

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 2, 2004

RE: Docket No. 980119-TP - Complaint of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for violation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; petition for resolution of disputes as to implementation and interpretation of interconnection, resale and collocation agreements; and petition for emergency relief.

Issue 1: What did the Florida Public Service Commission order regarding online edit checking capability in this docket?

Recommendation: Staff recommends that Commission Order Nos. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP and PSC-98-1467-FOF-TP required BellSouth, by December 31, 1998, to provide Supra with the same interactions and online edit checking capability through BellSouth's interfaces that occurs when its retail ordering interfaces interact with BellSouth's Field Identifier, USOC, and Edit Library (FUEL) and Service Order Layout Assembly Routine (SOLAR) databases. Staff believes the Commission did not order BellSouth to implement online order edit checking for Supra.

**APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Deason, Bradley, Davidson

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

*Charles M. Deason*  
*J. Lynn Deason*  
*Muddy Bradley*

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 2, 2004

Docket No. 980119-TP - Complaint of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for violation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; petition for resolution of disputes as to implementation and interpretation of interconnection, resale and collocation agreements; and petition for emergency relief.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Has online edit capability been made available in the manner required by the Commission's prior orders in this docket?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission find that BellSouth provided Supra with online edit checking capability in accordance with Commission orders by providing Supra with BellSouth business rules, and Service Order Edit Routine edits which gave Supra the capability to implement online edit checking.

**APPROVED**

Issue 3: Did the third-party test performed by KPMG in Docket Nos. 980786-TX and 981834-TP resolve any issues in this proceeding?

Recommendation: Yes. The KPMG third-party test demonstrated that BellSouth's Operational Support Systems are nondiscriminatory, accessible to CLECs, and that CLECs are able to develop and implement customized ordering interfaces which may include features such as incorporation of online, real-time edit checking.

**APPROVED**

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 2, 2004

Docket No. 980119-TP - Complaint of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for violation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; petition for resolution of disputes as to implementation and interpretation of interconnection, resale and collocation agreements; and petition for emergency relief.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 4: Has BellSouth timely complied with the Commission's previous orders in this docket?

Recommendation: Staff recommends that as evidenced in Issues 1, 2, and 3 BellSouth has timely complied with the Commission's orders in this proceeding.

**APPROVED**

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. No further action is required and the docket should be closed after the time for filing an appeal has run.

**APPROVED**