# ORIGINAL



Susan S. Masterton Attorney

Law/External Affairs
FLTLH00107
Post Office Box 2214
1313 Blair Stone Road

Tallahassee. FL 32316-2214 Voice 850 599 1560 Fax 850 878 0777

susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

July 12, 2005

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 041144-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Sprint-Florida, Incorporated are the original and 15 copies of the Errata Sheet for the deposition of Christopher M. Shaffer Hearing Exhibit #20.

Also attached is Christopher M. Shaffer's answer to Late-filed Exhibit Hearing Exhibit, renumbered at the hearing to Exhibit #4 (Sprint-3), and the related affidavit.

Copies are being served on the parties in this docket pursuant to the attached certificate of service.

If you have any questions regarding this electronic filing, please do not hesitate to call me at 850-599-1560.

CMP	
COM Since	erely,
CTR	usos motific
ECR	W73. 118 05 ~
GCL Susa	n S. Masterton
OPC	
MMS Encl	osure
RCA	
SCR	0
SEC 1	RECEIVED & FILED
OTH	(7)
	FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06546 JUL 128

EDCC-COMMISSION -- -

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 041144-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic and U.S. mail this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2005 to the following:

Division of Legal Services Lee Fordham/ Beth Keating Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy Pruitt/Ann Marsh Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

KMC Data LLC/KMC Telecom III LLC/KMC Telecom V, Inc. Marva B. Johnson/Mike Duke 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119

Kelley Drye & Warren LLP Chip Yorkgitis / Barbara Miller 1200 19th Street, N.W., Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036

Floyd Self, Esq. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Susan S. Masterton

Sprint
Docket No. 041144-TP 4 3
Late-filed Hearing Exhibit No. \$\( \) (Sprint-\( \frac{1}{2} \))

Title: Description of Call-Forwarded Traffic/Access Charge Applicability

For the Customer X traffic, is the call a forwarded call within an exchange, or are the calls forwarded from customer X's location in Orlando to the location in Tallahassee? In other words, is a call from Orlando to Tallahassee forwarded from the point where it picks up the call in Orlando to Tallahassee, or once the call reaches Tallahassee, is it considered to be a forwarded call from Customer X's Tallahassee phone number to a Sprint customer's Tallahassee number? For either scenario, where would access charges be paid?

#### Sprint's Response:

CALL SCENARIO#1: Customer #1 in Orlando calls Customer #2 in Tallahassee (Originating and Terminating Access Charges would apply). Customer #2 has their phone forwarded to Customer #3 in Tallahassee (Terminating Reciprocal Compensation would apply).

CALL SCENARIO#2: Customer #1 in Miami calls Customer #2 in Orlando (Originating and Terminating Access Charges would apply). Customer #2 has their phone forwarded to Customer #3 in Tallahassee (Originating and Terminating Access would apply)

In a Normal Call forwarding (Redirect) Scenario, the call information in the SS7 would be reflected in several fields. In a normal call forwarding scenario, the terminating SS7 record shows the following four telephone number fields:

Customer #1s telephone number is in the calling number field Customer #3s telephone number is in the called party number field Customer #2s telephone number is in the Charge Party # field and original called number field.

Redirect Count would be greater than zero indicating the call as being forwarded.

In the SS7 call detail records Sprint has provided and analyzed for this proceeding, Customer X's phone number does not appear as the called number and the redirect count is not populated; consequently Customer X could not and did not forward calls. Customer X's two phone numbers, 850-201-0579 and 239-689-2995, were non-working numbers so callers could never dial either of these numbers, therefore the calls could not have been forwarded (redirected) to another number.

Response Provided by: Christopher M. Schaffer

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06546 JUL 128

### STATE OF KANSAS

## **COUNTY OF JOHNSON**

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Christopher M. Schaffer, who being duly swom deposes and says:

That he occupies the position of National Engineering Standards Manager III, and is the person who has furnished the response to Late-filed Hearing Exhibit No. \$\( \) (Sprint-4) and further says that said answer is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

WITNESS my hand and seal this 12th day of July, A. D., 2005

Notary Public

State of

My Commission Expires: Due 29 2009

