#### **VOTE SHEET**

### August 15, 2006

**Docket No. 040384-WS** – Application for amendment to Certificates 247-W and 189-S in Seminole County by Sanlando Utilities Corporation.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should Sanlando Utilities Corporation be ordered to show cause, in writing, within 21 days, why it should not be fined for serving outside its certificated territory without prior Commission approval in apparent violation of Section 367.045(2), Florida Statutes?

<u>Recommendation:</u> No. The Commission should not order Sanlando Utilities Corporation to show cause for failing to obtain an amended certificate of authorization prior to serving outside of its certificated territory.

# **APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Deason, Arriaga, Carter

#### **COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES**

<u>MAJØRITY</u>	DISSENTING
7/	
J. Teny Leas	
That I (	

#### **REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:**

**BOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE** 

07330 AUG 15 g

Vote Sheet

August 15, 2006

Docket No. 040384-WS – Application for amendment to Certificates 247-W and 189-S in Seminole County by Sanlando Utilities Corporation.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should Sanlando's Motion to Approve the Settlement Agreement between Sanlando and Seminole County be granted?

**Recommendation:** Yes. Sanlando's motion should be granted and the Settlement Agreement between Sanlando and Seminole County should be acknowledged.

# **APPROVED**

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should the Commission approve the application to amend Certificates 247-W and 189-S in Seminole County by Sanlando Utilities Corporation?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve Sanlando Utilities Corporation's amendment application. The proposed territory and the deleted territory are described in Attachment B of staff's August 3, 2006 memorandum, and the utility's composite description is described in Attachment C. The utility should charge the customers in the territory added herein the rates and charges contained in its current tariff until authorized to change by the Commission.

# **APPROVED**

**Issue 4**: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission votes to approve staff's recommendations in the previous issues, this docket should be closed.

### **APPROVED**