

ORIGINAL

Matilda Sanders

From: Daniels,Sonia C - LGCRP [soniadaniels@att.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2005 11:03 AM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Subject: RE: 000121A
Attachments: 09-08-2005 FL CompSouth Letter Re PMAP Notification.pdf

Docket No. 000121A-TP -- In re: Investigation into the Establishment of Operations Support system Permanent Performance Measures for Incumbent Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies (BellSouth track)

Attached please find for electronic filing a copy of CompSouth's letter to BellSouth regarding PMAP changes. The filing consists of **7 pages**. The attached document should be considered the official version for purposes of the docket file.

As indicated in the cover letter, copies are being distributed to parties via electronic (in cases where e-mail addresses are available) and U.S. Mail. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

<<09-08-2005 FL CompSouth Letter Re PMAP Notification.pdf>>

Sonia Daniels
Docket Manager
AT&T Law & Gov't Affairs
1230 Peachtree
4th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30309
Phone: 404-810-8488
Fax: 281-664-9791

- CMP _____
- COM _____
- CTR _____
- ECR _____
- GCL _____
- OPC _____
- RCA _____
- SCR _____
- SGA _____
- SEC 1
- OTH _____

9/8/2005

DOCUMENT NO.
08490-05
9/8/05

ORIGINAL



Tracy Hatch
Senior Attorney
Law and Government Affairs
Southern Region

Suite 700
101 N. Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
850-425-6360

September 8, 2005

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director
The Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Room 110, Easley Building
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000121A-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Attached for filing in the above referenced docket is a copy of CompSouth's letter to Bellsouth regarding concerns with Bellsouth's August 15, 2005 PMAP Notification. Pursuant to the Commission's Electronic Filing Requirements, this version should be considered the official copy for purposes of the docket file. Copies of this document will be served on all parties via electronic and U.S. Mail.


Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely yours,

s/ Tracy W. Hatch

Tracy W. Hatch

TWH/scd
Attachment
cc: Parties of Record



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the attached was served by electronic and U.S. Mail this 8th day of September 2005 to the following:

(*) Blanca S. Bayo
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 3239-0850

Rutledge Law Firm
Kenneth Hoffman
John Ellis
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

Ms. Nancy B. White
c/o Nancy H. Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Vicki Kaufman
Moyle Flanigan Katz Raymond &
Sheehan, PA
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michael A. Gross
Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc.
246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Wayne Stavanja/Mark Buechele
Supra Telecom
1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Nanette Edwards
ITC Deltacom
4092 South Memorial Parkway
Huntsville, AL 35802

Kimberly Caswell
Verizon Select Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, FL 33601-0110

Donna Canzano McNulty
MCI
1203 Governors Square Blvd., Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301

John Rubino
George S. Ford
Z-Tel Communications, Inc.
601 S. Harbour Island Blvd.
Tampa, FL 33602-5706

John D. McLaughlin, Jr.
KMC Telecom, Inc.
1755 North Brown Road
Lawrenceville, GA 30043

Renee Terry
e.spire Communications, Inc.
131 National Business Parkway, #100
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701-10001

Messer Law Firm
Floyd Self
Norman Horton
P.O. Box 1867
Tallahassee, FL 32302

William Weber
Covad Communications Company
19th Floor, Promenade II
1230 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, GA 30309-3574

Pennington Law Firm
Peter Dunbar
Karen Camechis
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

WorldCom, Inc.
Dulaney O'Roark, III
Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200
Atlanta, GA 30328

IDS Telecom, LLC
Angel Leiro/Joe Millstone
1525 N.W. 167th Street, Second Floor
Miami, FL 33169-5131

Katz, Kutter Law Firm
Charles Pellegrini/Patrick Wiggins
106 East College Avenue, 12th Floor
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mpower Communications Corp.
David Woodsmall
175 Sully's Trail, Suite 300
Pittsford, NY 14534-4558

ALLTEL Communications, Inc.
C/O Ausley Law Firm
Jeffrey Whalen
PO BOX 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

BellSouth Telecom., Inc.
Patrick W. Turner/R. Douglas Lackey
675 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 4300
Atlanta, GA 30375

Sprint Communications Company
Susan Masterton/Charles Rehwinkel
PO BOX 2214
MS: FLTLHO0107
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Miller Isar, Inc,
Andrew O. Isar
7901 Skansie Ave., Suite 240
Gig Harbor, WA 98225

Birch Telecom of the South, Inc.
Tad J. Sauder
Manager, ILEC Performance Data
2020 Baltimore Ave.
Kansas City, MO 64108

Suzanne F. Summerlin
2536 Capital Medical Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32308-4424
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP
Jonathan E. Canis/Michael B. Hazzard
1200 19th Street, N.W., 5th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

David Benck
Momentum Business Solutions, Inc.
2700 Corporate Drive
Suite 200
Birmingham, AL 35242

Russell E. Hamilton, III
Nuvox Communications, Inc.
301 N. Main Street, Suite 5000
Greenville, SC 29601

s/ Tracy W. Hatch
Tracy W. Hatch

CompSouth



Members include:

ACCESS Integrated Networks, Inc.

Access Point, Inc.

AT&T

Birch Telecom

*Cinergy Communications
Company*

Covad

Dialog Telecommunications

IDS Telecom

InLine

ITC^DeltaCom

LecStar Telecom, Inc.

MCI

Momentum Telecom, Inc.

Navigator Telecommunications

Network Telephone Corp.

Nuvox Communications, Inc.

Supra Telecom

TalkAmerica

Trinsic Communications, Inc.

Xspedius Communications

**National association
members include:**

CompTel

PACE

www.compsouth.net

September 7, 2005

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Mr. Alphonso Varner
Asst. Vice-President Interconnection Services
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
675 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30375

Re: BellSouth's August 15, 2005 PMAP Notification

Dear Mr. Varner:

Several members of CompSouth have serious concerns with issues regarding BellSouth's performance measures reporting and Self Effectuating Enforcement Mechanism (SEEM) payments. CompSouth urgently requests that BellSouth take action as indicated below to correct these problems. On August 15, BellSouth issued a notice on its PMAP website that included several statements that require a response:

First, BellSouth indicated that CLECs had agreed to coding changes. This is not accurate. To be clear, the CLECs who responded to the Liberty Consulting Audit Report asked for affidavits to be filed in response to many of the audit findings to affirm that the problems had been corrected and also asked that BellSouth provide its analysis which substantiated the correction. CLECs do not have access to details of BellSouth's coding changes, and thus do not have the information necessary to agree to them. Significantly, for Findings 54 and 55, the CLECs and the Florida PSC staff requested a re-audit by an independent third party be conducted to determine if the problems in these findings had indeed been resolved. Clearly such a request does not indicate agreement to coding changes. CLECs reiterate their request that the re-audit be conducted as soon as possible after implementation of corrections is completed.

Second, BellSouth's notification stated, "If a CLEC has a negative balance resulting from a previous overpayment by BellSouth, then The Transmitted Balance by OCN Report in the PARIS report folder will contain any adjustments that will be carried over to the next payment cycle." While this statement is the appropriate and approved method for handling overpayments, this is not BellSouth's practice. It is the CLECs' experience that the Transmitted Balance Reports, which are reported by state, do not reflect the adjustments to be carried over to the next payment cycle. Instead, BellSouth offsets these remaining adjustments from one state by penalty payments owed in another state. Indeed, BellSouth has implemented a unilateral, unauthorized, and inappropriate method of overpayment recovery which must be stopped immediately.

Each state commission in BellSouth's territory has ordered the implementation of a SEEM plan to assure that CLECs receive nondiscriminatory access to BellSouth's OSS to ensure that BellSouth meets its obligation to provide unbundled access, interconnection, and resale to CLECs in a nondiscriminatory manner, and to measure BellSouth's performance over time to detect and correct any degradation of service provided to CLECs. However, BellSouth has turned that premise on its head by not paying Tier 1 penalties owed pursuant to Commission order in one state if it decides that it has overpaid penalties in another state. Tier 2 penalties may be impacted as well. The results are obvious.

Although state commissions have established plans to deter poor performance, BellSouth has taken it upon itself to eliminate that ability when it decides it has overpaid in one state and offsets payments in a second state by amounts owed in the first state. For example, a certain CLEC from CompSouth should have received penalty payments for BellSouth's June 2005 performance in Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, and South Carolina. Yet the report provided to this CLEC by BellSouth indicates that these payments have been "transmitted" to this CLEC, *but they have not and will not be paid*, according to BellSouth, because BellSouth has decided that this CLEC has been overpaid in Florida. As a result, the self-effectuating incentives state commissions put in place for BellSouth to provide non-discriminatory performance in its state can and are being effectively removed unilaterally by BellSouth. BellSouth's unauthorized and inappropriate practice must be stopped immediately, and penalty payments which have been withheld by BellSouth must be paid immediately.

Third, although BellSouth's notification appears to indicate that it has made the changes required by the audit (and indeed indicates on other PMAP reports that these changes have resulted in adjustments (in BellSouth's favor) of \$3,581,806.00 in Florida and \$1,587,488.07 in Tennessee), CLECs have been provided no information on the implementation of findings which result in adjustments in their favor, despite numerous audit findings which indicated that such adjustments would likely need to occur. Below are several such findings. CLECs request that BellSouth provide either the status of adjustments resulting from implementation of these findings or a detailed explanation of why no adjustments for underpayment of CLECs resulted from the findings implementation.

Liberty Finding #	Audit Finding	Liberty Comments
21	For the time period of this audit BellSouth was inappropriately excluding non-coordinated hot cuts from the calculation of the measure results for P-7C	"However, given the large percentage of hot cut orders not included in the reported results, Liberty believes the effect was likely to be significant." (Page 149 of Final Report of the Audit)
23	BellSouth was misclassifying certain orders with a "PR-17" (cancelled order) error code thereby incorrectly excluding these orders from the calculation of the P-3 (Percent	"It is difficult for Liberty to determine the exact impact these misclassified service orders had on the reported results at a sub-metric or CLEC specific level." (Page 150 of Final

	Missed Initial Installation Appointments) results	Report of the Audit)
25	BellSouth incorrectly excluded the majority of the hot cut orders from the calculation of the P-7C measures and excluded a smaller subset of orders from the P-7 measure.	"Liberty did not determine the precise effect of this defect on the reported P-7 and P-7C measures during the audit period. However, given the large number of records that were affected, it is likely to have had a significant impact on the reported results." (Page 153 of Final Report of the Audit.)
27	BellSouth incorrectly included certain record change orders in the calculation of P-3, P-4, and P-9 measurement results.	"Because these orders do not require any actual provisioning activity, their inclusion in the measurement calculations may artificially improve reported results." (Page 155 of Final Report of the Audit)
28	BellSouth incorrectly excluded orders from the calculation of the P-7 and the P-7C measures that were properly included in the other in-scope provisioning measures.	"In addition, the inconsistency between the completion dates of the same orders... may indicate errors in those measures like P-3, P4, and P9." (Page 156 of Final Report of the Audit)
33	During its calculation of the monthly SEEM results in PARIS, BellSouth incorrectly excluded transactions from the retail analog of the resale ISDN product for the P-3, P-4, and P-9 measures.	"The number of orders incorrectly excluded is a significant percentage of the total orders reported." (Page 162 of Final Report of the Audit)
35	BellSouth did not include certain wholesale products in its calculation of the SEEM remedy payments for the P-9 (Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 Days of Service Order Completion) measure.	"BellSouth was not including 2-wire ISDN designed loops without number portability or 2-wire UDC capable loops in its calculation of the SEEM remedy payments for the P-9 measure." (Page 164 of Final Report of the Audit)
36	The SQM and SEEM levels of disaggregation as documented in Bellsouth's SQM Plan were inaccurate and misleading for the UNE-P product for the P-3, P-4, and P-9 measures.	"Liberty found that the UNE-P dispatch orders are dropped from the PARIS calculations of SEEM payments." (Page 166 of the Final Report of the Audit)
37	BellSouth incorrectly classified UNE Line Splitting orders as UNE-P orders when calculating its results for the P-3, P-4, and P-9 measures.	"Liberty added line-splitting to the audit work plan so that Liberty could investigate the large discrepancy between the ordering volume reported for this product... and the volumes reported for the P-3 and P-4 results." (Page 166 of the Final Report of the Audit)
42	BellSouth did not properly align the product IDs for troubles and the lines on which they occurred for M&R-2, causing mismatches and resulting in assignment of either the troubles or the lines to the wrong sub-measure in SQM reports and SEEM remedy payment calculations.	"Liberty determined in its remedy payment replication that it was not able to match troubles with lines for about 2 percent of the wire center/CLEC product group combinations". (Page 173 of Final Report of the Audit)
43	BellSouth included special access services	"The changes in the results at the sub-metric

	in some of its retail analog calculations during the audit period and, after correcting the calculations, failed to perform a complete analysis to determine whether reposting was necessary.	level were significant." (Page 174 of Final Report of the Audit)
53	BellSouth did not make remedy payments for failures associated with the O-3 and O-4 (Percent Flow-Through Service Requests Summary and Detail) measures in accordance with the SEEM Administrative Plan.	"Some CLECs may have foregone remedy payments due to this failure." (Page 200 of Final Report of the Audit)

CompSouth requests a response to this letter in 10 days describing the specific actions BellSouth intends to take to satisfy these requests.

Sincerely,



Sharon E. Norris
Consultant to CompSouth

cc:

Mr. Robert Culpepper, BellSouth
Alabama Public Service Commission
Florida Public Service Commission
Georgia Public Service Commission
Kentucky Public Service Commission
Louisiana Public Service Commission
Mississippi Public Service Commission
North Carolina Utilities Commission
South Carolina Public Service Commission
Tennessee Regulatory Authority