PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET No. 050001-EI

Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Final True-Up for the Period January through December, 2004

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PAMELA R. MURPHY

March 1, 2005

1	Q.	Please stat	te vour name	and business	address.
•	-	I IVAOV OLA	io jour manno	WILM MACHINGS	~~~

A. My name is Pamela R. Murphy. My business address is P. O. Box 1551, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602.

4

5

6

2

3

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am employed by Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc., as Director, Gas & Oil Trading.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

7

Q. What are your duties and responsibilities in that position?

A. As Director of Gas & Oil Trading, my responsibilities include managing the purchase and delivery of natural gas and fuel oil for Progress Energy Florida ("Progress Energy" or "Company"), as well as Progress Energy Carolinas. I also am responsible for oversight in all negotiations regarding natural gas and fuel oil contracts to meet the requirements of each of these companies.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
02127 MAR-18

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the additional costs that Progress Energy incurred for natural gas and fuel oil due to storm events during the 2004 hurricane season. I also will describe the Company's efforts to mitigate the effect of natural gas and oil supply interruptions caused by those storms.

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

Progress Energy's natural gas and fuel oil supplies were affected to different extents by the storm events of the 2004 hurricane season. Tropical Storm Bonnie and Hurricane Ivan interrupted natural gas production in the Gulf of Mexico, causing Progress Energy's contract ("term") suppliers to invoke *force majeure* provisions in their contracts. Progress Energy used various means to mitigate the resulting impact on its natural gas supplies including replacement gas purchases on the spot market. The Company also made spot purchases to provide additional gas for coal and oil conservation measures. Because the spot purchase prices were higher than term contract prices, the Company experienced higher total gas costs as a result of the storms. The total incremental gas cost attributable to the storms is \$6,772,574, as compared to our original projection of \$6,740,224. The Company also made spot purchases of fuel oil to mitigate the impact of the 2004 storms on fuel supplies. These purchases resulted in additional incremental costs of \$25,888. In addition,

for safety reasons, Progress Energy incurred a demurrage charge of \$146,052 to avoid having an oil barge docked at the Bartow Plant during Hurricane Ivan. Thus, the total incremental costs of natural gas and fuel oil that Progress Energy incurred as a result of the storms of the 2004 hurricane season were \$6,944,514.

Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits with your testimony?

A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No. ___ (PRM-1), a table showing the calculation of total incremental natural gas costs attributable to the storm events of the 2004 hurricane season, Exhibit No. __ (PRM-2), a table showing natural gas volumes associated with spot purchases necessitated by the 2004 storms, Exhibit No. __ (PRM-3), a table showing the total incremental fuel oil costs attributable to the 2004 storms, and Exhibit No. __ (PRM-4), a report of the Mineral Management Service entitled the "Hurricane Ivan Evacuation and Production Shut-in Statistics"

Q. Which storm events during the 2004 hurricane season affected Progress Energy's term natural gas supplies?

A. During the 2004 hurricane season, two major storms affected term gas supplies for Progress Energy. Tropical Storm Bonnie affected term gas supplies from August 10th to the 13th. Hurricane Ivan also affected term gas supplies from September 13th through October 5th. Hurricane Charley,

Q. How did Hurricane Ivan and Tropical Storm Bonnie affect natural gas production in the Gulf of Mexico?

A. To different degrees, both storms caused natural gas production in the Gulf of Mexico to be "Shut-in." (Shut-in occurs when natural gas is no longer flowing from the production platforms; in this case because the platforms were evacuated and production was turned off at the well-head.) According to the "Hurricane Ivan Evacuation and Production Shut-in Statistics" provided by the Mineral Management Service, a bureau of the U.S. Department of Interior, the total cumulative shut-in gas production because of Hurricane Ivan was 172.259 Bcf. This equates to approximately 3.871% of the yearly production of gas in the Gulf of Mexico. A copy of the Mineral Management Service's Report is provided as Exhibit No. ___ (PRM-4).

Q. What effect did Hurricane Ivan and Tropical Storm Bonnie have on Progress Energy's term gas supplies?

A. Due to the Shut-ins caused by the storms, Progress Energy's term gas suppliers invoked force majeure clauses in their contracts. Under force majeure, these suppliers were not obligated to perform and Progress Energy was not obligated to pay under the contracts. Total term gas supply interruptions attributable to force majeure events caused by Tropical

- Q. Are Progress Energy's term gas suppliers obligated to make up the deliveries by providing additional natural gas in the future.
- A. No. Under the force majeure clauses in our supply contracts, the suppliers are relieved of any obligation to perform for the period of the force majeure event, and they are not obligated to provide additional gas in the future.

Q. How did Progress Energy mitigate term gas supply interruptions caused by Hurricane Ivan and Tropical Storm Bonnie?

A. During Hurricane Ivan and its aftermath, Progress Energy mitigated gas supply interruptions by: (1) purchasing replacement gas supplies from the spot market; (2) purchasing gas supplies from third party storage accounts; (3) utilizing a parking agreement on the Gulfstream pipeline for 200,000 Dths of natural gas; (4) utilizing fuel oil to the extent necessary for reliability purposes; and (5) working with Gulfstream and Florida Gas Transmission to use a portion of the existing gas in the pipelines to the extent operationally feasible to meet load. For the most part, Progress Energy used the same

measures to mitigate gas supply interruptions due to Tropical Storm Bonnie; but the Company did not purchase gas from third party storage accounts in connection with that storm.

Q. How does Progress Energy's parking agreement with Gulfstream help to mitigate gas supply interruptions?

A. Progress Energy previously negotiated and acquired a short-term parking agreement with Gulfstream to hold 200,000 Dths of natural gas for later delivery on demand. Progress Energy acquired this parking agreement to minimize the impact of unanticipated natural gas supply disruptions, such as storm-related gas production curtailments in the Gulf of Mexico, and to further ensure reliability in the event of unexpected increases in natural gas consumption. This agreement, which was in effect from July 1, 2004 through October 31, 2004, gave Progress Energy access to additional natural gas which helped mitigate the gas supply disruptions caused by Tropical Storm Bonnie and Hurricane Ivan.

Q. How does Progress Energy's Operational Balancing Account on Gulfstream help mitigate gas supply interruptions?

A. Progress Energy's Operational Balancing Account on Gulfstream provides for a daily balancing mechanism to account for the difference in actual burns versus actual gas deliveries. When Progress Energy has a positive imbalance in this account, we work with Gulfstream to use this excess gas

to supplement gas burns to the extent operationally feasible on Gulfstream's pipeline. Progress Energy utilized this account to help mitigate the natural gas interruptions caused by Tropical Storm Bonnie and Hurricane Ivan.

Q. How did the storms of the 2004 hurricane season affect Progress Energy's fuel oil supplies and how did the Company respond?

A. During August 9th to the 12th, Tropical Storm Bonnie caused slight delays to waterborne fuel oil deliveries from the Gulf Coast to Florida due to high seas in the Gulf of Mexico. Progress Energy adjusted delivery schedules and utilized inventory to manage the delays.

Immediately following Tropical Storm Bonnie, Hurricane Charley caused interruption of fuel oil deliveries to most of Progress Energy's oil-fired plants. Hurricane Charley also caused delays in waterborne fuel oil deliveries to distribution terminals in the Gulf Coast area. Evacuations in Florida also caused an increase in gasoline demand which reduced the amount of truck transportation equipment available to deliver No. 2 fuel oil to Progress Energy's oil-fired plants. As a result, fuel oil inventories were drawn down and the Company made spot purchases of fuel oil to supplement contract supplies after this event.

In early September, Hurricane Frances caused impacts similar to those described above from Hurricane Charley. The Company similarly

responded by making spot fuel oil purchases to supplement depleted contract supplies.

Hurricane Ivan moved through the Gulf of Mexico from September 13th to the 16th and again on September 21st to the 24th interrupting Gulf Coast waterborne supply due to high seas in the Gulf of Mexico. No spot barge deliveries to Bartow were made due to Hurricane Ivan. With Hurricane Ivan following closely after Hurricane Frances and limited trucking availability due to gasoline demand, Progress Energy was not fully able to keep up with fuel oil deliveries. As a result, fuel oil was conservatively used for reliability purposes and natural gas was burned in the dual fuel capable units at Bartow, Anclote and Suwannee until inventories could be replenished.

Hurricane Jeanne struck the east coast of Florida from September 25th to the 28th causing impacts similar to those described above for Hurricane Charley. Rail and truck deliveries to the Suwannee Plant were affected during Hurricanes Ivan and Jeanne. Fuel inventories were drawn down and natural gas was burned at Suwannee to conserve fuel oil until inventories could be replenished.

Q. How did the 2004 storms' impact on Progress Energy's coal supplies affect natural gas supply needs?

A. As discussed in Mr. Pitcher's direct testimony, due to coal inventory constraints cause by the cumulative effects of the 2004 storms, Progress

7

11 12

10

14

13

16

17

15

19

20

18

21 22

23

Energy implemented coal conservation measures beginning on September 20, 2004. As part of the coal conservation effort, natural gas-fired generation units were dispatched out of economic order ahead of coal units. This necessitated additional spot gas purchases beyond those needed to replace the term supplies lost as a result of the force majeure events.

How much natural gas did Progress Energy purchase on the spot Q. market due to the coal conservation measures necessitated by the

2004 storms?

Exhibit No. (PRM-2) shows the daily volumes of spot gas purchases associated with oil and coal conservation measures. These purchases, which were above and beyond those necessitated by force majeure events, were made from September 14 through October 6, 2004.

How did you determine the incremental natural gas costs attributable to the 2004 Storms?

The additional natural gas costs attributable to the 2004 storms include two components: (1) incremental costs of spot gas purchases made to replace cuts in term supplies resulting from force majeure events; and (2) incremental costs of additional spot purchases made to provide additional gas for oil and coal conservation measures. As shown on Exhibit No. _ (PRM-2), we added the daily gas volumes associated with these two categories of purchases to determine the total daily volume of spot gas deliveries attributable to the storms. As shown on Exhibit No. __ (PRM-1), we then determined the difference in daily gas costs by subtracting the average term gas costs from average spot gas cost for each day. We derived the total incremental gas costs for each day by multiplying the daily gas cost difference and the daily spot gas deliveries attributable to the storms. The sum of the daily incremental gas costs reflects the total incremental gas cost of \$6,772,574 shown on Exhibit No. __ (PRM-1). We used the same methodology to calculate the incremental gas costs in our original 2004 projections, but at that time we did not include spot purchases made as a result of Tropical Storm Bonnie in August, 2004.

Q. What effect did the fuel supply disruptions caused by the storms have on Progress Energy's overall fuel oil costs?

A. As a result of the storms, Progress Energy made replacement purchases of fuel oil on the spot market to help mitigate the disruptions in contract fuel oil supplies. Because the spot purchase prices were higher than contract prices, the Company experienced higher total fuel oil costs as a result of the storms. The resulting increase total fuel oil prices was \$25,888. In addition, for safety reasons, we incurred a demurrage charge of \$146,052 to avoid having a fuel oil barge docked at Bartow during Hurricane Ivan. Thus, as shown on Exhibit No. __ (PRM-3), the total incremental fuel oil costs associated with the 2004 storms was \$171,940.

A. Yes, it does.

2

Docket No. 050001-El Progress Energy Florida Direct Testimony of Pamela R. Murphy Exhibit No. __ (PRM-1) 2004 Storm Natural Gas Costs

PEF 2004 STORM NATURAL GAS COSTS

Tropical Storm Bonnie Incremental Gas Cost - August 2004

	Average	Average		Daily Spot	Total
	Term Gas	Spot Gas	Gas Cost	Gas	Incremental
Date	Cost	Cost	Difference	Deliveries	Gas Cost
8/10/2004	\$5.367	\$5.723	\$0.356	23,524	\$8,372
8/11/2004	\$5.367	\$5.978	\$0.611	110,387	\$67,435
8/12/2004	\$5.367	\$5.853	\$0.486	79,300	\$38,532
8/13/2004	\$5.367	\$5.639	\$0.272	97,235	\$26,438
Total					\$140,778

Hurricane Ivan Incremental Gas Cost - September 2004

	Average	Average		Daily Spot	Total
	Term Gas	Spot Gas	Gas Cost	Gas	Incremental
Date	Cost	Cost	Difference	Deliveries	Gas Cost
9/13/2004	\$5.012	\$5.750	\$0.738	53,048	\$39,128
9/14/2004	\$5.012	\$5.853	\$0.841	203,503	\$171,065
9/15/2004	\$5.012	\$6.306	\$1.294	169,658	\$219,470
9/16/2004	\$5.012	\$6.659	\$1.647	173,621	\$285,884
9/17/2004	\$5.012	\$6.657	\$1.645	204,049	\$335,579
9/18/2004	\$5.012	\$7.165	\$2.153	177,116	\$381,260
9/19/2004	\$5.012	\$7.140	\$2.128	185,043	\$393,697
9/20/2004	\$5.012	\$7.140	\$2.128	171,805	\$365,532
9/21/2004	\$5.012	\$7.588	\$2.576	139,099	\$358,263
9/22/2004	\$5.012	\$7.607	\$2.595	138,484	\$359,311
9/23/2004	\$5.012	\$7.313	\$2.301	156,257	\$359,485
9/24/2004	\$5.012	\$7.040	\$2.028	215,813	\$437,582
9/25/2004	\$5.012	\$7.436	\$2.424	206,618	\$500,759
9/26/2004	\$5.012	\$7.362	\$2.350	123,568	\$290,335
9/27/2004	\$5.012	\$8.403	\$3.391	75,500	\$255,990
9/28/2004	\$5.012	\$7.586	\$2.574	125,000	\$321,700
9/29/2004	\$5.012	\$7.439	\$2.427	144,200	\$349,916
9/30/2004	\$5.012	\$7.573	\$2.561	136,220	\$348,805
Total					\$5,773,762

Hurricane Ivan Incremental Gas Cost - October 2004

	Average Term Gas	Average Spot Gas	Gas Cost	Daily Spot Gas	Total Incremental
Date	Cost	Cost	Difference	Deliveries	Gas Cost
10/1/2004	\$5.063	\$7.446	\$2.383	137,194	\$326,906
10/2/2004	\$5.063	\$5.962	\$0.899	143,594	\$129,062
10/3/2004	\$5.063	\$5.962	\$0.899	133,794	\$120,254
10/4/2004	\$5.063	\$5.962	\$0.899	114,194	\$102,638
10/5/2004	\$5.063	\$6.455	\$1.392	70,900	\$98,679
10/6/2004	\$5.063	\$6.468	\$1.405	57,300	\$80,495
Total					\$858,033

Total \$6,772,574

Docket No. 050001-EI
Progress Energy Florida
Direct Testimony of Pamela R. Murphy
Exhibit No. __ (PRM-2)
2004 Storm Spot Natural Gas Purchases

					
				A 1.P0 l	1
		Supplier natural gas	Spot natural gas volumes		
		volumes not delivered due	to replace Supplier	gas over and above Force	
	Contracted natural gas	to Force Majeure events	volumes due to Force	Majeure natural gas	Total Spot natural gas
Date	Volumes (MMBtu)	(MMBtu)	Majeure volumes (MMBtu)	volumes(MMBtu)	volumes (MMBtu)

Total:	5,892,252	2,478,844	2,429,915	1,336,109	3,766,024
6-Oct-04	171,270	0	0	57,300	57,300
5-Oct-04	171,270	12,199	12,199	58,701	70,900
4-Oct-04	171,270	23,134	23,134	91,060	114,194
3-Oct-04	171,270	44,113	44,113	89,681	133,794
2-Oct-04	171,270	52,804	52,804	90,790	143,594
1-Oct-04	171,270	64,590	64,590	72,604	137,194
30-Sep-04	221,064	95.878	95.878	40,342	136,220
29-Sep-04	221,064	91,146	91,146	53,054	144,200
28-Sep-04	221,064	82,305	82,305	42,695	125,000
27-Sep-04	221,064	91,780	75,500	0	75,500
26-Sep-04	221,064	85,983	85,983	37,585	123,568
25-Sep-04	221,064	85,988	85,988	120,630	206,618
24-Sep-04	221,064	110,400	110,400	105,413	215,813
23-Sep-04	221,064	131,200	131,200	25,057	156,257
22-Sep-04	221,064	106,806	106,806	31,678	138,484
21-Sep-04	221,064	120,026	120,026	19,073	139,099
20-Sep-04	221,064	143,033	143,033	28,772	171,805
19-Sep-04	221,064	174,570	174,570	10,473	185,043
18-Sep-04	221,064	173,895	173,895	3,221	177,116
17-Sep-04	221,064	161,745	161,745	42,304	204,049
16-Sep-04	221,064	171,199	171,199	2,422	173,621
15-Sep-04	221,064	162,684	162,684	6,974	169,658
14-Sep-04	221,064	87,127	87,127	116,376	203,503
13-Sep-04	221,064	74,968	53,048	0	53,048

Incremental No. 2 Fuel Oil Purchase Costs due to 2004 Storms 8/04-10/04

0/0	T-10/04	Delivery	Sp	ot	Co	ntract	Diffe	erence	Barrels		\$
Month	Purchase	Location	•	ice/bbl		ice/bbl		e/bbl	Darreis	(Cost
August											
J	Royal Petroleum	Crystal River	\$	56.45	\$	54.04	\$	2.41	1,233	\$	2,972
	Sommers Oil	Crystal River	\$	56.88	\$	54.04	\$	2.84	1,376	\$	3,908
	BP Jacksonville	Debary	\$	57.11	\$	55.35	\$	1.76	2,298	\$	4,044
	BP-Tampa	Debary	\$	55.63	\$	55.35	\$	0.28	4,739	\$	1,327
	·	-					\$	-			
September	BP-Taft	Crystal River	\$	59.43	\$	58.19	\$	1.24	6,448	\$	7,996
	Sommers Oil	Crystal River	\$	61.19	\$	58.19	\$	3.00	873	\$	2,619
	BP-Tampa	Debary	\$	64.90	\$	61.82	\$	3.08	509	\$	1,568
	Rio Energy-Demurrage	•								\$	146,052
	3,						\$				
October	BP-Taft	Crystal River	\$	66.44	\$	66.26	\$	0.18	2,304	\$	415
	BP-Jacksonville	Debary	\$	71.33	\$	68.39	\$	2.94	354	\$	1,041
Total									20,134	\$	171,940

The NewsRoom Release: #3236

Date: February 14, 2005

Hurricane Ivan Evacuation and Production Shut-in Statistics as of Monday, February 14, 2005

******Final Report******

This survey is reflective of 17 companies' reports as of 11:30 a.m. Central Time.

Districts	Lake Jackson	Lake Charles	Lafayette	afayette Houma New Orle		Orle		Total	
Platforms Evacuated	0	0	0			9			
Rigs Evacuated	0 0		0	0	1	1			
OII, BOPD Shut-in	0	0	0	1,193	124,897	126,090**			
Gas, MMCF/D Shut-In	0	0	0	10.7	135.8	146.50**			

^{**}Shut-in production rates do not include production lost because of destroyed platforms.

These evacuations are equivalent to 1.18% of 764 manned platforms and 0.85% of 117 rigs currently operating in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM).

This shut-in oil production is equivalent to 7.42% of daily production of oil in the GOM, which is approximately 1.7 million barrels of oil per day (BOPD). The 126,090 BOPD currently shut-in is approximately 0.64% of the 19.7 million barrels consumed in the U.S. each day. Of the remaining shut-in oil production, a few shut-in deepwater facilities account for approximately 60% of the 126,090 barrels. The operators of these facilities have "tentatively" scheduled to be back online by the end of the first quarter 2005. Because there will be few weekly changes as a result of these plans, this is the last update on shut-in production that MMS will issue.

This shut-in gas production is equivalent to 1.19% of the daily production of gas in the GOM, which is approximately 12.3 billion cubic feet per day (BCFPD). The 146.50 million cubic feet per day (MMCF) per day currently shut-in is approximately 0.24% of the 60.184 BCF consumed in the U.S. each day.

The cumulative shut-in oil production for the period 9/11/04-2/14/05 is 43,841,245 bbls, which is equivalent to 7.246% of the yearly production of oil in the GOM, which is approximately 605 million barrels.

The cumulative shut-in gas production 9/11/04-2/14/05 is 172.259 BCF, which is

equivalent to 3.871% of the yearly production of gas in the GOM, which is approximately 4.45 TCF.

These cumulative numbers reflect updated production numbers from all previous re The reports only represent input received by 11:30 a.m. Central Time. If a compan not report by 11:30 a.m. it is not included in the special information release, but it is included in the cumulative shut-in production. This may result in an apparent increating cumulative report amount.

MMS, part of the U.S. Department of the Interior, oversees 1.76 billion acres of the Outer Continental Shelf, managing offshore energy and minerals while protecting the human, marine, and coastal environments through advanced science and technology research. The OCS provides 30 percent of oil and 23 percent of natural gas produced domestically, and sand used for coastal restoration. MMS collects, accounts for, and disburses mineral revenues from Federal and American Indian lands, with fiscal year 2004 disbursements of around \$8 billion and more than \$143 billion since 1982. The Land and Water Conservation Fund, which pays for acquisition of state and federal park and recreation land, gets nearly \$1 billion a year.

Relevant Web Sites: MMS Main Website Gulf of Mexico Website

Media Contacts: Joe Trahan (504) 736-2595 Debra Winbush (504) 736-2597

MMS: Securing Ocean Energy & Economic Value for America
U.S. Department of the Interior

Home | Search | Topic Index | About MMS | What's New |

Director's Page | Strategic Planning | Minerals Revenue | Offshore Program | Newsroom |

Congressional Affairs | Advisory Committees | Library | Information Quality Guidelines | FOIA |

Kide' Page | Privacy Act/Disclaimers | Links | Products & Services | Job Opportunities |

Navigation Tips | Contact Us |

Deepwater Environment | Industry Awards | Royalty-in-Kind | Oil Valuation |

EEO Data - No FEAR Act

