AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW



227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

November 16, 2004

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 CLERK

Re:

Adoption of Numeric Conservation Goals by Tampa Electric Company

FPSC Docket No. 040033-EG

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-04-0765-PAA-EG issued August 9, 2004, we enclose for filing fifteen (15) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Ten-Year DSM Plan 2005-2014.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

JDB/pp Enclosure

CC.

All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

ICL ____

CMP

MMS

RCA

SCR ____

SEC ___

OTH tump.

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMEN. ALMBER-DATE

12220 NOV 163

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Ten-Year DSM Plan, filed on

behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) on this

day of November 2004 to the following:

Ms. Adrienne Vining*
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Executive Office of the Governor Office of Planning and Budget General Government Unit The Capitol, Room 1502
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0001

Mr. Richard A. Zambo Richard A. Zambo, P.A. 1334 S.E. MacArthur Boulevard Stuart, FL 34996 Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. John W. McWhirter, Jr.
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450
Tampa, FL 33601-5126

TORNEY



Tampa Electric Company

Docket No. 040033-EG

Ten-Year DSM Plan 2005-2014

November 16, 2004

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
12220 NOV 16 #
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERY

Tampa Electric's Ten-Year DSM Plan 2005-2014

In Docket No. 040033-EG, Order No. PSC-04-0765-PAA-EG, dated August 9, 2004, the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC") approved Tampa Electric's ten-year demand side management ("DSM") goals for the period 2005-2014. Pursuant to Rule 25-17.0021, Florida Administrative Code ("F.A.C."), Tampa Electric is filing this ten-year DSM plan consisting of various programs designed to meet the numeric conservation goals established by the Commission for the company.

Tampa Electric's approach to plan development consisted of the following:

- 1. a comprehensive review of current programs;
- 2. modifying appropriate programs to incorporate measures with market potential that were identified through the goals development process;
- 3. examining research and development ("R & D") efforts for measure applications that have reached commercial applicability in the marketplace;
- identifying and evaluating a new type of residential load management, price responsive load management ("PRLM"), through a pilot program to study its feasibility;
- 5. maintaining the current residential load management program during the PRLM pilot program;
- 6. identifying a comprehensive approach to continued R & D in both the residential and commercial sectors; and
- 7. maintaining an active presence with current and future cogeneration opportunities in the company's service area.

Tampa Electric urges the Commission to adopt this plan as the appropriate action to be taken by the company to meet the DSM goals established for the 2005-2014 period.

Table of Contents

	Page
Residential Goals Summary	1
Commercial Goals Summary	2
Residential Walk-Through Audit (Free)	3
Residential Computer-Assisted Audit (Paid)	8
Residential On-Line Audit	13
Residential Duct Repair	18
Residential New Construction Program	29
Residential Heating & Cooling	40
Residential Ceiling Insulation	50
Residential Price Responsive Load Management Pilot	60
Residential Load Management (Prime Time)	75
Commercial/Industrial Audit (Free)	79
Comprehensive Commercial/Industrial Audit (Paid)	84
Commercial Cooling Program	89
Commercial Indoor Lighting Program	98
Commercial Load Management	107
Standby Generator	123
Conservation Value	133
Research and Development	143
Cogeneration	145
Renewable Energy Pilot	147
Industrial Load Management	149

Residential Market Sector Demand and Energy Data

	Proje	ected		Proje	ected		Proje	ected	
	Summer	Demand		Winter I	Demand		Annual	Energy	
	Saving	s (MW)		Saving	s (MW)		Savings	(GWH)	
			Commission			Commission			Commission
			Approved			Approved			Approved
			Summer MW			Winter MW			Annual GWH
			Goal			Goal			Goal
Year	Incr.	Cum.	(Cum.)	Incr.	Cum.	(Cum.)	Incr.	Cum.	(Cum.)
2005	3.0	3.0	2.4.	5.1	5.1	4.0	8.6	8.6	7.0
2006	2.1	5.1	4.4	2.9	8.0	6.7	6.2	14.8	12.6
2007	1.9	7.0	6.2	2.6	10.5	9.1	5.6	20,4	17.9
2008	1.7	8.7	7.9	2.3	12.8	11.4	5.1	25.5	22.7
2009	1.5	10.2	9.5	2.0	14.9	13.4	4.6	30.1	27.2
2010	1.3	11.5	10.9	1.7	16.6	15.2	3.9	34.0	31.2
2011	1.1	12.6	12.2	1.5	18.0	16.7	3.4	37.4	34.9
2012	1.0	13.7	13.3	1.2	19.3	18.1	3.0	40.5	38.2
2013	0.9	14.6	14.3	1.1	20.3	19.2	2.7	43.1	41.0
2014	0.8	15.4	15.2	0.9	21.2	20.1	2.3	45.5	43.5

Commercial / Industrial Market Sector Demand and Energy Data

	Proje	ected		Proje	ected		Proje	ected	
	Summer	Demand		Winter I	Demand		Annual	Energy	
	Savings	s (MW)		Saving	s (MW)		Savings	(GWH)	
			Commission			Commission			Commission
			Approved			Approved			Approved
			Summer MW			Winter MW			Annual GWH
			Goal			Goal			Goal
Year	Incr.	Cum.	(Cum.)	Incr.	Cum.	(Cum.)	Incr.	Cum.	(Cum.)
2005	2.3	2.3	2.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	7.2	7.2	6.7
2006	2.1	4.4	4.4	1.0	2.1	2.0	6.7	13.9	12.8
2007	2.0	6.4	6.C	1.0	3.1	2.9	6.1	20.0	18.4
2008	1.9	8.2	7.7			3.8	5.5	25.5	23.4
2009	1.7	9.9	9.3	0.9	4.9	4.7	5.0	30.5	27.8
2010	1.5	11.4	10.7	0.8	5.7	5.5	3.9	34.4	31.7
2011	1.3	12.7	12.1	0.8	6.4	6.2	3.3	37.7	35.0
2012	1.2	13.9	13.3	0.7	7.2	6.9	2.7	40.4	37.7
2013	1.1	15.0	14.3	0.7	7.8	7.6	2.2	42.6	39.9
2014	0,9	15.9	15.3	0.6	8.4	8.2	1.6	44.2	41.5

Program: Residential Walk-Through Audit (Free)

Program Start Date: May 1981

Program Description

A conservation program adopted by Florida under Chapter 366.82 (5) Florida Statutes and Rule 25-17.003 F.A.C. This program is offered to all residential customers and is designed to save demand and energy by increasing customer awareness of energy use in personal residences. Savings are dependent on the customer implementing energy saving recommendations. Recommendations are the same as the Computer-Assisted Audit but are standardized and include an estimated range of savings.

In an effort to encourage low income customer participation in conservation programs, Tampa Electric will accept the National Energy Audit Tool ("NEAT") audit (which identifies conservation measures along with cost estimates and paybacks) that is provided by weatherization agencies in our service area. The NEAT audit will be in lieu of an audit performed by Tampa Electric. For each NEAT audit completed, Tampa Electric will provide \$35.00 to the provider agency to further promote the installation of conservation measures (weatherstripping, caulking, water heater wraps, etc.) and to strengthen the partnership between the company and weatherization agencies throughout the service area.

The audit is conducted by a trained analyst who notes only those recommendations which apply to the residence.

Audits are kept on file with the company for three years. There is no charge to the customer for the Walk-Through Audit.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Any residential customer in Tampa Electric's service area is eligible.
- 2. Program requirements for participation follow guidelines set by Rule 25-17.003, F.A.C.
- 3. There is no payment processing for conservation measures with this program.
- 4. There are no technical specifications on equipment eligibility with this program.
- The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021
 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

The kWh billing histories of customers who received these audits were examined in comparison to those of matched un-audited customers. Customers included in the analysis did not participate in any other DSM programs. Consumption before and after the audit was compared for both sets of customers to estimate the impact associated with the audit. Based on load research data, the consumption impacts were extrapolated into corresponding demand impacts.

Using this methodology, the savings per participant are as follows:

Demand: 0.04 kW winter

0.03 kW summer

Energy: 137 kWh annual

Based on historical costs, the cost per audit is estimated to be \$115.00.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL WALK-THROUGH AUDIT

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program	
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*	
2005	558,217	558,217	8,500	1.5%	8,50C	
2006	571.386	571,386	8,100	2.9%	16,600	
2007	583,878	583,878	7,700	4.2%	24,300	
2008	596,472	596,472	7,300	5.3%	31,600	
2009	610,379	610,379	6,900	6.3%	38,500	
2010	625,351	625,351	6,500	7.2%	45.000	
2011	640,734	640,734	6.100	8.0%	51,100	
2012	656,186	656,186	5,700	8.7%	56,800	
2013	669,200	669,200	5.300	9.3%	62.100	
2014	682.426	682,426	4,900	9.8%	67,000	

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL WALK-THROUGH AUDIT

	AT THE METER								
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total			
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual			
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW			
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction			
2005	137	0.040	0.030	1.165	0.340	0.255			
2006	137	0.040	0.030	2.274	0.664	0.498			
2007	137	0.040	0.030	3.329	0.972	0.729			
2008	137	0.040	0.030	4.329	1.264	0.948			
2009	137	0.040	0.030	5.275	1.540	1.155			
2010	137	0.040	0.030	6.165	1.800	1.350			
2011	137	0.040	0.030	7.001	2.044	1.533			
2012	137	0.040	0.030	7.782	2.272	1.704			
2013	137	0.040	0.030	8.508	2.484	1.863			
2014	137	0.040	0.030	9.179	2.680	2.010			

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL WALK-THROUGH AUDIT

			AT THE GEN	IERATOR		
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	145	0.043	0.032	1.234	0.362	0.272
2006	145	0.043	0.032	2.411	0.708	0.531
2007	145	0.043	0.032	3.529	1.036	0.777
2008	145	0.043	0.032	4.589	1.347	1.011
2009	145	0.043	0.032	5.591	1.642	1.231
2010	145	0.043	0.032	6.535	1.919	1.439
2011	145	0.043	0.032	7.421	2.179	1.634
2012	145	0.043	0.032	8.248	2.422	1.816
2013	145	0.043	0.032	9.018	2.648	1.986
2014	145	0.043	0.032	9.730	2.857	2.143

Program: Residential Computer-Assisted Energy Audit

Program Start Date: January 1981

Program Description

A conservation program originally developed in response to the Energy Policy Act (1978) and adopted by Florida under Chapter 366.82 (5) Florida Statutes and Rule 25-17.003, F.A.C. The program is designed to save demand and energy and is offered to all residential customers. Savings are achieved by increasing customer awareness of the energy use in personal residences. Savings are dependent on customers implementing energy saving recommendations. The audit is performed by a trained analyst who collects specific data about the structure of the home and the customer's lifestyle. The following information is then provided on the applicable energy saving measures:

- Estimated cost for contractor installation
- Estimated cost for do-it-yourself installation
- Payback period for customer investment
- Estimated first year energy savings

Analysts note only those recommendations which apply to the individual residence.

Audit findings are kept on file with the utility for three years. The audit charge to the customer is \$15.00.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Any residential customer in Tampa Electric's service area is eligible.
- 2 Program requirements for participation follow guidelines set by Rule 25-17.003, F.A.C.
- 3. The customer is charged \$15.00 for this audit.
- 4. There is no payment processing for conservation measures with this program.
- 5. There are no technical specifications on equipment eligibility with this program.
- 6. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Savings for the Computer-Assisted Audit are assumed to be the same as the Residential Walk-Through Audit. The savings per participant are as follows:

Demand: 0.04 kW winter

0.03 kW summer

Energy: 137 kWh annual

The estimated cost per audit is \$155.00. There are no rebates or incentives for this program.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

9

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL COMPUTER-ASSISTED AUDIT

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	558,217	558,217	1	0.0%	1
2006	571,386	571,386	1	0.0%	2
2007	583,878	583,878	1	0.0%	3
2008	596,472	596,472	1	0.0%	4
2009	610,379	610,379	1	0.0%	5
2010	625,351	625,351	1	0.0%	6
2011	640,734	640,734	1	0.0%	7
2012	656,186	656,186	1	0.0%	8
2013	669,200	669,200	1	0.0%	9
2014	682.426	682,426	1	0.0%	10

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	137	0.040	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	137	0.040	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000
2007	137	0.040	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000
2008	137	0.040	0.030	0.001	0.000	0.000
2009	137	0.040	0.030	0.001	0.000	0.000
2010	137	0.040	0.030	0.001	0.000	0.000
2011	137	0.040	0.030	0.001	0.000	0.000
2012	137	0.040	0.030	0.001	0.000	0.000
2013	137	0.040	0.030	0.001	0.000	0.000
2014	137	0.040	0.030	0.001	0.000	0.000

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL COMPUTER-ASSISTED AUDIT

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	145	0.043	0.032	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	145	0.043	0.032	0.000	0.000	0.000
2007	145	0.043	0.032	0.000	0.000	0.000
2008	145	0.043	0.032	0.001	0.000	0.000
2009	145	0.043	0.032	0.001	0.000	0.000
2010	145	0.043	0.032	0.001	0.000	0.000
2011	145	0.043	0.032	0.001	0.000	0.000
2012	145	0.043	0.032	0.001	0.000	0.000
2013	145	0.043	0.032	0.001	0.000	0.000
2014	145	0.043	0.032	0.001	0.000	0.000

Program: On-Line Residential Energy Audit

Program Start Date: June 2002

Program Description

A conservation program designed to save demand and energy by increasing customer awareness of energy use in personal residences. This program was approved administratively by the FPSC Staff on June 19, 2002 to complement and ultimately replace Tampa Electric's existing Mail-In Audit which is scheduled to end on December 31, 2004.

To access the audit, customers will go to Tampa Electric's internet site, under online audits, and automatically link to the audit. Customers will answer questions about their home and energy usage. Personalized audit results are then immediately displayed to customers for review and implementation. The audit recommendations are based on the customers' answers to the questions and their actual energy consumption. There is no charge to customers.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Any residential customer in Tampa Electric's service area is eligible.
- 2. The Residential On-Line Audit will be offered to customers in response to a request for this service; however, the on-line audit will not be offered in lieu of or used as a prerequisite for on-site audits (Computer-Assisted or Walk-Through).
- Upon completion of the audit, the customer's results are immediately displayed.
- 4. There is no payment processing with this program.
- 5. There are no technical specifications on equipment eligibility with this program.
- 6. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Energy and demand savings are estimated to be 25% less than the Residential Walk-Through Audit. Therefore, savings per participant are as follows:

Demand: 0.03 kW winter

0.02 kW summer

Energy: 103 kWh annual

Based on historical costs, the cost per audit is estimated at \$22.50. There are no rebates or incentives for this program.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL ON-LINE AUDIT

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	(4)	(2)	(0)	(4)	(0)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	558,217	558,217	1,800	0.3%	1,800
2006	571,386	571,386	1,725	0.6%	3,525
2007	583,878	583,878	1,650	0.9%	5,175
2008	596,472	596,472	1,575	1.1%	6,750
2009	610,379	610,379	1,500	1.4%	8,250
2010	625,351	625,351	1,425	1.5%	9,675
2011	640,734	640,734	1,350	1.7%	11,025
2012	656,186	656,186	1,275	1.9%	12,300
2013	669,200	669,200	1,200	2.0%	13,500
2014	682,426	682,426	1,125	2.1%	14,625

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL ON-LINE AUDIT

	AT THE METER									
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total				
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual				
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW				
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction				
2005	103	0.030	0.020	0.185	0.054	0.036				
2006	103	0.030	0.020	0.363	0.106	0.071				
2007	103	0.030	0.020	0.533	0.155	0.104				
2008	103	0.030	0.020	0.695	0.203	0.135				
2009	103	0.030	0.020	0.850	0.248					
2010	103	0.030	0.020	0.997	0.290	0.194				
2011	103	0.030	0.020	1.136	0.331	0.221				
2012	103	0.030	0.020	1.267	0.369	0.246				
2013	103	0.030	0.020	1.391	0.405	0.270				
2014	103	0.030	0.020	1.506	0.439	0.293				

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL ON-LINE AUDIT

	AT THE GENERATOR									
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total				
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual				
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW				
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction				
2005	109	0.032	J.U21	0.197	0.058	0.038				
2006	109	0.032	0.021	0.385	0.113	0.075				
2007	109	0.032	0.021	0.565	0.165					
2008	109	0.032	0.021	0.737	0.216					
2009	109	0.032	0.021	0.901	0.264					
2010	109	0.032	0.021	1.056	0.309					
2011	109	0.032	0.021	1.204	0.353	0.235				
2012	109	0.032	0.021	1.343	0.393					
2013	109	0.032	0.021	1.474	0.432	0.288				
2014	109	0.032	0.021	1.597	0.468	0.312				

Program: Residential Duct Repair

Program Start Date: September 1992

Program Description

A conservation incentive program designed to reduce demand and energy by decreasing the load on residential air conditioning and heating ("HVAC") equipment. This program eliminates or reduces areas of HVAC air distribution losses by sealing and repairing the air distribution system ("ADS"). The ADS is defined as the air handler, air ducts, return plenums, supply plenums and any connecting structure.

Customers call Tampa Electric to request appointments for duct repair and a HVAC contractor appointed by Tampa Electric will seal and repair all accessible components of the ADS in the residence. Tampa Electric's incentive is included in the payment to the participating contractor performing ADS repairs.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. ADS systems must be accessible for sealing and repair.
- 2. Residences must have a working central ducted HVAC system with electric heating or air conditioning. Residences with non-electric heating are eligible. Any safety issues will be identified prior to participation.
- 3. Tampa Electric will appoint a participating HVAC contractor to seal and repair existing problems.
- 4 A participating HVAC contractor must perform sealing and repairs.
- 5. Sealing and repairs to ADS will use mastic techniques (adhesive with fibers embedded or adhesive with fabric reinforced tape). Air handler panels/openings will be sealed with tape or other approved materials. If ducts are replaced, mastic must be used to seal all joints, connections and seams in the ADS.
- 6. The HVAC contractor submits a work order for completed sealing and repair to Tampa Electric.
- 7. Tampa Electric will randomly perform full field verifications on a minimum of 10% of the participating residences. Work orders not selected for field review will have an office verification to validate information.
- 8. No payment will be made until Tampa Electric verifies or validates work orders.

- 9. The contractor incentive payment will be a contracted charge for typical repairs to the ADS.
- 10. There are no technical specifications on equipment eligibility with this program.
- 11 The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Historically, single-family central HVAC units with resistance heat and heat pumps comprise 32% and 68% participation, respectively. In addition, multifamily, central A/C with resistance heat and heat pumps comprise 69% and 31% of participation, respectively.

The analysis from the SRC data of ADS repair savings for the HVAC systems is as follows:

Single-family:

	Winter	Summer	Annual
Type System	Demand (kW)	Demand (kW)	Energy (kWh)
Central A/C with Strip	0.447	0.452	999
Central Heat Pump	0.369	0.453	991

Multi-family:

	Winter	Summer	Annual
Type System	Demand (kW)	Demand (kW)	Energy (kWh)
Central A/C with Strip	0.255	0.258	570
Central Heat Pump	0.211	0.259	566

By weighting these savings across the system types, the following reductions are rendered:

Single-family

Winter Demand:

Strip heat	(0.447) (0.32)	=	0.143
Heat Pump	(0.369)(0.68)	=	<u>0.251</u>
Average wint	ter demand reduction	=	0.394 kW

Summer Demand:

Straight A/C (0.452) (0.32) = 0.145 Heat Pump (0.453) (0.68) = 0.308 Average summer demand reduction = 0.453 kW

Multi-family

Winter Demand:

Strip heat (0.255) (0.69) = 0.176 Heat Pump (0.211) (0.31) = 0.066Average winter demand reduction = 0.242 kW

Summer Demand:

Straight A/C (0.258) (0.69) = 0.178 Heat Pump (0.259) (0.31) = 0.081 Average summer demand reduction = 0.259 kW

Energy:

Single-Family

 Straight A/C (999) (0.32)
 =
 320

 Heat Pump (991) (0.68)
 =
 674

 Average annual energy savings
 =
 994 kWh

Multi-family

Straight A/C (570) (0.69) = 393 Heat Pump (566) (0.31) = <u>175</u> Average annual energy savings = 568 kWh

By weighting these savings across estimated participation the following reductions are rendered:

Winter Demand = 0.35 kW Summer Demand = 0.40 kW Annual Energy = 872 kWh

Costs:

Incentive cost per participant: \$170.00 Administrative cost per participant: \$58.00 Customer cost: \$79.00

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric utilized the engineering estimates and computer modeling from the SRC study for the demand and energy savings of the program. Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL DUCT REPAIR

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
			A	O constitution	0
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
]]	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	558,217	432,568	3,000	0.7%	3,000
2006	571,386	429,568	2,700	1.3%	5,700
2007	583,878	423,868	2,400	1.9%	8,100
2008	596,472	415,768	2,100	2.5%	10,200
2009	610,379	405,568	1,900	3.0%	12,100
2010	625,351	393,468	1,600	3.5%	13,700
2011	640,734	379,768	1,500	4.0%	15,200
2012	656,186	364,568	1,450	4.6%	16,650
2013	669,200	347,918	1,400	5.2%	18,050
2014	682,426	329.868	1,350	5.9%	19,400

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL DUCT REPAIR

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	872	0.350	0.400	2.616	1.050	1.200
2006	872	0.350	0.400	4.970	1.995	2.280
2007	872	0.350	0.400	7.063	2.835	3.240
2008	872	0.350	0.400	8.894	3.570	4.080
2009	872	0.350	0.400	10.551	4.235	4.840
2010	872	0.350	0.400	11.946	4.795	5.480
2011	872	0.350	0.400	13.254	5.320	6.080
2012	872	0.350	0.400	14.519	5.828	6.660
2013	872	0.350	0.400	15.740	6.318	7.220
2014	872	0.350	0.400	16.917	6.790	7.760

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL DUCT REPAIR

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	924	0.373	0.426	2.773	1.119	1.279
2006	924	0.373	0.426	5.269	2.127	2.430
2007	924	0.373	0.426	7.487	3.022	3.454
2008	924	0.373	0.426	9.428	3.806	4.349
2009	924	0.373	0.426	11.184	4.515	5.159
2010	924	0.373	0.426	12.663	5.111	5.842
2011	924	0.373	0.426	14.050	5.671	6.481
2012	924	0.373	0.426	15.390	6.212	7.100
2013	924	0.373	0.426	16.684	6.734	7.697
2014	924	0.373	0.426	17.932	7.238	8.272

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Duct Repair

6.6 %

6.0 %

20 YEARS

26 YEARS

26 YEARS

58.00 \$/CUST

79,00 \$/CUST

0 \$/CUST

2.5 %

2.5 %

2.5 %

0 %

0 %

170.00 \$/CUST

0 %

0.0939 0.0779

1.6926

1.6926

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES

(2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER

(4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER

(7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER

I. (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER

(8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER

II. (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM

(6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1)

III. (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE

III. (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE

III. (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION

III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE

III. (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE

III. (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE

III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE

III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE

III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE

III. (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER

(3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE

(5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE

(6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER

ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS

(2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE

(3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE

(5) K FACTOR FOR T & D

и

II. (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION

UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS

III. (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST

III. (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS

III. (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE

III. (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE

III. (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST

AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS. & DIST COSTS 2005 0.4 KW /CUST IV. (1) BASE YEAR 2008 0.433 KW GEN/CUST IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D 2008 IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 230.18 \$/KW 928 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST 0 \$/KW IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0 \$/KW 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 2.3 % 2.544 \$/KW/YR 872 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.5 % IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR 2.5 % IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 0.8135 CENTS/KWH IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 5.5 % IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1.43 % 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % 0.00 \$/CUST/YR NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 4,342 CENTS/KWH 0 \$/CUST/YR V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 0.00 \$/KW/MO 1 % V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL 0 \$/CUST/YR CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 4.79 (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) 0.00 \$/CUST/YR 5,467

(3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO

PSC FORM CE 1.1

1.12

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
	YEAR	INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS \$(000)	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS \$(000)	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS \$(000)	OTHER COSTS \$(000)	TOTAL COSTS \$(000)	AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS \$(000)	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS \$(000)	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS \$(000)	OTHER BENEFITS \$(000)	TOTAL BENEFITS \$(000)	NET BENEFITS \$(000)	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS \$(000)
	2005	0	174	237	0	411	0	0	49	0	49	(362)	(362)
	2006	ő	161	219	0	379	0	0	154	ő	154	(225)	(568)
	2007	ő	146	199	0	345	0	0	268	ő	268	(78)	(633)
	2008	ő	0	0	0	0	254	ő	312	ő	566	566	(200)
	2009	ō	ō	ő	ő	Ö	259	o o	280	ŏ	539	539	176
	2010	ō	Ō	ō	Ö	0	264	ō	375	ō	639	639	584
	2011	ō	ō	ō	0	Õ	269	ŏ	398	0	667	667	973
	2012	Ō	ō	ō	0	0	274	ō	400	ō	674	674	1,333
	2013	Ō	0	0	0	Ō	280	0	359	0	639	639	1,645
	2014	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	285	0	376	0	661	661	1,939
3	2015	Ō	0	0	Ō	0	291	0	378	0	669	669	2,212
	2016	0	0	0	0	0	296	0	404	0	700	700	2,473
,	2017	0	0	0	0	0	302	0	392	0	694	694	2,709
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	308	0	390	0	698	698	2,927
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	314	0	372	0	687	687	3,122
	2020	0	0	0	0	0	320	0	420	0	741	741	3,315
	2021	0	0	0	0	0	327	0	448	0	775	775	3,499
	2022	0	0	0	0	0	333	0	446	0	779	779	3,669
	2023	0	0	0	0	0	340	0	429	0	769	769	3,822
	2024	0	0	0	0	0	346	0	450	0	796	796	3,966
	NOMINAL	0	481	655	0	1,136	5,063	0	7,101	0	12,164	11,028	
	NPV:	0	443	603	0	1,046	1,992	0	3,021	0	5,013	3,966	
	Discount Ra	ite	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:		4.79					

200

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	SAVINGS										
	IN					CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER				CUMULATIVE
	PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O & M	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	DISCOUNTED
	BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
2005	94	0	510	0		237	0	0	237	367	367
2006		0	459	0		219	0	0	219	523	845
2007	459	0	408	0		199	0	0	199	668	1,403
2008	546	0	0	0	546	0	0	0	0	546	1,820
2009	530	0	0	0	530	0	0	0	0	530	2,191
2010		0	0	0	586	0	0	0	0	586	2,564
2011	600	0	0	0	600	0	0	0	0	600	2,915
2012	598	0	0	0	598	0	0	0	0	598	3,234
2013	554	0	0	0	554	0	0	0	0	554	3,504
2014	579	0	0	0	579	0	0	0	0	579	3,762
2015	567	0	0	0	567	0	0	0	0	567	3,993
2016	590	0	0	0	590	0	0	0	0	590	4,213
2017	593	0	0	0	593	0	0	0	0	593	4,415
2018	599	0	0	0	599	0	0	0	0	599	4,601
2019	582	0	0	0	582	0	0	0	0	582	4,767
2020	622	0	0	0	622	0	0	0	0	622	4,929
2021	642	0	0	0	642	0	0	0	0	642	5,082
2022	646	0	0	0	646	0	0	0	0	646	5,222
2023	635	0	0	0	635	0	0	0	0	635	5,348
2024	653	0	0	0	653	0	0	0	0	653	5,467
NOMINAL	10,957	0	1,377	0	12,334	655	0	0	655	11,680	
NPV:	4,800	0	1,271	0	6,070	603	0	0	603	5,467	
In service y	ear of gen unit:		2004								
Discount ra	te:		0.0939								

RATE IMPACT TEST PROGRAM: Duct Repair

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	174		57	0	741		0		0		(692)	(692)
	2006	0	161	459	166	0	786		0	0	0	154	(631)	(1269)
	2007	0	146	408	267	0	821	268	0	0	0	268	(553)	(1731)
	2008	0	0	0	316	0	316	566	0	0	0	566	250	(1540)
	2009	0	0	0	319	0	319	539	0	0	0	539	219	(1387)
	2010	0	0	0	322	0	322		0	0	0	639	317	(1185)
	2011	0	0	0	326	0	326		0	0	0	667	342	(986)
	2012	0	0	0	329	0	329		0	0	0	674	345	(801)
	2013	0	0	0	332	0	332		0	0	0	639	307	(652)
	2014	0	0	0	335	0	335		0	0	0	661	326	(506)
	2015	0	0	0	339	0	339		0	0	0	669	330	(372)
	2016	0	0	0	342	0	342		0	0	0	700	358	(238)
	2017	0	0	0	346	0	346		0	0	0	694	349	(120)
	2018	0	0	0	349	0	349		0	0	0	698	349	(11)
ł	2019	0	0	0	353	0	353		0	0	0	687	334	84
	2020	0	0	0	356	0	356		0	0	0	741	384	184
	2021	0	0	0	360	0	360		0	0	0	775	415	283
	2022	0	0	0	363	0	363		0	0	0	779	416	373
	2023	0	0	0	367	0	367		0	0	0	769	402	453
	2024	0	0	0	371	0	371	796	0	0	0	796	426	531
	NOMINAL	0	481	1,377	6,313	0	8,171	12,164	0	0	0	12,164	3,993	
	NPV:	0	443	1,271	2,768	0	4,482	5,013	0	0	0	5,013	531	
	Discount rat	te:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [c	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.12				

Program: Residential New Construction Program

Program Start Date: July 2000

Program Description

Residential New Construction is a conservation program designed to reduce the growth of peak demand and energy in the residential new construction market through the installation of high efficiency equipment and building envelope options. The program utilizes incentives to encourage the construction of new homes to be above the minimum energy efficiency levels required in the State of Florida Energy Efficiency Code for New Construction. This will be achieved through the actions listed below.

- The certification of new home construction that meets or exceeds the standards used in the Environmental Protection Agency's Energy Star Program.
- Promoting the construction and purchase of energy efficient housing by educating builders (for profit and not-for-profit), trade groups, architects, realtors, lenders and home buyers in a manner designed to transform the residential new construction market by influencing decisions toward energy efficiency in building techniques and practices.
- 3. Placing an emphasis on securing participation by affordable housing builders and buyers through educational efforts, coordinated through affordable housing financiers and affordable housing builders.
- 4. Encouraging the use of environmentally friendly building techniques.

Program Participation Standards

1. Incentives for qualifying levels will be offered to the home buyer for the following installations:

<u>Level</u>	<u>Incentive</u>	Requirement
One	\$00.00	Duct closure with mastic that meets Tampa Electric guidelines for allowable duct leakage.

Level	Incentive	Requirement
Two	\$100.00 (per unit)	Meet Level One requirements plus installation of a heat pump with a minimum 12 Seasonal Energy Efficiency Rating ("SEER") and a minimum 7.2 Heating Seasonal Performance Factor ("HSPF"). OR Meet Level One requirement plus installation of
		an air conditioning system that has a minimum 12 SEER and heating source must not be electric resistance heat or fuel oil.
Three	\$100.00	Meet level One and Two requirements plus install R-30 ceiling insulation.
Four	\$100.00 (per unit)	Meet level One, Two, and Three requirements plus installation of heat recovery unit or a heat pump water heater (applicable only when used with an electric water heater).

- 2. The home must be single family detached. The HVAC system must be ducted.
- 3. The home and equipment must be accessible during construction and after construction for verification of program standards.
- 4. The home must be located in Tampa Electric's service area and be metered by Tampa Electric to receive incentives.
- Only one incentive payment will be issued per home. The payment will be based on equipment or measures purchased prior to the certificate of occupancy.
- 6. Equipment specifications shall be according to Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Institute ("ARI") and the Gas Appliance Manufacturers Association standards (where applicable). Heat recovery water heaters must be equipped with a circulating pump and must be certified by the Association of Refrigeration Desuperheater Manufacturers.
- 7. The certification of new home construction that meets or exceeds the standards used in the EPA's Energy Star Program will follow the Guidelines for Uniformity, Voluntary Procedures for Home Energy Ratings, prepared by the Home Energy Rating Systems ("HERS") Council and the Florida Addendum to the National HERS Council Guidelines, December

- 1998. Certification will be provided at no cost to participating builders or homeowners.
- 8. Tampa Electric guidelines for allowable duct leakage are based on the procedures set by the Department of Community Affairs used to measure acceptable HERS duct leakage standards. Mastic approved by the State of Florida Energy Efficiency Code for New Construction must be used on all duct closures.
- 9. The homebuyer will be responsible for installation of qualifying equipment or measures as well as the correction of any items necessary to meet the program standards. The homebuyer will receive the incentive payment when program standards have been met.
- 10. The builder or homebuyer submits a rebate request form to Tampa Electric. The builder will sign the form certifying that the equipment or measures installed are in accordance with the program standards. The homebuyer will sign the form verifying that the equipment and upgrades were installed and the incentive recipient's name and mailing address are correct.
- 11. Tampa Electric will randomly perform full field verification on a minimum of 10% of the participating homes. Forms not selected for field review will have an office verification to validate information.
- 12. All applications will receive either field verification or office validation prior to payment being made.
- 13. To determine eligibility for participation, building permits must be dated subsequent to program implementation.
- 14. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Tampa Electric used the data from SRC to determine the savings for new construction. The savings for the levels of customer participation are as follows:

Savings:

Level One

201010110	Summer kW	Winter kW	Annual kWh
Electric	0.341	0.278	744
Gas	0.341	0.000	558

LOVAL TWA			
Level Two	Summer kW	Winter kW	Annual kWh
Electric Gas	0.632 0.631	0.575 0.000	1,169 877
Level Three			
Electric Gas	0.700 0.700	0.664 0.000	1,313 985
Level Four			
Electric	0.891	0.664	1,863
Composite	0.71	0.53	1,490

Composite cost estimates are as follows:

Administrative costs per participant: \$175.00 Incentive costs per participant: \$220.00

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric utilized the engineering estimates and computer modeling from the SRC Study for the demand and energy savings. Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	6,478	6,478	25	0.4%	25
2006	6,396	6,396	20	0.7%	45
2007	5,896	5,896	15	1.0%	60
2008	5,685	5,685	10	1.2%	70
2009	5,931	5,931	5	1.3%	75
2010	O	0	0	0.0%	0
2011	0	0	0	0.0%	0
2012	0	0	0	0.0%	0
2013	0	0	0	0.0%	0
2014	0	0	0	0.0%	0

^{*} Previous participation levels not included. Future Impacts of Florida Building Code expected to eliminate further participation.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION

	AT THE METER										
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total					
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual					
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW					
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction					
2005	1,490	0.530	0.710	0.037	0.013	0.018					
2006	1,490	0.530	0.710	0.067	0.024	0.032					
2007	1,490	0.530	0.710	0.089	0.032	0.043					
2008	1,490	0.530	0.710	0.104	0.037	0.050					
2009	1,490	0.530	0.710	0.112	0.040	0.053					
2010	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
2011	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
2012	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
2013	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
2014	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	1,579	0.565	0.757	0.039	0.014	0.019
2006	1,579	0.565	0.757	0.071	0.025	0.034
2007	1,579	0.565	0.757	0.095	0.034	0.045
2008	1,579	0.565	0.757	0.111	0.040	0.053
2009	1,579	0.565	0.757	0.118	0.042	0.057
2010	0					
	-					
	-	-,				
	-			+ +		
	-		-	=		

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Residential New Construction

0.71 KW /CUST

0.00 \$/CUST/YR

0 %

6.6 %

6.0 %

AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS. & DIST COSTS IV. (1) BASE YEAR 2005 0.751 KW GEN/CUST IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT 2008 IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D 2008 IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 1585 KWH/CUST/YR 230.18 \$/KW IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST 0 \$/KW IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0 \$/KW 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 2.3 % IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 1490 KWH/CUST/YR 2.544 \$/KW/YR IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 5.5 % IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1.43 % IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 4.342 CENTS/KWH V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 0.00 \$/KW/MO V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 1 % V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL 1 (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 1.15

2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV)

PSC FORM CE 1.1

41

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATÉ:

11.	(1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM	15 YEARS
И.	(2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE	26 YEARS
II.	(3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE	26 YEARS
II.	(4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION	1.6926
11.	(5) K FACTOR FOR T & D	1.6926
	(6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1)	1
	မ	
	TILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS	
	(1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	175.00 \$/CUST
III.	(2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	0.00 \$/CUST/YR
Ш.	(3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
III.	(4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST	645.00 \$/CUST
III.	(5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
HŁ.	(6) CUSTOMER O & M COST	0 \$/CUSŢ/YR
Ш.	(7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
III.	(8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION	0 \$/CUST
Ш.	(9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE	0 %
III.	(10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	0 \$/CUST/YR
Ш.	(11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE	0 %
III.	(12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE	0.0939
III.	(13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE	0,0779
III.	(14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE	220.00 \$/CUST

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES

(1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER

1. (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER

(8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER

(15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE

III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE

I. (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER

(7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER

AL STUDY DEDICE FOR CONCEDUATION PROCESSA

I. (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE

I. (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE

ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS

(6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
		INCREASED	UTILITY	PARTICIPANT					PROGRAM				CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED
		SUPPLY	PROGRAM	PROGRAM	OTHER	TOTAL	AVOIDED	AVOIDED	FUEL	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	NET
		COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	GEN UNIT	T&D	SAVINGS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS
		000.0	550.5	000.0	000.0	00010	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	3,11133		52.72.770	22,12,110	DETTETTO
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	5	19	0	25	0	0	1	0	1	(24)	(24)
	2006	0	5	20	0	25	0	0	3	0	3	(22)	(44)
	2007	0	6	20	0	26	0	0	5	0	5	(21)	(62)
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	0	11	11	(53)
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	10	10	(46)
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	0	12	12	(38)
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	8	0	13	13	(31)
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	8	0	13	13	(24)
	2013	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	0	12	12	(18)
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	7	0	13	13	(13)
~	2015	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	7	0	13	13	(7)
1	2016	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	8	0	13	13	(2)
•	2017	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	7	0	13	13	2
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	7	0	13	13	6
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	7	0	13	13	10
	NOMINAL	0	16	60	0	76	66	0	93	0	158	83	
	NPV:	0	15	54	0	69	31	0	48	0	79	10	
	Discount Ra	ate	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:		1.15					

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)		(11)	(12)
		SAVINGS											
		IN	T 437		071177		CUSTOMER						CUMULATIVE
		PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O & M	OTHER	TOTAL		NET	DISCOUNTED
	VEAD	BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS		BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)		\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005 2006	2 5	0	7	0	8	19 20	0	0		19	(11)	(11)
	2007	9	0	7	0	12 15	20	0	0		20 20	(8)	(19)
	2008	10	0	'n	0	10	0	0	0		0	(5) 10	(23) (15)
	2009	10	Ö		0	10	0	0	0		n	10	(8)
	2010	11	č	0	ő	11	0	0	0		ñ	11	(1)
	2011	11	Ö	. 0	Ô	11	0	ñ	0		n	11	6
	2012	11	Ö	, õ	ō	11	0	Ö	ő		0	11	12
	2013	11	a	0	Ō	11	ō	ō	ō		ō	11	17
	2014	11	0	0	0	11	0	ō	0		0	11	22
	2015	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0		0	11	26
J	2016	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0		0	11	30
ń	2017	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0		0	11	34
~	2018	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0		0	11	38
	2019	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0		0	11	41
	NOMINAL	147	0	20	0	166	60	0	0		60	107	
	NPV:	77	0	18	0	95	54	0	0		54	41	

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate:

2004 0.0939

RATE IMPACT TEST PROGRAM; Residential New Construction

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
	INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T & D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS		TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	_\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
2005	0	5	7	1	0			0	0	0	1	(12)	(12)
2006	0	5	7	3	0	15		0	0	0	3	(12)	(23)
2007	0	6	7	5	0	17		0	0	0	. 5	()	(33)
2008	0	0	0	6	0	6		0	0	O	11	5	(30)
2009 2010	0	0	0	6	Ü	6	10	0	0	U	10		(27)
2010	0	0	0	6	0	6	12 13	0	0	0	12 13		(23)
2012	0	0	0	6	0	6	13	0	0	0	13		(19) (15)
2012	o o	0	0	6	0	6	12	0	0	0	12		(12)
2014	ŏ	ő	0	6	o o	6	13	ŏ	0	Õ	13		(10)
2015	ő	ŏ	0	6	ő	6	13	0	Õ	ŏ	13	6	(7)
2016	0	ō	Ō	6	0	6	13	Ö	0	ō	13	7	(4)
2017	0	0	0	7	ō	7	13	0	0	0	13	7	(2)
2018	0	0	0	7	0	7	13	0	0	0	13	7	(0)
2019	0	0	0	7	0	7	13	0	0	0	13	6	2
NOMINAL	0	16	20	85	0	121	158	0	0	0	158	37	
NPV:	0	15	18	45	0	78	79	0	0	0	79	2	
Discount ra	te:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	st Ratio - [c	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.02				

ယ္မ

Program: Residential Heating & Cooling

Program Start Date: January 1981

Program Description

A conservation program that uses a rebate to encourage the installation of high efficiency heating and cooling systems in existing single family detached dwellings. The program is aimed at reducing the growth of peak demand and energy through two types of equipment replacement. Type one equipment replacement is defined as a heat pump replacing resistance heat and type two equipment replacement is defined as a heat pump replacing a heat pump. Both types of equipment replacement have a threshold for qualification of 12.0 SEER. Tampa Electric's rebate is paid to the contractor performing the installation.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. The residential dwelling must be an existing single family detached structure (no mobile homes or multi family units, condominiums, apartments or townhouses) in Tampa Electric's service area.
- 2. The system must be ducted.
- 3. Minimum qualifying efficiency rating (ARI rating only) is 12.0 SEER.
- 4. For a heat pump, the maximum supplemental strip heating physically contained in the system shall not exceed 2 kW per nominal ton. On a system less than 2.5 tons, a 5 kW heat strip will be allowed.
- 5. For a heat pump utilizing supplemental strip heating, a two-stage indoor thermostat is required.
- 6. For straight cool systems, oil or electric resistance heat cannot be the primary heat source.
- 7. In the situation where a heating and cooling system qualifies for two rebates (Tampa Electric and a gas company), Tampa Electric will not pay its rebate so that a double payment is avoided.
- 8. The contractor will subtract the rebate paid by Tampa Electric from the customer's total cost of equipment and installation. In the event of a customer installation with no contractor involvement, Tampa Electric will issue the rebate to the customer.
- 9. The HVAC contractor or customer submits a rebate request form to Tampa Electric. The form will be signed by the contractor or customer

certifying that the equipment installed is in accordance with the program standards. The customer will sign the form verifying that the equipment was installed and that the contractor deducted the rebate amount from the total installed cost of the new HVAC unit.

- 10. Heating and Cooling rebate forms must be received within 30 days of installation date of the unit to assure payment to the dealer. Rebate forms must be filled out completely and correctly to be redeemed. Tampa Electric reserves the right to deny payment to contractors who fail to comply.
- 11. Tampa Electric will randomly perform full field verifications on a minimum of 10% of the participating homes. Forms not selected for field review will have an office verification to validate information.
- 12. No payment will be made until Tampa Electric verifies or validates rebate requests.
- 13. Rebates:

Type One \$250.00 Type Two \$100.00

- 14. The effective date for the dealer rebate payments is July 1, 2005.
- 15. Consistent with previous Commission decisions that recognize the need to provide a transition period for work-in-progress occurring just before a modification of rebate amounts, customers and dealers with completed installations and rebate forms signed and received prior to July 1, 2005 will be eligible for the previous level of customer rebates and dealer incentives.
- The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021
 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Historically, central A/C units with resistance heat and central heat pumps comprise 32% and 68% participation, respectively. Additionally, the analysis from the SRC data of heating and cooling replacement savings for the HVAC systems is as follows:

	Winter	Summer	Annual
Type System	Demand (kW)	<u>Demand (kW)</u>	Energy (kWh)
Central A/C with Strip	2.363	0.291	1,197
Central Heat Pump	0.223	0.291	568

By weighting these savings across system types, the following reductions are rendered:

Winter Demand:

Strip heat	(2.363) (0.32)	=	0.76
Heat Pump	(0.223) (0.68)	=	<u>0.15</u>
Average win	ter demand reduction	=	0.91 kW

Summer Demand:

Straight A/C	(0.291) (0.32)	=	0.09
Heat Pump	(0.291) (0.68)	=	<u>0.20</u>
Average sum	nmer demand reduction	=	0.29 kW

Energy:

Straight A/C	(1,197) (0.32)	=	383
Heat Pump	(568) (0.68)	=	<u>386</u>
Average ann	ual energy savings	=	769 kWh

Costs (weighted):

Rebate cost per participant:	\$148.00
Administrative cost per participant:	\$31.00

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric utilized the engineering estimates and computer modeling from the SRC study for the demand and energy savings of the program. Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL HEATING AND COOLING

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	558,217	329,348	3,200	1.0%	3.200
2006	571,386	337,118	1,000	1.2%	4,200
2007	583,878	344,488	880	1.5%	5,080
2008	596,472	351,919	760	1.7%	5,840
2009	610,379	360,123	640	1.8%	6.480
2010	625,351	368,957	520	1.9%	7.000
2011	640,734	378,033	400	2.0%	7.400
2012	656,186	387,150	280	2.0%	7,680
2013	669,200	394,828	160	2.0%	7,840
2014	682,426	402.632	40	2.0%	7,880

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL HEATING AND COOLING

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	769	0.910	0.290	2.461	2.912	0.928
2006	769	0.910	0.290	3.230	3.822	1.218
2007	769	0.910	0.290	3.907	4.623	1.473
2008	769	0.910	0.290	4.491	5.314	1.694
2009	769	0.910	0.290	4.983	5.897	1.879
2010	769	0.910	0.290	5.383	6.370	2.030
2011	769	0.910	0.290	5.691	6.734	2.146
2012	769	0.910	0.290	5.906	6.989	2.227
2013	769	0.910	0.290	6.029	7.134	2.274
2014	769	0.910	0.290	6.060	7.171	2.285

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL HEATING AND COOLING

	AT THE GENERATOR											
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total						
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual						
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW						
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction						
2005	815	0.970	0.309	2.608	3.104							
2006	815	0.970	0.309	3.424	4.074	1.298						
2007	815	0.970	0.309	4.141	4.928	1.570						
2008	815	0.970	0.309	4.760	5.665	1.805						
2009	815	0.970	0.309	5.282	6.286	2.003						
2010	815	0.970	0.309	5.706	6.790	2.164						
2011	815	0.970	0.309	6.032	7.178	2.288						
2012	815	0.970	0.309	6.260	7.450							
2013	815	0.970	0.309	6.391	7.605							
2014	815	0.970	0.309	6.423	7.644	2.436						

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Heating & Cooling

0 %

III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS, & DIST COSTS (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER 0.29 KW /CUST IV. (1) BASE YEAR 2005 (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 0.445 KW GEN/CUST IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT 2008 IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 6.6 % 2008 (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 818 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 230.18 \$/KW IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST 0 \$/KW (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 6.0 % (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0 \$/KW IV. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 2.3 % (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.544 \$/KW/YR (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER 769 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR **ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS** II. (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR 15 YEARS II. (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS II. (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % 1.6926 IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 5.5 % (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D 1.6926 6.27 CENTS/KWH (6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) 1 IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1.43 % IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW 0 \$/KW/YR TILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS

III. (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE III. (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES III. (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 4.342 CENTS/KWH III. (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 0.00 \$/KW/MO III. (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT III. (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE III. (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE III. (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE III. (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 0.00 \$/CUST (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 1.10 III. (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 0.00 \$/CUST/YR (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) 1.015

(3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO

PSC FORM CE 1.1

1.09

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
													CUMULATIVE
		INCREASED	UTILITY	PARTICIPANT					PROGRAM				DISCOUNTED
		SUPPLY	PROGRAM	PROGRAM	OTHER	TOTAL	AVOIDED	AVOIDED	FUEL	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	NET
		COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	GEN UNIT	T&D	SAVINGS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS
		000.0	000.0	00010	00010	000.0	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	0,,,,,,,	54.120	DE: 12: 110	DEIXE! !	
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)_	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	573	883	0	1,456	0	0	45	0	45	(1,411)	(1,411)
	2006	0	183	283	0	466	0	0	113	0	113	(353)	(1,734)
	2007	0	165	255	0	421	0	0	155	0	155	(266)	(1,956)
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	160		168	0	328	328	(1,706)
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	163		151	0	314	314	(1,487)
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	166	0	202		368	368	(1,252)
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	169	0	215	0	384	384	(1,028)
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	172		216		388	388	(821)
	2013	0	0	0	0	0	176		194	0	370	370	(640)
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	179	0	203	0	382	382	(470)
	2015	0	0	0	0	0	183	0	204	0	387	387	(313)
•	2016	0	0	0	0	0	186	0	218	0	404	404	(162)
ζ.	2017	0	0	0	0	0	190	0	212	0	402	402	(25)
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	194	0	211	0	404	404	101
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	197	0	201	0	398	398	214
	NOMINAL	0	922	1,421	0	2,343	2,135	0	2,706	0	4,841	2,498	
	NPV:	0	879	1,355	0	2,234	1.023	0	1,425	0	2,448	214	
	Discount Ra	ate	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:		1.10					

4

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		SAVINGS IN					CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER				CUMULATIVE
		PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O & M	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	DISCOUNTED
		BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
_	YEAR	_\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	88	0	0	0		883	0	0	883	, ,	(795)
	2006	212	0	0	0	212	283	0	0	283		(860)
	2007	272	0	0	0		255	0	0	255		(846)
	2008	302	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	302	(615)
	2009	293	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	293	(410)
	2010	324	0	-	0		0	0	0	0	324	(203)
	2011	332	0	_	0		0	0	0	0	332	(9)
	2012	331	0		0		0	0	0	0	331	167
	2013	306	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	306	316
	2014	320	0	0	0	320	0	0	0	0	320	459
_	2015	314	0	0	0	314	0	0	0	0	314	587
48	2016	327	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	327	709
(20)	2017	328	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	328	820
•	2018	331	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	331	923
	2019	322	0	0	0	322	0	0	0	0	322	1.015
I	NOMINAL	4,402	0	0	0	4,402	1,421	0	0	1,421	2,980	
1	NPV:	2,370	0	0	0	2,370	1,355	0	0	1,355	1,015	

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate:

2004 0.0939

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
	INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
2005	0	573	0	53	0	626	45	0	0	0	45		(582)
2006	0	183	0	125	0	308	113	0	0	0	113	(195)	(760)
2007		165	0	158	0	324	155	0	0	0	155	(169)	(901)
2008		0	0	175	0	175	328	0	0	0	328	`153 [´]	(784)
2009	0	0	0	177	0	177	314	0	0	0	314	137	(688)
2010	0	0	0	178	0	178	368	0	0	0	368	190	(567)
2011	0	0	0	180	0	180	384	0	0	0	384	204	(448)
2012	0	0	0	182	0	182	388	0	0	0	388	206	(338)
2013	0	0	0	184	0	184	370	0	0	0	370	186	(247)
2014	0	0	0	186	0	186	382	0	0	0	382	196	(160)
2015	0	0	0	187	0	187	387	0	0	0	387	199	(78)
2016	0	0	0	189	0	189	404	0	0	0	404	215	2
2017	0	0	0	191	0	191	402	0	0	0	402	210	73
2018	0	0	0	193	0	193	404	0	0	0	404	211	139
2019	0	0	0	195	0	195	398	0	0	0	398	203	197
NOMINAL	0	922	0	2,553	0	3,474	4,841	0	0	0	4,841	1,366	
NPV:	0	879	0	1,372	О	2,251	2,448	0	0	0	2,448	197	
Discount ra	nte:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	st Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.09				

(0)

Program: Residential Ceiling Insulation

Program Start Date: November 1982

Program Description

A conservation program designed to reduce demand and energy by decreasing the load on residential air conditioning and heating equipment. Qualifying residential structures are eligible for an incentive of up to \$100.00 which is in the form of a certificate. Customers use the certificate as partial payment for the ceiling insulation installed.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Homes must not be covered by a new home warranty.
- 2. Homes must have electric whole house air conditioning or heating. Residences with non-electric heating are eligible provided they have electric whole house air conditioning.
- Customers must add a minimum insulation value of R-11 based on a manufacturer's specification card. Resulting total R-values achieved will range from R-23 to R-29. Where roof pitch limits accessibility, an R-11 must be added.
- 4. Customers are required to sign off on the number of bags of insulation installed.
- 5. Insulation certificates will be issued through either energy audits or by direct evaluation of existing levels of insulation.
- 6. The insulation contractor or customer submits an insulation certificate to Tampa Electric.
- 7. Tampa Electric will randomly perform full field verifications on a minimum of 10% of participating homes. Forms not selected for field verifications will have an office verification to validate information.
- 8. No payment will be made until Tampa Electric inspects or reviews incentive certificates.
- 9. The contractor/customer incentive payment is a maximum of \$100.00 per residence.
- 10. The contractor will subtract the incentive paid by Tampa Electric from the customer's cost of installation.

11. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Historically central A/C units with resistance heat and heat pumps comprise a 32% and 68% of participation, respectively. Additionally, participants have the following characteristics:

Conditioned space: 1,136 sq. ft.

Present R-value: R-10 Desired R-value: R-26

Saving were obtained using DOE2 building simulation models. The analysis yielded the following:

	Winter	Summer	Annual
Type System	Demand (kW)	Demand (kW)	Energy (kWh)
Central A/C with Strip	0.9	0.3	1,078
Central Heat Pump	0.2	0.3	531

By weighting these savings across the system types and diversity (savings X diversity, when applicable, X weighting), the following reductions are rendered:

Winter Demand:

Strip heat	(0.9) (0.5) (0.32)	=	0.144
Heat Pump	(0.2) (0.75) (0.68)	=	<u>0.096</u>
Average win	ter demand reduction	=	0.246 kW

Summer Demand:

Average summer demand reduction (0.3)(0.6) = 0.18 kW

Energy:

 Strip heat
 (1,078) (0.32)
 =
 345

 Heat Pump
 (531) (0.68)
 =
 361

 Average annual energy savings
 =
 706 kWh

Program Costs

Incentive cost per participant: \$ 100.00 Administrative cost per participant: \$ 35.95

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will continue to monitor and evaluate this program through costeffective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

CT CJ

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL CEILING INSULATION

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
<u>'</u>	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	558,217	424,620	3,000	0.7%	3,000
2006	571,386	428.016	2,500	1.3%	5,500
2007	583,878	431.412	2,300	1.8%	7.800
2008	596,472	434.797	2,100	2.3%	9,900
2009	610,379	438.628	1,900	2.7%	11,800
2010	625,351	442.834	1.500	3.0%	13.300
2011	640,734	447.522	1,200	3.2%	14.500
2012	656,186	452,630	900	3.4%	15.400
2013	669,200	458,386	700	3.5%	16,100
2014	682,426	464,462	500	3.6%	16.600

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL CEILING INSULATION

	AT THE METER											
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total						
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual						
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW						
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction						
2005	706	0.246	0.180	2.118	0.738	0.540						
2006	706	0.246	0.180	3.883	1.353	0.990						
2007	706	0.246	0.180	5.507	1.919	1.404						
2008	706	0.246	0.180	6.989	2.435	1.782						
2009	706	0.246	0.180	8.331	2.903	2.124						
2010	706	0.246	0.180	9.390	3.272	2.394						
2011	706	0.246	0.180	10.237	3.567	2.610						
2012	706	0.246	0.180	10.872	3.788	2.772						
2013	706	0.246	0.180	11.367	3.961	2.898						
2014	706	0.246	0.180	11.720	4.084	2.988						

PROGRAM NAME: RESIDENTIAL CEILING INSULATION

			AT THE GEN	ERATOR		
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	748	0.262	0.192	2.245	0.787	0.576
2006	748	0.262	0.192	4.116	1.442	1.055
2007	748	0.262	0.192	5.837	2.045	1.497
2008	748	0.262	0.192	7.409	2.596	1.900
2009	748	0.262	0.192	8.831	3.094	2.264
2010	748	0.262	0.192	9.953	3.488	2.552
2011	748	0.262	0.192	10.851	3.802	2.782
2012	748	0.262	0.192	11.525	4.038	2.955
2013	748	0.262	0.192	12.049	4.222	3.089
2014	748	0.262	0.192	12.423	4.353	3.185

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Ceiling Insulation

	PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES			AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS. & DIST COSTS	
I.	(1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER	0.18 KW /CUST	f\.	/. (1) BASE YEAR	2005
1.	(2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER	0.213 KW GEN/CUST	I۱	/. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT	2008
I.	(3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE	6.6 %	١V	/. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D	2008
1.	(4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER	751 KWH/CUST/YR	ſ۷	/. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST	230.18 \$/KW
1.	(5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE	6.0 %	I۷	/. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST	0 \$/KW
١.	(6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER	1	I۷	/. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST	0 \$/KW
L.	(7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER	0 KWH/CUST/YR	١٧	/. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE	2.3 %
1.	(8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER	706 KWH/CUST/YR	IV	7. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST	2. 544 \$/ KW/YR
			١V	7. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
	ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS		I۷	/. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST	0 \$/KW/YR
11.	(1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM	26 YEARS	I۷	/. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST	0 \$/KW/YR
11.	(2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE	26 YEARS	I۷	/. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
11.	(3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE	26 YEARS	١V	7. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS	0.8135 CENTS/KWH
11.	(4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION	1.6926	١V	(14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
11.	(5) K FACTOR FOR T & D	1.6926	١٧	(15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR	5.5 %
	(6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1)	0	IV	(. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST	6.27 CENTS/KWH
				(17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE	1.43 %
U			١٧	'. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW	0 \$/KW/YR
Ø	UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS			(. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE	0 %
	(1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	35.95 \$/CUST			
III.	(2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	0.00 \$/CUST/YR			
111.	(3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %			
111.	(4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST	256.20 \$/CUST		NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES	
	(5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %	V.	(1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL	4.342 CENTS/KWH
	(6) CUSTOMER O & M COST	0 \$/CUST/YR		(2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE	1 %
	(7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %		(3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW	0.0 0 \$/ KW/MO
	(8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION	0 \$/CUST		(4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE	1 %
	(9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE	0 %		(5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT	,,,
	(10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	0 \$/CUST/YR		FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL	1
	(11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE	0 %		THOTOTAL	
	(12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE	0.0939			
	(13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE	0.0779		CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS	
	(14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE	100.00 \$/CUST		(1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO	1.71
	(15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE	0.00 \$/CUST/YR		(2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV)	3,014
	(16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE	0 %		(3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO	1.09

PSC FORM CE 1.1
PAGE 1 OF 1
RUN DATE: No

November 9, 2004

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	108	769	0	876	0	0	39	0	39	(838)	(838)
	2006	0	92	657	0	749	0	C	119	0	119	(629)	(1,413)
	2007	0	87	619	0	706	0	0	204	0	204	(502)	(1,832)
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	153	0	238	0	390	390	(1,534)
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	150	0	213	0	364	364	(1,280)
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	148	0	286	0	434	434	(1,003)
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	145	0	303	0	449	449	(741)
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	143	0	305	0	448	448	(502)
	2013	0	0	0	0	0	141	0	274	0	415	415	(300)
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	139	0	287	0	426	426	(110)
	2015	0	0	0	0	0	137	0	288	0	426	426	63
-	2016	0	0	0	0	0	136	0	308	0	443	443	228
1	2017	0	0	0	0	0	134	0	299	0	433	433	376
ì	2018	0	0	0	0	0	132	0	298	0	430	430	510
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	130	0	284	0	414	414	628
	2020	0	0	0	0	0	129	0	320	0	449	449	744
	2021	0	0	0	0	0	127	0	342	0	469	469	856
	2022	0	0	0	0	0	125	0	340	0	465	465	957
	2023	0	0	0	0	0	124	0	327	0	451	451	1,047
	2024	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	343	0	467	467	1,131
	2025	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	337	0	460	460	1,208
	2026	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	374	0	497	497	1,283
	2027	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	390	0	513	513	1,355
	2028	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	384	0	507	507	1,419
	2029	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	352	0	475	475	1,474
	2030	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	404	0	527	527	1,530
	NOMINAL	0	287	2,044	0	2,331	3,054	0	7,659	0	10,712	8,381	
	NPV:	0	265	1,886	0	2,151	1,075	0	2,606	0	3,681	1,530	
	Discount Ra	ite	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:		1.71					

Ŋ

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		SAVINGS										
		IN					CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER				CUMULATIVE
		PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O & M	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	DISCOUNTED
		BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	76	0		0		769	0	0	769		(393)
	2006	223	0		0	473	657	0	0	657		(560)
	2007	358	0		0	588	619	0	0	619		(586)
	2008	426	0		0	426	0	0	0	0		(260)
	2009	413	0		0	413	0	0	0	0		28
	2010	457	0	-	0	457	0	0	0	0	457	320
	2011	468	0		0	468	0	0	0	0	468 466	593
	2012	466	0	0	0	466	0	0	0 0	0	432	842 1,052
	2013	432	0	0	0	432	0	0	0	0	432 451	1,052
	2014	451 442	0	0	0	451	0 0	0	0	0	442	1,434
	2015 2016	442 460	0	0	0	442 460	0	0	0	0	460	1,605
σ	2016	462	0	0	0	460	0	0	0	0	462	1,763
×	2017	467	0	0	0	467	0	0	Ő	0	467	1,908
00	2019	453	0	0	0	453	0	ő	ő	0	453	2,037
	2020	485	0	0	0	485	0	Ö	ő	ő	485	2,163
	2021	500	ő	0	ŏ	500	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ñ	500	2,282
	2022	504	ō	0	ŏ	504	Ö	ŏ	0	0	504	2,392
	2023	495	ō	Ö	ō	495	Ö	ō	0	0	495	2,490
	2024	509	ō	ō	0	509	0	0	0	0	509	2,583
	2025	508	ō	ō	ō	508	0	0	0	ō	508	2,667
	2026	533	ō	0	0	533	0	0	0	0	533	2,748
	2027	544	ō	0	0	544	0	0	0	0	544	2,824
	2028	546	ō	Ō	ō	546	Ō	0	0	Ō	546	2,893
	2029	528	0	0	0	528	0	0	0	Ō	528	2,954
	2030	566	0	0	0	566	0	0	0	0	566	3,014
	NOMINAL	11,774	0	780	0	12,554	2,044	0	0	2,044	10,510	
	NPV:	4,180	0	721	0	4,900	1,886	0	0	1,886	3,014	
	In service ye Discount rat	ear of gen unit: e:		2004 0.0939								

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
	INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
2005	0	108	300	46	0	454		0	0	0	39	(415)	(415)
2006	0	92	250	132	0	474		0	_	0	119	(354)	(739)
2007	0	87	230	208	0	525		0		0	204	(321)	(1007)
2008	0	0	0	246	0	246		0	0	0	390	144	(897)
2009	0	0	0	249	0	249		0	-	0	364	115	(817)
2010	0	0	0	251	0	251	434	0	0	0	434	182	(700)
2011	0	0	0	254	0	254		0	0	0	449	195	(587)
2012	0	0	0	256	0	256		0	. 0	0	448	192	(484)
2013	0	0	0	259	0	259		0	-	0	415	156	(408)
2014	0	0	0	262	0	262	426	0	0	0	426	164	(335)
2015	0	0	0	264	0	264		0	0	0	426	162	(269)
2016	0	0	0	267	0	267	443	0	0	0	443	177	(203)
2017	0	0	0	269	0	269	433	0	0	0	433	163	(148)
2018	0	0	0	272	0	272	430	0	0	0	430	158	(99)
2019	0	0	0	275	0	275	414	0	0	0	414	139	(59)
2020	0	0	0	278	0	278	449	0	0	0	449	171	(15)
2021	0	0	0	280	0	280	469	0	0	0	469	188	30
2022	0	0	0	283	0	283	465	0	0	0	465	182	70
2023	0	0	0	286	0	286	451	0	0	0	451	165	103
2024	0	0	0	289	0	289	467	0	0	0	467	178	135
2025	0	0	0	292	0	292	460	0	0	0	460	169	163
2026	0	0	0	295	0	295	497	0	0	0	497	202	194
2027	0	0	0	298	0	298	513	0	0	0	513	216	224
2028	0	0	0	301	0	301	507	0	0	0	507	207	250
2029	0	0	0	304	0	304	475	0	0	0	475	171	270
2030	0	0	0	307	0	307	527	0	0	0	527	220	293
NOMINAL	0	287	780	6,721	0	7,788	10,712	0	0	0	10,712	2,925	
NPV:	0	265	721	2,402	0	3,388	3,681	0	0	0	3,681	293	
Discount rat	te:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.09				

Ų.

Program: Residential Price Responsive Load Management (Pilot Program)

Program Start Date: January 2005

Discussion

Tampa Electric recognizes the value of its existing residential load management resource and the potential for the incremental load that still exists in the marketplace. However, the company believes that a new approach must be taken in order to secure any portion of that existing potential.

Historically, residential and commercial load management programs have involved direct load control over the interruption of electric service to the controlled customer equipment (usually space heating, space cooling, water heating and pool pumps) by the utility. When power availability was short, the utility simply exercised its discretion to interrupt electric service to the controlled customer equipment and reduce system demand to help the utility meet system peak.

Today, a new approach to residential and commercial load management is emerging. Under this new approach, price incentives coupled with a new generation of communication and control technologies are put in the hands of the customer. The approach has many benefits: it provides an opportunity for the customer to lower the cost of energy, it can improve economic efficiency, it presents energy information which can clearly educate customers on the true market price of energy, and it gives the customer the opportunity to make consumption choices based on those market prices for energy. Utilities who have implemented this new approach are seeing meaningful results - both in terms of increased load reductions and customer satisfaction - that rival or exceed those of the traditional programs that have been in place for over 20 years. This new approach is generically termed price responsive load management (PRLM).

Price responsive load management relies on a multi-tiered rate structure combined with price signals conveyed to participating customers during the day. This price information is designed to encourage customers to make behavioral or equipment usage changes to their energy consumption thereby achieving the desired high cost period load reduction to assist in meeting system peak.

Price information from the utility is used by the customer to program a smart thermostat into preset actions based on the level of pricing. Equipment may be turned on, turned off or changed to a different temperature setting automatically by the smart thermostat or manually by the customer through the smart thermostat in response to either the multi-tiered rates or critical price signals.

The primary differences between PRLM and other traditional forms of load management are twofold:

First, the choice to modify the operation of the controlled equipment has been given to the customer whereas traditional load management puts that control solely in the hands of the utility. The customer's decision to modify equipment usage (or not) is based on the price signal that is conveyed by the utility.

Second, large monthly incentives paid to retain program participants, regardless if control has been implemented or not, are no longer necessary. Customers simply pay the energy costs applicable during the time periods they consumed the energy, or avoid paying the energy costs by choosing to cease consuming energy from the controlled equipment.

Program Description

This program will be a residential load management R&D project designed to determine the magnitude of reduction of weather sensitive peak loads attained through offering customers a time-sensitive multi-tiered rate structure as an incentive to alter their electric consumption during higher cost or critical periods of generation.

Tampa Electric will install a communication device along with a "smart" thermostat at the participant's home that will be able to control the operation of selected appliances such as space heating, air conditioning, water heating and pool pumps. Customers will be able to program the operation of this equipment and alter their energy consumption based the price tiers occurring at specific times of the day.

Eligibility for the R&D pilot will consist of single-family residences only. The duration of the pilot project will depend on the consistency of normal winter and summer weather patterns; however, it is anticipated the maximum period of time will be 24 months.

Total participation in the pilot program is expected to be 250 customers. This group will be divided into a subgroup of 125 customers that have never participated in any form of load management. A second subgroup of 125 customers will be Prime Time customers who agree to switch to PRLM. For baseline comparisons and analyses, representative control groups will be identified for determining demand and energy reductions.

Program Costs

Tampa Electric estimates the total pilot expense for a maximum of 24 months will be \$1,700,000. However, should normal weather prevail, the estimate would be \$1,100,000. Major expense categories and their respective amounts are listed below.

Equipment installation & maintenance	\$202,000
Computer hardware, software & programming	349,000
Vendor consulting	773,000
Payroll	321,000
Sample procurement	35,000
Other	20,000
Total	\$1,700,000

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Data will be collected on a monthly basis and shall supply inputs necessary to determine DSM cost-effectiveness as defined in Rule 25-17.008, F.A.C.

Tariff Sheets

Associated tariff sheets for this pilot program, in regular and legislative format, can be found following this narrative.

INDEX OF RATE SCHEDULES							
Schedule	Classification	Sheet No.					
	Additional Billing Charges	6.020					
	Payment of Bills	6.022					
RS	Residential Service	6.030					
GS	General Service - Non Demand	6.050					
GSD	General Service - Demand	6.080					
GSLD	General Service - Large Demand	6.085					
IS-1	Industrial Interruptible Service (Closed)	6.090					
IS-3	Interruptible Service	6.140					
SL-2	High Pressure Sodium Street Lighting Service	6.260					
OL-1	High Pressure Sodium General Outdoor Lighting Service	6.270					
TS	Temporary	6.290					
OL-3	Premium Outdoor Lighting Service	6.304					
RST	Time-of-Day Residential (Optional)	6.310					
GST	Time-of-Day General Service - Non-Demand (Optional)	6.320					
GSDT	Time-of-Day General Service - Demand (Optional)	6.330					
GSLDT	Time-of-Day General Service - Large Demand (Optional)	6.340					
IST-1	Time-of-Day Industrial Interruptible Service (Optional)	6.350					
IST-3	Time-of-Day Interruptible Service (Optional)	6.370					
GE	Green Energy Rider - Pilot Program	6.400					
RSVP-1	Residential Service Variable Pricing	6.560					
SBF	Firm Standby And Supplemental Service	6.600					
SBFT	Time-of-Day Firm Standby And Supplemental Service (Optional)	6.605					
SBI-1	Industrial Interruptible Standby And Supplemental Service	6.610					
SBI-3	Interruptible Standby And Supplemental Service	6.620					

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

RESIDENTIAL SERVICE VARIABLE PRICING PILOT

SCHEDULE: RSVP-1

RATE CODE: 113

AVAILABLE: Available to customers eligible for Rate Schedule RS (Residential Service). Availability is limited to single-family detached houses only that meet certain equipment requirements described below.

<u>APPLICABLE</u>: As an alternative to Rate Schedule RS for service used for domestic purposes at an individually-metered private residences. All energy must be for domestic purposes and should not be shared with others and resale is not permitted.

EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. Touch-tone phone service. (Land line)
- 2. Service entrance panel or house power panel rated at 200 amps or less.
- 3. Central heating and air conditioning that is compatible with Company installed energy management equipment.
- 4. Electric water heaters, pool pumps, or other devices controlled by equipment provided through the program must be no larger than 30 amps and 240 volts each and compatible with Company installed energy management equipment.
- 5. Electric wiring must be conducive to power line carrier messaging.
- 6. Residence must be located in an area capable of meeting a paging strength standard.
- 7. Existing meter configuration must be capable of incorporating the energy management equipment.

<u>CHARACTER OF SERVICE</u>: Available for single-phase service from local distribution lines of the Company's system at nominal secondary voltage of 120/240 volts. Service shall be metered through one metering device capable of measuring electrical energy consumption during the various times each energy demand charge is in effect.

MONTHLY RATES:

Customer Facilities Charge: \$8.50

Energy and Demand Charges:

Low Cost Hours (P₁)	2.217	¢ per KWH
Medium Cost Hours (P2)	3.751	¢ per KWH
High Cost Hours (P ₃)	9.436	¢ per KWH
Critical Cost Hours (P ₄)	35.395	¢ per KWH

Continued to Sheet No. 6.565

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

Continued from Sheet No. 6.560

MINIMUM CHARGE: The sum of the customer facilities charge and program participant

charge.

FUEL CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6.020 and 6.021.

ENERGY CONSERVATION CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6.020 and 6.021.

CAPACITY CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6.020 and 6.021.

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6.020 and 6.021.

FLORIDA GROSS RECEIPTS TAX: See Sheet No. 6.021.

FRANCHISE FEE CHARGE: See Sheet No. 6.021.

PAYMENT OF BILLS: See Sheet No. 6.022.

<u>DETERMINATION OF PRICING PERIODS</u> Pricing periods are established by season for weekdays and weekends. The pricing periods for price levels P1, P2 Ns P3 are as follows:

May through October P₁ P₂
Weekdays 11 P.M. to 6 A.M. 6 A.M. to 1 P.M. 6 P.M. to 11 P.M.

Weekends 11 P.M. to 6 A.M. 6 A.M. to 11 P.M.

November through April:

Weekdays

P₁

P₂

SA.M. to 6 A.M.
6 A.M. to 10

10 A.M. to 11

P.M.

Weekends 11 P.M. to 6 A.M. 6 A.M. to 11 P.M. ------

The pricing periods for price level P4 shall be determined at the sole discretion of the Company.

The pricing period for the following observed holidays will be the same as the weekend hour price levels for the month in which the holiday occurs: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day.

TERMS OF SERVICE: The initial term of service under this rate shall be for a period of one year to be continued thereafter unless terminated by the customer with thirty days writter notice.

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

NINETEENTH EIGHTEENTH REVISED SHEET NO. 6.010 CANCELS EIGHTEENTH REVISED SHEET NO. 6.010 SEVENTEENTH

INDEX OF RATE SCHEDULES						
Schedule	Classification	Sheet No.				
	Additional Billing Charges	6.020				
	Payment of Bills	6.022				
RS	Residential Service	6.030				
GS	General Service - Non Demand	6.050				
GSD	General Service - Demand	6.080				
GSLD	General Service - Large Demand	6.085				
IS-1	Industrial Interruptible Service (Closed)	6.090				
IS-3	Interruptible Service	6.140				
SL-2	High Pressure Sodium Street Lighting Service	6.260				
OL-1	High Pressure Sodium General Outdoor Lighting Service	6.270				
TS	Temporary	6.290				
OL-3	Premium Outdoor Lighting Service	6.304				
RST	Time-of-Day Residential (Optional)	6.310				
GST	Time-of-Day General Service - Non-Demand (Optional)	6.320				
GSDT	Time-of-Day General Service - Demand (Optional)	6.330				
GSLDT	Time-of-Day General Service - Large Demand (Optional)	6.340				
IST-1	Time-of-Day Industrial Interruptible Service (Optional)	6.350				
IST-3	Time-of-Day Interruptible Service (Optional)	6.370				
GE	Green Energy Rider - Pilot Program	6.400				
RSVR-1	Residential Service Variable Bricing	6-560				
SBF	Firm Standby And Supplemental Service	6.600				
SBFT	Time-of-Day Firm Standby And Supplemental Service (Optional)	6.605				
SBI-1	Industrial Interruptible Standby And Supplemental Service	6.610				
SBI-3	Interruptible Standby And Supplemental Service	6.620				
CISR	Commercial / Industrial Service Rider	6.700				

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black J. B. Ramil, President

DATE EFFECTIVE: August 28, 2001

RESIDENTIAL SERVICE VARIABLE PRICING PILOT

SCHEDULE: RSVP-1

RATE CODE: 113

AVAILABLE: Available to customers eligible for Rate Schedule RS (Residential Service). Availability is limited to single-family detached houses only that meet certain equipment requirements described below.

APPLICABLE: As an alternative to Rate Schedule RS for service used for domestic purposes at an individually-metered private residences. All energy must be for domestic purposes and should not be shared with others and resale is not permitted.

EQUIRMENT REQUIREMENTS:

- Touch tone phone service (Land line)
- Service entrance panel or house power panel rated at 200 amps or less.
- 3. Central heating and air conditioning that is compatible with Company installed energy management equipment.
- Electric water heaters, pool pumps, or other devices controlled by equipment provided through the program must be no larger than 30 amps and 240 volts each and compatible with Company installed energy management equipment.
- Electric wiring must be conducive to power line carrier messaging.
- 6. Residence must be located in an area capable of meeting a paging strength standard.
- 7 Existing meter configuration must be capable of incorporating the energy management equipment.

GHARAGTER OF SERVICE: Available for single-phase service from local distribution lines of the Company's system at nominal secondary voltage of 120/240 volts. Service shall be metered through one metering device capable of measuring electrical energy consumption during the various times each energy demand charge is in effect.

MONTHLY RATES:

Customer Facilities Charge:

\$8.50

Energy and Demand Charges:

Low Cost Hours (Pa) 2.217 ¢ per KWH Medium Cost Hours (P.) ¢ per KWH 3.751 High Cost Hours (P3) 9.436 ¢ per KWH Critical Cost Hours (P,) 35.395 ¢ per KWH

Continued to Sheet No. 6.565

ISSUED BY: O. R. Black, President

Continued from SheetsNo. 6.560

MINIMUM CHARGE: The sum of the customer facilities charge and program participant

charge.

EUEL CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6:020 and 6:021.

ENERGY CONSERVATION CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6.020 and 6.021.

CAPACITY CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6.020 and 6.021.

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CHARGE: See Sheet Nos. 6.020 and 6.021.

FLORIDA GROSS RECEIPTS TAX: See Sheet No. 6.021.

FRANCHISE FEE CHARGE: See Sheet No. 6.021.

PAYMENT OF BILLS: See Sheet No. 6 022

DETERMINATION OF PRIGING PERIODS Pricing periods are established by season for weekdays and weekends. The pricing periods for price levels P1, P2 Ns P3 are as follows:

May through October
Weekdays

11 P.M. to 6 A.M. 6 A.M. to 12 P.M. to 11 P.M.

Westerdays

Weekends 11 P.M. to 6 A.M. 6 A.M. to 11 P.M.

November through April: P. P. P. P. Weekdays 11 P.M. to 5 A.M. to 6 A.M. to 10 10 A.M. to 11 A.M.

P.M.

Weekends 11 P.M. to 6 A.M. to 11 P.M.

The pricing periods for price level P4 shall be determined at the sole discretion of the Company.

The prising period for the following observed holidays will be the same as the weekend hour price levels for the month in which the holiday occurs: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day.

TERMS OF SERVICE: The initial term of service under this rate shall be for a period of one vear to be continued thereafter unless terminated by the customer with thirty days written notice.

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

DATE EFFECTIVE:

INDEX STANDARD FORMS

DESCRIPTION OF FORM	SHEET NO.
Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Interruptible Service	7.100
Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Industrial Load Management Rider Service	7.150
Bright Choices Outdoor Lighting Agreement	7.200
Tariff Agreement for the Provision of Load Management Service	7.510
Tariff Agreement for the Provision of Standby Generator Transfer Service	7.550
Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Firm Standby and Supplemental Service	7.600
Supplemental Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Industrial Standby and Supplemental Load Management Rider Service	7.625
Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Interruptible Standby and Supplemental Service	7.650
State of Florida Department of Transportation - Tri-Partite Joint Project Agreement	7.700
Contract Service Arrangement for the Provision of Service Under the Commercial / Industrial Service Rider	7.750
Facilities Rental Agreement	7.760
Tariff Agreement For The Residential Price Responsive Load Management Program	7.770

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

DATE EFFECTIVE:

IAMP	A ELECTRIC COMPANY	ORIGINAL SHEET NO. 1.110
	TARIFF AGREEMENT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL P LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRA	
betwe and T	agreement is made and entered into thisday of en, ampa Electric Company, a corporation organized in an of Florida, (hereinafter called the Company).	of,20, by and (hereinafter called the Customer) d existing under the laws of the
	WITNESSETH:	
	or and in consideration of the mutual covenants and agany and the Customer agree as follows:	greements expressed herein, the
1.	The Customer chooses to take service pursuant Residential Price Responsive Load Management Progr. The Florida Public Service Commission. This program is the Company's Rate Schedule RSVP-1, on file with an Service Commission.	am which has been approved by includes service and billing under
2.	Tampa Electric Company will provide the necessary of for use on the Customer's premises for the duration of responsible for any willful damages to Company-owned installed at the Customer's premises.	f the contract. Customer will be
3.	The Customer will provide reasonable access for inst removing Company-owned equipment. Fees, where removal of Company-owned equipment, are described incorporated as part of this agreement.	applicable for installation and
4.	The Customer's electrical equipment and appliances a determined at the sole discretion of Tampa Electric Cobe responsible for the repair, maintenance, or replaced equipment or appliances.	ompany. Tampa Electric will not
5.	Billing under Rate Schedule RSVP-1 will commence a and testing of the equipment, and will continue for a puntil terminated by the Customer with 30 days not incorporated as a part of this agreement. Customer received and reviewed the rates, terms, and conditions	period of one year and thereafter ice. Rate Schedule RSVP-1 is er hereby acknowledges having

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

DATE EFFECTIVE:

Continued to Sheet No. 7.775

Continued From Sheet No. 7.770

Schedule RSVP-1. Customer understands and acknowledges that this rate schedule as well as the rates, terms, and conditions therein are subject to periodic change by the Florida Public Service Commission and such changes will be applicable to the Customer.

6. This agreement supersedes all previous agreements and representations, either written or oral, heretofore made between the Company and the Customer with respect to matters herein contained. Any modification(s) to this Agreement must be approved, in writing, by the Company and the Customer.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Customer and the Company have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives as of the day and year first above written.

Customer:	Tampa Electric Company Representative
By/Title:	By/Title:
Signature:	Signature:
Street Address:	
City, Street, Zip:	
Property Owner:	
By/Title:	
Signature:	
Date:	

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

DATE EFFECTIVE:

SIXTEENTH FIFTEENTH REVISED SHEET NO. 7.010 CANCELS FIFTEENTH REVISED SHEET NO. 7.010 **FOURTEENTH**

INDEX STANDARD FORMS

DESCRIPTION OF FORM	SHEET NO.
Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Interruptible Service	7.100
Tariff Adjeement for the Purchase of Industrial Load Management Rider Service	7.150
Bright Choices Outdoor Lighting Agreement	7.200
Tariff Agreement for the Provision of Load Management Service	7.510
Tariff Agreement for the Provision of Standby Generator Transfer Service	7.550
Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Firm Standby and Supplemental Service	7.600
Supplemental Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Industrial Standby and Supplemental Load Management Rider Service	7.625
Tariff Agreement for the Purchase of Interruptible Standby and Supplemental Service	7.650
State of Florida Department of Transportation - Tri-Partite Joint Project Agreement	7.700
Contract Service Arrangement for the Provision of Service Under the Commercial / Industrial Service Rider	7.750
Facilities Rental Agreement	7.760
Tariff Adresment For The Residential Price Responsive Load Management Program	7.770

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black J. B. Ramil, President DATE EFFECTIVE: August 16, 2002

TARIFF AGREEMENT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PRICE RESPONSIVE LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

This agreement is made and entered into this day of 20 , by and between (hereinafter called the Customer) and Tampa Electric Company, a corporation organized in and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, (hereinafter called the Company).

WITNESSETH:

That for and in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements expressed herein, the Company and the Customer agree as follows:

- The Customer chooses to take service pursuant to Tampa Electric Company's Residential Price Responsive Load Management Program which has been approved by The Florida Public Service Commission. This program includes service and billing under the Company's Rate Schedule RSVP-1, on file with and approved by the Florida Public Service Commission.
- Tampa Electric Company will provide the necessary energy management equipments
 for use on the Customer's premises for the duration of the contract. Customer will be
 responsible for any willful damages to Company-owned energy management equipment
 installed at the Customer's premises.
- 3. The Gustomer will provide reasonable access for installing, inspecting, testing, and/or removing Company-owned equipment. Fees, where applicable for installation and removal of Company-owned equipment, are described Rate Schedule RSVP-1 and are incorporated as part of this agreement.
- 4. The Customer's electrical equipment and appliances are in good working condition as determined at the sole discretion of Tampa Electric Company. Tampa Electric will not be responsible for the repair, maintenance, or replacement of the Customer's electrical equipment or appliances:
- 5. Billing under Rate Schedule RSVP-1 will commence after the installation, inspections, and testing of the equipment, and will continue for a period of one year and thereafter until terminated by the Customer with 30 days notice. Rate Schedule RSVP-1 is incorporated as a part of this agreement. Customer hereby acknowledges having received and reviewed the rates, terms, and conditions contained in Rate

Continued to Sheet No. 7,775

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

DATE EFFECTIVE

Continued From Sheet No. 7.770

Schedule RSVP-1. Customer understands and acknowledges that this rate schedule as well as the rates, terms, and conditions therein are subject to periodic change by the Florida Public Service Commission and such changes will be applicable to the Customer.

6. This agreement supersedes all previous agreements and representations, either written or oral, heretofore made between the Company and the Customer with respect to matters herein contained. Any modification(s) to this Agreement must be approved, in writing, by the Company and the Customer.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Customer and the Company have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives as of the day and year first above written.

Customer:
By/Title:
Signature.
Street Address:
City, Street, Zip:
Property Owner:
By/Title:
Signature:
Date:

Tampa Electric Company Representative

By/Title:

Signature:

ISSUED BY: C. R. Black, President

DATE EFFECTIVE:

Program: Residential Load Management (Prime Time)

Program Start Date: January 1981

Discussion

During the current DSM goals setting process, it was determined that Tampa Electric's existing residential load management program – Prime Time – was no longer cost-effective. However, the company recognizes that potential load may still exist in its service area and be available for inclusion in a different type of load management application. Hence, the company is filing within this overall DSM Plan a request to conduct a residential R&D pilot program on a new generation of load management called price responsive load management ("PRLM").

With the current program no longer being cost-effective and a new program looming brightly and near-term on the horizon, Tampa Electric believes it is in the best interest of customers and the company to allow a small number of customers, approximately 50-60 per month, who may want to participate in the current Prime Time program to continue to have the opportunity to do so. Typically these customers are current program participants who move across the company's service area and want to continue their participation at their new address. In addition, a small portion of the 50-60 customers learn about Prime Time by word-of-mouth and want to initiate participation and begin receiving a monthly credit on their electric bills.

The benefits of allowing these customers to participate in Prime Time while the PRLM pilot program is occurring center squarely around continuity. Specifically, the benefits include:

- 1. no interruption to their current participation in a load management program while a likely alternative PRLM is under development;
- 2. the elimination of lengthy telephone conversations explaining why Prime Time was discontinued and then the need to provide specifics on what the company is researching as a viable alternative;
- 3. the elimination of maintaining a waiting list and the subsequent contacting of those customers on the list who want to participate in the new alternative when it is available:
- 4. the incremental demand savings from Prime Time will continue to accrue to the company; and
- 5. should Prime Time regain its cost-effectiveness during the PRLM pilot program, the costs to ramp down and then ramp up the program would not occur.

Tampa Electric will no longer advertise the Prime Time program or market its availability through field or telephone personnel. The company anticipates the addition of any customers while the PRLM pilot is underway will have a minimal

impact on the expenses necessary to manage the Prime Time program. At the end of the PRLM pilot program, Tampa Electric will evaluate the cost-effectiveness of PRLM and Prime Time in order to determine the appropriate plan needed to capture the residential load management potential that still exists in the company service area.

Program Description

Prime Time is a residential load management program designed to alter Tampa Electric's system load curve by reducing summer and winter demand peaks.

Residential loads such as heating, air conditioning, water heaters and pool pumps are controlled from a radio signal initiated by Tampa Electric's Energy Control Center. This signal operates radio control switches located on individual customer homes that are wired directly to the controlled appliances. Customers participating in Prime Time receive monthly credits on their electric bill. Appliances are interrupted on a prescribed schedule unless a system emergency occurs.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Applicable to any customer located in Tampa Electric's service area and served under rate schedule RS.
- 2. The customer must use a minimum amount of energy in order to receive full credit. Total credit cannot exceed 40% of the non-fuel energy charges actually incurred during a billing period. The initial monthly credit is determined by the date of the installation.

Maximum Credit Per Option Per Month:

Year-round Water Heater: \$4.00 per month; interruptions not to

exceed 5 hours per day

Year-round Pool Pump: \$3.00 per month; interruptions not to

exceed 5 hours per day

Winter central HVAC unit

(continuous):

\$12.00 per month; interruptions not to

exceed 3 hours per day

Summer central HVAC unit

(cyclic):

\$6.00 per month; cyclic interruptions

with a cumulative off time not to exceed

3 hours per day

Summer central HVAC unit (continuous):

\$12.00 per month; interruptions not to exceed 3 hours per day

- 3. Winter is November through March. Summer is April through October.
- 4. The company's prime use periods for normal control are as follows: Winter 6:00 A.M. to 11:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M. Summer 2:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M.
- 5. The appliance must be operational during installation of load management control equipment.
- 6. All appliances controlled must be electric.
- 7. All initial credits have an office review for verification.
- 8. Tampa Electric will randomly perform inspections on at least 10% of all credit related work orders submitted by the contractors.
- The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021
 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Demand reduction is calculated from a load reduction algorithm on a per customer appliance basis. The overall load reduction estimate is the sum of the individual appliance loads, discounted by a Master Discount Multiplier ("MDM"). The MDM accounts for equipment malfunction, suspense customers, losses, etc. This factor is determined through a field-conducted reliability survey.

Demand:

The average demand reduction is as follows:

Summer (At 17:00 hrs; Month of June): 1.24 kW per customer

Winter (At 08:00 hrs; Month of January): 2.80 kW per customer

Energy:

Annual energy savings from the Prime Time program are negligible.

Costs:

Prime Time program costs (average per customer):

Admin, Dep & Ret, Adver, Install: \$345.00 Maintenance (yr.): \$14.00 Incentives (yr.): \$118.00

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

Program: Commercial/Industrial Audit (Free)

Program Start Date: July 1983

Program Description

A conservation program designed to reduce demand and energy consumption by increasing customer awareness of energy use in their facilities. The savings are dependent upon customer implementation of audit recommendations. Recommendations are based on the replacement of less efficient equipment and systems or modifications to operations to enhance the customer's overall efficiency. Recommendations are primarily standardized and encourage the customer to implement measures that, if cost-effective, move the customer beyond the efficiency level typically installed in the marketplace.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. All commercial/industrial customers on firm rates within Tampa Electric's service area are eligible for an audit.
- 2. Program requirements for participation follow guidelines set by Rule 25-17.003, F.A.C.
- 3. When applicable, customers are also qualified for participation in all current commercial programs. Cost-effectiveness for these programs is generally determined while at the customer's facility.
- 4. There is no payment processing with this program.
- 5. There are no technical specifications on equipment eligibility with this program.
- 6. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

The kWh billing histories of customers who received commercial/industrial audits were examined in comparison to those matched unaudited customers. Matching customers were required to be on the same meter reading route and rate, and have consumption closely matched during the 12 months preceding the audit. Consumption before and after the audit was compared for both sets of customers to estimate the impact associated with the audit. Based on load research data, the consumption impacts were extrapolated into corresponding demand impacts.

Using this methodology, the savings per participant are as follows:

Demand: 0.06 kW winter

0.08 kW summer

Energy: 341 kWh annual

Based on historical costs, the cost per audit is estimated to be \$188.00. There are no rebates or incentives for this program.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: FREE C/I AUDIT

	7 \	/b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(u)	(0)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69,953	69,953	475	0.7%	475
2006		71,177	425	1.3%	900
2007	72,474	72,474	375	1.8%	1,275
2008		73,670	325	2	1,600
2009		74,906	275	2.5%	1,875
2010		76,397	225	2.7%	2,100
2011	77,929		175	2.9%	2,275
2012		79,452		3.0%	2,400
2013				3.1%	2,475
2014		82,160		3.1%	2,525

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: FREE C/I AUDIT

	AT THE METER						
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total	
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual	
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW	
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	
2005	341	0.060	0.080	0.162	0.029	0.038	
2006	341	0.060	0.080	0.307	0.054	0.072	
2007	341	0.060	0.080	0.435	0.077	0.102	
2008	341	0.060	0.080	0.546	0.096	0.128	
2009	341	0.060	0.080	0.639	0.113	0.150	
2010	341	0.060	0.080	0.716	0.126	0.168	
2011	341	0.060	0.080	0.776	0.137	0.182	
2012	341	0.060	0.080	0.818	0.144	0.192	
2013	341	0.060	0.080	0.844	0.149	0.198	
2014	341	0.060	0.080	0.861	0.152	0.202	

PROGRAM NAME: FREE C/I AUDIT

	AT THE GENERATOR						
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total	
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual	
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW	
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	
2005	361	0.064	0.085	0.171	0.030	0.040	
2006	361	0.064	0.085	0.325	0.058		
2007	361	0.064	0.085	0.460	0.081	0.109	
2008	361	0.064	0.085	0.577	0.102		
2009	361	0.064	0.085	0.676	0.120		
2010	361	0.064	0.085	0.758	0.134		
2011	361	0.064	0.085	0.821	0.145		
2012	361	0.064	0.085	0.866	0.153		
2013	361	0.064	0.085	0.893	0.158		
2014	361	0.064	0.085	0.911	0.161	0.215	

Program: Comprehensive Commercial/Industrial Audit (Paid)

Program Start Date: May 1981

Program Description

A conservation program designed to reduce demand and energy by increasing customer awareness of energy use in their facilities. The paid audit may involve monitoring specific equipment within a customer's facility to determine its electric usage with respect to time of operation. Based on the results, Tampa Electric will recommend changes to save energy on equipment and/or operation. Savings are dependent on the customer implementing recommendations.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. All commercial/industrial customers on firm rates within Tampa Electric's service area are eligible for an audit.
- 2. Program requirements for participation follow guidelines set by Rule 25-17.003, F.A.C.
- 3. When applicable, customers are also qualified for participation in all current commercial programs. Cost-effectiveness for these programs is generally determined while at the customer's facility.
- 4. The charge to the customer per audit is as follows:

Rate Class GS	<u>Charge</u> \$15.00
GSD	\$45.00
GSLD	\$75.00

- 5. Recommendations may be made as a result of these audits that will require additional analysis and evaluation. When this occurs, the customer should contact an outside consultant or contractor for further study. If the customer requests Tampa Electric to perform the additional evaluation, the customer will be notified of the incremental testing costs and agree to the procedure and expense before testing begins.
- 6. Upon completion of the audit, the customer is provided a copy of the audit and an audit invoice or, upon request, key management personnel are presented with the results of the audit.
- 7. There is no payment processing for conservation measures with this program.

- 8. There are no technical specifications on equipment eligibility with this program.
- The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021
 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Savings for the Comprehensive C/I Audit are assumed to be the same as the Free C/I Audit due to the limited number of paid audits completed since the last evaluation.

Demand: 0.06 kW winter

0.08 kW summer

Energy: 341 kWh annual

Based on experience, the cost per audit is estimated to be \$806.00. There are no rebates or incentives for this program.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: COMPREHENSIVE C/I AUDIT

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69,953	69,953	1	0.0%	1
2006	71.177	71.177	1	0.0%	2
2007	72,474	72,474	1	0.0%	3
2008	73.670	73.670	1	0.0%	4
2009	74.906	74.906	1	0.0%	5
2010	76,397	76,397	1	0.0%	6
2011	77,929	77,929	1	0.0%	7
2012	79,452	79,452	1	0.0%	8
2013	80.800	80,800	1	0.0%	9
2014	82,160	82,160	1	0.0%	10

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: COMPREHENSIVE C/I AUDIT

AT THE METER						
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	341	0.060	0.080	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	341	0.060	0.080	0.001	0.000	0.000
2007	341	0.060	0.080	0.001	0.000	0.000
2008	341	0.060	0.080	0.001	0.000	0.000
2009	341	J.06C	0.080	0.002	û.000	0.000
2010	341	0.060	0.080	0.002	0.000	0.000
2011	341	0.060	0.080	0.002	0.000	0.001
2012	341	0.060	0.080	0.003	0.000	
2013	341	0.060	0.080	0.003	0.001	0.001
2014	341	0,060	0.080	0.003	0.001	0.001

PROGRAM NAME: COMPREHENSIVE C/I AUDIT

	AT THE GENERATOR						
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total	
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual	
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW	
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	
2005	361	0.064	0.085	0.000	0.000	0.000	
2006	361	0.064	0.085	0.001	0.000	0.000	
2007	361	0.064	0.085	0.001	0.000	0.000	
2008	361	0.064	0.085	0.001	0.000	0.000	
2009	361	0.064	0.085	0.002	0.000	0.000	
2010	361	0.064	0.085	0.002	0.000	0.001	
2011	361	0.064	0.085	0.003	0.000	0.001	
2012	361	0.064	0.085	0.003	0.001	0.001	
2013	361	0.064	0.085	0.003	0.001	0.001	
2014	361	0.064	0.085	0.004	0.001	0.001	

Program: Commercial Cooling Program

Program Start Date: July 2000

Program Description

A commercial conservation program that uses incentives for the installation of high efficiency direct expansion cooling systems in commercial buildings. The program is aimed at reducing the growth of peak demand and energy by encouraging customers to replace worn out, inefficient cooling equipment with high efficiency equipment that exceeds minimum product manufacturing standards.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Any new or existing commercial/industrial customer on firm rates within Tampa Electric's service area is eligible.
- 2. Minimum qualifying efficiency rating (ARI rating only) is 10.0 EER.
- 3. The range of sizes for commercial cooling to be eligible will be from 65,000 to 240,000 Btu.
- 4. The HVAC contractor or customer submits a rebate request form to Tampa Electric. The form will be signed by the contractor certifying that the equipment installed is in accordance with the program standards. The customer will sign the form verifying that the equipment was installed and the incentive recipient's name and mailing address are correct.
- 5. Tampa Electric will randomly perform full field verifications on a minimum of 10% of the participating businesses. Forms not selected for field review will have an office verification to validate information.
- 6. No payment will be made until Tampa Electric inspects or reviews rebate requests.
- 7. The customer rebate is \$.002083 per Btu (which represents approximately \$25.00 per ton).
- 8. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

SRC commercial building data was used for computer simulations to determine cooling replacement savings. Based on historical information, a 13.4 ton (161,000 Btu) unit was used as a representative sample for purposes of estimating program savings and costs. HVAC systems savings are as follows:

	Winter	Summer	Annual
Type System	Demand (kW)	Demand (kW)	Energy (kWh)
161,000 Btu	0.00 kW/ton	1.38	5,494

Costs:

Incentive cost per participant: \$335.00 Administrative cost per participant: \$24.00

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric utilized the engineering estimates and computer modeling from the SRC study for the demand and energy savings of the program. Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL COOLING

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69.953	69,953	45	0.1%	45
2006	71.177	71.177	40	0.1%	85
2007	72,474	72.474	35	0.2%	120
2008	73,670	73,670	30	0.2%	150
2009	74,906	74,906	25	0.2%	175
2010	76.397	76,397	25	0.3%	200
2011	77,929	77.929	20	0.3%	220
2012	79,452	79.452	15	0.3%	235
2013	80,800	80,800	10	0.3%	245
2014	82.160	82,160	5	0.3%	250

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL COOLING

			AT THE M	AT THE METER												
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total										
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual										
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW										
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction										
2005	5,494	0.000	1.380	0.247	0.000	0.062										
2006	5,494	0.000	1.380	0.467	0.000	0.117										
2007	5,494	0.000	1.380	0.659	0.000	0.166										
2008	5,494	0.000	1.380	0.824	0.000	0.207										
2009	5,494	0.000	1.380	0.961	0.000	0.242										
2010	5,494	0.000	1.380	1.099	0.000	0.276										
2011	5,494	0.000	1.380	1.209	0.000	0,304										
2012	5,494	0.000	1.380	1.291	0.000	0.324										
2013	5,494	0.000	1.380	1.346	0.000	0.338										
2014	5,494	0.000	1.380	1.374	0.000	0.345										

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL COOLING

			AT THE GEN	ERATOR		
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
1	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	5813	0.000	1.470	0.262	0.000	0.066
2006	5813	0.000	1.470	0.494	0.000	0.125
2007	5813	0.000	1.470	0.698	0.000	0.176
2008	5813	0.000	1.470	0.872	0.000	0.220
2009	5813	0.000	1.470	1.017	0.000	0.257
2010	5813	0.000	1.470	1.163	0.000	0.294
2011	5813	0.000	1.470	1.279	0.000	0.323
2012	5813	0.000	1.470	1.366	0.000	0.345
2013	5813	0.000	1.470	1.424	0.000	0.360
2014	5813	0.000	1.470	1.453	0.000	0.367

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Commercial Cooling

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS, & DIST COSTS I. (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER 2005 1.38 KW /CUST IV. (1) BASE YEAR I. (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 1.253 KW GEN/CUST 2008 IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT I. (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D 6.5 % 2008 IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 5832 KWH/CUST/YR 230.18 \$/KW IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 5.8 % 0 \$/KW IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER 0 \$/KW (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 2.3 % IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.544 \$/KW/YR (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER 5494 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % **ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS** IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR II. (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST 15 YEARS 0 \$/KW/YR II. (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 26 YEARS 2.5 % II. (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION 1.6926 IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 5.5 % 1.6926 (6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1.43 % IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW 0 \$/KW/YR UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 24.00 \$/CUST III. (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 0.00 \$/CUST/YR III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % III. (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST 1994.00 \$/CUST NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES 1.370 CENTS/KWH III. (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 2.5 % III. (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST 0 \$/CUST/YR V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % 7.25 \$/KW/MO III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW III. (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION 0 \$/CUST V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT 0 % FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL 1 III. (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS 0 \$/CUST/YR III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE 0 % 0.0939 III. (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS III. (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE 0.0779 III. (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 335.00 \$/CUST (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 1.34 (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) 154 III. (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 0.00 \$/CUST/YR (3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE 0 % 1.39

PSC FORM CE 1.1

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT	AVOIDED T&D	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	BENEFITS \$(000)	BENEFITS \$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	1	90	0	91	0	0	5	0	5	(86)	(86)
	2006	Ō	1	82	ō	83	ō	Ō	15	ō	15	(68)	(149)
	2007	0	1	73	Ō	74	ō	Ō	25	0	25	(49)	(190)
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	29	0	40	40	(159)
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	26	0	37	37	(133)
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	35	0	46	46	(104)
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	37	0	49	49	(75)
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	37	0	49	49	(49)
	2013	0	0	0	0	0	12	O	33	0	45	45	(27)
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	35	0	47	47	(6)
(2015	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	35	0	48	48	13
Ū	2016	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	38	O	50	50	32
•	2017	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	37	0	49	49	49
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	36	0	50	50	64
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	35	0	48	48	78
	NOMINAL	0	3	245	0	248	145	0	457	0	603	355	
	NPV:	0	3	226	0	228	70	0	237	0	307	78	
	Discount Ra	ate	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:		1.34					

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)		(11)	(12)
		SAVINGS IN					CUSTOMER						CUMULATIVE
		PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O & M	OTHER	TOTAL		NET	DISCOUNTED
	VEAD	BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	į	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
_	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(0 <u>00)</u>	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	-00	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005 2006	8 24	0	15 13	0	23 37	90 82	0	0		90 82	(67) (45)	(67) (108)
	2007	38	0	12	0	50	73	0	0		73	(23)	(100)
	2008	46	ŏ	0	ő	46	0	ő	0		0	46	(92)
	2009	44	ō	ō	ō	44	0	ō	Ō		ō	44	(61)
	2010	49	0	ō	ō	49	0	ō	0		ō	49	(30)
	2011	51	0	0	ō	51	0	0	0		0	51	(0)
	2012	50	0	0	0	50	0	0	0		0	50	27
	2013	46	0	0	0	46	0	0	0		0	46	49
	2014	48	0	0	0	48	0	0	0		0	48	71
O	2015	47	0	0	0	47	0	0	0		0	47	90
O	2016	49	0	0	0	49	0	0	0		0	49	108
•	2017	49	0	0	0	49	0	0	0		0	49	125
	2018	50	0	0	0	50	0	0	0		0	50	141
	2019	48	0	0	0	48	0	٥	0		0	48	154
1	IOMINAL	649	0	40	О	689	245	0	0		245	444	
1	IPV:	343	0	37	0	380	226	0	0		226	154	

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate:

2004 0.0939

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
_	2005	0	1	15	4	0	21	5	0	0	0	5	(16)	(16)
	2006	0	1	13	13	0	27	15	0	0	0	15	(13)	(28)
	2007	0	1	12	20	0	33		0	0	0	25	(8)	(34)
	2008	0	0	0	24	0	24		0	0	0	40	16	(22)
	2009	0	0	0	24	0	24		0	0	0	37	13	(13)
	2010	0	0	0	25	0	25		0	0	0	46	22	0
	2011	0	0	0	25	0	25		0	0	0	49	24	14
	2012	0	0	0	25	0	25		0	0	0	49	24	27
	2013	0	0	0	25	0	25		0	0	0	45	20	37
	2014	0	0	0	26	0	26		0	0	0	47	22	46
	2015	U	0	0	26	0	26		0	Ü	0	48	22	55
97	2016	0	0	0	26	0	26 26		U	0	0	50 49	24 23	64 72
J	2017 2018	0	0	0	26 27	0	26 27	50	0	0	0	50	23	79
	2019	0	0	0	27	0	27		0	0	0	48	21	85
N	OMINAL	0	3	40	344	0	387	603	0	0	0	603	216	
N	PV:	0	3	37	181	0	221	307	0	0	0	307	85	
D	iscount ra	te:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.39				

Program: Commercial Indoor Lighting Program

Program Start Date: January 1991

Program Description

An incentive program for existing commercial facilities to encourage investment in more efficient fluorescent lighting technology within conditioned space. Specifically, this program is designed to: 1) affect a significant number of eligible customers; 2) recognize the most probable lighting investment opportunities; and 3) contribute toward weather-sensitive peak demand reduction.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Any commercial/industrial customer on firm rates meeting the company's requirements for participation is eligible.
- 2. A minimum of one kW in lighting reduction must be achieved.
- 3. Reductions in lighting energy caused only by fixture/lamp removal, operational changes, or by "add-on" energy saving devices are not eligible.
- 4. Retrofit upgrades shall be permanent installations. Due to the lack of permanency, lamp replacements only do not qualify. Delamping installations will require that reflectors be incorporated unless a high output ballast is used in the installation. Delamping / reflector installations will require the removal of spare ballast and lampholders.
- 5. Only dedicated ballast and lamp systems will be eligible for rebate (i.e., ballast will be designed to operate one specific type and wattage lamp). Ballasts designed to operate multiple wattage lamp types are not eligible (i.e., T-8 lamp and ballast, T-10 lamps and ballast, etc., must be a system where the ballast will only operate the specific lamp installed at time of retrofit).
- 6. Compact fluorescent lamps and exit sign replacements / retrofits are excluded from this program.
- 7. Ballasts must have total harmonic distortion levels of less than 20% as tested by ETL Testing Laboratory.
- 8. The customer submits a rebate request form to Tampa Electric with invoice(s) of lighting systems purchase(s).

- 9. Tampa Electric will randomly perform full field verifications on a minimum of 10% of the participating businesses. Forms not selected for field review will have an office verification to validate information.
- 10. No payment will be made until Tampa Electric verifies or validates incentive certificates.
- 11. Customer rebate is \$0.10 per watt reduction for replacing current lighting system with more efficient lighting system within conditioned space.
- The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021
 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Based on historical participation, savings per customer is estimated at 40.82 kW/14.16 kW for summer and winter, respectively, and 175,336 kWh per year energy savings based on an average of 800 fixtures. These calculated values include the effects of the lighting reduction on the space cooling and heating equipment.

Incentive costs per customer based on 800 fixtures and \$.10 per watt are \$3,248.00 for replacing a standard fluorescent lighting system with a more efficient fluorescent lighting system. Administrative costs are \$358.00 per customer.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Data necessary to substantiate the kW/kWh savings and demand coincidence will be contained on the customer's rebate analysis worksheet that accompanies the rebate application. Sampling with data loggers to confirm operating hours and kWh estimates may be used.

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL INDOOR LIGHTING PROGRAM

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	Total	Total Number of	Annual Number of	Cumulative Penetration	Cumulative Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69,953	69,953	38	0.1%	38
2006	71,177	71,177	35	0.1%	73
2007	72,474	72,474	32	0.1%	105
2008	73,670	73,670	29	0.2%	134
2009	74,906	74,906	26	0.2%	160
2010	76,397	76,397	20	0.2%	180
2011	77,929	77,929	17	0.3%	197
2012		79,452	14	0.3%	211
2013		80,800	11	0.3%	222
2014			8	0.3%	230

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL INDOOR LIGHTING PROGRAM

			AT THE M	ETER			
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total	
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual	
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW	
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	
2005	175,336	14.160	40.820	6.663	0.538	1.551	
2006	175,336	14.160	40.820	12.800	1.034	2.980	
2007	175,336	14.160	40.820	18.410	1.487	4.286	
2008	175,336	14.160	40.820	23.495	1.897	5.470	
2009	175,336	14.160	40.820	28.054	2.266		
2010	175,336	14.160	40.820	31.560	2.549		
2011	175,336	14.160	40.820	34.541	2.790		
2012	175,336	14.160	40.820	36.996	2.988		
2013	175,336	14.160	40.820	38.925			
2014	175,336	14.160	40.820	40.327	3.257	9.389	

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL INDOOR LIGHTING PROGRAM

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	185,505	15.080	43.473	7.049	0.573	1.652
2006	185,505	15.080	43.473	13.542	1.101	3.174
2007	185,505	15.080	43.473	19.478	1.583	4.565
2008	185,505	15.080	43.473	24.858	2.021	5.825
2009	185,505	15.080	43.473	29.681	2.413	6.956
2010	185,505	15.080	43.473	33.391	2.714	7.825
2011	185,505	15.080	43.473	36.545	2.971	8.564
2012	185,505	15.080	43.473	39.142	3.182	9.173
2013	185,505	15.080	43.473	41.182	3,348	9.651
2014	185.505	15.080	43.473	42.666	3.468	9.999

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Indoor Lighting

0 %

III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS, & DIST COSTS I. (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER 40.82 KW /CUST IV. (1) BASE YEAR 2005 (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 39,881 KW GEN/CUST IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT 2008 IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 6.5 % 2008 (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 186132 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 230.18 \$/KW IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 5.8 % 0 \$/KW (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0 \$/KW (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 2.3 % IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.544 \$/KW/YR (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER 175336 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % **ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS** IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST II. (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM 15 YEARS 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS 2.5 % (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % II. (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION 1.6926 II. 5) K FACTOR FOR T & D 1.6926 IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 5.5 % 6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1.43 % ಒ IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW 0 \$/KW/YR **UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS** IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 358.00 \$/CUST III. (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 0.00 \$/CUST/YR III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES III. (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST 39836.00 \$/CUST III. (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 1.370 CENTS/KWH 2.5 % III. (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST 0 \$/CUST/YR V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 7.25 \$/KW/MO III. (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION 0 \$/CUST V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT 0 % (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS 0 \$/CUST/YR FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE 0 % 0.0939 III. (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE III. (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE 0.0779 CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS III. (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 3248.00 \$/CUST 1.68 III. (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) 5,695 0.00 \$/CUST/YR

(3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO

PSC FORM CE 1.1

1.29

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
		INCREASED SUPPLY	UTILITY PROGRAM	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM	OTHER	TOTAL	AVOIDED	AVOIDED	PROGRAM FUEL	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET
		COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	GEN UNIT BENEFITS	T & D BENEFITS	SAVINGS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	14	1,514	0	1,527	0	0	98	0	98	(1,430)	(1,430)
	2006	0	13	1,429	0	1,442	0	0	310	0	310	(1,132)	(2,465)
	2007	0	12	1,339	0	1,351	0	0	541	0	541	(810)	(3,142)
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	237	0	634	0	870	870	(2,477)
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	241	0	568	0	809	809	(1,912)
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	246	0	762	0	1,008	1,008	(1,268)
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	251	0	808	0	1,059	1,059	(650)
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	256	0	812	0	1,068	1,068	(80)
_	2013	0	0	0	0	0	261	0	730	0	990	990	403
7	2014	0	0	0	0	0	266	0	763	0	1,029	1,029	862
	2015	0	0	0	0	0	271	0	768	0	1,039	1,039	1,285
Ā	2016	0	0	0	0	0	276	0	820	0	1,096	1,096	1,694
-	2017	0	0	0	0	0	282	0	797	0	1,078	1,078	2,061
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	287	0	793	0	1,080	1,080	2,397
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	293	0	756	0	1,049	1,049	2,696
	NOMINAL	0	38	4,282	0	4,321	3,165	0	9,961	0	13,126	8,805	
	NPV:	0	35	3,939	0	3,975	1,516	0	5,154	0	6,671	2,696	
	Discount Ra	ate	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:		1.68					

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		SAVINGS										
		1N					CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER				CUMULATIVE
		PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O&M	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	DISCOUNTED
		BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	207	0		0		1,514	0	0	1,514	(1,183)	(1,183)
	2006	631	0	114	0	745	1,429	0	0	1,429	(684)	(1,809)
	2007	1,041	0	104	0	1,145	1,339	0	0	1,339	(195)	(1,971)
	2008	1,244	0	0	0	1,244	0	0	0	0	1,244	(1,021)
	2009	1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0	0	0	0	1,200	(182)
	2010	1,344	0	0	0	1,344	0	0	0	0	1,344	676
	2011	1,380	0	0	0	1,380	0	0	0	0	1,380	1,481
	2012	1,371	0	0	0	1,371	0	0	0	0	1,371	2,213
	2013	1,254	0	0	0	1,254	0	0	0	0	1,254	2,824
1	2014	1,318	0	0	0	1,318	0	0	0	0	1,318	3,412
)	2015	1,286	0	0	0	1,286	0	0	0	0	1,286	3,936
	2016	1,344	0	0	0	1,344	0	0	0	0	1,344	4,437
•	2017	1,349	0	0	0	1,349	0	0	0	0	1,349	4,896
	2018	1,362	0	0	0	1,362	0	0	0	0	1,362	5,321
	2019	1,316	0	0	0	1,316	0	0	0	0	1,316	5,695
	NOMINAL	17,648	0	341	0	17,989	4,282	0	0	4,282	13,707	
	NPV:	9,320	0	314	0	9,635	3,939	0	0	3,939	5,695	

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate:

2004 0.0939 RATE IMPACT TEST PROGRAM: Indoor Lighting

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	14	123	113	0	250	98	Ö	0	0	98	(152)	(152)
	2006	0	13	114	334	0	460	310	0	0	0	310	(150)	(290)
	2007	0	12	104	541	0	656		0	0	0	541	(115)	(386)
	2008	0	0	0	644	0	644		0	0	0	870	226	(213)
	2009	0	0	0	650	0	650		0	0	0	809	159	(103)
	2010	0	0	0	657	0	657	1,008	0	0	0	1,008	351	122
	2011	0	0	0	664	0	664		0	0	0	1,059	396	352
	2012	0	0	0	670	0	670		0	0	0	1,068	398	565
	2013	0	0	0	677	0	677	990	0	0	0	990	313	717
L	2014	0	0	0	684	0	684	1,029	0	0	0	1,029	345	871
10	2015	0	0	0	691	0	691	1,039	0	0	0	1,039	349	1014
	2016	0	0	0	697	0	697	1,096	0	0	0	1,096	399	1162
9	2017	0	0	0	704	0	704	1,078	0	0	0	1,078	374	1290
	2018	0	0	0	711	0	711	1,080	0	0	0	1,080	369	1404
	2019	0	0	0	719	0	719	1,049	0	0	0	1,049	330	1498
N	OMINAL	0	38	341	9,156	0	9,535	13,126	0	0	0	13,126	3.591	
N	PV:	0	35	314	4,823	0	5,172	6,671	0	0	0	6,671	1,498	
D	iscount rat	te:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.29				

Program: Commercial Load Management

Program Start Date: January 1988

Program Description

Tampa Electric's Commercial Load Management Program is intended to help alter the company's system load curve by reducing summer and winter demand peaks.

Large loads such as walk-in freezers are interrupted for up to three hours by radio controlled switches similar to those used in the residential load management. Commercial air conditioning equipment is cycled during summer control periods. Monthly incentive credits are paid to customers participating in this program.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Cyclic air conditioning control is applicable to any customer served under rate schedule GS, GSD, and GSLD located in Tamp Electric's service area that signs a tariff agreement for load management service.
- 2. Extended control is applicable to any customer under rate schedule GS, GST, GSD, GSDT, GSLD and GSLDT located in Tampa Electric's service area who signs a tariff agreement for load management service.
- 3. Cyclic incentive is \$1.00 per kW demand per summer month for all appliances on program and is applied to the monthly bill.
- 4. Extended incentive is \$3.00 per kW demand per month for all appliances on program and is applied to the monthly bill.
- 5. Winter is November through March. Summer is April through October.
- 6. The company's prime use periods for normal control are as follows: Winter 6:00 A.M. to 11:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M. Summer 2:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M.
- 7. The initial credit is determined by the verification date.
- 8. Tampa Electric will perform field verifications on all installations.
- 9. Cyclic control is available only for the summer season.
- 10. All appliances controlled must be electric.

11. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Demand reduction for the extended control commercial customers is continuously metered. This is necessary to determine the monthly credit for each participant. Demand reduction for cyclic control customers is determined at time of installation through equipment performance evaluation.

Demand:

The average demand reduction per customer is as follows:

Summer (At 17:00 hrs; 91 degrees F; June)	13.20 kW cyclic control
	92.00 kW extended control

Winter (At 08:00 hrs; 31 degrees F; January) 0.00 kW cyclic control 60.00 kW extended control

Energy: Annual energy savings from the program are negligible.

Costs: Costs are based on present per customer averages.

	<u>Cyclic</u>	<u>Extended</u>
Admin, Dep & Ret, Adver, Install:	\$820	\$2,307
Maintenance (yr.):	\$ 16	\$568
Incentives (yr.):	\$154	\$2,832

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT - CYCLIC

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
]	Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69,953	69,937	1	0.0%	1
2006	71,177	71,160	1	0.0%	2
2007	72.474	72,456	1	0.0%	e
2008	73,670	73,651	1	0.0%	4
2009	74.906	74,886	1	0.0%	5
2010	76.397	76,376	1	0.0%	6
2011	77,929	77.907	1	0.0%	7
2012	79,452	79,429	1	0.0%	3
2013	80,800	80,776	1	0.0%	£.
2014	82,160	82,135	1	0.0%	1C

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT - CYCLIC

	AT THE METER												
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total							
i i	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual							
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW							
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction							
2005	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.013							
2006	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.026							
2007	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.040							
2008	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.053							
2009	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.066							
2010	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.079							
2011	0	0.000	13,200	0.000	0.000	0.092							
2012	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.106							
2013	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.119							
2014	0	0.000	13.200	0.000	0.000	0.132							

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT - CYCLIC

	AT THE GENERATOR												
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total							
	Customer	omer Customer Cu		Annual	Annual	Annual							
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW							
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction							
2005	0,	0.000	14.058	ບ. ບ ບບ	0.000	0.014							
2006	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.028							
2007	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.042							
2008	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.056							
2009	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.070							
2010	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.084							
2011	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.098							
2012	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.112							
2013	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000								
2014	0	0.000	14.058	0.000	0.000	0.141							

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Commercial L. M. Cyclic

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS, & DIST COSTS (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER. 13.2 KW /CUST IV. (1) BASE YEAR 2005 (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 11.984 KW GEN/CUST IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT 2008 (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 6.5 % IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D 2008 (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 230.18 \$/KW (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST 0.5/KW 58% (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0 \$/KW (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER IV. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 0 KWH/CUST/YR 2.3 % (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.544 \$/KW/YR IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % **ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS** IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR II. (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM 26 YEARS IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH 26 YEARS II. (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 1.6926 2.5 % II. (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D 1.6926 IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 5.5 % (6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH 0 IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 43 % IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW 0 \$/KW/YR NUTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 820.00 \$/CUST III. (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 16.00 \$/CUST/YR III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % III. (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES 0.00 \$/CUST V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE 1.370 CENTS/KWH 2.5 % (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST 0 \$/CUST/YR V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 7.25 \$/KW/MO III. (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 0 \$/CUST 1 % III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE 0 % V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT III. (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS 0 \$/CUST/YR FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL 0 III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE 0 % (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE 0.0939 III. (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE 0.0779 CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS III. (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 0.00 \$/CUST 8.33 III. (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 154.00 \$/CUST/YR (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE (3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 3,38 0 %

PSC FORM CE 1.1

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE:

TOTAL RESOURCE COST TESTS PROGRAM: Commercial L. M. Cyclic

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	1	0	0	1	0		0		0		(1)
	2006	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	(1)	(2)
	2007	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	(1)	(2)
	2008	0	O	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	0
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	-	2
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	5
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	6
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	3	•	0	0	3	3	8
_	2013	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3 3	10
7	2014	0	0	0	0	0	3 3	0	0	0	3	ა 3	11 12
7	2015	0	0	0	0	•	3	0	0	0	3	3	13
	2016	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	13 14
_	2017 2018	0	0 0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	15
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	16
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	ő	3	3	17
	2020	ő	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	ő	3	3	17
	2021	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	Ö	ő	3	3	18
	2023	ŏ	0	ő	0	0	3	Ö	0	ŏ	3	3	18
	2024	ő	0	Ö	0	Õ	3	ő	0	ő	3	3	19
	2025	Ö	Ö	Ö	0	0	3	ő	ő	ő	3	3	19
	2026	ō	ő	0	0	ō	3	0	Ö	Ō	3	3	20
	2027	0	Ō	0	Ō	Ō	3	0	ō	0	3	3	20
	2028	ō	ō	Ō	Ō	ō	3	0	0	0	3	3	20
	2029	0	Ō	Ō	Ō	Ō	3	0	Ō	0	3	3	21
	2030	0	0	0	0	ō	3	0	0	0	3	3	21
	NOMINAL	0	4	0	0	4	68	0	0	0	68	64	
	NPV:	0	3	0	0	3	24	0	0	0	24	21	
					_				U	J	24	21	
	Discount Ra	ate	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]	:	8.33					

(6)

(5)

(12)

SAVINGS CUSTOMER CUSTOMER CUMULATIVE IN **PARTICIPANTS** TAX UTILITY OTHER TOTAL **EQUIPMENT** OTHER TOTAL NET DISCOUNTED O&M COSTS COSTS **NET BENEFITS** BILL CREDITS REBATES BENEFITS BENEFITS COSTS COSTS BENEFITS YEAR \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) n O Ð Ω

n

(7) (8)

(9)

(10)

(11)

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate:

NOMINAL

NPV:

(2)

(3) (4)

0.0939

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)_	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0		(1)
	2006	0	1	0	0	. 0	1	0	0	. 0	0	0	(1)	(2)
	2007		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	(1)	(3)
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	(1)
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	1
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	3
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	5
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	6
	2013	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	7
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	8
•	2015	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	3	9
N	2016	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	10
1	2017	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	11
`	2018	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	. 2	12
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	13
	2020	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	13
	2021	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	14
	2022	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	- 14
	2023	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	. 15
	2024	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	15
	2025	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	15
	2026		0	0	0	. 0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	16
	2027	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	16
	2028	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	16
	2029	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	17
	2030	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	17
	NOMINAL	0	4	11	0	0	15	68	0	0	0	68	52	
	NPV:	0	3	4	0	0	7	24	0	0	0	24	17	
	Discount ra	ite:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	st Ratio - [c	ol (12)/col (7)]:		3.38				

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT - EXTENDED

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69,953	69,949	1	0.0%	1
2006	71,177	71,172	1	0.0%	2
2007	72.474	72.468	1	0.0%	3
2008	73,670	73.663	1	0.0%	4
2009	74,906	74,898	1	0.0%	5
2010	76,397	76,388	1	0.0%	6
2011	77,929	77,919	1	0.0%	7
2012	79,452	79.441	1	0.0%	8
2013	80,800	80,788	1	0.0%	9
2014	82,160	82.147	1	0.0%	10

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT - EXTENDED

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.060	0.092
2006	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.120	0.184
2007	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.180	0.276
2008	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.240	0.368
2009	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.300	0.460
2010	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.360	0.552
2011	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.420	0.644
2012	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.480	0.736
2013	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.540	0.828
2014	0	60.000	92.000	0.000	0.600	0.920

PROGRAM NAME: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT - EXTENDED

			AT THE GEN	ERATOR		
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	0	63.900	97,980	0.000	0.064	0.098
2006	0	63.900				0.196
2007	0	63.900				0.294
2008	0	63.900	97.980	0.000	0.256	0.392
2009	0	63.900	97.980	0.000	0.320	0.490
2010	0	63.900	97.980	0.000	0.383	0.588
2011	0	63.900	97.980	0.000	0.447	0.686
2012	0	63.900	97.980	0.000	0.511	0.784
2013	0	63.900	97.980	0.000	0.575	0.882
2014	0	63.900	97.980	0.000	0.639	0.980

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Commercial L. M. Extended

PSC FORM CE 1.1 PAGE 1 OF 1

RUN DATE: November 9, 2004

	PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES			AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS. & DIST COSTS	
1.	(1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER	92 KW /CUST	IV	. (1) BASE YEAR	2005
1.	(2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER	95.482 KW GEN/CUST	IV	(2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT	2008
1.	(3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE	6.5 %		(3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D	2008
i.	(4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER	0 KWH/CUST/YR		(4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST	230.18 \$ /KW
ï	(5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE	5.8 %		(5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST	0 \$/KW
ï	(6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER	1		(6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST	0 \$/KW
ï	(7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER	0 KWH/CUST/YR		(7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE	2.3 %
ï	(8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER	0 KWH/CUST/YR		(8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST	2.544 \$/ KW/YR
	(o) doctoment the control of the con	5 1111 H G G G 11 1 1 1		(9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
	ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS			(10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST	0 \$/KW/YR
п	(1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM	26 YEARS		(11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST	0 \$/KW/YR
11	(2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE	26 YEARS		(12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
11	(3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE	26 YEARS		(13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS	0.8135 CENTS/KWH
	(4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION	1.6926		(14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %
	(5) K FACTOR FOR T & D	1.6926		(15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR	. 5.5 %
	≥6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1)	0		(16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST	6.27 CENTS/KWH
	of officer reaction of the or per (i)	Ü		(17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE	1.43 %
-				(18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW	0 \$/KW/YR
C	UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS			(19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE	0 %
	(1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	2307.00 \$/CUST	•••	(10) 0/11/1011 000/ 110111111111111111111	5 /5
	(2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	568.00 \$/CUST/YR			
	(3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %			
	(4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST	0.00 \$/CUST		NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES	
	(5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %	V	(1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL	1.370 CENTS/KWH
	(6) CUSTOMER O & M COST	0 \$/CUST/YR		(2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE	1 %
	(7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE	2.5 %		(3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW	7.2 5 \$/ KW/MO
	(8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION	0 \$/CUST		(4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE	1 %
	(9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE	0 %		(5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT	1 76
	(10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	0 \$/CUST/YR	••	FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL	0
	(11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE	0 %		TAGTOTT GIT GOOT GITE! COILE	ŭ
	(12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE	0.0939			
	(13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE	0.0779		CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS	1
	(14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE	0.00 \$/CUST		(i)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO	7,27
	(15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE	2832.00 \$/CUST/YR		(2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV)	77
	(16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE	0 %		(3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO	1.84

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS	AVOIDED T & D BENEFITS	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	(3)	(3)
	2006	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	(3)	(6)
	2007	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	(4)	(9)
	2008	0	2	0	0	2	27	Ō	0	0	27	25	10
	2009	0	2	0	0	2	27	0	0	0	27	25	28
	2010	0	2	0	0	2	26	0	0	0	26	24	43
	2011	0	2	0	0	2	26	0	0	0	26	24	57
	2012 2013	0	2	0	0	2	25 25	0	0	0	25	23 23	69
	2013	0	2	0	0	2 2	25 25	0	0	0	25 25	23 22	80 90
	2014	0	2 2	0	0	2	25	0	0	0	25	22 22	99
	2015	0	2	0	0	2	24	0	0	0	24	22	107
-	2017	0	2	0	0	2	24	0	0	0	24	21	115
J	2018	ō	2	0	0	2	23	0	0	ő	23	21	121
D	2019	ő	2	o o	ő	2	23	ő	Ö	ő	23	21	127
	2020	ő	2	0	Ŏ	2	23	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	23	20	132
	2021	ő	3	0	0	3	22	ő	ő	0	22	20	137
	2022	0	3	0	0	3	22	ō	ō	0	22	20	141
	2023	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	145
	2024	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	148
	2025	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	152
	2026	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	154
	2027	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	157
	2028	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	159
	2029	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	162
	2030	0	3	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	22	19	164
	NOMINAL	0	66	0	0	66	539	0	0	0	539	473	
	NPV:	0	26	0	0	26	190	0	0	0	190	164	
	Discount Ra	ite	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:		7.27					

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	YEAR	SAVINGS IN PARTICIPANTS BILL \$(000)	TAX CREDITS \$(000)	UTILITY REBATES \$(000)	OTHER BENEFITS \$(000)	TOTAL BENEFITS \$(000)	CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COSTS \$(000)	CUSTOMER O & M COSTS \$(000)	OTHER COSTS \$(000)	TOTAL COSTS \$(000)	NET BENEFITS \$(000)	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS \$(000)
_	2005	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0) 1	1
	2006	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	() 4	5
	2007	0	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	4	7	11
	2008	0	0	8	0	8		0	0	(8 (18
	2009	0	0	8	0	8		0	0	(8 0	24
	2010	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(•	29
	2011	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(34
	2012	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(39
	2013	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(43
	2014	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(46
	2015	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(50
LX	2016	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(53
1	2017	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(56
N	2018	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(59
μĭ	2019	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(61
_	2020	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(, ,	63
	2021	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(65
	2022	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(67
	2023	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(69
	2024 2025	0	0	8	0	8	0	0 0	0	(·	70
	2025	0	0	8	0	8 8	0	0	0	(72 72
	2026	•	0	8 8	0	8	0	0	_	(73 74
	2027	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(74 75
	2028	0 0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	(75 76
	2029	0	0	8	Ω	8	0	0	0	(76 77
	2030	U	U	a	U	8	U	U	U	·	, 8	11
N	IOMINAL.	0	0	208	0	208	0	0	0	C	208	
N	IPV:	0	0	77	0	77	0	0	0	C) 77	

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate: 2004 0.0939

RATE IMPACT TEST PROGRAM: Commercial L. M. Extended

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
122	2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 3 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 11 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	0 0 27 27 26 26 25 25 25 24 24 24 23 23 23 23 22 22 22	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 27 27 26 25 25 25 24 24 24 23 23 23 22 22 22	(7) (11) 17 16 16 15 15 14 14 14 13 13 12 12 12 11 11	(4) (11) (20) (7) 4 14 23 31 38 44 49 54 59 62 66 69 72 74 76
	2025 2026	0	3	8 8	0	0	11 11	22 22	0	0	0	22 22	10 10	80 81
	2027 2028 2029 2030	0 0 0	3 3 3 3	8 8 8 8	0 0 0 0	0 0	11 12 12 12	22 22 22	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	22 22 22 22 22	10 10 10 10	83 84 85 86
N	OMINAL	0	66	208	0	0	274	539	0	0	0	539	265	
N	PV:	0	26	77	0	0	103	190	0	0	0	190	86	
D	iscount ra	te:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.84				

Program: Commercial Standby Generator

Program Start Date: January 1991

Program Description

This program is designed to utilize the emergency generation capacity of commercial/industrial facilities in order to reduce weather sensitive peak demand. Tampa Electric provides the participating customers a thirty minute notice that their generation will be required. This allows customers time to start generators and arrange for orderly transfer of load. Tampa Electric meters and issues monthly credits for that portion of the generator's output that could serve normal building load after the notification time. Normal building load is defined as load (type, amount, and time duration) that would have been served by Tampa Electric if the emergency generator did not operate. Under no circumstances will the generator deliver power to Tampa Electric's grid.

Program Participation Standards

1. Applicable to any commercial/industrial customer in Tampa Electric's service area on a firm rate schedule with an on-site emergency generator and who signs a tariff agreement for the Provision of Standby Generator Transfer Service.

The normal building load (standby) that is served (or can be served) by the generator must meet the conditions listed below.

- Minimum of 25 kW demand
- Minimum of 50% annual load factor during Tampa Electric's peak hours
- The generator installation and operation comply with all applicable regulations
- 2. Customers are responsible for wiring changes and controls related to their generator(s).
- 3. The monthly incentive is \$3.00 per kW for average transferable demand of a customer's load to a standby generator(s).
- 4. The initial credit will be determined by Tampa Electric in the field at the customer's site by transferring the customer's normal load to the standby generator(s).

- 5. The customer response time for load transfer to the generator(s) is a maximum of 30 minutes from time of notification.
- 6. Winter is November through March. Summer is April through October.
- 7. The company's prime use periods for normal control are as follows: Winter 6:00 A.M. to 11:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M. Summer 2:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M.
- 8. Tampa Electric will perform field verifications on all installations.
- The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021
 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Demand reduction for this program is achieved through the orderly load transfer to the customer's generator(s). Tampa Electric provides notification equipment and, when necessary, special metering to identify the transferred load. The anticipated generator operation is 100 hours per year. Demand and costs estimates are based on current customer averages. Energy is based on the anticipated generator operation per year.

Demand:

The average demand reduction is as follows:

Summer:

472 kW per customer

Winter:

430 kW per customer

Energy:

46,780 kWh per customer

Costs:

Costs are based on present per customer averages.

Administration & Installation:

\$6,304

Incentives:

\$16,362

Maintenance:

\$743

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

PROGRAM NAME: STANDBY GENERATOR

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
		Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69,953	289	1	0.3%	1
2006	71.177	294	1	0.7%	2
2007	72,474	299	1	1.0%	G
2008	73,670	304	1	1.3%	4
2009	74,906	309	1	1.6%	5
2010	76,397	315	1	1.9%	6
2011	77,929	321	1	2.2%	7
2012	79,452	327	1	2.4%	8
2013	80,800	333	1	2.7%	9
2014	82,160	338	1	3.0%	10

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

PROGRAM NAME: STANDBY GENERATOR

	AT THE METER												
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total							
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual							
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW							
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction							
2005	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.047	0.430	0.472							
2006	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.094	0.860	0.944							
2007	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.140	1.290	1.416							
2008	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.187	1.720	1.888							
2009	46,780	430,000	472.000	0.234	2.150	2.360							
2010	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.281	2.580	2.832							
2011	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.327	3.010	3.304							
2012	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.374	3.440	3.776							
2013	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.421	3.870	4.248							
2014	46,780	430.000	472.000	0.468	4.300	4.720							

PROGRAM NAME: STANDBY GENERATOR

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.049	0.458	0.503
2006	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.099	0.916	1.005
2007	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.148	1.374	1.508
2008	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.198	1.832	2.011
2009	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.247	2.290	2.513
2010	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.297	2.748	3.016
2011	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.346	3.206	3.519
2012	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.396	3.664	4.021
2013	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.445	4.122	4.524
2014	49,493	457.950	502.680	0.495	4.580	5.027

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Standby Generator

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS, & DIST COSTS I. (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER 472 KW /CUST IV (1) BASE YEAR 2005 (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 514 210 KW GEN/CUST IV (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT 2008 (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D 2008 65% IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 230.18 \$/KW (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 49660 KWH/CUST/YR (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 5.8 % IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST 0 \$/KW (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0 \$/KW (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (7) GEN. TRAN. & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 2.3 % (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER 46780 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.544 \$/KW/YR IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % **ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS** IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & MICOST D \$/KW/YR II. (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM 26 YEARS IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS 26 YEARS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH II (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION 1.6926 IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D 1.6926 IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 5.5 % (6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH 0 N IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE. 1.43 % IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW 0 \$/KW/YR UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 6304.00 \$/CUST (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 743.00 \$/CUST/YR III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % III. (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST 0.00 \$/CUST NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 1 370 CENTS/KWH (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % III. (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST 3301 \$/CUST/YR V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 7.25 \$/KW/MO 2.5 % (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION 0 \$/CUST V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT 0 % III. (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS 0 \$/CUST/YR FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL 0 III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE 0.0939 III. (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS 0.0779 (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO III. (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 0.00 \$/CUST 6.93 III. (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) 396 16362.00 \$/CUST/YR (3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE 0 % 2.14

PSC FORM CE 1.1

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1

INCREASED UTILITY PARTICIPANT PROGRAM SUPPLY PROGRAM PROGRAM OTHER TOTAL AVOIDED AVOIDED FUEL OTHER TOTAL COSTS COSTS COSTS COSTS GEN UNIT T & D SAVINGS BENEFITS BENEFITS BENEFITS BENEFITS														
YEAR \$(000) \$(0		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
YEAR \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) \$(000) BENEFITS \$(000) \$(000)						OTHER	TOTAL	AVOIDED	AVOIDED		OTHER	TOTAL	NET	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET
YEAR \$(000) \$(00			COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS			SAVINGS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	BENEFITS
2005		YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)			\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
2006												1		(7)
2007				-			_					3		(16)
2008			0									5	(12)	(27)
2009		2008	0		11	0	13	145	0	6	0	151	138	79
2011			0	2	11	0	13	143	0	6	0	149	135	173
2012 0 3 12 0 14 136 0 8 0 2013 0 3 12 0 15 134 0 7 0 2014 0 3 12 0 15 132 0 7 0 2014 0 3 13 13 0 16 131 0 8 0 2016 0 3 13 0 16 129 0 8 0 2016 0 3 13 0 16 129 0 8 0 2018 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 0 2019 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 0 2019 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 0 2019 0 3 14 0 17 124 0 7 0 2020 0 3 15 0 18 122 0 8 0 2021 0 3 15 0 18 122 0 8 0 2021 0 3 15 0 18 122 0 8 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2020 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2010	0	3	11	0	14	140	0	7	0	148	134	259
2013		2011	0	3	11	0	14	138	0	8	0	146	132	336
2014 0 3 12 0 15 132 0 7 0 2015 0 3 13 0 16 131 0 8 0 2016 0 3 13 0 16 129 0 8 0 2016 0 3 13 0 16 129 0 8 0 2017 0 3 13 0 16 129 0 8 0 2018 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 0 2019 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 0 2019 0 3 14 0 17 124 0 7 0 2020 0 3 14 0 18 122 0 8 0 2021 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 19 118 0 9 0 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 0 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 0 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2012	0	3	12	0	14	136	0	8	0	144	130	405
2015 0 3 13 0 16 131 0 8 0 2016 0 3 13 0 16 129 0 8 0 2017 0 3 13 0 16 127 0 8 0 2018 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 0 2019 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 7 0 2020 0 3 14 0 17 124 0 7 0 2020 0 3 14 0 18 122 0 8 0 2021 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 19 118 0 9 0 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 0 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0		2013	0	3	12	0	15	134	0	7	0	141	126	467
2016 0 3 13 0 16 129 0 8 0 2017 0 3 13 0 16 127 0 8 0 2018 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 0 2019 0 3 14 0 17 124 0 7 0 2020 0 3 14 0 18 122 0 8 0 2021 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 19 118 0 9 0 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 0 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 9 0 2027 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0		2014	0	3	12	0	15	132	0	7	0	140	125	522
2017 0 3 13 0 16 127 0 8 0 2018 0 3 14 0 17 126 0 8 2019 0 3 14 0 17 124 0 7 2020 0 3 14 0 18 122 0 8 2021 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 2022 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 2023 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 2028 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0	_	2015	0	3	13	0	16	131	0	8	0	138	123	572
\$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc		2016	0	3	13	0	16	129	0	8	0	137	121	617
2019 0 3 14 0 17 124 0 7 0 2020 0 3 14 0 18 122 0 8 0 2021 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 19 118 0 9 0 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 0 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2000 0 117 0 10 0 2010 0 0 0 2029 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2029 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2029 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	2017	0	3	13	0	16	127	0	8	0	135	119	658
2020 0 3 14 0 18 122 0 8 0 2021 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 19 118 0 9 0 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 0 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2020 0 117 0 9 0 2020 0 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 10 0 2020 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$	2018	0	3	14	0	17	126	0	8	0	133	117	694
2021 0 3 15 0 18 121 0 9 0 2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 2023 0 3 15 0 19 118 0 9 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2019	0	3	14	0	17	124	0	7	0	131	114	727
2022 0 3 15 0 18 119 0 9 0 2023 0 3 15 0 19 118 0 9 2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2020	0	3	14	0	18	122	0	8	0	131	113	756
2023		2021	0	3	15	0	18	121	0	9	0	130	112	783
2024 0 4 16 0 19 117 0 9 0 2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2022	0	3	15	0	18	119	0	9	0	128	109	806
2025 0 4 16 0 20 117 0 9 0 2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2023	0	3	15	0	19	118	0	9	0	126	107	828
2026 0 4 17 0 20 117 0 10 0 2027 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2024	0	4	16	0	19	117	0	9	0	126	107	847
2027		2025	0	4	16	0	20	117	0	9	0	126	106	865
2028 0 4 17 0 21 117 0 10 0 2 1 127 0 0 10 0 10		2026	0	4	17	0	20	117	0		0	127	106	881
2029 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 9 0 2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2027	0	4	17	0	21	117	0	10	0	127	106	896
2030 0 4 18 0 22 117 0 11 0 NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2028	0	4	17	0	21	117	0	10	0	127	105	909
NOMINAL 0 96 342 0 438 2,904 0 199 0 NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2029	0	4	18	0	22	117	0	9	0	126	104	921
NPV: 0 43 114 0 157 1,022 0 67 0		2030	0	4	18	0	22	117	0	11	0	127	105	932
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NOMINAL	0	96	342	0	438	2,904	0	199	0	3,103	2,665	
Discount Rate 0.0939 Benefit/Cost Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]: 6.93		NPV:	0	43	114	0	157	1,022	0	67	0	1,090	932	
		Discount Ra	ite	0.0939	Benefit/Cost F	Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:	: '	6.93					

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		SAVINGS										
		IN						CUSTOMER				CUMULATIVE
		PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O & M	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	DISCOUNTED
		BILL	CREDITS	REBATES		BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
	YEAR_	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	1	0		0	_	0		0		2 8	8
	2006	3	0	25	0	28	0	5	0		5 23	28
	2007	5	0	41	0	46	0	9	0		9 38	60
	2008	7	0	49	0	56	0	11	0	1		94
	2009	6	0	49	0	55	0	11	0	1		125
	2010	7	0	49	0	56	0	11	0	1		154
	2011	7	0	49	0	57	0	11	0	1		180
	2012	7	0	49	0	56	0	12	0	1	2 45	204
	2013	6	0	49	0	56	0	12	0	1	2 44	225
	2014	7	0	49	0	56	0	12	0	1	2 44	245
4	2015	7	0	49	0	56	0	13	0	1	3 43	262
	2016	7	0	49	0	56	0	13	0	1	3 43	278
رب	2017	7	0	49	0	56	0	13	0	1	3 43	293
	2018	7	0	49	0	56	0	14	0	1	4 43	306
	2019	7	0	49	0	56	0	14	0	1	4 42	318
	2020	8	0	49	0	57	0	14	0	1	4 42	329
	2021	8	0	49	0	57	0	15	0	1	5 42	339
	2022	8	0	49	Ō	57	0	15	0	1		348
	2023	8	0	49	0	57	0	15	0	1		356
	2024	8	ō	49	0	57	Ō	16	Ō	1		364
	2025	8	ő	49	ō	57	ō	16	ō	1		371
	2026	8	ō	49	ō	58	ō	17	ō	1		377
	2027	9	ő	49	Ö	58	ő	17	Ö	1		383
	2028	9	o o	49	0	58	0	17	Ö	1		388
	2029	8	ő	49	0	57	0	18	ő	1		392
	2030	9	0	49	0	58	0	18	0	1		396
	2030	9	U	49	U	50	U	10	U	,	40	330
	NOMINAL	182	0	1,203	0	1,385	0	342	0	34	2 1,043	
	NPV:	64	0	446	0	510	0	114	0	11	4 396	
	In service ye	ear of gen unit:		2004								

0.0939

Discount rate:

PSC FORM CE 2.5 Page 1 of 1 November 9, 2004

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS		TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	0		8	0	0			0		0	1	(14)	(14)
	2006	0	8		1	0	33		0	_	0	3	(30)	(42)
	2007	0	9		2	0	51		0	0	0	5	(46)	(80)
	2008	0	2		2	0	53		0	•	0	151	98	(6)
	2009	0	2		2	0	54		0	•	0	149	95	61
	2010	-	3		2	0	54		0	_	0	148	94	121
	2011	0	3		2	0	54		0	•	0	146	92	175
	2012 2013	0	3	49 49	2 2	0	54 54		0	_	0	144 141	90 87	223
	2013	0	3	49 49	2	0	54 54		0	0	0	141	86 86	265 304
4	2014	0	3	49	2	0	54 54	138	0	0	0	138	84	338
	2016	0	3	49	2	0	54	137	0	0	0	137	83	369
い れ	2017	0	3	49	2	0	54 54	135	0	0	0	135	81	396
C	2017	0	3	49	2	0	54	133	0	0	0	133	79	421
	2019	0	3	49	2	0	54		0	0	0	131	77	443
	2020	0	3	49	2	Ö	55		0	0	0	131	76	463
	2021	0	3	49	2	Ö	55		ő	ő	ŏ	130	75	480
	2022	Ö	3	49	2	ő	55		ō	ō	ő	128	73	496
	2023	ō	3	49	2	ō	55		ō	0	ŏ	126	72	510
	2024	ō	4	49	2	Ō	55		ō	Ō	Ō	126	71	523
	2025	Ō	4	49	2	Ō	55		Ō	Ō	0	126	71	535
	2026	0	4	49	2	Ō	55		Ō	0	0	127	72	546
	2027	0	4	49	2	0	55	127	0	0	0	127	72	556
	2028	0	4	49	2	0	55	127	Ō	0	0	127	71	565
	2029	0	4	49	2	0	56	126	0	0	0	126	70	573
	2030	0	4	49	2	0	56	127	0	0	0	127	72	581
	NOMINAL	0	96	1,203	54	0	1,353	3,103	0	0	0	3,103	1,750	
	NPV:	0	43	446	19	0	509	1,090	0	0	0	1,090	581	
	Discount rate	e:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		2.14				

Program: Conservation Value Program

Program Start Date: April 1991

Program Description

This is an incentive program available for all commercial/industrial customers on firm rates to recognize and encourage investments in demand shifting or demand reduction measures. Measures funded in this program will not be covered under other Tampa Electric commercial/industrial conservation programs. Candidates are identified through the energy audit, or their engineering consultants can submit proposals for funding which offer energy reduction during weather sensitive peak times.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. All commercial/industrial customers on firm rates within Tampa Electric's service area are eligible.
- 2. Average kW is defined as the total kWh used in the seasonal peak period divided by the total peak hours in the season.
- 3. An average minimum 5 kW summer and/or winter savings is required.
- 4. Measures must comply with all applicable codes.
- 5. For Tampa Electric to consider measures for potential program participation, the customer must submit their proposal along with a preliminary engineering analysis with relevant demand and energy calculations prior to measure installation. The engineering analysis may require a professional seal.

6. Measure eligibility:

- a. Eligible Measures Most commercially available and accepted demand reduction technologies are eligible for consideration including, but not limited to, renewable energy sources, highly efficient chillers and motors, refrigeration measures, thermal energy storage systems in lieu of conventional cooling systems, lighting measures in unconditioned space, water heating measures and other measures not covered by other Tampa Electric approved conservation programs.
- Ineligible Measures This would include measures potentially in conflict with environmental regulations (CFCs, water conservation, indoor air quality), on-site generation, emergency generation, and cogeneration. If a measure qualifies for two rebates (Tampa Electric and another utility company), Tampa Electric will not pay its rebate so

that a double payment is avoided. Additionally, customers on non-firm rates and those that make operational (behavioral) modifications are not eligible.

- c. Any measure undergoing R & D evaluations is not eligible.
- 7. The baseline for measure evaluation will be the existing equipment efficiency unless the measure is covered by a minimum product standard or code for efficiency.
- 8. Customer's simple payback period, including incentives, shall not be less than two years.
- Measures producing an average demand reduction of 50 kW or less which have demonstrated 90 days of successful continued operation will be issued a rebate after field verification.
- Measures producing an average demand reduction greater than 50 kW which have demonstrated 90 days of successful continued operation will receive 50% of the calculated rebate amount after field verification. The remaining incentive will be dispensed at the end of one year following final field verification for successful operation.
- 11. A maximum incentive of \$200.00/avg. kW reduction will be paid based on savings from a baseline case. Tampa Electric will determine the incentive qualification by using the FPSC cost-effectiveness tests described in Rule 25-17.008, F.A.C. A benefit-to-cost ratio of at least 1.0 will be used.
- 12. Summer peak is identified as 12-9 PM, M-F, April through October. Winter peak is identified as 6-10 AM and 6-10 PM, M-F, November through March.
- 13. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Savings and Costs

Program costs include estimates for marketing, administration and field verification for participation and incentives. These estimates are used to maintain a minimum benefit-cost-ratio of 1.0 utilizing the prescribed Commission cost-effectiveness methodology. Demand and energy savings of 24.13 kW summer reduction, 13.08 kW winter reduction and 115,844 annual kWh represent an average potential customer; however, actual data will be available from any participating customer.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

The measures evaluated in this program are specifically unique to each participant. Because of this, every Conservation Value participant is evaluated on a case by case basis, including verification of savings.

Customers and/or their consultants are responsible for demand and energy savings estimates. Tampa Electric will advise the customer of any special metering requirements when conservation measure(s) are submitted for review. If the company does require special metering, the customer will include such provision in the design of the measure. The company may choose to furnish and install metering equipment. The customer may also be requested to assist in data collection for complex measures.

PROGRAM NAME: CONSERVATION VALUE

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	1	Total	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level	Program
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	%	Participants*
2005	69,953	3.498	1	0.0%	1
2006	71,177	3,559	1	0.1%	2
2007	72,474	3,624	1	0.1%	C
2008	73,670	3,683	1	0.1%	4
2009	74,906	3,745	1	0.1%	Ε
2010	76,397	3,820	1	0.2%	6
2011	77,929	3,896	1	0.2%	7
2012	79.452	3,973	1	0.2%	8
2013	80,800	4,040	1	0.2%	9
2014	82,160	4,108	1	0.2%	1C

^{*} Previous participation levels not included.

137

PROGRAM NAME: CONSERVATION VALUE

AT THE METER										
	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total				
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual				
i i	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW				
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction				
2005	115,844	13.080	24.130	0.116	0.013	0.024				
2006	115,844	13.080	24,130	0.232	0.026	0.048				
2007	115,844	13.080	24.130	0.348	0.039	0.072				
		13.080	24.130	0.463	0.052	0.097				
2009	115,844	13.080	24.130	0.579	0.065	0.121				
2010	115,844	13.080	24.130	0.695	0.078	0.145				
2011	115,844	13.080	24.130	0.811	0.092	0.169				
2012	115,844	13.080	24.130	0.927	0.105	0.193				
2013	115,844	13.080	24.130	1.043	0.118	0.217				
2014	115,844	13.080	24.130	1.158	0.131	0.241				

PROGRAM NAME: CONSERVATION VALUE

	Per	Per	Per	Total	Total	Total
	Customer	Customer	Customer	Annual	Annual	Annual
	kWh	Winter kW	Summer kW	GWh	Winter mW	Summer mW
Year	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Reduction
2005	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.123	0.014	0.026
2006	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.245	0.028	0.051
2007	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.368	0.042	0.077
2008	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.490	0.056	0.103
2009	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.613	0.070	0.128
2010	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.735	0.084	0.154
2011	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.858	0.098	0.180
2012	122,563	13.930	25.698	0.981	0.111	0.206
2013	122,563	13.930	25.698	1.103	0.125	0.231
2014	122,563	13.930	25.698	1.226	0.139	0.257

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Conservation Value

AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS. & DIST COSTS **PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES** (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER 24.13 KW /CUST IV. (1) BASE YEAR 2005 (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 24.514 KW GEN/CUST IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT 2008 (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 6.5 % IV. (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D 2008 (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 122977 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 230.18 \$/KW (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 5.8 % IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST 0 \$/KW IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0 \$/KW (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER IV. (7) GEN, TRAN, & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER 0 KWH/CUST/YR 2.3 % (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER 115844 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.544 \$/KW/YR IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % **ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS** IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM 20 YEARS 0 \$/KW/YR (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH II. (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % 1.6926 II. (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR. 5.5 % 1.6926 (6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH 1 IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1.43 % IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW 0 \$/KW/YR TILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE 0 % (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 1933.00 \$/CUST III. (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 0.00 \$/CUST/YR III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % III. (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES 41719.00 \$/CUST (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 1.370 CENTS/KWH 2.5 % III. (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST 0 \$/CUST/YR (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 7.25 \$/KW/MO III. (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION 0 \$/CUST V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT 0 % III. (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL 0 \$/CUST/YR 0.9 III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE 0.0939 CALCULATED BENEFITS AND COSTS III. (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE 0.0779 III. (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 4826.00 \$/CUST (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 1.54 III. (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) 0.00 \$/CUST/YR 89 (3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE 1,69 0 %

PSC FORM CE 1.1

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS	
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	
	2005	0		42	0	44	0	0	2		2		(42)	
	2006	0	2	43	0	45	0	0	7	0	7	(38)	(76)	
	2007	0	2	44	0	46	0	0	13	0	13	(33)	(104)	
	2008	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	15	0	21	21	(88)	
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	14	0	19	19	(74)	
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	18	0	24	24	(59)	
	2011	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	20	0	25		(44)	
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	20	0	25	25	(31)	
•	2013	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	18	0	24	24	(19)	
a (2014	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	18	0	24	24	(8)	
)	2015	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	19	0	25	25	2	
	2016	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	20	0	26	26	11	
	2017	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	19	0	26	26	20	
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	19	0	26	26	28	
	2019	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	18	0	25	25	35	
	2020	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	21	0	27	27	42	
	2021	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	22	0	29	29	49	
	2022	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	22	0	29	29	55	
	2023	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	21	0	28	28	61	
	2024	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	22	0	29	29	66	
	NOMINAL	0	6	128	0	134	106	0	348	0	454	320		
	NPV:	0	5	117	0	123	42	0	147	0	189	66		
	Discount Rate 0.0939 Benefit/Cost Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:					1.54								

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	SAVINGS IN					CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER				CUMULATIVE
	PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O & M	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	DISCOUNTED
	BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
2005		0		0		42	0	0		42 (34)	(34)
2006		ŏ	5	0	15	43	ő	0		43 (27)	(59)
2007		Ō	5	Ō	23	44	Ô	0		44 (21)	(76)
2008		0	0	0	22	0	0	0		0 22	(59)
2009	21	0	0	0	21	0	0	0		0 21	(44)
2010	24	0	0	0	24	0	0	0		0 24	(29)
2011	25	0	0	0	25	0	0	0		0 25	(15)
2012		0	0	0	24	0	0	0		0 24	(2)
2013		0	0	0	22	0	0	0		0 22	9
2014	23	0	0	0	23	0	0	0		0 23	20
2015		0	0	0	23	0	0	0		0 23	29
2016		0	0	0	24	0	0	0		0 24	38
2017	24	0	0	0	24	0	0	0		0 24	46
2018	24	0	0	0	24	0	0	0		0 24	53
2019	23	0	0	0	23	0	0	0		0 23	60
2020		0	0	0	25	0	0	0		0 25	67
2021	26	0	0	0	26	0	0	0		0 26	73
2022	26	0	0	0	26	0	0	0		0 26	79
2023	26	0	0	0	26	0	0	0		0 26	84
2024	27	0	0	0	27	0	0	0		0 27	89
NOMINAL	442	0	14	0	456	128	0	0	1	28 328	
NPV:	193	0	13	0	206	117	0	0	1	17 89	

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate: 2004 0.0939

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT	
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	
	2005	0	2		2	0	8				0			(6)	
	2006	0	2		5	0	12	7	0	0	0	7	(5)	(11)	
	2007	0	2	5	9	0	16	13	0	0	0	13	(3)	(13)	
	2008	0	0	0	11	0	11	21	0	0	0	21	10	(6)	
	2009	0	0	0	11	0	11	19	0	0	0	19	8	0	
	2010	0	0	0	11	0	11	24	0	0	0	24	13	8	
	2011	0	0	0	11	0	11	25	0	0	0	25	14	17	
	2012	0	0	0	11	0	11	25	0	0	0	25	14	24	
	2013	0	0	0	11	0	11	24	0	0	0	24	12	30	
	2014	0	0	0	11	0	11	24	0	0	0	24	13	36	
	2015	0	0	0	12	0	12	25	0	0	0	25	13	41	
1	2016	0	0	0	12	0	12	26	0	0	0	26	14	47	
)	2017	0	0	0	12	0	12		0	0	0	26	14	51	
	2018	0	0	0	12	0	12		0	0	0	26	14	56	
	2019	0	0	0	12	0	12		0	0	0	25	13	59	
	2020	0	0	0	12	0	12		0	0	0	27	15	63	
	2021	0	0	0	12	0	12		0	0	0	29	17	67	
	2022	0	0	0	12	0	12		0	0	0	29	17	71	
	2023	0	0		12	0	12		0	0	0	28	16	74	
	2024	0	0	0	13	0	13	29	0	0	0	29	17	77	
	NOMINAL	0	6	14	214	0	234	454	0	0	0	454	220		
	NPV:	0	5	13	93	0	112	189	0	0	0	189	77		
	Discount ra	te:	0.0939	Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.69							

Program: Conservation Research and Development ("R&D")

Program Start Date: July 1995

Program Description

This program is in response to Rule 25-17.001 (5) (f), F.A.C., that requires aggressive R&D projects be "...an ongoing part of the practice of every well managed utility's programs." It is also in support of FPSC Order No. 22176 dated November 14, 1989, requiring utilities to "...pursue research, development, and demonstration projects designed to promote energy efficiency and conservation." R&D activity will be conducted on proposed measures to determine the impact to the company and its ratepayers and may occur at customer premises, Tampa Electric facilities or at independent test sites. Tampa Electric will report program progress through the annual ECCR True-Up filing.

Program Participation Standards

Measures for R&D can be residential or commercial in nature and may be either new in the marketplace or existing measures which meet the criteria below.

- The proposed measure has the potential to affect Tampa Electric or its ratepayers.
- Sufficient data is not currently available to evaluate the impact of the proposed measure.
- Data on the proposed measure is available, but is not relevant to the central Florida climate zone.

Eligible Measures

Most technology measures are eligible for consideration including renewable and green energy sources, energy efficient construction, heat recovery, space conditioning equipment, refrigeration, cooking, fuel cells, ventilation, pumps and fan efficiency, thermal energy storage systems, water heating, etc.

Program Costs

Program costs are estimated at \$100,000 per year for a five-year period. Expenses for a given year may exceed \$100,000; however, total program cost shall not exceed \$500,000 for the five-year period. For individual R&D projects estimated to be greater than \$50,000 in cost, Tampa Electric will seek administrative approval from the FPSC Staff prior to proceeding with the evaluation.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Data collected shall be in support of the FPSC cost effectiveness methodology, specifically, input data for conducting RIM, TRC, and Participant Tests. Positive measure evaluation results may support future ECCR program filings by the company.

Program: Cogeneration

Program Start Date: January 1981

Program Description

Tampa Electric's Cogeneration program is administered by a professional team experienced in working with cogenerators. The group manages functions related to coordination with Qualifying Facilities ("QFs") including negotiations, agreements and informational requests; functions related to governmental, regulatory and legislative bodies; research, development, data acquisition and analysis; economic evaluations of existing and proposed QFs as well as the preparation of Tampa Electric's Annual Twenty-Year Cogeneration Forecast.

The Cogeneration team leads Tampa Electric's involvement with prospective cogeneration projects that may be developed within our retail service area. This involvement includes developing and providing interconnection cost estimates, determining appropriate relaying schemes, establishing operation and maintenance procedures and negotiating purchase power and transmission service agreement when appropriate.

Program Activities

A detailed description of the activities conducted under the Cogeneration program is listed below.

- Plan, develop and assist in administering and implementing corporate and FPSC policies and regulations in areas related to cogeneration activities.
- Provide consultation, data and other specific information on a daily basis to cogeneration customers, consultants, industry executives, FPSC and other governmental agencies, developers, other utilities and various media publications regarding cogeneration policies, FPSC rules, avoided cost rates and other related criteria.
- Prepare testimony and represent Tampa Electric at hearings, rulemaking and workshop sessions, and specific tariff activities before the FPSC and other governmental agencies.
- Conduct research and development, data acquisition and economic analyses that provide reliable criteria upon which to evaluate the feasibility of cogeneration and small power production facilities.

- Prepare and issue monthly correspondence to cogeneration customers which includes a payment statement, hour-by-hour energy payment rates for preliminary and final energy payments, identification of hourly differences between preliminary and final energy payments and early capacity payment accrual accounts.
- Obtain appropriate initial and subsequent renewal Certificates of Insurance for each cogeneration customer interconnected with Tampa Electric and for each cogeneration customer under contract with the company, sufficient to cover the customer's liability with the company.
- Prepare monthly and quarterly reports of cogeneration activities, avoided costs, etc., for submittal to the FPSC.
- Review monthly O&M bills for a customer's substation and transmission interconnections with the company.
- Determine if each customer's monthly contract standby demand level remains appropriate, and when ratcheted, the new level does not exceed the customer's generator capacity.
- Direct communications and develop the negotiations and final contractual language for interconnection, operating and transmission service agreements with cogeneration and small power production facilities.
- Assist the company's engineering and maintenance personnel with cogeneration maintenance procedures and cost estimates.
- Coordinate all cogeneration-related activities with other company departments.
- Develop the company's forecast of annual sales to cogeneration customers.
- Serve as a resource for budgeting non-fuel revenues from cogeneration customers for transmission service transactions, O&M on interconnected facilities and standby service from the company.
- Prepare and distribute the company's Twenty-Year Cogeneration Forecast.

Projected Costs

Program costs are estimated on an annual basis and are integral to the company's annual ECCR Projection Filings. Actual expenses are reported in the annual ECCR True-Up Filings and subject to FPSC audits.

Program: Renewable Energy Pilot Program

Program Start Date: September 2000

Program Description

This is a program originally approved in Docket No. 000697-EI, Order No. PSC-00-1741-TRF-EI, issued September 25, 2000 as a three-year pilot to assist in the delivery of renewable energy for the company's Green Energy Pilot Program. This specific effort provides funding for program administration, evaluation and market research.

On October 1, 2003, Tampa Electric filed a petition to extend the pilot through December 2006. The petition was approved by the FPSC in Docket No. 030959-EI, Order No. PSC-04-0386-TRF-EI, issued April 18, 2004.

Renewable energy participants will be served from the existing electrical system. Renewable energy may not be delivered to the customer, but will displace energy that would have otherwise been produced from traditional fossil fuels. Tampa Electric will report program progress through semi-annual reports and the annual ECCR True-up and Projection Filings.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Any residential or commercial customer in Tampa Electric's service area is eligible.
- 2. Customers may purchase unlimited blocks of renewable energy. One block of renewable energy is defined as 100 kWh.
- 3. The cost per block is \$5.00.
- 4. Service under this rate will be for a term of one-year and may be terminated by the customer with a two-month notice.
- 5. After completion of the initial 12-month term, service will be provided on a month-to-month basis.
- 6. The incremental purchase of renewable energy is included in the customer's monthly electric bill.
- 7. There are no technical specifications on equipment eligibility with this program.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program and provide reports on the program's progress every six months as required by the Commission in Docket No. 030959-EI, Order No. PSC-04-0386-TRF-EI, issued April 18, 2004.

Program: Industrial Load Management (GSLM 2&3)

Program Start Date: September 1999

Program Description

This is a load management program for large industrial customers with interruptible loads of 500 kW or greater. The program was approved by the FPSC in Docket No. 990037-EI, Order No. PSC-99-1778-FOF-EI, issued September 10, 1999. Assessments for customer participation are conducted every six months.

Program Participation Standards

- 1. Applicable to any commercial/industrial customer on a firm rate schedule in Tampa Electric's service area who signs a tariff agreement for the Purchase of Industrial Load Management or the Purchase of Industrial Standby and Supplemental Load Management Service.
- 2. Additional monthly customer charge is \$200.00.
- 3. The initial term of service shall be 36 months. The term shall be automatically extended after the end of the initial term subject to notice requirements. In addition to committing to take service for an initial term of 36 months, the customer is required to give the company prior written notice of desire to cease service under this program of at least 36 months. Such notice shall be irrevocable unless the company and the customer should mutually agree to void the notice.
- 4. Customers served under this program may elect to have Tampa Electric minimize interruption through purchases of energy under optional provision. The customer will pay, as part of its monthly service bill, an extra charge per kilowatt-hour for each kilowatt-hour consumed during the time of such purchase. The extra charge per kilowatt-hour shall be the amount per kilowatt-hour paid to the outside source less the amount per kilowatt-hour otherwise billed under this program, plus 2 mills (\$0.002) per kilowatt-hour.
- 5. The Contracted Credit Value ("CCV") paid for this service shall be established every year and identified in the company's annual ECCR Projection Filing. For 2005, the CCV is \$4.46 /kW/mo.
- 6. Tampa Electric will perform field verifications on all installations.

7. The reporting requirements for this program will follow Rule 25-17.0021 (5), F.A.C. Additionally, program expenses will be identified in the ECCR True-Up and Projection Filings.

Program Costs

Program costs include estimates for marketing, administration and field verification for participation and incentives. These estimates are used to maintain a benefit-cost-ratio of 1.2 utilizing the prescribed Commission cost-effectiveness methodology. Demand and energy savings of 3,171 kW summer reduction, 2,900 kW winter reduction and 734,000 annual kWh represent an average potential customer; however, actual data will be available from any participating customer.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Tampa Electric will monitor and evaluate this program through cost-effective techniques approved in the company's previously filed Demand Side Management Monitoring and Evaluation Plan, Docket No. 941173-EG.

INPUT DATA - PART 1 PROGRAM TITLE: Industrial Load Management (GSLM 2&3)

PSC FORM CE 1.1

November 9, 2004

PAGE 1 OF 1 RUN DATE:

AVOIDED GENERATOR, TRANS, & DIST COSTS PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES 2005 (1) CUSTOMER KW REDUCTION AT THE METER 3171 KW /CUST IV. (1) BASE YEAR (2) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 3456.804 KW GEN/CUST IV. (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT 2008 IV (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T & D 2008 (3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 65% (4) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER 779193 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST 230 18 \$/KW 0 \$/KW IV. (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST (5) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE 58% (6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER IV. (6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST 0.\$/KW (7) CUSTOMER KWH PROGRAM INCREASE AT METER 0 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (7) GEN. TRAN. & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE 2.3 % 734000 KWH/CUST/YR IV. (8) GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST 2.544 \$/KW/YR (8)* CUSTOMER KWH REDUCTION AT METER IV. (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % **ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS** IV. (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR II. (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM 26 YEARS IV. (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST 0 \$/KW/YR II. (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (12) T&D FIXED O&M ESCALATION RATE 25% II. (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE 26 YEARS IV. (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS 0.8135 CENTS/KWH IV. (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE ii. (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION 1.6926 25% (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D 1.6926 IV. (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR 2.7 % (6)* SWITCH REV REQ(0) OR VAL-OF-DEF (1) IV. (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST 6.27 CENTS/KWH 0 IV. (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1.43 % 0 \$/KW/YR IV. (18)* AVOIDED PURCHASE CAPACITY COST PER KW IV. (19)* CAPACITY COST ESCALATION RATE UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS 0 % III. (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER 1570.00 \$/CUST 1256.00 \$/CUST/YR III. (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER III. (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST 11025.00 \$/CUST NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES V. (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL 1.370 CENTS/KWH (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % III. (6) CUSTOMER O & M COST 0 \$/CUST/YR V. (2) NON-FUEL ESCALATION RATE 1 % III. (7) CUSTOMER O & M ESCALATION RATE 2.5 % V. (3) CUSTOMER DEMAND CHARGE PER KW 7.25 \$/KW/MO III. (8)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT PER INSTALLATION 0 \$/CUST V. (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE 1 % V. (5)* DIVERSITY and ANNUAL DEMAND ADJUSTMENT III. (9)* CUSTOMER TAX CREDIT ESCALATION RATE 0 % III. (10)* INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS 0 \$/CUST/YR FACTOR FOR CUSTOMER BILL 0 III. (11)* SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATE 0 % 0.0939 (12)* UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE (13)* UTILITY AFUDC RATE 0.0779 (14)* UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 0.00 \$/CUST (1)* TRC TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 75.10 (15)* UTILITY RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE 163682.00 \$/CUST/YR (2)* PARTICIPANT NET BENEFITS (NPV) 1,994 III. (16)* UTILITY REBATE/INCENTIVE ESCAL RATE (3)* RIM TEST - BENEFIT/COST RATIO 0 % 1.20

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
	INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS
_ YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
2005	0	_	11	0	13	0	0		0	14	0	0
2006	0		0	0	1	0	0	30	0	30	29	26
2007	0		0	0	1	0	0	33	0	33	31	53
2008	0	•	0	0	1	262	0	32	0	295	293	277
2009	0		0	0	1	256	0	29	0	285	284	475
2010	0		0	0	1	250	0	39	0	289	287	658
2011	0	•	0	0	1	244	0	41	0	285	283	824
2012	0	-	0	0	1	238	0	41	0	279	278	972
2013	0	_	0	0	2	233	0	37	0	270	268	1,103
2014	0	_	0	0	2	228	0	39	0	266	265	1,221
2015	0	_	0	0	2	223	0	39	0	262	260	1,327
2016	0		0	0	2	218	0	42	0	260	258	1,423
2017	0	_	0	0	2	213	0	41	0	253	252	1,509
2018	0	_	0	0	2	208	0	40	0	248	247	1,586
2019	0	_	0	0	2	203	0	39	0	242	240	1,654
2020	0	2	0	0	2	198	0	44	0	242	240	1,717
2021	0	2	0	0	2	193	0	46	0	240	238	1,773
2022	0	2	0	0	2	189	0	46	0	235	233	1,824
2023	0	2	0	0	2	185	0	44	0	229	227	1,869
2024	0	2	0	0	2	182	0	47	0	229	227	1,910
2025	0	2	0	0	2	181	0	46	0	227	225	1,948
2026	0	2	0	0	2	179	0	51	0	230	228	1,982
2027	0	2	0	0	2	178	0	53	0	231	229	2,014
2028	0	2	0	0	2	176	0	52	0	228	226	2,043
2029	0	2	0	0	2	175	0	48	0	222	220	2,068
2030	0	2	0	0	2	173	0	55	0	228	226	2,092
NOMINAL	0	46	11	0	57	4,783	0	1,068	0	5,852	5,795	
NPV:	0	17	11	0	28	1,741	0	379	0	2,120	2,092	
Discount Rate 0.0939 Benefit/Cost Ratio - [col (11)/col (6)]:							75.10					

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		SAVINGS										
		IN					CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER				CUMULATIVE
		PARTICIPANTS	TAX	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	EQUIPMENT	O&M	OTHER	TOTAL	NET	DISCOUNTED
		BILL	CREDITS	REBATES	BENEFITS	BENEFITS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS
_	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
	2005	15	0	82	0	97	11	0	0		11 86	86
	2006	33	0	164	0	196	0	0	0		0 196	266
	2007	34	0	164	0	197	0	0	0		0 197	431
	2008	34	0	164	0	198	0	0	0		0 198	582
	2009	32	0	164	0	196	0	0	0		0 196	719
	2010	38	0	164	0	202	0	0	0		0 202	848
	2011	39	0	164	0	203	0	0	0		0 203	966
	2012	39	0	164	0	202	0	0	0		0 202	1,074
	2013	34	0	164	0	198	0	0	0		0 198	1,170
	2014	36 35	0	164	0	200	0	0	0		0 200	1,260
 2	2015	35 37	0	164 164	0	199 201	0	0	0		0 199 0 201	1,340
	2016 2017	37 37	0	164	0	201	U	0	0		0 201	1,415 1,484
Ų.	2017	37	0	164	0	201	0	0	0		0 201	1,546
に	2019	35	0	164	0	199	0	0	0		0 199	1,603
	2020	39	0	164	0	203	0	0	0		0 203	1,656
	2021	41	0	164	Ö	205	0	0	0		0 205	1,704
	2022	41	0	164	Ö	205	0	0	0		0 205	1,749
	2023	40	0	164	ő	204	ő	Ö	0		0 204	1,789
	2024	42	ő	164	ō	205	ō	ŏ	0		0 205	1,827
	2025	41	ō	164	0	205	Ō	0	0		0 205	1,861
	2026	44	0	164	0	208	0	Ō	0		0 208	1,892
	2027	45	0	164	0	209	0	0	0		0 209	1,921
	2028	45	0	164	0	209	0	0	0		0 209	1,948
	2029	43	0	164	0	206	0	0	0		0 206	1,972
	2030	47	0	164	0	211	0	0	0		0 211	1,994
٨	IOMINAL	986	0	4,174	0	5,159	11	0	0		11 5,148	
٨	IPV:	365	0	1,640	0	2,005	11	0	0		1,994	

In service year of gen unit: Discount rate: 2004 0.0939

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		INCREASED SUPPLY COSTS	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS	INCENTIVES	REVENUE LOSSES	OTHER COSTS	TOTAL COSTS	AVOIDED GEN UNIT UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED T & D BENEFITS	REVENUE GAINS	OTHER BENEFITS	TOTAL BENEFITS	NET BENEFITS TO ALL CUSTOMERS	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
	YEAR	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	164 164 164 164 164 164 164 164 164 164	5 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 175 175 176 176 176 176 176 177 177 177 177 177	30 33 295 285 289 285 279 270 266 262 260 253 248 242 242 240 235 229	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 30 33 295 285 289 285 279 270 266 262 260 253 248 242 242 240 235	(75) (145) (143) 119 110 113 109 103 94 90 85 83 77 72 65 65 65 63 57	(75) (208) (327) (236) (160) (87) (24) 31 77 117 152 183 209 231 250 267 282 294 304
	2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	164 164 164 164 164	12 12 12 13 13 13	0 0 0 0 0 0	178 178 178 178 179 179	227 230 231 228 222	0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0	229 227 230 231 228 222 228	51 49 52 52 50 44 49	314 322 330 337 343 348 354
	NOMINAL	0	46	4,174	292	0	4,512	5.852	0	0	0	5,852	1,340	
	NPV:	0	17	1,640	110	0	1,767	2,120	0	0	0	2,120	354	
	Discount ra	ite:		0.0939		Benefit/Cos	t Ratio - [co	ol (12)/col (7)]:		1.20				