | 61,808 | 54,054 | 51,080 | 48,519 | 48,882 | 48,519 | 48,519 | 641,384 |
| 9 | Conservation Value | 421 | 636 | 86 | 65 | 38 | 0 | 46,609 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 2,460 | 0 | 50,464 |
| 10 | Duct Repair | 54,632 | 55,748 | 64,371 | 57,067 | 84,444 | 84,844 | 55,435 | 131,077 | 96,260 | 96,260 | 96,260 | 96,260 | 972,658 |
| 11 | Renewable Energy Initiative | 1,333 | 2,985 | 3,809 | 6,780 | 5,177 | 1,679 | 3,130 | 1,616 | 1,431 | 11,381 | 15,406 | 13,881 | 68,608 |
| 12 | Industrial Load Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| - 13 | DSM R&D | 43 | 1,262 | 136 | 590 | 1,273 , | 61 | 46,009 | 129 | (24,686) | 405 | 314 | 405 | 25,941 |
| 14 | Commercial Cooling | 1,127 | 353 | 379 | 3,561 | 3,485 | 1,032 | 834 | 776 | 2,840 | 2,839 | 2,839 | 2,839 | 22,904 |
| 15 | Residential New Construction | 204 | 149 | 127 | 160 | 1,630 | ٥ | (942) | 74 | 74 | 374 | 74 | 374 | 2,298 |
| 16 | Common Expenses | 7,963 | 15,254 | 13,954 | 20,964 | 10,600 | 17,989 | 13,896 | 15,003 | 15,818 | 15,879 | 15,800 | 15,831 | 178,951 |
| 17 | Price Responsive Load Mgmt - Pilot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,718 | 6,830 | 5,603 | 5,603 | 5,603 | 5,603 | 32,960 |
| 18 | Total | 1,358,001 | 1,498,587 | 1,449,722 | 1,370,220 | 1,221,295 | 1,323,478 | 1,366,284 | 1,500,427 | 1,340,851 | 1,382,959 | 1,549,673 | 1,601,529 | 16,963,026 |
| 19 | Less: Included in Base Rates | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>o</u> | <u>0</u> | Õ | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> |
| 20 | Recoverable Conservation Expenses | <u>1.358,001</u> | <u>1.498.587</u> | 1.449.722 | <u>1.370.220</u> | 1.221.295 | <u>1.323.478</u> | <u>1.366.284</u> | <u>1.500.427</u> | 1,340,851 | <u>1.382.959</u> | <u>1.549.673</u> | <u>1.601.529</u> | <u>16,963,026</u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | EXH DOG FAC |

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Energy Conservation Adjustment Calculation of True-up

Actual for Months January 2004 through August 2004 Projected for Months September 2004 through December 2004

| | B. CONSERVATION REVENUES | January Actual | February Actual | March Actual | April Act <u>ual</u> | May <u>Actual</u> | June Actual | July Actual | August Actual | September Projected | October Projected | November Projected | December Projected | Grand Total |
|---|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | 1. Residential Conservation Audit Fees (A) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| : | 2. Conservation Adjustment Revenues * | <u>1,391,039</u> | 1,230,076 | <u>1,224,771</u> | <u>1,203,526</u> | 1.369,364 | 1,669,301 | 1,735,351 | 1,636,687 | 1,736,889 | 1,584,562 | 1,362,797 | 1,357,126 | 17,501,489 |
| : | (C-4, page 1 of 1) 3. Total Revenues | 1,391,039 | 1,230,076 | 1,224,771 | 1,203,526 | 1,369,364 | 1,669,301 | 1,735,351 | 1,636,687 | 1,736,889 | 1,584,562 | 1,362,797 | 1,357,126 | 17,501,489 |
| | 4. Prior Period True-up | <u>119.002</u> | 119.002 | <u>119.002</u> | <u>119,002</u> | <u>119.002</u> | <u>119,002</u> | 119,002 | 119,002 | 119,002 | 119.002 | <u>119,002</u> | 119,001 | 1,428,023 |
| | 5. Conservation Revenue Applicable to Period | 1,510,041 | 1,349,078 | 1,343,773 | 1,322,528 | 1,488,366 | 1,788,303 | 1,854,353 | 1,755,689 | 1,855,891 | 1,703,564 | 1,481,799 | 1,476,127 | 18,929,512 |
| I | Conservation Expenses (C-3,Page 4, Line 14) | <u>1,358,001</u> | <u>1,498,587</u> | <u>1,449,722</u> | <u>1.370.220</u> | <u>1,221,295</u> | <u>1,323,478</u> | <u>1,366,284</u> | <u>1,500,427</u> | <u>1,340,851</u> | <u>1,382,959</u> | <u>1,549,673</u> | <u>1.601,529</u> | 16,963.026 |
| | 7. True-up This Period (Line 5 - Line 6) | 152,040 | (149,509) | (105,949) | (47,692) | 267,071 | 464.825 | 488,069 | 255,262 | 515,040 | 320,605 | (67,874) | (125,402) | 1,966,486 |
| 1 | Interest Provision This Period (C-3, Page 6, Line 10) | 1,257 | 1,116 | 888 | 746 | 756 | 1,115 | 1,738 | 2.227 | 3,012 | 3,857 | 3,876 | 3,522 | 24,110 |
| | 9. True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of Period | 1,428,023 | 1,462,318 | 1,194,923 | 970,860 | 804,912 | 953,737 | 1,300,675 | 1,671,480 | 1,809,967 | 2,209,017 | 2,414,477 | 2,231,477 | 1,428,023 |
| い 24 - 24 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - | 0. Prior Period True-up Collected (Refunded) | <u>(119,002)</u> | <u>(119,002)</u> | <u>(119,002)</u> | <u>(119.002)</u> | <u>(119,002)</u> | (119,002) | (119,002) | (119,002) | (119,002) | (119,002) | (119,002) | (119,001) | (1,428,023) |
| - | 1. End of Period Total Net True-up | <u>1.462.318</u> | <u>1.194.923</u> | <u>970.860</u> | <u>804.912</u> | <u>953.737</u> | <u>1,300,675</u> | <u>1.671.480</u> | <u>1.809,967</u> | <u>2.209.017</u> | <u>2.414.477</u> | <u>2,231,477</u> | <u>1.990.596</u> | <u>1,990,596</u> |

Net of Revenue Taxes

(A) Included in Line 6

| Summary of Allocation | Forecast | <u>Ratio</u> | True Up |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Demand | 13,144,609 | 0.73 | 1,453,135 |
| Energy | 4,777,068 | 0.27 | 537,461 |
| Total | <u>17.921.677</u> | <u>1.00</u> | 1,990,596 |

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Energy Conservation Adjustment Calculation of Interest Provision

Actual for Months January 2004 through August 2004 Projected for Months September 2004 through December 2004

| _0 | | January Actual | February Actual | March Actual | April Actual | May Actual | June Actual | July Actual | August Actual | September Projected | October Projected | November Projected | December Projected | Grand Total |
|----------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | . Beginning True-up Amount (C-3, Page 5, Line 9) | \$1,428,023 | \$1,462,318 | \$1,194,923 | \$970,860 | \$804,912 | \$953,737 | \$1,300,675 | \$1,671,480 | \$1,809,967 | \$2,209,017 | \$2,414,477 | \$2,231,477 | |
| 2 | Ending True-up Amount Before Interest (C-3, Page 5, Lines 7 + 9 + 10) | <u>1.461.061</u> | <u>1.193.807</u> | <u>969.972</u> | 804.166 | <u>952,981</u> | <u>1.299.560</u> | <u>1,669,742</u> | <u>1.807.740</u> | <u>2.206.005</u> | <u>2,410.620</u> | <u>2,227,601</u> | <u>1,987,074</u> | |
| 3 | . Total Beginning & Ending True-up | <u>\$2,889,084</u> | <u>\$2,656,125</u> | <u>\$2,164,895</u> | <u>\$1.775.026</u> | <u>\$1,757,893</u> | <u>\$2.253.297</u> | <u>\$2,970,417</u> | <u>\$3,479,220</u> | <u>\$4.015.972</u> | <u>\$4.619.637</u> | <u>\$4,642,078</u> | <u>\$4.218.551</u> | |
| 4 | . Average True-up Amount (50% of Line 3) | <u>\$1.444,542</u> | <u>\$1,328,063</u> | <u>\$1,082,448</u> | <u>\$887,513</u> | <u>\$878.947</u> | <u>\$1.126.649</u> | <u>\$1.485.209</u> | <u>\$1.739.610</u> | <u>\$2,007,986</u> | <u>\$2,309,819</u> | <u>\$2,321,039</u> | <u>\$2,109,276</u> | |
| 5 | . Interest Rate - First Day of Month | <u>1.060%</u> | 1.030% | 0.980% | 0.980% | 1.030% | 1.040% | 1.330% | 1.470% | 1.600% | 2.000% | 2.000% | 2.000% | |
| e | . Interest Rate - First Day of Next Month | <u>1.030%</u> | <u>0.980%</u> | <u>0.980%</u> | <u>1.030%</u> | <u>1.040%</u> | <u>1.330%</u> | <u>1.470%</u> | <u>1.600%</u> | <u>2.000%</u> | <u>2.000%</u> | 2.000% | 2.000% | |
| 7 | . Total (Line 5 + Line 6) | <u>2.090%</u> | <u>2.010%</u> | <u>1.960%</u> | <u>2.010%</u> | <u>2.070%</u> | <u>2.370%</u> | <u>2.800%</u> | <u>3.070%</u> | <u>3.600%</u> | <u>4.000%</u> | <u>4.000%</u> | <u>4.000%</u> | |
| 8 | . Average Interest Rate (50% of Line 7) | <u>1.045%</u> | <u>1.005%</u> | <u>0.980%</u> | <u>1.005%</u> | <u>1.035%</u> | <u>1.185%</u> | <u>1.400%</u> | <u>1.535%</u> | <u>1.800%</u> | <u>2.000%</u> | <u>2.000%</u> | 2.000% | |
| ່ ເ | . Monthly Average interest Rate (Line 8/12) | <u>0.087%</u> | <u>0.084%</u> | <u>0.082%</u> | <u>0.084%</u> | <u>0.086%</u> | <u>0.099%</u> | <u>0.117%</u> | <u>0.128%</u> | <u>0.150%</u> | <u>0.167%</u> | | <u>0.167%</u> | |
| й ₁ | 0. Interest Provision (Line 4 x Line 9) | <u>\$1,257</u> | <u>\$1.116</u> | <u>\$888</u> | <u>\$746</u> | <u>\$756</u> | <u>\$1.115</u> | <u>\$1.738</u> | <u>\$2.227</u> | <u>\$3,012</u> | <u>\$3,857</u> | <u>\$3,876</u> | <u>\$3,522</u> | <u>\$24.110</u> |

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 04002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-3 PAGE 6 OF 6

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Energy Conservation Calculation of Conservation Revenues

Actual for Months January 2004 through August 2004 Projected for Months September 2004 through December 2004

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Months | Firm MWH Sales | Interruptible MWH Sales | Clause Revenue Net of Revenue Taxes |
| January | 1,340,935 | 144,905 | 1,391,039 |
| February | 1,189,330 | 139,415 | 1,230,076 |
| March | 1,185,355 | 157,193 | 1,224,771 |
| April | 1,174,549 | 128,741 | 1,203,526 |
| Мау | 1,324,412 | 157,059 | 1,369,364 |
| June | 1,614 ,3 01 | 138,888 | 1,669,301 |
| July | 1,685,990 | 106,234 | 1,735,351 |
| August | 1,584,724 | 132,491 | 1,636,687 |
| September | 1,685,556 | 123,145 | 1,736,889 |
| October | 1,541,515 | 123,489 | 1,584,562 |
| November | 1,329,226 | 124,799 | 1,362,797 |
| December | 1,322,910 | 122,847 | 1,357,126 |
| Total | <u> 16.978.803</u> | <u>1.599,206</u> | <u>17.501.489</u> |

:

200

.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 1 of 17

| Program Title: | HEATING AND COOLING |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | This is a residential conservation program designed to reduce weather-sensitive peaks by providing incentives for the installation of high efficiency heating and air conditioning equipment at existing residences. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | There are 3,580 units projected to be installed and approved. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | There are 3,200 units projected to be installed and approved. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$734,729. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$576,152. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there were 152,576 units installed and approved. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 2 of 17

| Program Title: | PRIME TIME | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | larger loads in customers' ho | anagement program designed to directly control the omes such as air conditioning, water heating, electric nps. Participating customers receive monthly credits |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to Decembe | er 31, 2004 |
| | There are 70,858 projected c | customers for this program on a cumulative basis. |
| | January 1, 2005 to Decembe | er 31, 2005 |
| | There are 69,060 projected c | customers for this program on a cumulative basis. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to Decembe | er 31, 2004 |
| | Estimated expenditures are \$ | \$11,539,129. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December | er 31, 2005 |
| | Estimated expenditures are \$ | \$11,276,513. |
| Program Progress Summary: | There were 73,303 cumulativ | ve customers participating through December 31, 2003. |
| | Breakdown is as follows: | |
| | Air Conditioning49,Heating51, | ,277 ,570 ,933 ,720 |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 3 of 17

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND PROGRESS

| Program Title: | ENERGY AUDITS |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Program Description: | These are on-site and mail-in audits of residential, commercial and industrial premises that instruct customers on how to use conservation measures and practices to reduce their energy usage. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | Residential - 20,245 (RCS - 0; Free -8,995; Mail-in - 8,821; On-line - 2,429) |
| | Comm/Ind - 575 (Paid - 0; Free - 575) |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | Residential - 10,300 (RCS - 0; Alt - 8,500; On-line - 1,800) |
| | Comm/Ind - 476 (Paid - 1 Free - 475) |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | Expenditures are expected to be \$1,752,395. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | Expenditures are expected to be \$1,806,298. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003 the following audit totals are: |
| | Residential RCS (Fee) 3,890 |
| | Residential Alt (Free) 211,910 |
| | Residential Cust. Assisited ⁽¹⁾ 92,986 |
| | Commercial-Ind (Fee) 226 |
| | Commercial-Ind (Free) 14,831 |
| | Commercial Mail-in 1,477 |
| | (1) Includes Mail-in and On-line audits. Mail-in audit program scheduled to be phased out on December 31, 2004 |

be phased out on December 31, 2004.

EXHIBIT NO. ___ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 4 of 17

| COGENERATION |
|---|
| This program encourages the development of cost-effective commercial and industrial cogeneration facilities through standard offers and negotiation of contracts for the purchase of firm capacity and energy. |
| January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| Communication and interaction will continue with all present and potential cogeneration customers. |
| January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| The development and publication of the 20-Year Cogeneration Forecast will occur. |
| January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures are estimated to be \$173,585. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| Expenditures are estimated to be \$126,708. |
| The projected total maximum generation by electrically interconnected cogeneration during 2004 will be approximately 395 MW. Continuing interaction with current and potential cogeneration developers for discussion regarding current cogeneration activities and future cogeneration construction activities. Currently there are 14 Qualifying Facilities with generation on-line in our service area. |
| |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 5 of 17

| Program Title: | CEILING INSULATION |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | This is a residential conservation program designed to reduce weather-sensitive peaks by providing incentives to encourage the installation of efficient levels of ceiling insulation. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | Approximately 3,871 participants are expected during this period. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | Approximately 3,000 participants are expected during this period. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures are estimated to be \$542,245. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Expenditures are estimated to be \$470,488. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there were 71,930 installations that received incentives. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 6 of 17

| Program Title: | COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Program Description: | This is a load management program that achieves weather-sensitive demand reductions through load control of equipment at the facilities of firm commercial customers. |
| Program Projections : | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | 11 installations expected. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | Two installations expected. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenses of \$25,928 are estimated. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | Expenses of \$21,747 are estimated. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there are 8 commercial installations in service. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 7 of 17

| Program Title: | COMMERCIAL INDOOR LIGHTING |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | This is a conservation program designed to reduce weather-sensitive peaks by encouraging investment in more efficient lighting technology in commercial facilities. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | During this period, 53 customers are expected to participate. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | During this period, 38 customers are expected to participate. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$198,847. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Expenditures estimated for this period are \$94,636. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there were 956 customers that participated. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 8 of 17

| Program Title: | STANDBY GENERATOR |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | This is a program designed to utilize the emergency generation capacity at firm commercial/industrial facilities in order to reduce weather-sensitive peak demand. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | One installation is expected. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | One installation is expected. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$641,384. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$598,152. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there are 40 customers participating. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 9 of 17

| Program Title: | CONSERVATION VALUE |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Program Description: | This is an incentive program for firm commercial/industrial customers that encourages additional investments in substantial demand shifting or demand reduction measures. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | One customer is expected to participate during this period. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | One customer is expected to participate during this period. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Estimated expenses are \$50,464. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Estimated expenses are \$96,536. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there were 22 customers that earned incentive dollars. We continue to work with customers on evaluations of various measures. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 10 of 17

| Program Title: | DUCT REPAIR |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | This is a residential conservation program designed to reduce weather-sensitive peaks by offering incentives to encourage the repair of the air distribution system in a residence. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | There are 3,192 repairs projected to be made. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | There are 3,000 repairs projected to be made. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$972,658. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$880,160. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there are 39,049 customers that have participated. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 11 of 17

| Program Title: | RENEWABLE ENERGY INITIATIVE |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Program Description: | This is a three-year pilot initiative designed to assist in the delivery of renewable energy for the company's Pilot Program. This specific effort provides funding for program administration, evaluation and market research. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | There are 449 customers with 629 subscribed blocks estimated for this period on a cumulative basis. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | There are 720 customers with 1,009 subscribed blocks estimated for this period on a cumulative basis. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$68,608. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Expenditures estimated for the period are \$52,496. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there are 231 customers with 320 blocks subscribed. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 12 of 17

1

| Program Title: | INDUSTRIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Program Description: | This is a load management program for large industrial customers with interruptible loads of 500 kW or greater. | | | | | | | |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 | | | | | | | |
| | No customers are expected to participate. | | | | | | | |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 | | | | | | | |
| | See Program Progress Summary below. | | | | | | | |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 | | | | | | | |
| | No expenses are expected. | | | | | | | |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 | | | | | | | |
| | Expenditures estimated for the period are \$610,404. | | | | | | | |
| Program Progress Summary: | Program approved by FPSC in Docket No. 990037-EI, Order No. PSC-99-1778- FOF-EI, issued September 10, 1999. For 2004, current assessment for participation has program open for customers, however, no participation is expected. Should the 2005 assessment indicate an opportunity for customer participation, the projected expenditures above have been based on the current interruptible class load average per customer with the additional assumption that each incremental customer would replicate that average. | | | | | | | |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 13 of 17

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND PROGRESS

Program Title: DSM RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (R&D)

Program Description: This is a five-year R&D program directed at end-use technologies (both residential and commercial) not yet commercially available or where insufficient data exists for measure evaluations specific to central Florida climate.

Program Projections: See Program Progress Summary.

ι

| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
|---------------------------------|---|
| | Expenditures are estimated at \$25,941. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |

Expenditures are estimated at \$56,908.

Program ProgressSummary:Tampa Electric's current activities for traditional R&D include the following: 1) the
evaluation of a new type of energy recovery ventilation system designed to reduce the
amount of moisture in commercial fresh air HVAC intakes; 2) the evaluation and
monitoring of a 30kW microturbine fueled by landfill gas with final report completed
July 2004; and 3) the evaluation and monitoring of a photovoltaic (PV) system
installed at a local school also used as a storm center.

Testing is designed to evaluate the demand and energy consumption and operating characteristics of these products. This information will be used to determine potential DSM opportunities as directed in Order No. PSC-00-0754-PAA-EG, Docket No. 991791-EG.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 14 of 17

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND PROGRESS

| Program Title: | COMMERCIAL COOLING |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | This is an incentive program to encourage the installation of high efficiency direct expansion (DX) commercial air conditioning equipment. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 20043 |
| | There are 49 customers expected to participate. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | There are 45 customers expected to participate. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures are estimated at \$22,904. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 Expenditures are estimated at \$25,236. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, there were 290 units installed and approved. |

.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 15 of 17

| Program Title: | ENERGY PLUS HOMES |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Program Description: | This is a program that encourages the construction of new homes to be above the minimum energy efficiency levels required by the State of Florida Energy Efficiency Code for New Construction through the installation of high efficiency equipment and building envelope options. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | There are 4 customers expected to participate |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | There are 25 customers expected to participate. |
| Program Fiscal Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 Expenditures are estimated at \$2,298. January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | Expenditures are estimated at \$10,566. |
| Program Progress Summary: | Through December 31, 2003, 21 approved homes have participated. |

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 16 of 17

Program Title: COMMON EXPENSES

Program Description: These are expenses common to all programs.

Program Projections: N/A

Program Fiscal
Expenditures:January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004Expenditures are estimated to be \$178,991.January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005Expenditures are estimated at \$196,325.

Program Progress Summary: N/A

EXHIBIT NO. ____ DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) SCHEDULE C-5 PAGE 17 of 17

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND PROGRESS

| Program Title: | PRICE RESPONSIVE LOAD MANAGEMENT - PILOT PROGRAM |
|----------------------|---|
| Program Description: | A load management project designed to reduce weather sensitive peak loads by offering a multi-tiered rate structure designed as an incentive for participating customers to reduce their electric demand during high cost or critical periods of generation. |
| Program Projections: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | Initial program research to begin, no customers will participate in 2004. |
| | January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 |
| | There are 240 customers expected to participate. |
| Program Fiscal | |
| Expenditures: | January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004 |
| | Expenditures are estimated at \$32,960. |

January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005

Expenditures are estimated at \$1,022,352.

Program Progress Summary:

N/A

| | | PROGRAWITTLE: GOLIWI ZQJ | | | PAGE I OF I | |
|------|--|--------------------------|-----|--|-------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | RUN DATE: | September 21, 2004 |
| | PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES | | | AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS. & DIST COSTS | | |
| I. | (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER | 3171 KW /CUST | IV. | (1) BASE YEAR | 2005 | |
| ï | (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER | 3456.804 KW GEN/CUST | | (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT | 2008 | |
| | (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE | 6.5 % | | (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D | 2008 | |
| 1 | (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER | 779193 KWH/CUST/YR | | (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST | 230.18 | |
| I. | (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE | 5.8 % | | (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST | | \$/KW |
| Ĩ. | (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER | 1 | | (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST | 0 | \$/KW |
| i. | (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER | 0 KWH/CUST/YR | | (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE | 2.3 | % |
| I. | (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER | 734000 KWH/CUST/YR | | (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST | 2.544 | \$/KW/YR |
| | | | | (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE | 2.5 | % |
| | ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS | | | (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST | 0 | \$/KW/YR |
| П. | (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM | 26 YEARS | IV. | (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST | 0 | \$/KW/YR |
| П. | (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE | 26 YEARS | IV. | (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE | 2.5 | % |
| 11. | (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE | 26 YEARS | | (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS | 0.8135 | CENTS/KWH |
| 11. | (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION | 1.6926 | IV. | (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE | 2.5 | % |
| н. | (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D | 1.6926 | Į٧. | (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR | 2.7 | % |
| | (6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) | 0 | IV. | (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST | 6.27 | CENTS/KWH |
| Þ | | | IV. | (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE | 1.43 | % |
| - Å | | | IV. | (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW | | \$/KW/YR |
| | UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS | | IV. | (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE | 0 | % |
| 111. | (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER | 1570.00 \$/CUST | | | | |
| 10. | (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER | 1256.00 \$/CUST/YR | | | | |
| 111. | (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE | 2.5 % | | | | |
| 111. | (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST | 11025.00 \$/CUST | | NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES | | |
| HI. | (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE | 2.5 % | V. | (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL | | CENTS/KWH |
| RL. | (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST | 0 \$/CUST/YR | | (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE | | % |
| 111. | (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE | 2.5 % | | (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW | | \$/KW/MO |
| Ш. | (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION | 0 \$/CUST | | (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE | 1 | % |
| | (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE | 0 % | V. | (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT | | |
| | (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS | 0 \$/CUST/YR | | FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL | 0 | |
| | (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE | 0 % | | | | |
| | (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE | 0.0939 | | | | |
| | (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE | 0.0779 | | CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS | | |
| | (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE | 0.00 \$/CUST | | (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO | 75.10 | |
| | (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE | 163700.00 \$/CUST/YR | | (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) | 1,994 | |
| 01. | (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE | 0 % | | (3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO | 1.200 | l |
| | | | | | | |

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: GSLM 2&3

PSC FORM CE 1.1 PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE: September 21, 2004

> EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
|------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| YEAR | NO. YEARS BEFORE INSERVICE | PLANT ESCALATION RATE (%) | CUMULATIVE ESCALATION FACTOR | YEARLY EXPENDITURE (%) | ANNUAL SPENDING (\$/KW) | CUMULATIVE AVERAGE SPENDING (\$/KW) | CUMULATIVE SPENDING WITH AFUDC (\$/KW) | YEARLY TOTAL AFUDC (\$/KW) | INCREMENTAL YEAR-END BOOK VALUE (\$/KW) | CUMULATIVE YEAR-END BOOK VALUE (\$/KW) |
| 1999 | -9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 |
| 2000 | -8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | -7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | -6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | -5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | -4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | -3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Q | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2006 | -2 | 0.023 | 1.023 | 0.350 | 82.42 | 41.21 | 41.21 | 3.21 | 85.63 | 85.63 |
| 2007 | -1 | 0.023 | 1.046529 | 0.65 | 156.58 | 160.71 | 163.92 | 12.76 | 169.34 | 254.97 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0_ | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 254.97 |
| | | | | 1.000 | 239.00 | | | 15.97 | 254.97 | |

| CALCULATION OF AFUI | DC AND IN-SERVICE COST OF PLANT |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| PLANT: | 2008 Avoided Unit |

| IN-SERVICE YEAR = | 2008 |
|-----------------------|--------|
| PLANT COSTS (2005 \$) | 230.18 |
| AFUDC RATE: | 7.79% |

.

.

PSC FORM CE 1.2 PAGE 1 OF 1 September 21, 2004

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (| (11) |
|---|------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------|-----|--------|
| | | | | UTILITY AVERAGE | | | | | | | | |
| | | CUMULATIVE | ADJUSTED | SYSTEM | AVOIDED | INCREASED | | PROGRAM | PROGRAM | OTHER | 10 | THER |
| | | TOTAL | CUMULATIVE | FUEL | MARGINAL | MARGINAL | REPLACEMENT | KW | KWH | COSTS | BEN | VEFITS |
| | | PARTICIPATING | PARTICIPATING | COSTS | FUEL COST | FUEL COST | FUEL COST | EFFECTIVENESS | EFFECTIVENESS | | | |
| | YEAR | CUSTOMERS | CUSTOMERS | (C/KWH) | (C/KWH) | (C/KWH) | (C/KWH) | FACTOR | FACTOR | (\$000) | (\$ | 6000) |
| | 2005 | 1 | 1 | 2.82 | 3.50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 1 | 1 | 3.06 | 3.83 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2007 | 1 | 1 | 3.21 | 4.18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2008 | 1 | 1 | 3.26 | 4.15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2009 | 1 | 1 | 2.99 | 3.72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2010 | 1 | 1 | 3.73 | 4.99 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2011 | 1 | 1 | 3.89 | 5.30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2012 | 1 | 1 | 3.81 | 5.32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| • | 2013 | 1 | 1 | 3.14 | 4.78 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
|) | 2014 | 1 | 1 | 3.45 | 5.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2015 | 1 | 1 | 3.23 | 5.03 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2016 | 1 | 1 | 3.51 | 5.37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2017 | 1 | 1 | 3.50 | 5.22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2018 | 1 | 1 | 3.53 | 5.20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ~ | 0 | 0 |
| | 2019 | 1 | 1 | 3.24 | 4.96 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2020 | 1 | 1 | 3.77 | 5.59 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2021 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | 5.96 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2022 | 1 | 1 | 4.01 | 5.93 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2023 | 1 | 1 | 3.79 | 5.71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2024 | 1 | 1 | 4.01 | 5.99 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2025 | 1 | 1 | 3.94 | 5.89 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2026 | 1 | 1 | 4.33 | 6.52 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2027 | 1 | 1 | 4.48 | 6.81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2028 | 1 | 1 | 4.45 | 6.71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2029 | 1 | 1 | 4.08 | 6.14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | 2030 | 1 | 1 | 4.70 | 7.05 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

INPUT DATA -- PART 2 PROGRAM: GSLM 2&3

46

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2)

•

| | | • UNIT SIZE OF . * INSERVICE CO | | | | 3,457 \$881 | KW | | |
|---------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| (1) | (1A)* | (2) | (2A)* | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (6A)* | (7) |
| YEAR | REVENUE REQUIREMENT FACTOR | AVOIDED GEN UNIT CAPACITY COST \$(000) | AVOIDED ANNUAL UNIT KWH GEN (000) | AVOIDED UNIT FIXED 0&M COST \$(000) | AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O&M COST \$(000) | AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL COST \$(000) | REPLACEMENT FUEL COST _\$(000)_ | AVOIDED PURCHASED CAPACITY COSTS \$(000) | AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS \$(000) |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 0.218 | 192 | 818 | 9 | 7 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 262 |
| 2009 | 0.210 | . 185 | 818 | 10 | 7 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 256 |
| 2010 | 0.201 | 177 | 818 | 10 | 8 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 250 |
| 2011 | 0.193 | 170 | 818 | 10 | 8 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 2012 | 0.185 | 163 | 818 | 10 | 8 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 238 |
| 2013 | 0.177 | 156 | 818 | 11 | 8 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| 2014 | 0.170 | 150 | 818 | 11 | 8 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 228 |
| 2015 | 0.163 | 144 | 818 | 11 | 9 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
| 2016 | 0.156 | 138 | 818 | 12 | 9 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 218 |
| 2017 | 0.149 | 131 | 818 | 12 | 9 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
| 2018 | 0.142 | 125 | 818 | 12 | 9 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| 2019 | 0.135 | 119 | 818 | 12 | 9 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 203 |
| 2020 | 0.128 | 113 | 818 | 13 | 10 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 198 |
| 2021 | 0.121 | 106 | 818 | 13 | 10 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 193 |
| 2022 | 0.113 | 100 | 818 | 13 | 10 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 2023 | 0.107 | 95 | 818 | 14 | 10 | 66 | 0 | 0 | .185 |
| 2024 | 0.103 | 91 | 818 | 14 | 11 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 182 |
| 2025 | 0.099 | 87 | 818 | 14 | 11 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| 2026 | 0.096 | 84 | 818 | 15 | 11 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| 2027 | 0.092 | 81 | 818 | 15 | 11 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 2028 | 0.088 | 78 | 818 | 16 | 12 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 176 |
| 2029 | 0.085 | 75 | 818 | 16 | 12 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| 2030 | 0.081 | 71 | 818 | 16 | 12 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| NOMINAL | | 2831 | 18805 | 290 | 219 | 1444 | 0 | 0 | 4783 |
| NPV | | 1,122 | | 89 | 67 | 462 | 0 | 0 | 1,741 |

AVOIDED GENERATION UNIT BENEFITS

PROGRAM: GSLM 2&3

* SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION NOT SPECIFIED IN WORKBOOK

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. <u>040002-EG</u> TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2)

.

| | | AVOIDED T & D A PROGRAM: | PSC FORM CE 2.2 Page 1 of 1 September 21, 2004 | | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| YEAR | AVOIDED TRANSMISSION CAPACITY COST \$(000) | AVOIDED TRANSMISSION O&M COST \$(000) | TOTAL AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST \$(000) | AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION CAPACITY COST \$(000) | AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION O&M COST \$(000) | TOTAL AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION COST \$(000) | PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS \$(000) |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| 2006 | Ō | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2008 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2010 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2015 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2017 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ő | |
| 2019 | ő | õ | õ | Ő | õ | õ | |
| 2020 | Ō | 0 | 0 | Ő | Ō | Ō | |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | |
| 2030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | U | 55 |
| NOMINAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | û | 0 | 1,068 |
| NPV: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 379 |

* SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION NOT SPECIFIED IN WORKBOOK

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2) * WORKSHEET : DSM PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS PROGRAM: GSLM 2&3

WORKSHEET FOR FORM CE 2.2 Page 1 of 2 September 21, 2004

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
| ., | | · · · | () | . , | | . , |
| | REDUCTION | | INCREASE | | NET | |
| | IN KWH | AVOIDED | IN KWH | INCREASED | AVOIDED | EFFECTIVE |
| | GENERATION | MARGINAL | GENERATION | MARGINAL | PROGRAM | PROGRAM |
| | NET NEW CUST | FUEL COST - | NET NEW CUST | FUEL COST - | FUEL | FUEL |
| | KWH | REDUCED KWH | KWH | INCREASE KWH | SAVINGS | SAVINGS |
| YEAR | (000) | \$(000) | (000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) |
| 2005 | | 14 | | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| 2006 | | 30 | | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| 2007 | | 33 | | 0 | 33 | 33 |
| 2008 | | 32 | | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| 2009 | | 29 | | 0 | 29 | 29 |
| 2010 | | 39 | | 0 | 39 | 39 |
| 2011 | | 41 | | 0 | 41 | 41 |
| 2012 | | 41 | | 0 | 41 | 41 |
| 2013 | | 37 | | 0 | 37 | 37 |
| 2014 | | 39 | | 0 | 39 | 39 |
| 2015 | | 39 | | 0 | 39 | 39 |
| 2016 | | 42 | | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| 2017 | 779 | 41 | | 0 | 41 | 41 |
| 2018 | 779 | 40 | | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| 2019 | 779 | 39 | | 0 | 39 | 39 |
| 2020 | 779 | 44 | - | 0 | 44 | 44 |
| 2021 | 779 | 46 | | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| 2022 | 779 | 46 | | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| 2023 | 779 | 44 | | 0 | 44 | 44 |
| 2024 | 779 | 47 | | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| 2025 | 779 | 46 | | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| 2026 | 779 | 51 | | 0 | 51 | 51 |
| 2027 | 779 | 53 | | 0 | 53 | 53 |
| 2028 | 779 | 52 | | 0 | 52 | 52 |
| 2029 | 779 | 48 | | 0 | 48 | 48 |
| 2030 | 779 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 |
| NOMINAL | 19,869 | 1,068 | 0 | 0 | 1,068 | 1,068 |
| NPV: | | 379 | | 0 | 379 | 379 |

* SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION NOT SPECIFIED IN WORKBOOK

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2)

ь.

* WORKSHEET: UTILITY COSTS AND PARTICIPANT COSTS AND REV LOSS/GAIN PROGRAM: GSLM 2&3

WORKSHEET FOR FORM CE 2.2 Page 2 of 2 September 21, 2004

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | | (17) | (18) |
|---|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|-------------------------------------|--|----|----------------------------------|--|
| | ITY PROG | RAM COST | IS & REBA | .TES> | · < | PAF | RTICIPATING | CUSTOMER COS | STS & BENEFI | rs | > | | | | | | | | | |
| | YEAR | UTIL NONREC. COSTS \$(000) | UTIL RECUR COSTS \$(000) | TOTAL UTIL PGM COSTS \$(000) | UTIL NONREC. REBATEŠ \$(0 <u>00)</u> | UTIL RECUR. REBATES \$(000) | TOTAL REBATE/ INCENT. COSTS \$(000) | PARTIC. CUST EQUIP COSTS \$(000)_ | PARTIC. CUST O & M COSTS \$(000) | TOTAL COSTS PARTIC. CUST \$(000) | C I | EDUCT. IN CUST. KWH (000) | | | EFFECT. REV. REDUCT. TO CUST \$(000) | INC. IN CUST. KWH (000) | INC. REV. - FUEL PORTION \$(000) | NC | INC. REV. DNFUEL ORTION | EFFECT. REVENUE INC. IN BILL \$(000) |
| | 2005 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 82 | 82 | 11 | 0 | | 11 | 367 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 22 | 10 | 33 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2007 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 24 | 10 | 34 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2008 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 24 | 10 | 34 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2009 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 22 27 | 10 | 32 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2010 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 164 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 27 | 11 11 | 38 | 0 | | U | 0 | U |
| | 2011 2012 | 0 | - | | 0 | 164 | 164 164 | 0 | U | | 0 | 734 734 | 29 | 11 | 39 39 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | Ű |
| | 2012 | 0 | 1 | 2 | • | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 20 23 | 11 | 39 34 | 0 | | 0 | ů Č | 0 |
| | 2013 | 0 | 2 | 2 | - | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 25 | 11 | 34 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2014 | 0 | 2 | 2 | | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 23 | 11 | 35 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2015 | 0 | 2 | 2 | | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | ñ | 734 | 24 | 11 | 37 | 0 | | õ | 0 | 0 |
| | 2010 | ő | 2 | 2 | | 164 | 164 | ů O | ő | | 0 | 734 | 26 | 11 | 37 | ő | | ñ | 0 | 0 |
| | 2018 | ň | 2 | 2 | ň | 164 | 164 | 0 | ů | | 0 | 734 | 26 | 11 | 37 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2019 | õ | 2 | 2 | • | 164 | 164 | 0 | ŏ | | ñ | 734 | 24 | 12 | 35 | ő | | ñ | ŏ | 0 |
| | 2020 | ō | 2 | 2 | | 164 | 164 | Ő | õ | | õ | 734 | 28 | 12 | 39 | ŏ | | õ | ő | ő |
| (| 2021 | ō | 2 | 2 | | 164 | 164 | ŏ | õ | | õ | 734 | 29 | 12 | 41 | ō | | õ | õ | õ |
| 5 | 2022 | õ | 2 | 2 | | 164 | 164 | ō | ŏ | | õ | 734 | 29 | 12 | 41 | ŏ | | õ | õ | õ |
| | 2023 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | Ō | ō | | 0 | 734 | 28 | 12 | 40 | Ō | | õ | ō | ō |
| | 2024 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 29 | 12 | 42 | o | | 0 | o | 0 |
| | 2025 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 29 | 12 | 41 | 0 | | Ó | 0 | 0 |
| | 2026 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 32 | 12 | 44 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2027 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 33 | 13 | 45 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2028 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 33 | 13 | 45 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2029 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 30 | 13 | 43 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2030 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 734 | 35 | 13 | 47 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | NOMINAL | 2 | 45 | 46 | 0 | 4,174 | 4,174 | 11 | 0 | | 11 | 18,717 | 694 | 292 | 986 | 0 | | 0 | . 0 | 0 |
| | NPV | 2 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 11 | 0 | | 11 | | 256 | 110 | 365 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION NOT SPECIFIED IN WORKBOOK

h

TOTAL RESOURCE COST TESTS PROGRAM: GSLM 2&3

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS | UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS | PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS | OTHER COSTS | TOTAL | AVOIDED GEN UNIT | AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS | PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS | OTHER BENEFITS | TOTAL BENEFITS | NET BENEFITS | CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS |
| | YEAR | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(OOO) |
| | 2005 2006 2007 2008 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 198 193 189 185 182 181 179 178 176 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 14 30 33 32 29 39 41 41 37 39 39 42 41 40 39 44 46 46 44 46 51 53 52 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 14 30 33 295 285 289 285 279 270 266 260 253 248 242 242 242 242 242 242 242 | 0 29 31 284 287 283 278 268 265 260 258 255 247 240 240 240 240 238 233 227 225 228 229 226 | 0 26 53 277 475 658 824 972 1,103 1,221 1,327 1,423 1,509 1,586 1,654 1,514 1,717 1,773 1,824 1,869 1,910 1,948 1,982 2,014 2,043 |
| | 2029 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 175 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 222 | 220 | 2,068 |
| | 2030 | Q | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 173 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 228 | 226 | 2,092 |
| | NOMINAL | 0 | 46 | 11 | 0 | 57 | 4,783 | 0 | 1,068 | 0 | 5,852 | 5,795 | |
| | NPV: | 0 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 28 | 1,741 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 2,120 | 2,092 | |
| Discount Rate 0.0939 Benefit/Cost Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]: | | | | | : | 75.1 | | | | | | | |

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 040002-EG TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (HTB-2)

PARTICIPANT COSTS AND BENEFITS PROGRAM: GSLM 2&3

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|--------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|
| | SAVINGS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | IN | | | | | CUSTOMER | | | | | CUMULATIVE |
| | PARTICIPANTS | TAX | UTILITY | OTHER | TOTAL | EQUIPMENT | 0 & M | OTHER | TOTAL | NET | DISCOUNTED |
| | BILL | CREDITS | REBATES | BENEFITS | BENEFITS | COSTS | COSTS | COSTS | COSTS | BENEFITS | NET BENEFITS |
| YEAR | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) |
| 2005 | 15 | 0 | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 1 86 | 86 |
| 2006 | 33 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 196 | 266 |
| 2007 | 34 | 0 | | 0 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 197 | 431 |
| 2008 | 34 | 0 | | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 198 | 582 |
| 2009 | 32 | 0 | | 0 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 196 | 719 |
| 2010 | 38 | 0 | | 0 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 202 | 848 |
| 2011 | 39 | 0 | | 0 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 203 | 966 |
| 2012 | 39 | 0 | | 0 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 202 | 1,074 |
| 2013 | 34 | 0 | | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 198 | 1,170 |
| 2014 | 36 | 0 | - | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 200 | 1,260 |
| 2015 | 35 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 199 | 1,341 |
| 2016 | 37 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 201 | 1,415 |
| 2017 | 37 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 201 | 1,484 |
| 2018 | 37 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 201 | 1,546 |
| 2019 | 35 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 199 | 1,603 |
| 2020 | 39 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 203 | 1,656 |
| 2021 | 41 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 205 | 1,705 |
| 2022 | 41 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 205 | 1,749 |
| 2023 | 40 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 204 | 1,790 |
| 2024 | 42 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 205 | 1,827 |
| 2025 | 41 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 205 | 1,861 |
| 2026 | 44 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 208 | 1,893 |
| 2027 | 45 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 209 | 1,922 |
| 2028 | 45 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 209 | 1,948 |
| 2029 | 43 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 206 | 1,972 |
| 2030 | 47 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 211 | 1,994 |
| NOMINAL | 986 | 0 | • | 0 | 5,160 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 5,149 | |
| NPV: | 365 | 0 | 1,640 | 0 | 2,005 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 1,994 | |
| In service y | ear of gen unit: | | 2004 | | | | | | | | |
| Discount rat | te: | | 0.0939 | | | | | | | | |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (1 1) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS | UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS | INCENTIVES | REVENUE LOSSES | OTHER COSTS | TOTAL COSTS | AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS | AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS | REVENUE GAINS | OTHER BENEFITS | TOTAL BENEFITS | NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS | CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT |
| YEAR | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) | \$(000) |
| 2005 | 0 | 2 | | 5 | 0 | 89 | | 0 | | 0 | | (75) | (75) |
| 2006 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 10 | 0 | 175 | | 0 | | 0 | 30 | (145) | (208) |
| 2007 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 10 | 0 | 175 | | 0 | | 0 | 33 | (143) | (328) |
| 2008 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 10 | 0 | 175 | | 0 | | 0 | 295 | 119 | (236) |
| 2009 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 10 | 0 | 176 | | 0 | - | 0 | 285 | 110 | (160) |
| 2010 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 11 | 0 | 176 | | 0 | | 0 | 289 | 113 | (88) |
| 2011 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 11 | 0 | 176 | | 0 | | 0 | 285 | 109 | (24) |
| 2012 | 0 | 1 | 164 | 11 | 0 | 176 | | 0 | - | 0 | 279 | 103 | 31 |
| 2013 | 0 | 2 | | 11 | 0 | 176 | | 0 | - | 0 | 270 | 94 | 77 |
| 2014 | 0 | 2 | | 11 | 0 | 176 | | 0 | | 0 | 266 | 90 | 117 |
| 2015 | 0 | 2 | | 11 | 0 | 176 | | 0 | | 0 | 262 | 85 | 152 |
| 2016 | 0 | 2 | | 11 | 0 | 177 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 83 | 183 |
| 2017 | 0 | 2 | | 11 | 0 | 177 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 77 | 209 |
| 2018 | 0 | 2 | | 11 | 0 | 177 | 248 | 0 | | 0 | 248 | 72 | 231 |
| 2019 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 177 | 242 | 0 | | 0 | 242 | 65 | 250 |
| 2020 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 177 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 65 | 267 |
| 2021 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 177 | 240 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 240 | 63 | 281 |
| 2022 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 178 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 57 | 294 |
| 2023 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 178 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 52 | 304 |
| 2024 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 178 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 51 | 313 |
| 2025 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 178 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 49 | 322 |
| 2026 | 0 | 2 | | 12 | 0 | 178 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 52 | 329 |
| 2027 | 0 | 2 | | 13 | 0 | 178 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 52 | 337 |
| 2028 | 0 | 2 | | 13 | 0 | 179 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 50 | 343 |
| 2029 | 0 | 2 | | 13 | 0 | 179 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 44 | 348 |
| 2030 | 0 | 2 | 164 | 13 | 0 | 179 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 49 | 353 |
| NOMINAL | 0 | 46 | 4,174 | 292 | 0 | 4,512 | 5,852 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,852 | 1,339 | |
| NPV: | 0 | 17 | 1,640 | 110 | 0 | 1,767 | 2,120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,120 | 353 | |
| Discount rat | e: | | 0.0939 | | Benefit/Cos | t Ratio - [co | ol (12)/col (7)]: | | 1.2 | | | | |