FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

December 5, 2006

Docket No. 060674-EI – Petition for authority to use deferral accounting for creation of a regulatory asset in regulatory liability to record charges or credits that would have otherwise been recorded in equity pursuant to balance sheet treatment required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 158, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission authorize Progress Energy Florida, Inc. to use deferral accounting to create a regulatory asset or liability to recognize and offset the balance sheet treatment for pension and other postretirement benefit costs the Company must record in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) 158?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should authorize Progress Energy Florida, Inc. to use deferral accounting to create a regulatory asset or liability to recognize and offset the balance sheet treatment for pension and other postretirement benefit costs the Company must record in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) 158. Further, the Commission should find that the approval to record the regulatory asset or liability for accounting purposes does not limit the Commission's ability to review the amounts for reasonableness in future rate proceedings.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
Katrina Q. Sew	
57-19-5	
Los Edge	
Max M. AV	
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:	
KEMARIO/DISSERTING COMMENTS.	
	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
$PSC/CCA022 C (P_{m}, 12/01)$	11173 DEC-58

FPSC-COMMISSION OF ERK

Vote Sheet

December 5, 2006

Docket No. 060674-EI – Petition for authority to use deferral accounting for creation of a regulatory asset in regulatory liability to record charges or credits that would have otherwise been recorded in equity pursuant to balance sheet treatment required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 158, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

APPROVED