

VOTE SHEET

April 4, 2006

Docket No. 020233-EI – Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal.

**Issue 1:** Would the continued development of GridFlorida be prudent?

**Recommendation:** No. In light of the quantitative information provided regarding the proposed GridFlorida RTO and alternatives, continued development of GridFlorida does not appear to be cost-effective. The costs exceed the benefits to such a degree that it would not be prudent or in the public interest to continue the development of GridFlorida.

**DEFERRED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03053 APR-4 06

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet

April 4, 2006

Docket No. 020233-EI – Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal.

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 2:** Should the Commission grant the GridFlorida Applicant's Motion to Withdraw the Compliance Filing filed on March 20-21, 2002, and the September 19, 2002, Petition of the GridFlorida Companies regarding Prudence of GridFlorida Market Design Principles?

**Recommendation:** Yes. The Commission should grant the GridFlorida Applicant's Motion to Withdraw the Compliance Filing. In addition, the Commission should direct staff to monitor the Peninsular Florida utilities' and stakeholders' efforts as they continue to develop enhanced wholesale market opportunities in Florida and report back to the Commission in six months on their progress.

**Issue 3:** What should be the disposition of the outstanding motions currently pending in Docket No. 020233-EI?

**Recommendation:** If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 2, the four outstanding motions currently pending in Docket No. 020233-EI will be rendered moot and should not require further action by the Commission.

**Issue 4:** Should a new docket be opened to address a non-RTO alternative for Peninsular Florida, such as the Florida Independent Transmission Provider (FITP) proposed by Florida Municipal Power Agency, Seminole Electric Cooperative, Calpine Corporation, and Northern Star Energy?

**Recommendation:** No. At this time it is not cost-effective to open a new docket to examine alternative RTO or non-RTO proposals. Instead, the parties should be encouraged to continue investigating opportunities to enhance the existing wholesale market.

Vote Sheet

April 4, 2006

Docket No. 020233-EI – Review of GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) Proposal.

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 5:** Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** Yes. If the Commission votes to approve staff's recommendations in Issues 1 through 4, this docket should be closed.