# AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560 April 1, 2005

#### HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

> Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor; FPSC Docket No. 050001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket on behalf of Tampa Electric Company are the original and ten (10) copies of each of the following:

- 1. Prepared Direct Testimony and Exhibit (DRK-1) of David R. Knapp regarding Generating Performance Incentive Factor True-Up for the period January 2004 through December 2004.
- 2. Prepared Direct Testimony and Exhibit (JTW-1) of Joann T. Wehle regarding Tampa Electric Company's risk management and hedging activities for the period January 2004 through December 2004.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

ames D. Beasley

JDB/pp Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record (w/encls.)

Wehle DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE 03192 APR-18 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Knapp Document NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing testimony and exhibits,

filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by U.S. Mail or hand delivery (\*)

on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April 2005 to the following:

Ms. Adrienne Vining\* Staff Counsel Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Mr. James A. McGee Associate General Counsel Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Mr. Gary V. Perko Hopping Green & Sams P.A. P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman Mr. Timothy J. Perry McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, FL 33601-5126

Ms. Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Mr. Norman Horton Messer Caparello & Self Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Ms. Cheryl Martin Florida Public Utilities Company P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Mr. John T. Butler Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miami, FL 33131-2398

Mr. William Walker Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Mr. R. Wade Litchfield Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408

Ms. Susan Ritenour Secretary and Treasurer Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone Mr. Russell A. Badders Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950 Mr. Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle, Flanigan, Katz, Raymond & Sheehan, P.A. 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Robert Scheffel Wright Mr. John T. LaVia, III Landers & Parsons, P.A. 310 West College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301

٠

Mr. Mark Hoffman Legal Department CSX Transportation 500 Water Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor Jacksonville, FL 32202

Langen , AT



#### BEFORE THE

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 050001-EI

IN RE: FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY

AND

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

FINAL TRUE-UP

JANUARY 2004 THROUGH DECEMBER 2004

TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT

OF

OF

JOANN T. WEHLE

REDACTED VERSION

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

03192 APR-18

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 050001-EI FILED: 04/01/05

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		JOANN T. WEHLE
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is Joann T. Wehle. My business address is 702 N.
9		Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed by
10		Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "company") as
11		Director of the Wholesale Marketing and Fuels Department.
12		
13	Q.	Please provide a brief outline of your educational
14		background and business experience.
15		
16	A.	I received a Bachelor's of Business Administration Degree
17		in Accounting in 1985 from St. Mary's College, South
18		Bend, Indiana. I am a CPA in the State of Florida and
19		worked in several accounting positions prior to joining
20		Tampa Electric. I began my career with Tampa Electric in
21		1990 as an auditor in the Audit Services Department. I
22		became Senior Contracts Administrator, Fuels in 1995. In
23		1999, I was promoted to Director, Audit Services and
24		subsequently rejoined the Fuels Department as Director in
25		April 2001. I became Director, Wholesale Marketing and

Fuels in August 2002. I am responsible for managing Tampa Electric's wholesale energy marketing and fuelrelated activities.

4

5

6

Q. Please state the purpose of your testimony.

The purpose of my testimony is to present, for the 7 Α. Service Commission's ("FPSC" Florida Public or 8 2004 the "Commission") review, information regarding 9 of Tampa Electric's risk management performance 10 activities, as required by the terms of the stipulation 11 entered into by the parties to Docket No. 011605-EI and 12 approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-02-1484-FOF-13 In addition, I will present details regarding the 14 EI. appropriateness for recovery of \$210,045 in incremental 15 operations and maintenance ("O&M") expenses associated 16 with hedging activities. 17

Q. Have you prepared any exhibits in support of yourtestimony?

21

A. Yes. Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (JTW-1) was prepared under my
 direction and supervision. My exhibit shows Tampa
 Electric's calculation of its 2004 incremental hedging
 0&M expenses.

What is the source of the data you will present by way of testimony or exhibits in this proceeding?

- З
- A. Unless otherwise indicated, the source of the data is books and records of Tampa Electric. The books and records are kept in the regular course of business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission.
- 11 Q. What were the results of Tampa Electric's risk management 12 activities in 2004?
- 13

10

As outlined in Tampa Electric's annual Risk Management Α. 14 Plan most recently filed on September 9, 2004 in Docket 15 No. 040001-EI, the company strives to reduce fuel price 16 volatility while maintaining a reliable supply of fuel. 17 an effort to limit exposure to market price 18 In fluctuations of natural gas Tampa Electric established a 19 hedging program. The program was updated and approved by 20 Risk Authorizing Committee ("RAC") in 21 the company's Tampa Electric currently follows the August 2004. 22 program as approved by the RAC. 23

24

25

On April 1, 2005 Tampa Electric filed its annual risk

management report, which describes the outcomes of its 2004 risk management activities. As the report Electric's 2004 hedging activities indicates, Tampa produced a net savings of \$14.3 million for its customers.

7 Q. How did Tampa Electric's fuel mix change in 2004?

8

1

- Tampa Electric completed its transition from burning 9 Α. predominantly coal to utilizing a mix of natural gas and 10 coal as H. L. Culbreath Bayside ("Bayside") Unit No. 2 11 became commercially operational on January 15, 2004. As 12 a result of repowering the coal-fired Gannon Station to 13 the natural gas-fired Bayside Station, Tampa Electric's 14 reliance on natural gas for retail generation increased 15 from three percent in 2002 to 38 percent in 2004. 16
- Q. Did the addition of Bayside Unit No. 2 impact Tampa
  Electric's hedging activity in 2004?
- 20

A. Yes, the addition of Bayside Unit No. 2 increased the volume of natural gas needed; as a result, Tampa Electric continued to augment its hedging strategies to mitigate natural gas price volatility. The enhancements to the risk management plan are described in the company's risk

1		management report filed on April 1, 2005.
2		
З	Q.	Did Tampa Electric implement a hedging information
4		system?
5		
6	Α.	Yes, as planned Tampa Electric implemented Sungard's
7	[	Nucleus Risk Management System ("Nucleus") and booked the
8		first month of transactions in April 2004.
9	,	
10	Q.	What capabilities does Nucleus provide?
11		
12	A.	Nucleus records all natural gas hedging transactions and
13		calculates risk management reports common to the
14		industry. In addition, Nucleus supports sound hedging
15		practices with its contract management separation of
16		duties, credit tracking, transaction limits, deal
17	!	confirmation, and business report generation functions.
18		The Nucleus system also records all physical natural gas
19		transactions. By consolidating physical transactions and
20		financial natural gas hedging transactions into the
21		Nucleus system Tampa Electric has improved contract,
22		credit management and risk exposure analysis.
23		

Q. What were the results of the company's incrementalhedging activities in 2004?

The incremental hedqinq activities enhanced Tampa 1 Α. Electric's hedging processes, procedures, controls and 2 capabilities. Aз а result. natural qas hedging 3 activities protected Tampa Electric's customers from 4 price volatility on of the natural gas used in 5 the company's plants. The net result of natural gas 6 hedging activity in 2004 was a savings of \$8.4 million, 7 when the instrument prices were compared to market prices 8 on settled positions. 9

Q. Did the company use financial hedges for other
 commodities in 2004?

13

10

No, Tampa Electric did not use financial hedges for other 14 Α. commodities because of its fuel mix. Historically, Tampa 15 Electric has primarily relied on coal as a boiler fuel. 16 The price of coal is relatively stable compared to the 17 prices of oil and natural gas. In addition, there are no 18 financial hedging instruments for the types of coal the 19 company uses. Tampa Electric consumes a small amount of 20 oil, making price hedging somewhat impractical; therefore 21 the company did not use financial hedges for oil. The 22 company did not use financial hedges for wholesale energy 23 transactions because a liquid, published market does not 24 exist in Florida. 25

1 Q. Does Tampa Electric use physical hedges?

2

Yes, Tampa Electric uses physical hedges in managing its Α. 3 The company enters into a portfolio of coal supply. 4 differing term contracts with various suppliers to obtain 5 the types of coal used on its system. In addition, some 6 coal supply contracts contain volume options that the 7 company uses when spot-market pricing is favorable 8 compared to the contract price. In 2004, these coal 9 strategies resulted in \$5.9 million in savings to Tampa 10 Electric's customers. 11

12

13 Q. What is the basis for your request to recover the 14 commodity and transaction costs described above?

15

16 A. Commission Order No. PSC-02-1484-FOF-EI, in Docket No. 011605 states:

"Each investor-owned electric utility shall be 18 authorized to charge/credit to the fuel and 19 purchased power cost recovery clause its non-20 speculative, prudently-incurred commodity costs 21 and gains and losses associated with financial 22 transactions for and/or physical hedging 23 natural gas, residual oil, and purchased power 24 contracts tied to the price of natural gas." 25

Therefore, Tampa Electric's request for recovery is in 1 accordance with the aforementioned Order. 2 3 Are you requesting recovery of incremental hedging O&M Q. 4 costs? 5 б Yes, Tampa Electric requests recovery of \$210,045 that 7 Α. the company incurred as incremental O&M expenses. The 8 Commission, in Order No. PSC-02-1484-FOF-EI, states: 9 10 "Each investor-owned electric utility may recover through the fuel and purchased power 11 prudently-incurred cost recovery clause 12 incremental operating and maintenance expenses 13 incurred for the purpose of initiating and/or 14 maintaining a new or expanded non-speculative 15 financial and/or physical hedging program 16 designed to mitigate fuel and purchased power 17 price volatility for its retail customers each 18 year until December 31, 2006 or the time of the 19 utility's next rate proceeding, whichever comes 20 first." 21

22

Tampa Electric established its base year expenses according to the portion of the employee's time and related expenses for hedging in 2001. The 2004 actual

costs were then calculated using the same methodology. Tampa Electric's calculation of the incremental expenses as well as base year expenses and 2004 actual expenses are shown in my Exhibit No.\_\_\_\_ (JTW-1). Does this conclude your testimony? Q. Yes it does. A. 

EXHIBIT NO. TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 050001-EI (JTW-1) PAGE 1 OF 1

	Actual Expenses		
		2001 (a)	2004 (b)
1. Payroll and Fringe Benefits	\$	159,723	\$ 290,096
2. Travel Costs		2,500	-
3. Training		6,930	
4. Consultants / Legal		-	28,242
5. License Fees / Other			60,860
6. Total	\$	169,153	\$ 379,198
2004 Incremental Hedging Expenses 6(b) – 6(a)		210,045	

## Calculation of Incremental Hedging Expenses