ORIGINAL

David M. Christian Vice President Regulatory Affairs Florida



106 E. College Ave Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone 850-224-3963 Fax 850-222-2912 david.christian@verizon.com

undockeded

March 9, 2006

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Confidential Attachment No. 6 Confidential Attachment No. 7 Confidential Attachment No. 8 Confidential Attachment No. 9

Re:

Review of Pole Inspection and Maintenance Practices - Confidential Work Papers and Confidential Attachments

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Verizon Florida Inc. (Verizon) has identified factual information in the work papers	CMP	
produced by Commission Staff during their utility pole inspection audit for which Verizo claims confidential treatment pursuant to Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes and Rul 25-22.006(5). A sealed envelope containing a highlighted version of the confidential	orCOM	
information is attached together with Verizon's Notice of Intent. A redacted version of the work papers is also attached.		
		In addition, Verizon claims continued confidentiality for the following information
previously submitted as confidential during the course of the audit:		
Responses to Data Request No. 1 - Submitted 11/12/05:		
Confidential Attachment No. 5a through 5p	OTH I COM	

RECEIVED & FILED

BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02062 MAR-98

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Blanca S. Bayo March 9, 2006 Page 2

Supplemental Responses to Data Request No. 1 - Submitted 12/5/05:

Revised Confidential Attachment No. 7 Revised Confidential Attachment No. 8

Responses to Data Request No. 2 - Submitted 12/12/05:

Confidential Attachment No. 10 (Part 1 and Part 2)

Confidential Attachment No. 12

If you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

David M. Christian Vice President

Regulatory Affairs Florida

Attachments

c: Tripp Coston (w/a)

POCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Verizon Blue ERV B with best industry practices, should be climbed updated periodically. Compliance with Overall, the company policy is not to treat or brace its poles when policies and procedures should be a deficiency is noted, but rather to replace all substandard noles verified by operations management. when they are identified. organizational 5. The activities required for pole The company has 1,642 employees within its Construction a 5. Determine No findings structure of company units inspections may be involve portions of Customer Operations groups in FL. Specifically, the company employees 97 Outside Plant Engineering. These associates are responsible for pole inspection several work units. Shifts in efforts. Identify changes in organizational attucture can impact involved in the pole inspections, design, and management and structures and responsibilities effectiveness and can indicate support activity for Florida. The company does not have a over the period 2002-2006. management's priorities and responses dedicated group of inspection staff. to perceived problems. 6. Identify, trend, and evaluate the Reductions or increases in The company does not have any staff directly allocated to pole No findings number of personnel allocated to workforces devoted these activities inspections during the period. The company's overall employee pole inspection during the period reflect changing priorities and can count has remained consistent over the review period. impact reliability results. 2002-2005. 7. Review any internal or external 7. Audits and studies will reveal The company has conducted at least 36 internal andits related to No findings audits or studies related to pole emblem areas discovered. Audit service reliability and service quality. The company has not findings should be properly addressed conducted a specific pole inspection audit or periew, but has inepections. **Verily** conducted reviews of construction standards, pole placement, pole management has responded and by management in the form of implemented recommendations operational changes. Follow-up audits attachmenta etc. of remedial efforts may be required. where appropriate. The company did have an external review done in a targeted area of Tames to examine the overall condition of company owned poles. The audit examined a sample of second poles to determine if the poles were defective and needed to be replaced. The results of the review determined of the poles were defective. FPSC rule 25-4.069 states the company The company does not conduct or document any routine pole 8. Document how inspection The company does not programs are used and evaluate should purme a maintenance program inspections. Any time a lineman determines that a pole is no conduct pole inspections aimed at achieving efficient operations longer effective, a workender is issued for the pole to be replaced. ability to identify faulty poles. as prescribed by the Evaluate one of inspection results. while maintaining safe, adequate, and NESC. continuous service at all times. The The company used the results of an independent review to determine it has a very low meddefective pole rate. The company company's inspection program should identify and contect all pules that may has not done any internal sasesyments of the stability of its poles. course a safety concern or possible

Bureau of Performance Analysis Work Plan Telecommunication Pole Inspection Review

Bureau of Performance Analysis Work Plan Telecommunication Pole Inspection Review				
provides	company's responsibility and liabilities in case of pole failure. The conracts should also include the lesser's responsibility in artaching its equipment along the poles.	Verizon Temporal no matter the owner	by the failure of a neglected pole. Finding one addresses this issue.	
14. Determine the number of Verizon poles in service broken down by two.		The company has 107,863 owned poles. The company has them broken down by height and class. The majority are class	No finding	

	Data Request(s) Generated:		
	No Description:		
	No. Description:		
	Follow-up Required:		
Document #15:	Document Title and Purpose of Review:		
Date Requested:	a) Does Verizon track the average total minutes of customer interruptions per year? If so, please provide		
Date Received:	results from 2002 through 2005.		
Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	please provide specific results for all hurricanes during period 2002 through 2005?		
	Summary of Contents: A. Verizon does not track the average total minutes of customer interruptions per year. However, in compliance with Commission rule 25.4.070(7), Verizon reports monthly trouble reports and service results on Schedule 11 as required to the FL PSC.		
	B. Verizon does not track the minutes of customer interruption, but instead tracks and reports the number of customer trouble reports to the PSC on schedule 11 as noted in 15a. The company provided a listing on trouble reports for 2003 and 2004 for the storm periods Jul-Dec. The company also notes the increase in tickets over the previous year.		
:	Conclusions:		
	Data Request(s) Generated: No. Description: No. Description:		
	Follow-up Required:		
Document #16: Date Requested: Date Received:	Document Title and Purpose of Review: Does Verizon track the number of outages caused by pole failures per year? If so, please provide the number outages for each year 2002 through 2005		
Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	Summary of Contents: Verizon does not track the number of outages caused by pole failures. The number of customer reports associated with all trouble types are tracked and reported to the PSC on Schedule 11		
	Conclusions:		
	Data Request(s) Generated: No Description: No Description: Follow-up Required:		
Document #17:	Document Title and Purpose of Review: The following questions are in regard to Verizon's response to		
Date Requested:	Data Request 1.3:		
Date Received:	Please explain why the audit group was unable to locate of Verizon's poles during this review.		
Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	sts: (i.e., Contidential) Summary of Contents: The purpose of the audit was to verify and update Verizon's records. Poles as found were deleted from the records.		
	Conclusions:		
	Data Request(s) Generated: Parenting.com		

Commer " (i.e., Considential)	Please include the audit report or "dy date, title of the audit, a brief audit topic description and me of the performing auditor(s).	
CONFIDENTIAL	b. Please provide a list of any internal audits, external audits, or external studies conducted by or for the	
COMPLEMENT	company during the period 2002-2005 regarding service reliability and service quality. Please include the	
	audit report or study date, title of the audit, brief audit topic description and name of the performing auditor(s).	
	c. Please provide any risk analysis studies or evaluations performed by management over the period 2002-	
	2005 for purposes of identifying internal audit coverage needs and adequacy of internal controls.	
	Summary of Contents: In 2002, the company used ATCO to perform an audit of verizon's poles (
	3. The auditor's physically visited each pole for obvious physical, clearance, or safety	
	issues. Verizon's records were updated to reflect information from this audit	
	B. Verizon has conducted 36 internal audits related to service reliability and service quality. The company	
	provided listing of these audits.	
	C. Verizon's management team analyzes risk on a day-to-day basis, and thus it is impossible to list all such	
	analyses or evaluations. For Hurricanes, the company has developed and maintains a comprehensive	
	emergency preparedness and restoration plan that addresses all aspects of business operations during and	
}	emergency situation. The company provided a Confidential copy of this plan.	
	Conclusions: The audit was unable to locate the poles targeted for review. The company	
	did replace to the poles.	
	Data Request(s) Generated:	
	No Description:	
}	No. Description:	
	Follow-up Required:	
Document #4:	Document Title and Purpose of Review:	
Date Requested:	a. Please list and provide the number of joint use agreements with other utilities allowing Verizon Florida to	
Date Received:	attach its equipment to the other company's utility poles.	
Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	b. Please provide a copy of each of the agreements.	
1	Summary of Contents: The company has seven joint use Agreements with power companies (Bartow,	
CONFIDENTIAL	Lakeland, FPL, Progress, TECO, Peach River, and Withlacoochee.	
Ì	The company provided copies of each of these agreements	
	Conclusions:	
1	Data Request(s) Generated:	
1	No Description:	
	No. Description:	
<u> </u>	Fallow-up Required:	
Decument #5:	Document Title and Purpose of Review:	
Date Requested:	a. Please list and provide the number of joint use agreements where Verizon Florida has agreed to allow	
Date Received:	another company to attach its equipment on Verizon's utility poles.	
Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	b. Please provide a copy of each of the agreements.	

CONFIDENTIAL	includes costs of repairs, moves ? 1 changes, rent, training, and minor purchases not included in irement		
`	units. Conclusions:		
	Data Request(s) Generated:		
	No. Description: No. Description:		
	Follow-up Required:		
4 H4 T.			
Ducament #13:	Document Title and Purpose of Review:		
Date Requested: Date Received:	Describe any portions of the pole inspection efforts and activities outsourced or completed by contractors during the period 2002-2005. Please provide copies of any current contracts for outsourcing.		
Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	Summary of Contents: Referred to question 3a for the ATCO audit results. The company also provided its		
Commence (i.e., confidence)	outsourcing requirements for contracts		
CONFIDENTIAL	Conclusions: The company does not routinely contract with a company to monitor/inspect/treat or replace poles.		
	Data Request(s) Generated:		
	No Description:		
	No. Description:		
	Follow-up Required:		
Document #14:	Document Title and Purpose of Review:		
Date Requested: Date Received:	Describe any changes in the company's approach to pole inspection activities, efforts or funding during the period 2002-2005.		
Comments: (Le., Confidential)	Summary of Contents: The company issued a statement in 6-2005 addressing remedial pole work. The		
Committee (con committee)	company, since 2000 has opposed and restricted remedial pole work on Verizon owned poles. Poles not		
	meeting minimum structural standards are replaced rather than repaired.		
	Conclusions:		
	Data Request(s) Geaerated:		
	No Description:		
	No. Description:		
	Follow-up Required:		
Document #15:	Document Title and Purpose of Review:		
Date Requested:	Please describe how the company evaluates and monitors any pole inspections and maintenance work		
Date Received:	outsourced to contractors.		
Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	Summary of Contents: Verizon agreements with its contractors provide performance expectants, audits and		
	reports, plant rules, service quality measurements, and may other items that hold a contractor to high standards.		
	Conclusions: While the company has contracting standards, the company does not contract with any		
	group for pole maintenance related work.		
	Data Request(s) Generated:		
	No. Description:		
	No. Description:		

Finding 4

Verizon's mapping system database of pole records may contain inaccurate information.

Verizon has a mapping system database that houses the location of each of its 107,863 poles within Florida. Verizon transitioned from a paper-based recordkeeping structure to an electronic database in the mid-1980s. In 2002, Verizon contracted with an outside auditor to conduct a sample sudit of its pole infrastructure for a portion of its territory around the Tampa area. The audit staff visited poles within five territories in the Tampa, Florida area to verify Verizon's property records. The audit group also conducted visual assessments of the overall condition of each pole.

The audit stated that, of the poles on the data sheet and record maps, the company's records as a result of the audit. The audit also located an additional poles within the territory that were not listed in the database.

The audit verified that Verizon did not have accurate pole records and maps. The company used this sample audit to gain an understanding of its poles infrastructure. The company updated its records to reflect the audit findings, but has not conducted any further review of its remaining territory. If this audit is representative of the overall service territory, approximately of the company's pole records could be inaccurate.

Without an accurate pole database and mapping system, Verizon may not be able to respond in a timely manner to service continuity issues. Further, its accounting and depreciation records could be incorrect.

Company Response:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AUDIT DOCUMENT/RECORD REQUEST NOTICE OF INTENT

TO:	Mr. Frank App		
UTI	ITY: Verizon Florida		
FRC	I: Tripp Coston	Tripp Coston	
220	THE MINISTER POSSESSES STAFF WORLD DOWNER	(Audit Manager)	
-	JEST NUMBER: Review Staff Work Papers IT PURPOSE: Review of Telephone Pole Inspe	DATE OF REQUEST: 02/03/06	
	JEST THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S) BE PROVIDED BY		
_	RENCE RULE 25-22.006, F.A.C., THIS REQUEST		
	,,,,	incident to an inquiry	
		X OUTSIDE OF AN INQUIRY	
ITE	M DESCRIPTION:		
	idential information contained in Staff work paper of Verizon's utility pole inspection and maint	-	
TO:	AUDIT MANAGER TRIPP COSTON	DATE: 3-9-06	
THE	EQUESTED RECORD OR DOCUMENTATION:		
\Box	HAS BEEN PROVIDED TODAY		
* (CANNOT BE PROVIDED BY THE REQUESTED DATE BUT WII	LL BE MADE AVAILABLE BY	
	(3) AND IN MY OPINION, ITEM(S) SEE ABOVE IS(ARE) PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION AS DEFINED IN 364.183, 366.093, OR 367.156, F.S. TO MAINTAIN CONTINUED CONFIDENTIAL HANDLING OF THIS MATERIAL, THE UTILITY OR OTHER PERSON MUST, WITHIN 21 DAYS AFTER THE AUDIT EXIT CONFERENCE, FILE A REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION WITH THE DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING. REFER TO RULE 25-22.006, F.A.C.		
H ⁽	THE ITEM WILL NOT BE PROVIDED. (SEE ATTACHED ME	MORANDUM)	
Dis	SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF RESE ribution: Original: Utility (for completion and Copy: Audit File and FPSC Analyst		