#### State of Florida



### Jublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULENARD: 45
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-SGMMISSION CLERK

**DATE:** May 16, 2006

TO: Peter H. Lester, Economic Analyst, Division of Economic Regulation

**FROM:** Denise N. Vandiver, Chief of Auditing, Division of Regulatory Compliance &

Consumer Assistance

RE: Docket No: 060001-EI; Company Name: Florida Power & Light company

Audit Request: Fuel Clause Audit Audit Control No: 06-046-4-1

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send the response to the Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services. There are confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV:sbj Attachments

CTH \_\_\_\_

Copy: Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer

Assistance (Hoppe, District Offices, File Folder)

Division of Commission Clerk & Administrative Services (2) Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (Harvey)

General Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

CMP	Mr. Bill Walker
COM	Florida Power & Light Company
CTR	215 S. Monroe St., Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859
ECR	,
GCL	John T. Butler Squire, Sanders Law Firm
OPC THOSPINION	200 S. Biscayne Blvd. Suite 4000
RCA	Miami, FL 33131-2398
SCR	
EGA	
SEC 1	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04344 MAY 178



### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE

Miami District Office

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT

FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

HISTORICAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

DOCKET NO. 060001-EI AUDIT CONTROL NO. 06-046-4-1

> Iliana H. Piedra Audit Manager

> > Yen Ngo

Audit Staff

Kathy L. Welch

Public Utilities Supervisor

### DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

May 4, 2006

### TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have performed the procedures enumerated later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Florida Power and Light in support of its filing for fuel docket 060001-EI.

This audit is performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures which are only for internal Commission use.

#### **OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES:**

**Objective:** The objective of the audit was to reconcile the schedules to each other, to the general ledger and to source documents. In addition, the objective was to determine if the costs in the clause comply with the criteria in Commission Order 14546, issued July 8, 1985.

**Procedures:** We prepared a trial balance using the general ledger and reconciled all fuel accounts to the filing.

For revenue, we obtained the monthly Revenue and Rate Reports which are an output of the billing system and prepared schedules of revenue. We reconciled these schedules to the filing and traced the factors charged to the last order. We recalculated some bills to verify ordered rates were used.

We obtained a file of detailed transactions for the general ledger accounts and summarized them and reconciled them to the ledger and the filing. Using this file, we selected a sample of transactions that went to inventory and were transferred to the fuel accounts and transactions that were directly charged to the fuel expenses. We traced the sample to source documents. We recalculated the Okeelanta settlement. We traced the Decommissioning and Decontamination payment to the invoices. Nuclear fuel expenses were not audited in detail due to time constraints but the amount for the Overcharge settlement from the Department of Energy was agreed to company's documentation. We tested a sample of invoices for purchased power on the A7 filing and for economy energy purchases on the A9 filing. We obtained the schedule of capital investment and verified the depreciation and return on investment to prior audit work performed. We reviewed internal audits that relate to internal control over divisions that handle fuel and energy purchases.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that the true-up was calculated correctly.

**Procedures:** We agreed the beginning true-up provision to the last order and workpapers. We prepared a recalculation using the filing and Commission approved interest rates.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that vendor rebates and refunds are credited to fuel costs.

**Procedures:** We selected two fuel vendors from our sample of expenses and reviewed the payment history to verify that all receivables were billed. We also obtained a confirmation from FPL that no refunds were received. In addition, we reviewed the sample of all fuel expenses for any credits received.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that coal inventory has been completed and adjustments to inventory made based on Commission Order PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI.

**Procedures:** We obtained the inventory analysis, inventory adjustments and survey reports. We reconciled the adjustments to the filings and to the general ledger. We traced these reports to supporting documentation. We reviewed the methodology used to calculate the adjustments and compared them to Commission Order PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI.

**Objective:** The objective was to reconcile purchases on the company filing A-5 to Form 423.

**Procedures:** We selected two months and determined which transactions were on one form and not the other. We verified that the reason the transactions were not recorded on the same forms were because of timing differences due to when the forms were prepared.

**Objective:** The objective is to verify that FPL has credited generation-related gains derived from non-separated wholesale energy sales to the fuel clause as set forth in Commission Order PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the A-6 schedule to the monthly schedules and selected a sample of sales to test. The sales were traced to the Power Deal Lambda reports, deal tickets, logs and confirmations. The gains were recalculated. The scope was limited because the Power Deal Lambda reports generate a number for the cost of fuel on a real time basis. The company is not able to go back retroactively to determine what costs go into a transaction. We continue to recommend that a separate audit be established to audit the cost component of the Lambda report. The audit would have to review a current transaction and review the inputs into the system and the programming used to take the inputs and generate the cost number. Because of this limitation, we were unable to determine if FPL is complying with the order by reducing the gain for incremental operation and maintenance and emission costs. In addition, the A-6 schedule was designed before transmission was separated. The A-6 schedules would benefit from being updated to reflect current operations.

**Objective**: The objective was to verify that energy payments to qualifying facilities are based on the negotiated contract rate.

**Procedures:** We selected two months of payments to the qualifying facilities. The charges for a current month are based on estimates and true-ups for the prior month. The estimates were traced to the source documents and the true-up's traced to the prior month estimate and the actual bill for the period.

**Objective:** The objective was to reconcile service hours, reserve shutdown hours, and unavailable hours for GPIF units as shown on annual GPIF filings to source documents. In addition, the objective was to reconcile the heat rate for GPIF shown on FPL's A4 filing to source documents.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the A-4 to the GPIF filing. We obtained explanations for the differences and traced to source documents.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that the accounting treatment for futures, options, and swap contracts are consistent with Commission Order PSC-02-1484-FOF-El. In addition, the objective was to verify if the gains or losses associated with each hedging instrument were recorded consistent with the same order.

**Procedures:** We selected one month's gains or losses to audit. We traced them to the FPL Derivatives Settlements-All Instruments Report. We traced some of the instruments to invoices and contracts. We traced the deal price from the swap deal entry to the confirmation letter. We traced volume to be traded to the FPL Option Accrual Power and Gas Closing Report. We traced the gains to the deal ticket and contract option agreement. We verified the treatment to Commission Order PSC-02-1484-FOF-EI.

**Objective:** The objective was to determine that the regulatory treatment for any expenses associated with the sleeving generator tubes at St. Lucie Unit 2 is consistent with Commission Order PSC-05-1252-FOF-EI.

**Procedures:** We reviewed the deferred account where the charges were initially recorded and traced the entries transferring them to expense accounts. We reviewed our sample of expenses to verify that none of these charges were included in the fuel accounts.

#### **EXHIBITS**

# FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2005

	1	End of Period True-up for the period January through December 2005 (from page 4, lines D7 & D8)		\$ (1,042,870,588)
	2	Less - Estimated/Actual True-up for the same period	*	\$ (735,432,988)
	3	Net Final True-up for the period January through December 2005		\$ (307,437,600)
		( ) Reflects Underrecovery		
	*	Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-05-1252-FOF-EI dated December 23, 2005		
Г	•	Net True-up for the period January through December 2005		
1		to be collected in January through December 2007 period		\$ (307,437,600)
		True-up amounts to be collected during January through December 2006		(743,140,130)
		G/L Balance @ December 31, 2005		\$ (1,050,577,730)
l				
ĺ		Final true-up for the period January through December 2004	*	\$ (7,707,142)
		Est/Act true-up for the period January through December 2005	*	(735,432,988)
		Total	*	\$ (743,140,130)
L	•	Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-05-1252-FOF-EI dated December 23, 2005		

MLC UL	ATION OF ACTUAL TRUE UP AMOUNT	<del></del>	·											
	POWER & LIGHT COMPANY													
RTHE	PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2001													
	T	(1)	(2)											
LINE		<del></del>	- (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
NO.		JAN	FBB											TOTAL
	Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions		PAB PAB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL.	OUA	SBP	ост	NOV	DBC	PERIOD
17	Pusi Cost of System Net Constitution (See no is (c) below)													
	h Incremental Hedging Costs	264,985,156 \$ 367,586	211,441,472	260,165,564	272,526,059	321,540,834	362,694,236	521,475,554	515,981,092	588,196,333	481,915,723	5 370,443,894 1	321,421,785	4,492,787,7
	c Nuclear Fuel Deposal Costs	1,427,461	(261,791)	29,792	54,393	41,859	21,451	45,687	42,455	43,700	(37,158)	27,714	35,536	411,2
	d Scherer Coal Care Depreciation & Rearm		1,544,370	2,013,141	1,699,172	1,524,590	1,750,689	1,707,971	1,999,582	2,041,545	1,427,838	1,198,878	1,382,410	19,921.7
++	Out Papelinest Depression & Return	356,166	353,769	351,569	349,368	347,168	344,968	342,768	340,567	338,367	236,167	333,966	331,766	4,126,6
1	COB DAD Fund Peyment	47,569	47,130	46,692	46,253	45,815	45,376	44,938	0	0	0	0	331,76	323,7
$\vdash$	e DOB Settlement			0	0	0	0	0		0	0	6,126,002	- 0	6,526,0
1 7	Puel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6)			0	0	0	0		(6,124,177)	0	0	0		(6,124,
++	b) Coins from Off-System Sules	(9,434,165)	(4,745,879)	(7,796,126)	(4,757,297)	(2,567,759)	(6,507,633)	(5,131,477)	(9,431,612)	(2,000,931)	(4,417,835)	(7,590,011)	(29,346,010)	(93,726,
17	a Puet Cost of Purchased Power (Par A7)	(2,688,330)	(908,475)	(1,882,490)	(681,643)	(409,050)	(936,73lt)	(906,303)	(1,525,139)	(293,155)	(646,838)	(1,580,524)	(7,405,883)	(19,891,
╅	b Bnergy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per A8)	17,147,338	14,901,246	17,393,966	18,957,350	19,739,412	19,635,129	33,805,530	31,862,090	24,610,720	21,603,937	17,773,666	20,176,532	264,606,9
<del>                                     </del>	c Okeclents Settlement Amortization including interest	12,811,303	11,866,241	12,741,700	7,035,037	16,117,452	13,275,111	16,387,308	16,890,489	14,433,718	10,526,401	11,224,967	13,033,216	158,343,
1-1	Bnergy Cost of Economy Purchases (Per A9)	\$15,656	816,349	\$16,631	917.065	\$16,967	\$16,749	B16,793	816,602	\$16,119	115,543	\$14,845	\$13,266	9,792
+-}-	Total Pusi Costs & Not Power Transactions	6,975,1199	10,341,762	8,290,582	11,983,242	12,385,723	6,770,289	10,160,208	9,376,004	13,804,178	33,607,038	17,314,114	7,793,380	148,810,
+ :	Adjustments to Fuel Cast	292,811,639	243,396,197	292,179,109	301,021,999	\$ 369,583,011	397,119,606	578,748,779	160,227,914	\$ 641,994,586	3 552,130,915	3 416,487,512	330,436,038	4,985,907,
††	a Sales to Fin Keye Bloc: Coop (FKBC) & City of Key West (CKW)		<del></del> -											
1-1-	b Rescure and Vokage Control / Briengy Imbalance Puel Revenues	(3,230,626)	(3,260,071)	(2,896,967)	(3,843,379)	(3,760,312)	(4.312,842)	(4.339.874)	(6,092,063)	(5,995,137)	(6,785,940)	(6,074,577)	(4,973,298)	(55,365,0
1 1	o Inventory Adjustments	(34,318)	(16,838)		(98,643)	29,170	(15,476)	(11,158)	(42,991)	(82,962)	(606,637)	(70,102)	(32,083)	(1,119,1
+	d Non Recoverable Of Tank Bottonis	(,94,,316)	(10,1131)	(37,219)	561,847	(52,500)	(7,560)	(927,198)	(12,329)	(184,010)	(12,612)	61,479	68,974	(632,
17	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	289.489.052	242,037,364	289,195,838	482,368		0			0	0	·	0	482,
	The state of the s	227,87,032	\$ 250,000 p.000 p.	289,193,030	305,124,192	363,799,770	\$ 393,553,728	\$ 573,470,149	\$ 554,080,572	\$ 635,732,408	3 344,685,725	3 410,403,612	325,499,631	4,929,072,4
,†-†-	k Wh. Sales											.		
1.1	Juradictional kWh Sales	7,987,484,286	7,234,353,278	7										
17	Sale for Resale (suchdarg FKBC & CKW)	48,617,536	45,822,934	7,116,992,947 46,203,422	7,318,195,385	7,690,879,523	9,177,534,931	10,068,713,531	10,431,104,880	10,421,080,406	9,386,084,260	7,420,068,938	7,843,945,575	102,296,437,9
17	Sub-Total Sales (excluding PKBC & CKW)				45,123,858	42,871,896	41,423,720	39,679,104	48,931,968	47,638,070	46,689,980	45,353,070	43,980,309	542,355,8
╅╬	Sub-Louis Said (exchang PABC & CKW)	8,036,101,822	7,280,176,212	7,163,196,369	7,363,319,243	7,733,751,419	9,218,918,611	10,108,392,635	10,480,056,848	10,468,718,476	9,632,774,240	7,465,422,008	7,887,925,884	102,838,793,6
+	Jurisdictional % of Total Sales (BL/R3)													
╅╬	Juristictional % of Total Sales (BL/R3)	99.39501%	99 37058%	99.35499%	99.38718%	99.44165%	99.55067%	99.60746%	99.53290%	99.54495%	99.51530%	99.39249%	99,44244%	99.472
╂╌╂╌	<del></del>													
++	True-up Calculation  Juris Puel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxon)	\$ 312,216,887	\$ 284,800,665	\$ 280,142,013	\$ 286,144,028	3 302,920,133		\$ 396,695,788		\$ 410,608,505		1		
<del>- 1</del> -		312,210,867	264,600,000	200,142,013	288,144,028	302,920,333	3 361,514,371	390,693,788	\$ 410,910,421	\$ 410,608,505	\$ 377,561,708	3 292,085,462	308,746,900	4,026,351,0
+4	Ruel Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period										<u> </u>	l		
+-+	a Prior Period True-up (Collected)/Refunded The Period b) CPIF, Not of Revenue Taxes (a)	(11,690,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,694,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(140,387,
╅┵	c Oil Backout Revenues, Not of revenue takes	(142,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(6,511,
+ 1	Jurisdational Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	\$ 299,975,306	\$ 272,559,088	1 267,900,439	\$ 275,902,452		\$ 349,272,795	3 384,454,212	3 398,668,845	\$ 398,366,929		3 279,843,886		\$ 3,879,432,
-11	a Adjusted Total Pari Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	\$ 289,489,052	\$ 242,037,364	\$ 289,195,838		\$ 290,678,757		3 373,470,549		398,300,929	3 365,324,132		296,505,324	
	b Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail (April 518,111)	1 0		3 0		365,799,770	393,553,728	3 3/3,4/0,349		3 033,732,408		410,403,612	325,499,631	4,929,072,
	c RTP Incremental Fuel -100% Retail	·	, ,		, ,	P	3 0	, ,	, ,	P	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del>}</del>	<u>}</u>
	d D&D Fund Payments -100% Retail			<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>			- 0	<del></del>			6,126,002		6,526
++	a Ady Total Puel Costs & Nat Power Transactions - Backeting 100% Retail Items (C4a)	<del>-</del>	<u>`</u>	<u>`</u>	<u> </u>	<u>°</u>	<u>*</u>	<u>_</u>	<del> </del>	<u>`</u>	├ <u>°</u>	0,120,002	Y	4,5,60,
Ш	C4b-C4o-C4d)	289,489,052	242,037,364	219,195,838	305,124,192	365,799,770	393,553,728	573,470,349	354,080,572	635,732,408	544,685,725	403,877,611	321,499,631	4,922,346,
3	Jurudictional Salor % of Total kWh Salor (Line B-6)	99.39501 %	99.37058 %	99.35499 %	99.38718 %	99.44565 %	99.55067 %	99 60746 %	99.53290 %	99,54495 4	99.51530 1	99.39249 %	99.44244 %	99.47262 %
7 9	Jurisdictional Total Puel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line C4e x C5 x					·								
11	1.00065(b)) +(Lines C4b,c,d)	\$ 287,924,702	\$ 240,670,266	\$ 287,517,261	3 303,451,446	\$ 364,008,411	\$ 392,040,034	\$ 571,590,740	3 351,830,931	\$ 633,250,853	\$ 342,397,963	\$ 408,210,941	323,895,171	\$ 4,906,808
1						1.	l	, ,, <u>,</u> ,,,,,,		<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	1	\$ (27,389,847)	1,027,356
4-;	True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line C3 - Line C6)	\$ 12,050,604	\$ 31,888,822	\$ (19,616,822)	\$ (27,548,994)			3 (187,136,528)				4	(27,389,847)	(1,027,356
14	Interest Provision for the Month (Line D10)	(274,715)	(220,644)	(192,791)	(233,492	4	(482,543)	(\$00,353)		(1,949,111	(2,690,794	4		
14	a True-up & Interest Provision Bag of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(140,387,523)	(116,912,765)	(73,545,618)	(11,636,263		(159,713,390)	(191,264,211)			(735,412,981	4	(1,023,495,453)	(140,38
	b Deferred True-up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(7,707,142)	(7,707,142)	(7,707,142)	(7,707,142		(7,707,142	(7,707,142)		(7,707,142	(7,707,142	4	(7,707,142)	·
10	Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period	11,698,969	11,698,969	11,698,969	11,690,969	11,698,969	11,698,969	11,696,969	11,698,969	11,694,969	11,698,969	11,698,969	11,698,969	140,38
1 112	Bnd of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines C7 through C10)	1 (124,619,907)	1 (11.252.760)	3 (19,363,405)	105,446,923	0 (167,420,540	191.971.353	375,209,265)	\$ (518,006,064	3 (743,140,130	911,205,787	(1,031,202,595)	1 (1,050,577,730)	2,010,077

•

#### State of Florida



### Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

#### -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

May 16, 2006

TO:

Peter H. Lester, Economic Analyst, Division of Economic Regulation

FROM:

Denise N. Vandiver, Chief of Auditing, Division of Regulatory Compliance &

Consumer Assistance

RE:

Docket No: 060001-EI; Company Name: Florida Power & Light company

Audit Request: Fuel Clause Audit Audit Control No: 06-046-4-1

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send the response to the Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services. There are confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV:sbj Attachments

Copy: Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer

Assistance (Hoppe, District Offices, File Folder)

Division of Commission Clerk & Administrative Services (2) Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (Harvey)

General Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

Mr. Bill Walker Florida Power & Light Company 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

John T. Butler Squire, Sanders Law Firm 200 S. Biscayne Blvd. Suite 4000 Miami, FL 33131-2398



#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE

Miami District Office

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT

FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

HISTORICAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

DOCKET NO. 060001-EI AUDIT CONTROL NO. 06-046-4-1

> Iliana H. Piedra Audit Manager

> > Yen Ngo

Audit Staff

Kathy L. Welch

Public Utilities Supervisor

## DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

May 4, 2006

### TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have performed the procedures enumerated later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Florida Power and Light in support of its filing for fuel docket 060001-EI.

This audit is performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures which are only for internal Commission use.

#### **OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES:**

**Objective:** The objective of the audit was to reconcile the schedules to each other, to the general ledger and to source documents. In addition, the objective was to determine if the costs in the clause comply with the criteria in Commission Order 14546, issued July 8, 1985.

**Procedures:** We prepared a trial balance using the general ledger and reconciled all fuel accounts to the filing.

For revenue, we obtained the monthly Revenue and Rate Reports which are an output of the billing system and prepared schedules of revenue. We reconciled these schedules to the filing and traced the factors charged to the last order. We recalculated some bills to verify ordered rates were used.

We obtained a file of detailed transactions for the general ledger accounts and summarized them and reconciled them to the ledger and the filing. Using this file, we selected a sample of transactions that went to inventory and were transferred to the fuel accounts and transactions that were directly charged to the fuel expenses. We traced the sample to source documents. We recalculated the Okeelanta settlement. We traced the Decommissioning and Decontamination payment to the invoices. Nuclear fuel expenses were not audited in detail due to time constraints but the amount for the Overcharge settlement from the Department of Energy was agreed to company's documentation. We tested a sample of invoices for purchased power on the A7 filing and for economy energy purchases on the A9 filing. We obtained the schedule of capital investment and verified the depreciation and return on investment to prior audit work performed. We reviewed internal audits that relate to internal control over divisions that handle fuel and energy purchases.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that the true-up was calculated correctly.

**Procedures:** We agreed the beginning true-up provision to the last order and workpapers. We prepared a recalculation using the filing and Commission approved interest rates.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that vendor rebates and refunds are credited to fuel costs.

**Procedures:** We selected two fuel vendors from our sample of expenses and reviewed the payment history to verify that all receivables were billed. We also obtained a confirmation from FPL that no refunds were received. In addition, we reviewed the sample of all fuel expenses for any credits received.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that coal inventory has been completed and adjustments to inventory made based on Commission Order PSC-97-0359-FOF-El.

**Procedures:** We obtained the inventory analysis, inventory adjustments and survey reports. We reconciled the adjustments to the filings and to the general ledger. We traced these reports to supporting documentation. We reviewed the methodology used to calculate the adjustments and compared them to Commission Order PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI.

**Objective:** The objective was to reconcile purchases on the company filing A-5 to Form 423.

**Procedures:** We selected two months and determined which transactions were on one form and not the other. We verified that the reason the transactions were not recorded on the same forms were because of timing differences due to when the forms were prepared.

**Objective:** The objective is to verify that FPL has credited generation-related gains derived from non-separated wholesale energy sales to the fuel clause as set forth in Commission Order PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the A-6 schedule to the monthly schedules and selected a sample of sales to test. The sales were traced to the Power Deal Lambda reports, deal tickets, logs and confirmations. The gains were recalculated. The scope was limited because the Power Deal Lambda reports generate a number for the cost of fuel on a real time basis. The company is not able to go back retroactively to determine what costs go into a transaction. We continue to recommend that a separate audit be established to audit the cost component of the Lambda report. The audit would have to review a current transaction and review the inputs into the system and the programming used to take the inputs and generate the cost number. Because of this limitation, we were unable to determine if FPL is complying with the order by reducing the gain for incremental operation and maintenance and emission costs. In addition, the A-6 schedule was designed before transmission was separated. The A-6 schedules would benefit from being updated to reflect current operations.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that energy payments to qualifying facilities are based on the negotiated contract rate.

**Procedures:** We selected two months of payments to the qualifying facilities. The charges for a current month are based on estimates and true-ups for the prior month. The estimates were traced to the source documents and the true-up's traced to the prior month estimate and the actual bill for the period.

**Objective:** The objective was to reconcile service hours, reserve shutdown hours, and unavailable hours for GPIF units as shown on annual GPIF filings to source documents. In addition, the objective was to reconcile the heat rate for GPIF shown on FPL's A4 filing to source documents.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the A-4 to the GPIF filing. We obtained explanations for the differences and traced to source documents.

**Objective:** The objective was to verify that the accounting treatment for futures, options, and swap contracts are consistent with Commission Order PSC-02-1484-FOF-EI. In addition, the objective was to verify if the gains or losses associated with each hedging instrument were recorded consistent with the same order.

**Procedures:** We selected one month's gains or losses to audit. We traced them to the FPL Derivatives Settlements-All Instruments Report. We traced some of the instruments to invoices and contracts. We traced the deal price from the swap deal entry to the confirmation letter. We traced volume to be traded to the FPL Option Accrual Power and Gas Closing Report. We traced the gains to the deal ticket and contract option agreement. We verified the treatment to Commission Order PSC-02-1484-FOF-EI.

**Objective:** The objective was to determine that the regulatory treatment for any expenses associated with the sleeving generator tubes at St. Lucie Unit 2 is consistent with Commission Order PSC-05-1252-FOF-EI.

**Procedures:** We reviewed the deferred account where the charges were initially recorded and traced the entries transferring them to expense accounts. We reviewed our sample of expenses to verify that none of these charges were included in the fuel accounts.

#### **EXHIBITS**

## FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2005

1	End of Period True-up for the period January through December 2005 (from page 4, lines D7 & D8)		\$ (1,042,870,588)
2	Less - Estimated/Actual True-up for the same period	*	\$ (735,432,988)
3	Net Final True-up for the period January through December 2005		\$ (307,437,600)
	( ) Reflects Underrecovery		
*	Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-05-1252-FOF-EI dated December 23, 2005		
	Net True-up for the period January through December 2005 to be collected in January through December 2007 period		\$ (307,437,600)
	True-up amounts to be collected during January through December 2006		(743,140,130)
	G/L Balance @ December 31, 2005		\$ (1,050,577,730)
	Final true-up for the period January through December 2004	*	\$ (7,707,142)
	Est/Act true-up for the period January through December 2005	*	(735,432,988)
	Total	*	\$ (743,140,130)

Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-05-1252-FOF-EI dated December 23, 2005

CALC	<b>ΥΠ ΑΤ</b>	ON OF ACTUAL TRUE UP AMOUNT				·									
		WBR & LIGHT COMPANY								T			·	<del></del>	
		RIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2005													
i Ti	TT	ACO NATIONAL TIMOGOTI DECEMBER 2003												<del></del>	
LE	NB.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
NO			JAN									·			TOTAL
AT	1	Fled Costs & Nel Power Transactions	)AN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	IUN	TUL.	DUA	5RP	OCT	NoV	DBC	PERIOD
$\cap$	1 4	Puel Cost of System Net Centration (See note (c) below)	264,985,156 \$												- I and OL
$\sqcap$		Incremental Hedging Coets	367,386	211,441,472	260,165,364	272,526,059	321,540,834	362,694,236	321,475,554	515,981,092	588,196,333	481,915,723	370,443,894 \$	321,421,783 3	4,492,787,703
$\sqcap$		Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs	1,427,461	(261,788)	29,792	54,393	41,859	21,451	45,687	42,455	43,700	(37,158)	27,714	35,136	411,228
$\sqcap$	-	Scherer Coal Care Depressation & Return			2,013,141	1,699,172	1,524,390	1,750,689	1,707,971	1,999,582	2,045,545	1,427,858	1,198,878	1,582,450	19,921,706
$\vdash$		One Populinos Depracustion & Return	356,166 47,569	353,769	351,569	349,368	347,168	344,968	342,768	340,567	338,367	336,167	333,966	331,766	4,126,609
abla		DOB DAD Fund Payment	47,309	47,130	46,692	46,213	45,815	45,376	44,938			0	0	0	323,773
П		DOB Settlement		<del>-</del>	0	<del></del>	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	6,126,002	0	6,526,002
$\Pi$		Puel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6)	(9,434,165)	(4,745,879)	(7,796,126)					(6,124,177)	0	0	0		(6,124,177)
П		Cleins from Off-System Sales	(2,680,330)	(908,475)	(1,882,490)	(4,757,297)	(2,567,759)	(6,507,633)	(5,131,477)	(9,431,612)	(2,000,938)	(4,417,835)	(110,002,7)	(29,346,010)	(93,726,742)
П		Puel Cost of Purchased Power (Per A7)	17.147.338	14,901,246	17,393,966	(688,643)	(409,050)	(956,758)	(906,503)	(1,323,139)	(293,155)	(646,838)	(1,510,524)	(7,405,883)	(19,891,788)
$\sqcap$		Boorgy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per All)	12,811,303	11,866,241	12,741,788	18,957,350	19,739,412	19,635,129	23,805,530	31,862,090	24,610,720	28,603,937	17,773,666	20,176,532	264,606,916
$\sqcap$		Okcelente Settlement Amortisation suchding interest	815,636	016,349	\$16,631	7,035,037	16,117,452	13,275,111	16,387,308	16,890,489	14,433,718	10,326,481	11,224,967	15,033,216	158,343,111
$\sqcap$		Bnergy Cost of Boonomy Purchases (Per A9)	6,975,899	10,341,762	\$10,631 \$,290,582	817,065	816,967	816,748	816,791	816,602	\$14,119	115,543	814,845	813,266	9,792,187
$\sqcap$		Total Puel Costs & Not Power Transactions	292,811,639	245,396,197		11,983,242	12,385,723	6,770,289	10,160,208	9,376,004	13,804,178	33,607,038	17,314,114	7,793,380	148,810,419
$\sqcap$	7	Adjustments to Past Cast	474811,039	, Δ43 <sub>2</sub> 590 <sub>3</sub> 19/ []	292,179,109	301,021,999	369,583,011	\$ 397,889,606	\$ 578,748,779	\$ 560,227,954	641,994,586	\$ 552,130,915	\$ 416,487,512	330,436,031	4,985,907,346
FT	7	Sales to Fin Keye Bloct Coop (FKBC) & City of Key West (CKW)	(3,230,626)	(3,260,071)	(2,896,967)										
$\Box$	1	Rescrive and Voltage Control / Bnergy Imbalance Puel Revenues	(57,644)	(81,924)	(49,085)	(3,143,379)	(3,760,312)	(4,312,842)	(4,339,874)	(6,092,063)	(5,995,137)	(6,785,940)	(6,074,577)	(4,973,298)	(51,161,086)
П		Inventory Adjustments	(34,318)	(16,831)	(37,219)	56t,847	(52,500)	(35,476)	(11,150)	(42,991)	(82,962)	(606,637)	(70,402)	(32,08.3)	(1,119,834)
$\Box$		Non Recoverable Osl/Tank Bottoms	1,7,510)	10,6.0)	(37,219)	482,368	(32,300)	(7,560)	(927,198)	(12,329)	(184,080)	(12,612)	61,479	68,974	(632,332)
П	7	Adjusted Total Puel Costs & Net Power Transactions	289,489,052	242,037,364	\$ 289,195,838	305,124,192	361,799,770	393,553,728	\$ 573,470,549	3 354,080,572	635,732,408	0	3 410,403,612	0	482,368
	7			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	303,125,192	303,799,770	393,333,728	3 373,470,349	334,080,372 [	033,732,408	3 544,685,725	3 410,403,612 (1	325,499,631 3	4,929,072,442
В	$\neg$	kWh Sales						<u> </u>							
H	1	Juradictional kWh Sales	7,987,484,286	7,234,353,278	7,116,992,947	7,318,195,385	7,690,879,523	9,177,534,931	10,068,713,531	10,431,104,880	10,421,010,406		2100 044 000	<del></del>	
1		Sale for Resale (archiding FKBC & CKW)	48,617,536	45,822,934	46,203,422	45,123,858	42,871,896	41,423,720	39,679,104	48,951,968	47,638,070	9,186,084,260	7,420,068,938	7,843,945,573	102,296,437,940
1		Sub-Total Sales (excluding PKBC & CKW)	8,036,101,822	7,280,176,212	7,163,196,369	7,363,319,243	7,733,751,419	9,218,958,651	10,108,392,635	10,480,016,848	10,468,718,476	46,689,980 9,632,774,240	45,353,070 7,465,422,008	43,980,309 7,887,923,884	542,355,867 102,838,793,807
$\vdash$				7,500,170,212	7,50,670,005	7,300,319,243	7,753,711,419	9,216,938,031	10,108,192,003	10,460,030,646	10,408,718,470	9.032,114,240	7,463,422,008	7,887,922,884	102,8,78,793,807
	6	Jurisdictional % of Total Sales (B1/B3)	99.39501%	99 37058%	99.35499%	99.38718%	99,44161%	99,55067%	99.60746%	99.53290%	99,54495%	99.31,330%	99,39249%	99,44244%	99.47262%
$\Box$												77.54,50070			77,47,202.0
c		True-up Calculation					************								
$\Box$	1	Juris Puel Revenues (Nat of Revenue Taxes)	312,216,887	1 284,800,665	\$ 280,142,011	3 288,144,028	302,920,333	\$ 361,514,371	396,695,788	\$ 410,910,421	410,608,505	\$ 377,565,708	3 292,085,462	308,746,900 \$	4,026,351,083
	2	Fire Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period													
$\Box$	1	Prior Period True-up (Collected)/Refunded That Period	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,691,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,694,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,690,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,696,969)	(11,698,969)	(11,698,969)	(140,387,623)
$\Box$		CPIF, Not of Revenue Taxes (a)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(342,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(342,607)	(542,607)	(542,607)	(6,511,290)
	T º	Oil Backout Revenues, Not of revenue takes	(7)												(2)
Ш	3	Jurnsdotional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Persod	\$ 299,975,306	\$ 272,559,011	267,900,439	\$ 275,502,452	\$ 290,678,757	349,272,795	384,454,212	\$ 398,668,845	394,366,929	3 361,324,132	\$ 279,843,886	296,305,324	3,879,452,165
П		Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	3 289,489,052	3 242,037,364	\$ 289,195,898	\$ 305,124,192	\$ 365,799,770	\$ 393,553,728	\$ 573,470,549	\$ 554,080,572	\$ 635,732,408	\$ 544,685,725	\$ 410,403,612	325,499,631	\$ 4,929,072,442
Ш		Nuclear Fast Expense - 100% Retail (Acct 518.111)	. 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	3 0	\$ D	3 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	3 0	3 0	9 0	\$ 0
П		RTP Incremental Fuel -100% Retail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
П		D&D Fund Payments -100% Retail	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		6,126,002	0	6,526,002
$\Pi$		Adj Total Puel Corm & Net Power Transactions - Backeting 100% Retail Items (C4s										I			
₩	—	C4b-C4o-C4d)	289,489,052	242,037,364	289,195,838	305,124,192	363,799,770		573,470,549 99,60746 %	554,080,572 99.53290 %	635,732,408 99,54495 %	544,685,725 99.51530 %	403,877,611 99,39249 %	325,499,631 99,44244 %	4,922,346,440 99,47262 %
1	4-	Juradictional Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line B.6)	99 39501 %	99.37058 %	99.35499 %	99.38718 %	99.44565 %	99 55067 %	99.60746 %	99.53290 %	99.34493 %	99.51530 %	99.39249 %	99,44244 %	77.47202 %
11	។	Jurisdictional Tetal Faul Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line C4a x C5 x 1.00065(p)) +(Lines C4b,c,d)	\$ 287.924.702	240,670,266	1 287.517.261	3 303,451,446	\$ 364,001,411	3 392,040,034	3 571,590,740	\$ 551,850,931	\$ 633,250,853	\$ 142,397,963	408,210,941	3 323,895,171	\$ 4,906,808,719
+	<del>-, -</del>		, 28 1,924,702	4 24U,0/U,200	4 481711401	303,431,446	304,008,411	392,040,034	J/1,080,740	351,630,931	, <u>333,630,633</u>	1-2-5-7-900	70,210,21	2207-251211	
	Ί	True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Lane C3 - Line C6)	\$ 12,050,604	31,889,822	\$ (19,616,822)	3 (27.540.994)	(73,329,654)	(42,767,239)	1 (187,136,528)	\$ (153,182,086)	\$ (234,883,924)	\$ (177,073,831)	(128,367,055)	\$ (27,389,847)	\$ (1,027,336,554)
++		Interest Prevision for the Month (Line D10)	(274,715)	(220,644)	(192,791)	(233,492)	(342,931)		(800,353	(1,313,642)	(1,949,111)			(3,684,257)	(13,514,034)
H	9 .	True-up & Interest Provision Beg of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(140,387,623)	(116,912,765)	(73,545,618)		(97,739,781)	4	(191,264,211	(367,502,123)	(510,291,922)			(1,023,495,453)	(140,317,623
$\rightarrow$		Deferred True-up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(7,707,142)	(7,707,142)		4		4		(7,707,142)	(7,707,142)	(7,707,142	(7,707,142)	(7,707,142)	(7,707,142
( )	h				11,698,969			4	11,698,969		11,698,969	11,698,969	11,698,969	11,698,969	140,387,623
H	10 b	Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period	11,698,969	11,698,969	11,098,909										
H		Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period  Bind of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines C7 through			1							I			
H			11,698,969		1					3 (518,006,064)	1 (743,140,130)	911,205,787	(1,001,202,595)	(1,050,577,730)	\$ (1,030,377,730