OTH

Matilda Sanders

From: Sent: To: Cc:	Dana Greene [DanaG@hgslaw.com] Monday, September 25, 2006 9:49 AM Filings@psc.state.fl.us CAROLYN S RAEPPLE; Javier.Portuondo@pgnma	JKIGINAL il.com; Lori.Cross@pgnmail.com	
Subject:	Docket 060162-EI	CMP	
Attachments:	Docket 060162 - Tim Devlin Letter.pdf	-COM	
-		CTR	
		ECR	
Docket 2 - Tim Devlir		GCL	
Electronic Filing		OPC	
a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:		RCA	
Carolyn S. Raepple		SCR	
Hopping Green & Sams, P.A. 123 S. Calhoun Street		SGA_	
Tallahassee, FL 32301 1-850-425-2346		SEC /	

b. Docket No. 060162-EI

creapple@hgslaw.com

In re: Petition by Progress Energy Florida, Inc. for approval to recover modular cooling tower costs through the fuel cost recovery clause

- c. Document being filed on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.
- d. There are a total of 2 pages.
- e. The document attached for electronic filing is Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Letter addressed to Mr. Tim Devlin, Director of Economic Regulation, re: approval to recover modular cooling tower costs through the fuel cost recovery clause.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Dana Greene, Legal Assistant to
William H. Green, Gary V. Perko & Virginia C. Dailey Hopping Green & Sams, P.A.
123 South Calhoun Street
P.O. Box 6526
Tallahassee, Florida 32314
850-425-3437 (direct)
850-224-8551 (fax)
danag@hgslaw.com





September 25, 2006

Mr. Tim Devlin Dir. Economic Regulation Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 060162-EI – Petition by Progress Energy Florida, Inc. for approval to recover modular cooling tower costs through the fuel cost recovery clause.

Dear Mr. Devlin:

The following issue was voted upon at the August 29, 2006, agenda conference:

Should the Commission approve Progress's request for recovery of the reasonably and prudently incurred costs of its modular cooling tower project through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause? (to which the Commission Staff recommended approval)

The Commission voted to deny staff's recommendation and on the Commission's own motion, this docket will be set for hearing, with the scope expanded to review the appropriateness of recovery through the ECRC (environmental cost recovery clause) or the fuel clause.

PEF requests permission to defer the costs associated with the modular cooling towers pending a final Commission decision. This request is consistent with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 71, which states the following:

- 9. Rate actions of a regulator can provide reasonable assurance of the existence of an asset. An enterprise shall capitalize all or part of an incurred cost that would otherwise be charged to expense if both of the following criteria are met:
- a. It is probable that future revenue in an amount at least equal to the capitalized cost will result from inclusion of that cost in allowable costs for rate-making purposes.
- b. Based on available evidence, the future revenue will be provided to permit recovery of the previously incurred cost rather than to provide for expected levels of similar future costs. If the revenue will be provided through an automatic rate-adjustment clause, this criterion requires that the regulator's intent clearly be to permit recovery of the previously incurred cost.

These criteria have been met because (1) the Commission's own motion does not question the prudency of these costs, (2) the Commission's own motion states that the scope for hearing is a

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE



review of the appropriateness of recovery in ECRC or Fuel clause (3) the staff of the Commission supports recovery and (4) consistent with Commission procedures PEF has included the costs associated with this project in its projected 2007 recovery factor, subject to the Commission's final decision.

PEF proposes to defer the costs associated with the modular cooling towers by capitalizing them in FERC account 186, Miscellaneous Deferred Debits, pending a final Commission decision. The Code of Federal Regulations, Subchapter C, Part 101, states the following:

186 Miscellaneous Deferred Debits.

A. For major utilities, this account shall include all debits not elsewhere provided for, such as miscellaneous work in progress, and unusual or extraordinary expenses, not included in other accounts, which are in process of amortization and items the proper final disposition of which is uncertain. [Emphasis Added]

If the Commission approves the project for clause recovery, PEF will then reclassify these costs from account 186 into the appropriate cost recovery clause.

PEF also respectfully requests that permission be granted within 30 days of this letter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Javier J. Portuondo Dir. Regulatory Planning

cc: Blanca Bayo, Dir. CCA