# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for suspension or modification of local number portability (LNP) requirement in Section 251(b)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended, by Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM.

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

BRAULIO L. BAEZ, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY CHARLES M. DAVIDSON LISA POLAK EDGAR

## ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION SEEKING APPROVAL OF STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

## I. <u>Case Background</u>

On July 15, 2004, we issued Proposed Agency Action Order No. PSC-04-0691-PAA-TL. The Order suspended the FCC's intermodal local number porting (LNP) requirement for Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM (NEFCOM) until January 6, 2005 (six months from our July 6, 2004 vote). On August 4, 2004, NEFCOM protested that Order citing disputed issues of material fact. NEFCOM claims that implementation of the provisions outlined in Section 251(f)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) would create an extreme financial hardship on its customers and would be anti-competitive in terms of wireline versus wireless services.

On August 24, 2004, Sprint Spectrum, L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS (Sprint) filed its Petition to Intervene. The Petition was granted and Order No. PSC-04-1049-PCO-TL, was issued October 26, 2004.

Sprint is a commercial mobile services provider under Section 332 of the Act and provides wireless services in Florida. Sprint supports its Petition to Intervene by citing to its submission of a bona fide request for intermodal LNP to NEFCOM on May 16, 2003. Sprint argues that NEFCOM is required to comply with FCC's orders and provide intermodal local number portability to wireless carriers, and any action in this docket will directly affect Sprint's ability to do business in Florida.

03970 APR 22 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

On January 14, 2005, both parties filed a Joint Motion Seeking our Approval of Stipulation of Settlement (Attachment A). If approved, the Stipulation of Settlement requires NEFCOM to implement LNP from wireline to wireless carriers by November 24, 2005.

On March 11, 2005, the D.C. Circuit for the U.S. Court of Appeals stayed and remanded part of the FCC's intermodal LNP requirement applicable to rural carriers; however, the Court stated, "Of course, nothing in this disposition prevents small carriers from voluntarily adhering to the *Intermodal Orders*' number portability requirements during that period." <u>United States</u> Telecom Ass'n and CenturyTel, Inc. v. FCC, 2005 U.S. App. LEXIS 4058 (D.C.Cir., 2005).

# II. <u>Discussion</u>

In the Joint Motion, NEFCOM agrees to implement intermodal LNP from wireline to wireless carriers by November 24, 2005. Both parties believe that it is in the best interests of their customers to amicably resolve the issues pending in this docket<sup>1</sup> without the expenditure of further time, money and other resources in litigating before this Commission. The parties agree to waive any right to request further administrative or judicial proceedings. However, nothing in the Stipulation of Settlement shall be viewed to waive Sprint's rights to enforce, if necessary, NEFCOM's compliance with intermodal local number portability requirements subsequent to November 24, 2005. The parties agree that the settlement will become effective on the day following our vote.

Upon consideration, we grant the Joint Motion Seeking Approval of Stipulation of Settlement. We find that it is in the parties' and their customers' best interest for the issues in this docket to be resolved amicably. In addition, approval of the Joint Motion will cut down on expenses that might otherwise be passed on to consumers. We also find that the time frames in the Stipulation of Settlement are reasonable in light of the fact that NEFCOM may have to hire and train a new employee to comply with the stipulation of settlement.

Last, on March 11, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit stayed and remanded part<sup>2</sup> of FCC's intermodal LNP requirement; however, the Court stated, "Of course, nothing in this disposition prevents small carriers from voluntarily adhering to the *Intermodal Orders'* number portability requirements during that period." <u>United States Telecom Ass'n and CenturyTel, Inc. v. FCC</u>, 2005 U.S. App. LEXIS 4058 (D.C.Cir., 2005). Therefore, we grant the Joint Motion Seeking Approval of Stipulation of Settlement and this docket shall be closed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Issues address NEFCOM's financial obligation(s) regarding implementation of intermodal (wireline to wireless) local number portability.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The Order was remanded solely because the Order is a legislative rule issued without the adherence to the procedural requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) and Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA). The merits of the Order was not challenged.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that we grant the Joint Motion Seeking Approval of Stipulation of Settlement requiring Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM to implement Local Number Portability from wireline to wireless carriers by November 24, 2005, and approve the settlement which is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Attachment A. The effective date of the settlement is April 6, 2005.

ORDERED that no further action is needed by the Commission and this docket is hereby closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>22nd</u> day of <u>April</u>, <u>2005</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services

Kay Flynn, Chief By:

Kay Flynn, Chief Bureau of Records

(S E A L)

JLS

### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the

Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM for Suspension or Modification of Section 251(b)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934 as Amended.

Docket No. 040326-TL

Filed: January 14, 2004

#### STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT BY AND BETWEEN NORTHEAST FLORIDA TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A NEFCOM <u>AND SPRINT SPECTRUM, L.P. D/B/A SPRINT PCS</u>

Pursuant to Section 120.57(4), Florida Statutes (2004), Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM ("NEFCOM") and Sprint Spectrum, L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS (hereinafter "Sprint") have entered into this Stipulation and Settlement to effect an informal disposition and complete and binding resolution of any and all matters and issues raised by the parties and which might be addressed by the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC" or "Commission") in this docket regarding NEFCOM's Petition for a Suspension or Modification of Section 251(b)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as anended, with respect to the implementation of intermodal (wireline-to-wireless) local number portability. This Stipulation and Settlement avoids the time, expense and uncertainty associated with adversarial litigation in keeping with the Commission's long-standing policy and practice of encouraging parties in contested proceedings to settle issues whenever possible. Accordingly, without prejudice to any party's position in this or any other proceeding before the Commission or any other court, regulatory body or other venue, present or future, the parties stipulate and agree as follows



1. On November 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") released its Intermodal Porting Order.<sup>1</sup> The Intermodal Porting Order requires rural wireline carriers such as NEFCOM to begin porting numbers to wireless carriers on May 24, 2004.

2. This docket was opened in response to a Petition filed by NEFCOM for a Suspension or Modification of the Local Number Portability ("LNP") requirement in Section 251(b)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and as implemented by the FCC in the Intermodal Porting Order.

3. On May 11, 2004, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-04-0485-PCO-TL granting NEFCOM a suspension of the intermodal reporting requirement for sixty days. Absent that sixty day suspension, intermodal LNP would have been required for NEFCOM by May 24, 2004.

4. Thereafter, on July 15, 2004, the Commission issued Proposed Agency Action Order No. PSC-04-0691-PAA-TL ("PAA Order") granting NEFCOM a temporary suspension of the intermodal LNP requirement until January 6, 2005. On August 8, 2004, NEFCOM timely filed a protest of the PAA Order.

5. Sprint submitted a bona fide request for local number portability to NEFCOM on May 16, 2003. Thereafter, Sprint sought and was granted intervention in this proceeding.

6. Following the filing of prefiled direct testimony and exhibits by the parties in this docket, the parties have engaged in discussions for the purposes of resolving this matter. After weighing the potential costs and benefits of implementation of intermodal LNP, including the parties' differing views regarding such potential costs and benefits; applicable legal and regulatory

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Telephone number portability, CC Docket No. 95-116, Memorandum and Opinion and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FC 03-284, Rel. November 10, 2003 ("Intermodal Porting Order").

### ATTACHMENT A

NOT SHE TO A PRESS

precedents: and the costs, time and expenditure of resources associated with litigating the issues in this docket, the parties have stipulated and agreed that NEFCOM shall implement intermodal LNP from wireline to wireless carriers by November 24, 2005

7. This Stipulation and Settlement will become effective on the day following the vote of the Commission approving this Stipulation and Settlement. The Commission's decision will be reflected in a final order.

8. No party to this Stipulation and Settlement will request, support or seek to impose a change in the application of any provision hereof. Furthermore, subject to the approvals of the Coratnission set forth herein, all parties hereto waive any right to request further administrative or judicial proceedings in regards to the establishment or implementation of this Stipulation and Settlement. This waiver of the right to further administrative or judicial proceedings shall include (but not be limited to): a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code; a motion for reconsideration of the decision in this matter in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or a notice of appeal to initiate judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. However, nothing herein is intended to waive Sprint's rights to enforce, if necessary, NEFCOM's compliance with intermodal local number portability requirements subsequent to November 24, 2005.

9. This Stipulation and Settlement is contingent upon the Commission's acceptance of the provisions herein, which acceptance shall include explicit recognition by the Commission that all such matters are resolved by this Stipulation and Settlement.

10. This Stipulation and Settlement is also contingent upon approval in its entirety by the Commission. This Stipulation and Settlement will resolve all matters in this docket pursuant to and in accordance with Section 120.57(4), Fiorida Statutes (2004). This docket will be closed effective on the date of the Commission order approving this Stipulation and Settlement is final. If this Stipulation and Settlement is not accepted and approved without modification by an order not subject to further proceedings or judicial review, then this Stipulation and Settlement shall be considered null and void and of no further force of effect.

11. In the event that the Commission does not accept this document in its entirety pursuant to its terms, this document shall not be admissible in any hearing on the matters established by this docket, or in any other docket or forum. Moreover, no party to this Stipulation and Settlement waives any position on any issue that it could have otherwise asserted in this or any other docket as if this document had never been developed and written.

12. This Stipulation and Settlement dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2005 may be executed in counterpart originals and a facsimile of an original signature shall be deemed an original.

The parties evidence their acceptance and agreement with the provisions of this
Stipulation and Settlement by their signatures.

Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS

By: 5 Man S has

Susan S. Masterton, Esq. 1313 Blairstone Road P. O. Box 2214 Tallahassec, Florida 32316-2214 (850) 599-1560 (Telephone) (850) 878-0777 (Facsimile)

Attorney for Sprint Spectrum, L.P. d/b/z Sprint PCS Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM

By

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. Martin P. McDonnell, Esq. P. O. Box 551 Tallahassee, Forida 32302 (850) 681-6788 (Telephone) (850) 681-6515 (Facsimile)

--and--

÷,

\*

•

## ATTACHMENT A

1403 (1999) - 12 1999 - 1999

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr., Esq. Mary J. Sisak, Esq. Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens 2120 L Street, Northwest Washington, DC 20037 202-828-5510 (Telephone) 202-828-5568 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM

F:\USERS\ROXANNE\NFTC\LNPSETTLEMENT

## ATTACHMENT A

ORDER NO. PSC-05-0434-AS-TL DOCKET NO. 040326-TE РАСБ-ТÚ

.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished by telecopier and U.S. Mail this 14<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2005, to the following:

?

Susan S. Masterton, Esq. Sprint PCS 1313 Blairstone Road P. O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, Florida 32314-2214

Jeremy L. Susac, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.

NFTC\motienforapproval