State of Florida



Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

- **DATE:** July 7, 2005
- TO: Director, Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services (Bayó)
- FROM: Division of Competitive Markets & Enforcement (Broussard, Bulecza-Banks, Makin) Mu Division of Economic Regulation (Slemkewicz) Office of the General Counsel (Brown) NGB MUH
- **RE:** Docket No. 050327-GU Petition by Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation for approval of special contract with Department of Management Services, Agency of the State of Florida.
- AGENDA: 07/19/05 Regular Agenda Proposed Agency Action Interested Persons May Participate

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

- PREHEARING OFFICER: Administrative
- CRITICAL DATES: None

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: None

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMP\WP\050327.RCM.DOC

Case Background

On May 16, 2005, Chesapeake filed its petition for approval of a 15-year special contract for natural gas transportation with the state's Department of Management Services, under Rule 25-9.034(1), Florida Administrative Code. Because The Department of Management Services' (DMS) proposed usage, location, and operating characteristics are different than what is currently provided for in Chesapeake's approved tariff, Chesapeake and the DMS have entered into this special contract.

06346 JUL-6 B

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

.

On June 20, 2005, Chesapeake filed an amended petition to correct an error in its original petition. In the original petition the Company erroneously used 7.35 percent as the mid-point rate of return from its December 2004 surveillance report as filed with the Commission. The correct mid-point rate of return for the Company is 8.38 percent as approved in the Company's last rate case.

Jurisdiction over this matter is vested in the Commission by several provisions of Chapter 366, Florida Statutes, including Sections 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06. Rule 25-9.034(1) Florida Administrative Code is attached.

DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission approve the special contract between the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Chesapeake or the Company) and the Department of Management Services (DMS), an agency of the State of Florida?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. The Commission should approve the special contract between Chesapeake and the Department of Management Services (DMS), an agency of the State of Florida effective July 17, 2005, the date of the Commission vote in this matter. (**Broussard**, **Makin**, **Bulecza-Banks**).

STAFF ANALYSIS: Chesapeake Utilities currently provides gas transportation to various agencies of the State of Florida by means of a "Master Contract." Under the special contract (Exhibit B of the Master Contract), Chesapeake will receive certain quantities of natural gas at the Company's Washington Gate Station for DMS's account and render the natural gas to the Washington County Correctional Institution.

The Florida Department of Corrections is currently operating a State correctional institution in Washington County, Florida and is expanding the facility. Natural gas will be used to provide heat, hot water, cooking and laundry services to the facility. The annual transportation revenues derived from the contract would enable Chesapeake to more than recover the fully allocated cost of serving the facility. As indicated in the amended cost of service study prepared by the Company, the estimated \$78,190 in total annual operating costs will be fully recovered and will provide a return on the Company's net investment through the annual revenues of \$89,040 for the fifteen-year service agreement.

The Company will deliver 1,000 MMBTU/day during each month of the contract at a rate of \$7,420 per month for 15 years. The Company expects to earn a 8.38 percent overall rate of return which, as explained in the case background, is the Company's authorized mid-point rate of return from its December 2004 surveillance report as filed with the Commission and an authorized return on equity of 11.50 percent. The contract generates revenues in excess of the cost to serve, thereby providing benefits to the general body of ratepayers. Staff recommends the special contract between Chesapeake and DMS be approved effective July 17, 2005, the date of the Commission vote in this matter.

Docket No. 050327-GU Date: July 7, 2005

.

.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected timely files a protest to the Commission's Proposed Agency Action Order the docket may be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order. (**M. Brown**)

<u>STAFF ANALYSIS</u>: If no person whose substantial interests are affected timely files a protest to the Commission's Proposed Agency Action Order the docket may be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

(f) Map and/or written description of exchange service area.

Specific Authority 364.20 FS. Law Implemented 366.04 FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.32.

25-9.033 Standard Forms.

(1) An exact copy of all standard forms, (uniform contracts, agreements, riders, service applications, service extension agreement or other form) where the same pertains to subscribers' and/or customers' services, shall be included in this section.

(2) All such forms shall be given a number so that reference can be made to them.

(3) When any such form is changed, altered, or amended the revised issue shall be filed with the Commission.

(4) If such standard forms are numerous, this section shall be prefaced with an index as provided in Rule 25-9.008, F.A.C.

Specific Authority 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 366.05(1) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.33.

25-9.034 Contracts and Agreements.

(1) Wherever a special contract is entered into by a utility for the sale of its product or services in a manner or subject to the provisions not specifically covered by its filed regulations and standard approved rate schedules, such contract must be approved by the Commission prior to its execution. Accompanying each contract shall be complete and detailed justification for the deviation from the utility's filed regulations and standard approved rate schedules. If such special contracts are approved by the Commission, a conformed copy of the contract shall be placed on file with the Commission before its effective date.

(a) The provisions of this rule shall not apply to contracts or agreements governing the sale or interchange of commodity or product by or between a public utility and a municipality or R.E.A. cooperative, but shall otherwise have application.

(2) Each utility shall make provision to file with the Commission a conformed copy of all such special contracts which are currently in effect and which have not been previously filed.

(3) If the number and size of such special contracts warrant, they may be placed in a separate binder.

Specific Authority 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Amended 6-27-73, Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.34.