ORCAN.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by	
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.	Docket No. 050078-El Submitted for filing: May 23, 2005

PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO OPC'S SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NOS. 76-107)

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Office of Public Counsel's ("OPC") Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Nos. 77-107) and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

PEF generally objects to the time and place of production requirement in OPC's Second

Set of Requests for Production of Documents and will make all responsive documents available for inspection and copying at the offices of Carlton Fields, P.A., 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301 at a mutually-convenient time, or will produce the documents in CMP some other manner or at some other place that is mutually convenient to both PEF and OPC for COM CTR purposes of inspection, copying, or handling of the responsive documents. ECR With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in OPC's Second Set of Requests GCL For Production (Nos. 77-107), PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent OPC with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's MMS RCA discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of OPC's SCR definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. PEF objects to OPC's SEC OTH

definitions "2" and "3" to the extent that OPC is attempting to seek information or documents from PEF's attorneys that is protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine. PEF also objects to any request that calls for documents to be produced from the files of PEF's outside or in-house counsel in this matter because such documents are privileged and are otherwise not within the scope of discovery under the applicable rules and law. Furthermore, PEF objects to any definition or request that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and thus are not subject to discovery. No responses to the requests will be made on behalf of persons or entities other than PEF. PEF also objects to OPC's request that PEF provide documents in "a searchable electronic format." Furthermore, PEF objects to any request that calls for PEF to create documents that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to OPC's requests to the extent that they call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. PEF will provide a privilege log in accordance with the applicable law or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent, if at all, that any document request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain requests to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures

TPA#2025045.1 2

otherwise provided by law or in the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules and legal principles.

PEF generally objects to OPC's Second Set of Request for Production to the extent that it calls for the production of "all" documents of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. PEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents when no objection has been asserted to the production of such documents, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" documents. In addition, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to OPC's requests for production if PEF cannot produce documents immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive documents in the course of this proceeding.

PEF also objects to any request that calls for projected data or information beyond the year 2006 because such data or information is wholly irrelevant to this case and has no bearing on this proceeding, nor is such data or information likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Furthermore, if a request does not specify a timeframe for which data or information is sought, PEF will interpret such request as calling only for data and information relevant to the years 2004-2006.

PEF also objects to OPC's request for PEF to obtain and produce documents from Florida Power and Light Company ("FP&L") on page 1. PEF assumes that OPC's reference to FP&L is simply a typographical error, that OPC intended FP&L to mean PEF, and PEF will respond accordingly.

ТРА#2025045.1

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to OPC's discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF provides these general objections at this time to comply with the intent of the Order Establishing Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Request 92: PEF objects to OPC's request for production of documents number 92 because the request calls for PEF to produce copyright protected material that is publicly available in most public and university libraries. The burden of obtaining the material, therefore, is the same for OPC and PEF.

Request 101: PEF objects to OPC's request for production of documents number 101 because it improperly requires PEF's expert to prepare as study or do work for OPC that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost, and, further, the information requested is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this proceeding.

Request 104: PEF objects to OPC's request for production of documents number 104 because it improperly requires PEF's expert to prepare as study or do work for OPC that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost, and, further, the information requested is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this proceeding.

TPA#2025045.1 4

R. ALEXANDER GLENN
Deputy General Counsel – Florida
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE
COMPANY, LLC
100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Telephone: (727) 820-5587

Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

Jam Mahn Halla

GARY L. SASSO Florida Bar No. 622575 JAMES MICHAEL WALLS Florida Bar No. 0706272 JOHN T. BURNETT Florida Bar No. 173304 DIANNE M. TRIPLETT Florida Bar No. 0872431 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 Telephone: (813) 223-7000

Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

TPA#2025045.1

5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished electronically and via U.S. Mail this ______day of May, 2005 to all counsel of record as indicated below.

Jennifer Brubaker

Felicia Banks

Jennifer Rodan

Office of the General Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Tananassee, TE 52577 0

Harold McLean

Office of the Public Counsel

c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mike B. Twomey P.O. Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Counsel for AARP

Robert Scheffel Wright, John T. LaVia, III, Landers & Parsons, P.A. 310 West College Avenue (ZIP 32301)

Post Office Box 271

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Counsel for Florida Retail Federation

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman

& Arnold, P.A.

400 North Tampa Street, Ste. 2450

Tampa, FL 33601-3350

-and-

Timothy J. Perry

McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman

& Arnold, P.A.

117 South Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Counsel for Florida Industrial Power

Users Group

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP

2282 Killearn Center Blvd.

Tallahassee, FL 32309

James M. Bushee

Daniel E. Frank

Andrew K. Soto

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20004-2415

Richard A. Zambo

Richard A. Zambo, P.A.

2336 S.E. Ocean Boulevard, #309

Stuart, Florida 34996

6

TPA#2025045.1

-and-
Karin S. Torain PCS Administration, (USA), Inc. Suite 400 Skokie blvd. Northbrook, IL 60062
Counsel for White Springs

TPA#2025045.1 7