

FPSC-COMMISSION OLERK

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY			
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KENNARD F. KOSKY			
4		DOCKET NO. 07EI			
5	JANUARY 29, 2007				
6					
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
8	A.	My name is Kennard F. Kosky and my business address is 6241 NW 23rd			
9		Street, Suite 500, Gainesville, Florida 32653.			
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?			
11	А.	I am employed by Golder Associates Inc., an engineering consulting firm			
12		specializing in ground engineering and environmental services. I am a			
13		Principal with the firm in the Gainesville office involved primarily in the			
14		environmental aspects of electric power plants.			
15	Q.	Please describe your educational background and professional			
16		experience.			
17	A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in engineering from Florida Atlantic				
18	University, and a Master of Science degree in environmental engineering from				
19		the University of Central Florida. I also completed one and half years of			
20		doctoral-level course work in the engineering Ph.D. program at the University			
21		of Florida.			

1		Over the last 30 years my primary activities have involved the siting and		
2		licensing of electric power plants. I have worked on over 50,000 megawatts		
3		(MWs) of new and existing generation including conventional coal, oil and		
4		gas-fired steam generating units, combined cycle units, integrated coal		
5		gasification combined cycle (IGCC) units, simple cycle units, municipal solid		
6		waste (MSW) fired units, biomass-fired steam generating units, and diesel		
7		units. My primary technical activities have involved developing air		
8		emissions, evaluating air pollution control technologies and performing air		
9		quality impact evaluations of these facilities. A copy of my curriculum vitae		
10		is attached as Document No. KFK-1 to my testimony.		
11	Q.	Please describe any professional registrations or certifications that you		
12		hold in your field of expertise.		
13	A.	I am a registered Professional Engineer in mechanical engineering in the State		
14		of Florida. I have been practicing as a registered Professional Engineer since		
15		1976.		
16	Q.	Could you please describe your responsibilities for FPL's Glades Power		
17		Park?		
18	А.	I had the overall responsibility for the preparation of the Site Certification		
19		Application (SCA) for the FPL Glades Power Park (FGPP). I signed and		
20		sealed the SCA as a Professional Engineer. I also had overall responsibility		
21		for the preparation of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)/Air		
22		Construction Permit Application for FGPP and signed and sealed the		
23		application as a Professional Engineer.		

Q.

Are you sponsoring an exhibit in this case?

2 A. Yes, I am sponsoring an exhibit consisting of seven documents, KFK-1 through KFK-7, which is attached to my direct testimony. This exhibit 3 provides some environmental comparisons of the FGPP and other power 4 facilities and is based upon FGPP information that is currently being reviewed 5 by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) and other 6 state and regional environmental agencies which have regulatory jurisdiction 7 concerning environmental, land use and other matters. The exhibit I am 8 9 sponsoring consists of the following documents: • Document No. KFK-1, curriculum vitae of Kennard F. Kosky 10 o Document No. KFK-2, a comparison of the air emissions of FGPP 11 with existing generation technologies 12 • Document No. KFK-3, a comparison of the environmental impacts of 13

- FGPP with regulatory standards
- Document No. KFK-4, a comparison of the air emissions of FGPP
 with OUC Stanton Energy Center Unit B IGCC
- Document No. KFK-5, a comparison of the air emissions of FGPP
 with AEP Mountaineer IGCC
- Document No. KFK-6, a comparison of the mercury emissions of
 FGPP with EPA's New Source Performance Standards
- Document No. KFK-7, environmental compliance costs used in FGPP
 Economic Analysis

Q. Are you sponsoring any sections of the Need Study document?

A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following sections of the Need Study document:
Section III.C. Environmental Controls, Section V. A. 3. Environmental
Regulations and Section V. A. 4. a. (iii) Environmental Compliance Costs.
Additionally, I sponsor Appendix F of the Need Study.

6 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

My understanding is that the Commission will consider and determine the 7 A. need for FGPP pursuant to the utility laws and regulations that it is 8 responsible for administering, which laws and regulations do not include 9 environmental regulation. However, electric power plants constructed in 10 Florida must comply with environmental regulations, and the costs of 11 compliance are part of the project. Accordingly, the purpose of my testimony 12 is to provide the Commission an overview of the key environmental aspects of 13 FGPP and of the environmental regulatory uncertainties, both of which affect 14 the cost of the project. 15

16

Based upon my training, experience and analysis conducted in relation to this project, my testimony reaches and supports the following key conclusions: (i) the selection of ultra-supercritical pulverized coal (USCPC) technology and environmental controls for FGPP not only meets, but exceeds the extensive environmental regulatory requirements; (ii) the technology selected for FGPP is the best available alternative from an environmental perspective consistent with maintaining fuel diversity; and (iii) the environmental compliance costs

evaluated by FPL to meet future environmental requirements reflect an appropriate range of possible future costs, which fairly and reasonably takes into account uncertainty concerning future environmental requirements and costs.

5 Q. How is your testimony organized?

My testimony is divided into four sections. Section I provides an overview of 6 A. 7 the major environmental requirements for FGPP. Section II presents information on how FGPP's design will not only meet, but exceed these 8 requirements. In this section, I will also provide environmental comparisons 9 of FGPP with existing and other planned generation that demonstrates the 10 favorable environmental characteristics of FGPP, while contributing to fuel 11 diversity for customers in the timeframe required. Section III describes how 12 FGPP, from an environmental perspective, is the best alternative to meet the 13 14 fuel diversity need in FPL's system. Section IV describes the existing and possible future environmental requirements and their potential influence on 15 future environmental compliance costs of FGPP. In this section, I will 16 describe how these existing and possible future environmental costs were 17 included in FPL's analysis. 18

SECTION I: ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVALS AND REQUIREMENTS

2

3

Q. What are the environmental approvals applicable to FGPP?

4 A. FGPP is required to obtain federal, state and regional environmental approvals and permits. The principal environmental approval is Site Certification under 5 6 Florida's Power Plant Siting Act (PPSA). This is a comprehensive review of 7 all environmental aspects of FGPP coordinated through the FDEP and 8 involving all state and regional agencies with environmental responsibility and those agencies potentially affected by FGPP. This includes, but is not 9 limited to, the FDEP, Florida Department of Community Affairs, Florida 10 Department of Transportation, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation 11 Commission, and the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD). 12 This comprehensive environmental review evaluates FGPP's environmental 13 controls and determines compliance with applicable environmental standards. 14 This ultimately leads to a comprehensive analysis by agencies and Conditions 15 of Certification that set forth environmental requirements. FGPP will also 16 require federal and federally delegated permits. This includes an approval by 17 the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers for impacts to wetlands, a PSD/Air 18 Construction Permit by the FDEP, and an Underground Injection Control 19 Permit from the FDEP. 20

1Q.Please summarize the major requirements for the environmental2approvals of FGPP.

A. The major requirements include (i) minimizing impacts to wetlands and providing compensatory wetland mitigation; (ii) preventing adverse impacts to fish and wildlife; (iii) using the lowest quality water and minimizing impacts to surface and ground waters; (iv) installing Best Available Control Technology (BACT) from an environmental regulatory perspective; and (v) demonstrating that the air quality standards are met.

9 Q. What is BACT?

BACT is a technology standard administered by the FDEP pursuant to its PSD 10 A. program that establishes an emission rate for all regulated pollutants requiring 11 review. BACT cannot be any less stringent than any established emission 12 standard for new facilities and is generally the lowest emission rate that is 13 14 technically feasible for the specific type of facility. The FDEP ultimately establishes BACT based on the information in the PSD/Air Construction 15 Permit Application and an evaluation of all recent similar projects in the U.S. 16 For a coal-fired power generation facility, the air emissions controls are 17 typically the most significant from a cost and environmental perspective. 18

19 Q. What is the current status of obtaining environmental approvals?

A. The SCA was submitted on December 22, 2006, and is currently under
 review. The permit applications for the PSD/Air Construction Permit,
 Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permit, and U.S. Army Corp of

Engineers wetlands permit were also submitted to the applicable agencies.
 These applications are currently under review.

3 Q. What are the general timeframes for approvals?

The site certification approval process has the longest statutory timeframe and 4 A. generally takes about 14 months from submission of the application to 5 approval by the Governor and Cabinet as the Siting Board. However, the 6 7 approval of the site certification as well as individual permits can be challenged and delay approval. Challenges within the PPSA process or a 8 challenge to the PSD/Air Construction Permit could delay approval due to 9 discovery and extended hearings. The amount of time required for challenges 10 is uncertain but historically has extended potential regulatory approvals by 11 many months and even years. 12

13

14

SECTION II: FGPP COMPLIANCE PLANS

15

Q. What general features of FGPP serve to meet environmental requirements?

A. The FGPP site was selected at a location that provides the needed infrastructure for fuel delivery and which also minimizes environmental impacts. For example, the FGPP site is currently in agriculture that has previously impacted the environment. The site includes sufficient land area to provide mitigation for wetlands impacts. Water use effects will be minimized by using excess stormwater from SFWMD canals and lower-quality water

1 from the Upper Floridan Aquifer. Water will be recycled as much as possible 2 and released using UIC wells. FGPP will not have industrial water discharges 3 to surface waters or groundwater that can impact the environment. Byproducts will be recycled to the greatest extent practicable. Byproducts 4 that cannot be recycled will be placed in an area designed to have minimal 5 impacts to the environment. Air emissions from FGPP will be minimized by 6 7 use of the USCPC combustion technology selected by FPL and installation of state-of-the-art air pollution control equipment. 8

9 10

Q.

Please explain briefly the technology proposed for FGPP that will minimize air emissions.

11 A. Minimizing air emissions involves two components. First, the higher energy efficiency of the USCPC technology reduces the amount of fuel required and, 12 13 therefore, reduces the amount of air emissions per unit of energy produced. FGPP will utilize two USCPC fired steam generators with a heat rate much 14 15 lower, meaning much more efficient, than nearly all coal-fired plants in the 16 U.S. Second, each USCPC unit will be installed with proven air pollution 17 control technology that, when combined together, will result in emissions that 18 are among the lowest in the U.S. for similar new facilities and result in among 19 the very lowest air quality impacts. The technology will include combustion 20 controls to minimize formation of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) , carbon monoxide 21 (CO) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), Selective Catalytic Reduction 22 (SCR) for further minimizing NO_x emissions, Fabric Filter to minimize 23 particulate matter (PM), a wet-limestone Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) to

minimize emissions of acid gases such as sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and a wet
Electrostatic Precipitator (ESP) to minimize particulate matter and aerosols.
Together these controls also minimize trace metals air emissions including
mercury. In addition, sorbent injection will be used to further enhance the
removal of mercury in the air pollution control systems. As explained below,
these technologies minimize air emissions to the greatest extent practicable,
which results in minimal environmental impacts.

Q. Based upon your training, experience and analysis, have you concluded
 whether the environmental controls planned for FGPP meet the
 requirements of BACT?

11 A. Yes. I conclude that the environmental controls planned for FGPP meet the requirements of BACT. The emission rates proposed as BACT in the 12 application submitted meets all the regulatory requirements of a BACT 13 analysis as specified by the FDEP. Indeed the emission rates combined with 14 the heat rate of FGPP are lower than most recently permitted pulverized coal-15 16 fired units in the U.S. Typical BACT emission limits are expressed in pounds of air pollutant for a normalized amount of heat input or pounds per million 17 Btu. This measure does not take into account energy efficiency. Since FGPP 18 will be an ultra super-critical steam generation unit, it is more efficient than 19 conventional and many new units. Therefore, air emissions when taking into 20 account energy efficiency will be lower. It should be noted that the FDEP has 21 jurisdiction to determine that FGPP's environmental controls are BACT. 22

Q. How do the air emission rates for FGPP compare with recent generation
 projects in Florida?

I prepared Document No. KFK-2 to show a comparison of the emission rates 3 A. established for some recent generation projects in Florida with those of FGPP. 4 The air emissions rates are shown in pounds per net megawatt-hour (MW-hr) 5 since, as I described previously, energy efficiency is an important criterion in 6 minimizing air emissions. I have included on this chart an existing IGCC 7 8 unit, a recent conventional pulverized coal unit, a recent Department of Energy (DOE) clean-coal circulating fluidized bed coal-fired unit and a 9 natural gas-fired combined cycle unit. I included the latter for comparison 10 since much of FPL's new generation over the last five years has been natural 11 gas combined cycle. The air emissions presented in Document No. KFK-2 are 12 13 the primary regulated air pollutants and include NO_x , SO_2 , and PM. As shown in the document, the emissions of FGPP of NO_x and SO₂, while not as low as 14 natural gas combined cycle, will be much lower than recent coal projects. Of 15 course, adding additional natural gas generation would not result in reducing 16 the use of natural gas or in diversifying fuel sources for FPL's customers. For 17 18 PM, emissions of all technologies provide low air emissions rates with natural gas combined cycle providing the lowest. 19

20 Q. How will the emission rates proposed for FGPP affect air quality?

A. The emissions rates will only minimally affect Florida's air quality. In fact, the air quality impacts, which are the most important aspect in evaluating air emissions, will not only meet all applicable requirements, but will not degrade

the air. I prepared Document No. KFK-3 to show the maximum impacts of FGPP with respect to Florida's ambient air quality standards and the PSD Increments. The ambient air quality standards were established to protect the general public with an adequate margin of safety, while the PSD Increments protect the air from degradation. As shown, the maximum impacts are a very small fraction of the regulatory standards.

7 **Q.**

How do the emissions of FGPP compare with those of new IGCC units?

I prepared two documents. Document No. KFK-4 shows the emission rates of 8 A. FGPP compared with the proposed Orlando Utilities Commission's (OUC) 9 Stanton Unit B IGCC unit. As shown in the chart, the emission rates for 10 FGPP will be lower for NO_x and higher for SO_2 . The OUC unit is a nominal 11 270 MW. Document No. KFK-5 shows a comparison of FGPP with the 12 nominal 500-MW IGCC Mountaineer project being proposed by American 13 Electric Power. As shown in this document, the rates for FGPP will be lower 14 for NO_x and higher for SO_2 . It should be noted that the emission rates shown 15 in Document No. KFK-5 are very low, and as I have stated earlier, FGPP will 16 fully comply with all air quality standards. 17

Q. Will the emission rates of mercury from FGPP meet or be less than regulatory standards?

A. Yes. The emission rates of mercury from FGPP will be about one-half of the
 latest and most stringent mercury emission standard recently established by
 the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). I have prepared Document No.

1 KFK-6, which shows the new EPA standard and the maximum emissions 2 proposed for FGPP.

3 Q. Does FPL's environmental compliance plan for FGPP meet, or exceed, 4 the applicable environmental requirements?

5 A. Yes. FPL's environmental compliance plan for FGPP will meet all applicable 6 environmental requirements and standards. Indeed, many of the 7 environmental designs will exceed (in this case I mean be better than), the 8 requirements and standards.

9 Q. How does FPL's emission rates compare to other utilities?

10 A. FPL's overall emission profile is low compared to all other utilities in the US. 11 In a study conducted by the National Resource Defense Council, FPL 12 emission rates in lb/MW-hour for SO₂, NO_x and CO₂ were found to be one of 13 the lowest in the country for fossil-fuel fired generation.

14 Q. Will the emissions of FGPP change FPL's emission profile?

No. FPL's emissions profile will not change and will likely be lower when 15 Α. FGPP begins operation. For example, the NO_x emissions from FGPP on a 16 lb/MW-hour basis are four times lower than FPL's already low utility-wide 17 NO_x emission rate for fossil generation. In this case, the addition of FGPP 18 will improve FPL's low emissions profile. In fact, in 2015, FPL's rate of CO₂ 19 emissions with FGPP would be trending downwards. The average rate of CO_2 20 emissions for the period 2015 through 2020 is expected to be 17.4% lower 21 than the period from 2000 through 2005. 22

SECTION III: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS OF ALTERNATIVE GENERATION

3

Q. Are you familiar with the environmental aspects of possible generation
alternatives that are potentially available to provide FPL's generation
requirements in the 2013 and 2014 timeframe?

A. Yes. Over the last several years I have been involved in the environmental
licensing of over 5,000 MW of natural gas-fired combined cycle plants. I
have been involved in the environmental feasibility and licensing of IGCC
since 1990. I have considerable experience, starting in the late 1970s, in
licensing conventional pulverized coal-fired facilities.

12 Q. How does the design of FGPP compare with the other potential 13 generation alternatives from an environmental perspective?

As I presented in Document No. KFK-2, a natural gas combined cycle plant 14 A. would have environmental advantages over other available technologies. 15 Natural gas is the cleanest combusting fossil fuel and can be efficiently used 16 in a combined cycle facility. While these facilities can be constructed in a 17 size to meet FPL's generation requirements for 2013 through 2014, the 18 continued use of natural gas does not contribute to fuel diversity in FPL's 19 system. The use of conventional pulverized coal-fired technology, while 20 reliable with proven pollution control technology, is less efficient than the 21 USCPC technology being proposed for FGPP. FGPP will combine proven, 22 demonstrated and reliable air pollution control technologies that will minimize 23

environmental impacts with the highly efficient USCPC technology. As I 1 have shown in Document Nos. KFK-2 and 3, the air emissions will be low and 2 the environmental impacts will be minimal. The use of IGCC technology, as I 3 have shown in Document Nos. KFK-4 and 5, does not have distinct 4 environmental advantages over USCPC technology. Moreover, there are no 5 existing or planned IGCC units or plants anywhere near the approximately 6 2,300 MW of generation capacity needed by FPL to serve its customers in the 7 2012 through 2015 timeframe. For these reasons, FPL's selection of USCPC 8 technology is the correct one from an environmental perspective, taking into 9 10 account the need for reliable production of large amounts of power from a fuel-diverse generation source beginning in the 2013 through 2014 timeframe. 11

Q. In your opinion, is FGPP the best available environmental choice to achieve fuel diversity in the 2013 to 2014 timeframe?

A. Yes. My opinion is based on the fact that FGPP will utilize available and
 demonstrated generation and environmental control technologies. The
 environmental controls have been proven to reduce air emissions resulting in
 minimal potential environmental impacts.

SECTION IV: FUTURE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

2

1

Q. What additional future environmental requirements will potentially be applicable to FGPP?

5 A. The EPA promulgated two major environmental regulations that will be applicable to FGPP. These regulations are EPA's Clean Air Interstate Rule 6 (CAIR) and the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR). CAIR establishes state 7 limits on annual and seasonal emissions on NO_x and annual emissions of SO_2 . 8 The limits apply to 25 states, primarily in the eastern U.S., and the District of 9 Columbia (DC). The limits were established in two timeframes: $NO_x - 2009$ 10 through 2014; 2015 and beyond, and $SO_2 - 2010$ through 2014; 2015 and 11 12 beyond. EPA's rule includes a cap-and-trade system that allows affected facilities to meet the requirements through either the addition of control 13 technologies or acquisition of allowances through a market based system. The 14 cap-and-trade system in EPA's CAIR regulations is similar to the successful 15 Acid Rain Program referred to as Title IV that was initially developed through 16 17 the 1990 amendments of the Clean Air Act. In implementing CAIR, the EPA allowed states to utilize model rules in implementing CAIR or develop 18 19 specific regulations to meet the requirements of CAIR. The FDEP has 20 adopted the EPA model rule that would allow the use of the national cap-andtrade system. 21

1 EPA's CAMR regulations have two components. First, the EPA issued New Source Performance Standards for the mercury emissions from new sources 2 3 like FGPP. As I have shown in Document No. KFK-6, FGPP will have a mercury emission rate that is about one-half of the new EPA standards. 4 Second, EPA's CAMR established mercury emission limits on states, and 5 similar to CAIR, allows for a cap-and-trade program to meet requirements. 6 The state mercury emission limits start in 2010 and are reduced in 2018. 7 FDEP has established a hybrid rule that is more stringent than the EPA rule in 8 the 2010 through 2017 timeframe, and the EPA model rule in 2018. Florida 9 allows the use of the cap-and-trade program. 10

11 Q. How will EPA's CAIR and CAMR regulations influence FGPP?

12 A. FPL will be required to hold allowances for the actual emissions from FGPP 13 of NO_x, SO₂, and mercury. These allowances would have a potential 14 economic impact, since allowances must be obtained through a state pool or 15 the cap-and-trade system.

16 Q. Did FPL consider the potential economic impacts of CAIR and CAMR?

17A.Yes. FPL utilized potential costs based on projections developed through a18comprehensive analysis of multiple factors involving air pollution control19costs, fuel utilization and market factors. These projections, while necessarily20having a range of uncertainty, are based on air pollution control costs and21experience from the Acid Rain Program (Title IV). The control technologies22for NOx and SO2 are well established and their cost can be estimated with23reasonable accuracy. The Acid Rain Program has been operating for a decade

and while there have been fluctuations in allowance costs, past projections
 have been within the expected range. The cost estimates for mercury were
 developed in a similar manner and also considered the fact that some states
 will implement CAMR outside the model cap-and-trade system.

5 Q. Are there any laws regulating CO₂?

6 A. No, there are no current rules regulating CO₂.

- 7 Q. Did FPL consider possible CO₂ regulations in the economic analysis of
 8 FGPP? If so, how?
- Although there are no current laws regulating emissions of CO₂, FPL 9 A. 10 considered the potential future regulation of CO₂ using projections developed from federal legislative initiatives and the basic framework of the cap-and-11 12 trade system. Over the last several years there have been federal legislative initiatives that have proposed different forms of CO₂ regulation based on the 13 14 cap-and-trade system. These initiatives have included both multi-sector and 15 electric sector regulation with variable reductions of CO₂ emissions. These federal legislative initiatives formed the bounds for the potential costs that 16 17 may occur in the future.

Q. Please explain the range of compliance costs for the CAIR, CAMR and
 potential CO₂ regulations that were included in the economic analysis of
 FGPP.

A. I prepared Document No. KFK-7, which shows the allowance costs in nominal dollars used in the economic analyses for FGPP. The compliance costs under the cap-and-trade system are based on the cost of allowances,

1	which is multiplied by the amount of allowances required for FGPP for the
2	specific pollutant. The allowance costs for NO_x , SO_2 , mercury, and CO_2 are
3	shown in Document No. KFK-7. The allowance costs were based on
4	information from ICF International in a report titled "U.S. Emission & Fuel
5	Markets Outlook, 2006 edition." The ICF report provides allowance cost
6	forecasts that are based on integrated modeling of the electric, fuel and
7	environmental markets in the U.S. Four allowance cost scenarios were used
8	in the economic analysis of FGPP. These scenarios were: Scenario A -
9	Allowance Costs for SO_2 , NO_x , and mercury, referred to as 3P (P in this case
10	means "Pollutant"); Scenario B – Allowance Costs for SO ₂ , NO _x , and
11	mercury, with low CO ₂ allowance costs, referred to as 4P-mild; Scenario C -
12	Allowance Costs for SO ₂ , NO _x and mercury, with moderate CO ₂ allowance
13	costs, referred to as 4P-medium; and Scenario D – Allowance Costs for SO ₂ ,
14	NO_x , and mercury, with high CO_2 allowance costs, referred to as 4P-high.
15	The range of low, medium and high costs of CO ₂ allowances that were used
16	are consistent with current legislative proposals being considered by Congress
17	and reflect the appropriate range of potential future allowance costs for CO_2 .
18	The allocations of SO_2 , NO_x , and mercury allowances were based on the
19	CAIR and CAMR rules developed by the FDEP. For CO_2 it was assumed that
20	100 percent of the required allowances would be purchased under a cap-and-
21	trade system similar to an auction.

- Q. In your opinion, are the allowance costs shown in Document No. KFK-7
 and used in FPL's economic analysis, reasonable and appropriate future
 environmental compliance costs?
- Yes. My opinion is based upon my training and experience, and my in-depth 4 A. review of FPL's economic analysis. I concluded that FPL considered 5 6 reasonable and appropriate environmental costs in the ranges that are predicted to occur in the future. While there is, of course, considerable 7 uncertainty on what will actually be required in the future, the environmental 8 costs utilized were developed using known regulations for limiting NO_x, SO₂ 9 and mercury, a range of legislative initiatives that are being considered for the 10 regulation of CO_2 , environmental control costs that can be estimated with 11 reasonable accuracy, and market factors established by the cap-and-trade 12 13 program.
- 14 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

My testimony provides an overview of the key environmental aspects of 15 A. FGPP. My testimony demonstrates that the technologies selected for FGPP 16 that include USCPC technology and state-of-the-art air pollution control 17 18 equipment will meet or exceed the environmental regulatory requirements. FGPP will have minimal environmental impacts. As a result, FGPP is the best 19 available alternative to maintain fuel diversity from an environmental 20 perspective. Future environmental regulations require consideration of 21 compliance costs. Cap-and-trade regulations required by the EPA have been 22 23 adopted by the FDEP for the future regulation of SO_2 , NO_x and mercury

These regulations will require FPL to hold allowances with emissions. 1 associated costs for these pollutants. Regulation of CO₂ emissions has not 2 been implemented but is likely in the future. Together, the existing and 3 potential future environmental regulations have considerable uncertainty for 4 associated compliance costs. To address this uncertainty, a range of 5 compliance cost developed from integrated modeling of the electric, fuel and 6 7 environmental markets in the U.S. was used in the economic analyses conducted for FGPP. The compliance costs used in the economic analysis 8 were an appropriate range of potential costs that reasonably encompasses the 9 uncertainty in future environmental compliance costs for FGPP. 10

- 11 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 12 A. Yes.

Docket No. 07_ -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 1 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae



Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Education	M.S., Environmental Engineering, University of Central Florida, 1976 B.S.E., Ocean Engineering, Florida Atlantic University, 1970 Completed coursework (1.5 years) for Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering, University of Florida, 1982				
Affiliations Registered Professional Engineer, State of Florida, No. 14996 Air and Waste Management Association, National and Florida					
Experience					
1996 to Date	Golder Associates	Gainesville, FL			
	Principal Principal Engineer, Project Director, and Project Manager for Permitting and Environmental Impact Assessments. Specializes in power plants, industrial facilities, and agricultural activities involving air quality. Provides oversight on permitting and licensing activities including emissions estimates and impact analyses. Provides expert testimony on pollution control quality issues and noise for a variety of electrical power, industrial, and mining activities. Note: KBN merged with Golder Associates in 1996.				
1985 - 1996	KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences (KBI	N) Gainesville, FL			
	President and Principal Engineer Responsible for administration of a 100-person environm about \$8 million per year in revenues. Principal Engine Manager for Permitting and Environmental Impact Asse industrial facilities. Provided expert testimony on polluti a variety of industrial activities.	ental consulting firm generating er, Project Director, and Project essments for electric power and on control and quality issues for			
1980 - 1985	Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc.	. (ESE),			
	Energy and Power Programs,				
	Project Operations Department	Gainesville, FL			
	Directed Power Programs group that included a wide di industry. Project Manager of the \$3 million Florida A Director and Manager for a variety of permitting and lice testimony on a variety of projects.	versity of services to the power cid Deposition Study. Project nsing projects. Provided expert			
1978 - 1980	ESE	Gainesville, FL			
	Director, Air Science Division Responsible for all corporate air resource activities inc dispersion modeling, ambient monitoring, noise moni Staff consisted of 25 professionals in three groups Monitoring, and Permitting. Project Manager for multidis	luding stack testing, permitting toring, and industrial hygiene. s: Source Testing, Ambient sciplinary power projects.			
1974 - 1978	ESE	Gainesville, FL			

ESE 1974 - 1978

Group Leader, Air Quality Management, Air Sciences Division Responsible for staff involved with ambient air monitoring, dispersion modeling, and air permitting. Project Manager for multidisciplinary power projects.

Docket No. 07___-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 2 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

1970 - 1974 Florida Dept. of Pollution Control

Tallahassee/Orlando, FL

Air Pollutant Engineer Lead engineer in air operations involved in implementing State Implementation Plan (SIP) and air pollution regulations. Performed air permitting for over 200 facilities. Coauthor of the first Florida SIP including conducting emission inventory, ambient monitoring analysis, regulatory analysis, and regulation development.

1970Schlumberger Well ServicesMorgan City, LAWell Logging EngineerPerformed geological logging of exploratory wells for oil and/or gas production in the
Gulf of Mexico.

Docket No. 07___-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No.____ Document No. KFK-1, Page 3 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Multiple Sites

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

PROJECT RELATED EXPERIENCE

Mr. Kosky has performed over 200 projects focusing on a variety of industrial activities. These projects have involved control technology evaluations, regulatory interpretation, monitoring, permitting, impact analyses, and expert testimony. The following overview and project descriptions are examples of Mr. Kosky's experience.

Major Project Experience

Type of Industrial Activities Power Plants – 68 Landfills – 4 Chemical Plants - 7 Rubber Manufacturing – 2 Metal Coil Coating – 3 Mining - 4Pulp & Paper – 7 Resource Recovery/Incinerator - 9 Steel Mills – 4 Printing/Coating - 4 Food/Agricultural Facilities - 15 Petroleum Exploration and Refining - 9 Aerospace -2Fiberglass Boat Manufacturing - 4 Superfund – 5

<u>Type of Projects</u> Permitting – 92 Air Pollution Emission Estimates – 67 Air Impact Analyses – 63 Air Pollution Control – 75 Policy and Regulations – 6 Air Monitoring – 26

Domestic Experience

Multiple Sites

Mr. Kosky has directed and performed projects related to his expertise in the following states:

- Southeastern US: Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Arkansas
- Mid-Atlantic: Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, District of Columbia, and New Jersey
- Northeast: Connecticut and New York
- Mid-West: Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, and Iowa
- West: Texas, Nevada, California, Montana, Arizona, Alaska, and Hawaii

International Project Experience

Mr. Kosky has performed a wide variety of international projects—many associated with the Multi-Lateral (e.g., World Bank) and Bi-Lateral (e.g., USAID) organizations. Projects located in the following continents and countries:

- Asia: China, Pakistan, India, Russia, Taiwan, Thailand, and Indonesia
- Africa: Egypt and Mauritius
- Latin America and Caribbean: Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica, Dominican Republic, Mexico, and Panama
- South America: Brazil and Argentina
- Europe: Italy, Poland, Hungary and Bulgaria, and the Czech Republic
- Middle East: Saudi Arabia

Docket No. 07___-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 4 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

PROJECT RELATED EXPERIENCE – DOMESTIC

FPL Glades Power Park

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL)

Project Manager for the preparation of licensing documents for the two nominal 980-megawatt (MW) ultra supercritical pulverized coal fired units and associated facilities located on a 4,900 acre site in Glades County, Florida. These units are being licensed under Florida's Power Plant Siting Act. Environmental documents prepared include the Site Certification Application (SCA), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) obstruction to navigation application, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) dredge and fill permit application, and air permit application [including prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) application]. The SCA was submitted in December 2006.

Petroleum Coke Co-Firing St. Johns River Power Park

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the FDEP authorization allowing up to 30 percent petroleum coke to be co-fired with coal. The authorization allowed co-firing with petroleum coke from 20 percent to 30 percent.

West County Energy Center

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL)

Project Manager for the preparation of licensing documents for the 2,450-megawatt (MW) West County Energy Center, Palm Beach County, Florida. This project involved the licensing of two 3-on-1 combinedcycle units using three MHI 501G 250-MW combustion turbines (CTs) with associated heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs), and a 440-MW steam turbine. These units are licensed under Florida's Power Plant Siting Act. Environmental documents prepared include the Site Certification Application (SCA), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) obstruction to navigation application, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) dredge and fill permit application, and air permit application [including prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) application]. Full Governor/Cabinet approval was obtained in December 2006.

Application for Certificate of Public

Convenience and Necessity,

Brandon Shores Units 4 and 5,

Constellation Power Source

Project Manager for the preparation of the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) Application for installation of air pollution control systems and associated facilities on the two nominal 670 MW Brandon Shores Units 1 and 2. This project involves the installation of fuel gas desulfurization (FGD) systems, fabric filters, new dual flue stack, and material handling facilities for coal, limestone and FGD byproducts. These units are licensed under Maryland's Public Service Commission (PSC). Environmental documents prepared include the CPCN and air permit application (including PSD application).

Site Certification Application and Licensing For Seminole Generating Station Unit 3 Seminole Electric Cooperative

Technical direction and review for the Site Certification Application and Air Construction/PSD Permit Application for SGS Unit 3, a nominal 750 MW (net) supercritical pulverized coal-fired unit. Provided expert testimony for the local land use hearing and prepared expert testimony for the Site Certification Hearing.

Palm Beach County, FL

Ann Arundel County, MD

Putnam County, FL

Jacksonville, FL

Palm Beach County, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 5 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Kenai Blue Sky Coal Gasification Project Environmental Permitting Feasibility Analysis for Coal-Gasification and Pulverized **Coal-Fired Power Plant** Kenai, AK

Agrium U.S., Inc.

Project Manager for the preparation of environmental permitting feasibility of coal-gasification and 400-200 MW pulverized coal fired power plant to be located at an existing ammonia/urea production facility. The project would involve the installation of coal gasification to product hydrogen and carbon dioxide as feedstock for the ammonia/urea production facilities. The coal-fired power plant would supply steam and energy for the gasification process and ammonia/urea production facilities, as well as supplying some power to the local grid. The coal gasification process and power plant would utilize Alaskan subbituminous coal.

Southwest St. Lucie Power Project

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL)

Project Manager for the preparation of licensing documents for the 1.700-megawatt (MW) Southwest St. Lucie Power Project to be located in St. Lucie County, Florida. The project involved two nominal 850 MW supercritical pulverized coal fired units and associated facilities. Portions of the SCA was completed but not submitted.

Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity,

Baltimore County, MD Crane Generating Station, Constellation Power Source Project Manager for the preparation of the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) Application for installation of coal barge unloading facility for the Crane Generating Station. This project involved the refurbishment of an existing oil unloading dock and coal handling equipment. These units are licensed under Maryland's Public Service Commission (PSC). Environmental documents prepared include the CPCN and air permit application.

Site Certification Application and Licensing of the **Turkey Point Expansion Project for** Florida Power & Light Company (FPL)

Project Manager for the preparation of licensing documents for the 1,150-megawatt (MW) Turkey Point Expansion Project, Miami-Dade County, Florida. This project involved the licensing of 4-on-1 combinedcycle units using four GE Frame 7FA 170-MW combustion turbines (CTs) with associated heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs), and a 440-MW steam turbine. These units are licensed under Florida's Power Plant Siting Act. Environmental documents prepared include the Site Certification Application (SCA), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) obstruction to navigation application, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) dredge and fill permit application, and air permit application [including prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) application]. Full Governor/Cabinet approval was obtained in February 2005.

Burner Replacement for Gerdau-Ameristeel

Obtained a non-PSD determination from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for a burner replacement project associated with an electric arc furnace. Project involved site visit, technical support, and discussions with FDEP.

Miami-Dade County, FL

Baldwin, FL

St. Lucie County, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 6 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Petroleum Coke Co-Firing at the **Cedar Bay Cogeneration Project**

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the FDEP authorization allowing up to 35 percent petroleum coke to be co-fired with coal. The Cedar Bay facility consists of three 75-MW circulating fluidized bed (CFB) boilers fired with coal and located in Jacksonville, Florida. The authorization allowed co-firing with petroleum coke.

Hines Energy Center Power Block 3 for Progress Energy (formerly Florida Power Corporation)

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit application for a 530-MW combined-cycle power project located in Polk County, Florida. Directed preparation of SCA sections related to air emission, best available control technology (BACT), air impacts, and noise impacts. Testified on all air quality and noise aspects at the SCA Hearing.

Air Construction Permits for Tropicana Products. Inc. Bradenton, FL

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for various projects at Tropicana's Bradenton Citrus Processing Plant. The projects involved replacing the GE LM5000 aero-derivative gas turbine with the larger GE LM6000 turbine, like-kind replacement of the duct burner system on the cogeneration facility, and the installation of a stand-by boiler.

Air Construction Permit for Hydro Aluminum of North America St. Augustine, FL Project Manager for the preparation of two air construction permits for secondary aluminum foundry. Project involved physical changes to the melting furnace and increasing production limits. Project was able to net out of PSD review.

Site Certification Application and Licensing of Expansion Projects for

Florida Power & Light Company

Project Manager of the preparation of licensing documents for two 1,150-MW Expansion Projects. These projects involved the licensing of 4-on-1 combined-cycle units using four GE Frame 7FA 170-MW CTs with associated HRSGs, and a 440-MW steam turbine. These units were licensed under Florida's Power Plant Siting Act. Environmental documents prepared include the SCA, FAA obstruction to navigation application, and air permit application (including PSD application).

Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, **Dickerson Units 4 and 5, Mirant Corporation**

Montgomery County, MD Project Manager for the preparation of the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) Application for the 1,100-MW Units 4 and 5 Project. This project involved the licensing of two 2-on-1 combined-cycle units using two existing GE Frame 7F 160-MW CTs and adding two GE Frame 7FA 170 MW CTs, four associated HRSGs, and two 220-MW steam turbines. These units are licensed under Maryland's Public Service Commission (PSC). Environmental documents prepared include the CPCN, FAA obstruction to navigation application, USACE dredge and fill permit application, and air permit application (including PSD application).

Jacksonville, FL

Polk County, FL

Martin and Manatee Counties, FL

Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 7 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity,

Chalk Point Units CT7 through CT10, Mirant Corporation Charles County, MD Project Manager of the preparation of the CPCN Application for the 320-MW CT Project. This project involved the licensing of four GE Frame 7EA 80-MW simple-cycle units. These units are licensed under Maryland's PSC. Environmental documents prepared include the CPCN, FAA obstruction to navigation application, and air permit application (including PSD application).

Greenhouse Gas Life-Cycle Analysis for

Bitor America Corporation

Project Manager for the preparation of a life-cycle analysis of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from various fossil fuels and technologies. The life-cycle analysis compared GHG emissions from the use of coal, natural gas, LNG, oil, and Orimulsion. The technologies evaluated included conventional steam generation, Integrated Gasification Combined-Cycle (IGCC), and combined-cycle.

Odor Evaluations for Sea Ray Boats, Inc.

Project Manager for the evaluation of odor impacts from styrene emissions associated with an existing fiberglass boat manufacturing facility in Flagler County, Florida. Project involved meteorological monitoring, styrene monitoring using SUMA canisters, air dispersion modeling and conceptual design of exhaust stack. Involved in negotiations with regulatory agency on consent order requirements and made public presentations to citizens group.

Odor Evaluations for Sea Ray Boats, Inc.

Project Manager for the evaluation of odor impacts from styrene emissions associated with three co-located fiberglass boat manufacturing plants located in Brevard County, Florida. Project involved air dispersion modeling and conceptual design of exhaust stacks for two facilities. Involved in negotiations with regulatory agency and made public presentations to citizens group.

Lone Oak Energy Center for Calpine Eastern Corporation Lowndes County, MS

Project engineer for the air construction and PSD permit application for an 800-MW combined-cycle power project.

Calhoun County Peaker Project for FPL Energy Calhoun County, AL

Project Manager for the air construction and PSD permit applications and environmental permits for a 680-MW simple-cycle power project.

Hillabee Energy Center for Calpine Eastern Corporation Tallapoosa County, AL

Project engineer for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 700-MW combined-cycle power project.

Auburndale Peaker Project for Calpine Eastern Corporation Polk County, FL

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 130-MW simple-cycle power project.

Hines Energy Center Power Block 2 for Florida Power Corporation

Polk County, FL

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 530-MW combined-cycle power project.

Palm Coast, FL

Merritt Island, FL

Boca Raton, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 8 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Polk County, FL

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Osprey Energy Center for Calpine Eastern Corporation

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 530-MW combined-cycle power project. Provided technical oversight for the preparation of the SCA.

Simple-Cycle Power Projects for

Florida Power & Light Company

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for two 170-MW simple-cycle units located at the existing FPL Martin and Ft. Myers Power Plant sites. Each project also required an evaluation of the noise impacts. The project at the Martin Plant required a modification of the SCA.

Shady Hills Generating Station for IPS

Avon Park Corporation and El Paso Energy

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 510-MW simple-cycle power project.

Odor and Air Quality Consulting for the Viera Company **Brevard County, FL**

Lead technical consultant in providing oversight on the air permitting of a waste scrap shredder. Project involved specifying procedures and reviewing results of source tests and impact analyses.

Installation of Citrus Fruit Extractors for Tropicana Products, Inc. Ft. Pierce, FL

Project manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for the addition of fruit extractors at the Tropicana Plant. Detailed air dispersion modeling was required.

DeSoto Power Project for IPS Avon Park

Corporation and Entergy Power Group

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 680-MW simple-cycle power project.

Air Construction Permit Preparation and Review for Solutia, Inc. Pensacola, FL

Preparation of air construction permits for various process additions to the Solutia nylon production plant. This included new adipic acid production intermediates. Assisted Solutia in the review and comments to FDEP on the Title V permit application. Prepared an air permit application for an inlet fogging system for Solutia's cogeneration facility.

Sea Ray Boats, Inc., Cape Canaveral Plant

Project Manager for a BACT evaluation and air modeling impact analysis for a new fiberglass boat manufacturing facility. Project involved negotiations with regulatory agency on permit conditions.

Heard County Power Project for Dynergy, Inc.

Project engineer for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 510-MW simple-cycle power project.

Fogger Installation at Combustion Turbine Sites

Project Manager for the preparation of air permit applications for the installation of inlet cooling "foggers" on simple-cycle CTs at Jacksonville Electric Authority's (JEA) Northside and Kennedy Plant sites. Project involved developing strategy for "netting out" of PSD.

Brevard County, FL

Martin and Ft. Myers, FL

DeSoto County, FL

Hardee County, FL

Hardee County, FL

Jacksonville, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 9 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Palmetto Power Project for Dynegy, Inc.

Project Director and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 510-MW simple-cycle power project.

Vandolah Power Project for IPS Avon Park

Corporation and El Paso Energy

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for the air construction and PSD permit applications for a 680-MW simple-cycle power project.

Fogger Installation at Combustion Turbine Sites for Florida Power & Light Company

Project Manager for the preparation of air permit applications for the installation of inlet cooling "foggers" at the Ft. Myers, Putnam, and Martin Plant sites. Project involved developing strategy for "netting out" of PSD.

Independent Power Projects for Tenaska, Inc.

Project Director and engineer-of-record for the preparation of PSD and air permit applications the following projects: Heard County, Georgia - 850-MW simple-cycle; Autauga County, Alabama, Two Projects - an 800-MW combined-cycle and an 8870-MW combined-cycle project located on adjacent sites; Lakefield, Minnesota - 480-MW simple-cycle (BACT); Coosa County, Alabama Project - 540-MW simple-cycle project.

Oleander Power Project for Constellation Energy

Project Manager for the preparation of PSD and Air Permit Applications for the Oleander Power Project. Project consisted of 5 General Electric Frame 7FA simple-cycle CTs (nominal 850 MW). Project involved providing expert testimony.

Repowering Project for Florida Power & Light Company Sanford, FL

Project Manager for the preparation of air permit applications for conversion of two existing steam electric units (Units 4 and 5) at the FPL Sanford Plant to combined cycle using 8 General Electric Frame 7FA CTs. The repowering would produce a nominal 2,200 MW of gas-fired combined-cycle generation. The project involved the preparation of the PSD and Air Permit Applications, noise evaluation, and FAA Notifications.

Generation Project for Thermal EcoTek, Corporation

Project Manager for the preparation of the PSD and Air Permit Applications for the Lake Worth Generation Project. Project consisted of the repowering of 2 existing steam units with a nominal capacity of 74 MW using a General Electric Frame 7FA CT (170 MW).

Repowering Project Licensing for Florida Power & Light Company Ft. Myers, FL Project Manager for environmental licensing documents for the conversion of the existing steam electric

units (Units 1 and 2) at the FPL Ft. Myers Plant to combined cycle using 6 General Electric Frame 7FA CTs. The repowering would produce a nominal 1,500 MW of gas-fired combined-cycle generation. The project involved the preparation of the PSD and Air Permit Applications, Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) Application, Wastewater Discharge Permit Application (i.e., the SPDES), FAA Notifications, and county applications.

Hardee County, FL

Multiple Sites

Brevard County, FL

Lake Worth, FL

Hardee County, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 10 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Lakeland Electric (City of Lakeland) McIntosh Unit 5

Project Manager for the preparation of the PSD and air permit applications for the McIntosh Unit 5 simple-cycle project. Included preparation of the Modification Request to Site Certification for McIntosh Unit 3. Project consisted of the first Westinghouse 501G CT with a nominal capacity of 250 MW.

Title V Permit Applications for Eagle-Picher Corporation

Project Director for the preparation of Title V Permit applications or Federally Enforceable Synthetic Minor Operating Permit applications for 9 facilities in 6 states. The facilities include activities associated with metal coil coating, rubber part manufacturing, and printing. The states where the facilities are located include Connecticut, Florida, Michigan, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and New York.

Odor and Noise Monitoring for North and

South Broward Resource Recovery Facilities

Project director for noise and odor studies at two large municipal waste combustors. The studies were based on ASTM methods to demonstrate conformance with requirements of regulatory approvals.

Destin Dome Natural Gas Development Project for

facility), Virginia (1 plant) and the District of Columbia (2 plants).

Chevron U.S.A. Production Company

Project Manager for the OCS air permit application submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to develop the natural gas reserves in a 33-square-mile area offshore of Pensacola. The projects involved preparation of permit applications including emission estimates of well drilling and production facilities. Air emission sources included two drilling rigs, one central production facility, and 16 satellite production facilities. The project included PSD evaluations to determine BACT and air impact analysis using the OCD air dispersion model.

Title V Permit Applications for Potomac Electric Power Company **Multiple Sites** Project Manager for the preparation of Title V Permit applications or Federally Enforceable Synthetic Minor Operating (FESOP) Permit applications for 7 facilities in 2 states and 1 jurisdiction. The Title V facilities consist of 6 power plants with coal and oil fossil fuel-fired steam generating units, CTs, and diesel units. The FESOP is for a service facility. The facilities are located in Maryland (3 plants and the service

Air Permitting for Destin Dome Blocks 57 and 96, Chevron U.S.A. **Production Company Outer Continental Shelf**

Project Manager for the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) air permits issued by the EPA to conduct well drilling within the U.S. boundary, offshore of Florida. The projects involved preparation of permit applications including emission estimates of well drilling activities. The applications were the first in the Eastern U.S. under 40 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), Part 55. These regulations were promulgated as a result of the 1990 Amendments of the Clean Air Act (CAA) Amendments. Presented information on the emissions and impacts of the activity at an EPA sponsored public hearing.

Kaiser Aluminum-Gramercy and Baton Rouge **Cogeneration Plants**

Project Manager for obtaining air permits on two cogeneration facilities. The facilities were required to obtain PSD approval and meet NSPS requirements.

Pensacola, FL

Pensacola, FL

Baton Rouge, LA

Multiple Sites

Lakeland, FL

Broward County, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 11 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

PSD Approval for Cogeneration Facility at Borden Chemical **Baton Rouge, LA** Project Director for an 80-MW cogeneration facility constructed for Borden Chemical. The project involved obtaining PSD approval from the state agency.

Site Certification Application for Orimulsion Conversion Manatee County, FL

Project Director for the licensing of Orimulsion firing at FPL's Manatee Power Plant. The plant consists of two nominal 800-MW units. Technical activities focused on the preparation of BACT evaluation and air pollution control aspects of the project.

Petroleum Coke and Title V Application for

City of Lakeland Department of Electric and Water Utilities

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for providing technical assistance to obtain approval for co-firing petroleum coke (20 percent) and coal (80 percent) at McIntosh Power Plant, Unit 3. McIntosh Unit 3 is a 364-MW coal-fired facility. Project Manager and engineer-of-record for preparation of Title V applications.

Coal and Petroleum Coke Co-firing Permit for St. Johns River Power Plant

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for obtaining approval from the regulatory agencies to co-fire up to 20 percent of petroleum coke by weight with coal in two nominal 700-MW units. Permit application and supporting material prepared. Performed emissions estimates and impact analyses of potentially toxic air emissions (metals). Provided support and presentations to local chapter of Sierra Club who intervened in the permit proceeding. Performed post-test analyses to demonstrate compliance with settlement agreement.

Title V Economic Evaluation for

Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group

Performed an economic evaluation for Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group (FCG) on the cost to prepare Title V permits as initially proposed by FDEP and presented the results of the evaluation at the FDEP Title V Workshop. The presentation assisted in modifying the FDEP requirements to more closely follow EPA requirements.

Electric Utility Regulatory Requirements for Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group

Lead the effort to prepare a comprehensive list of regulatory requirements specific for the electric utility industry. The list, which includes all applicable and non-applicable requirements, forms the basis for compliance statements required of the responsible official.

Title V Permit Recommendations for

Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group

Providing recommendations for preparation of Title V permits for the FCG. This includes interfacing with FDEP and providing comments on insignificant activities and application form submittal. Also provided FDEP comments on data input requirements and suggestions that will make the application form easier to develop.

Tampa, FL

Tampa. FL

Tampa, FL

St. Johns County, FL

Lakeland, FL

Docket No. 07___-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No.____ Document No. KFK-1, Page 12 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Florida Power Corporation Title V Applications

Project Director and engineer-of-record for Title V applications for 11 facilities. The facilities include coal-, oil-, and gas-fired fossil fuel steam generator units, simple-cycle CT units, combined-cycle unit, and diesel generators. Project involved regulatory requirements, emissions inventories, trivial activity lists and application preparation.

Title V Permits for Florida Power & Light Company Facilities Multiple Sites Assisting FPL in the preparation of Title V permit applications for all facilities. This includes 11 power plants and several minor facilities. Engineer-of-record for the applications, and responsible for overseeing the applications' preparation. Also providing input on regulatory requirements and emissions. Currently, one permit application has been completed in draft form.

Title V Permit Implementation Plan for Tennessee Valley Authority Multiple Sites

Assisted Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) in developing a comprehensive list of applicable requirements in three states (Tennessee, Kentucky, and Alabama) for 10 facilities. Also performed site visits for four major plants (7,550-MW coal-fired with CTs) to develop a list of major sources and insignificant activities. The result was a comprehensive Title V plan, which is currently being implemented by TVA. Performed reviews of Title V applications for three power facilities.

Gulf Power Company Title V Applications

Project Manager and engineer-of-record for Title V applications for three coal-fired facilities. Performed site visits for each facility and developed listing of regulatory requirements.

Title V Database for Various Clients

Developed a Title V database built around the FDEP Title V permit application form. The database is designed to manage the data and print out a form identical to the FDEP form. The database will provide a format suitable for electronic submittal to FDEP.

Emissions Inventory and Title V Applications for Potomac Electric Power Company (PEPCO)

Project Manager for the development of a comprehensive emissions inventory and preparation of Title V applications for all of PEPCO facilities. This includes 6 power plants (4 coal-fired plants, 1 oil/gas plant, and 1 CT plant) located in three regulatory jurisdictions. The inventory will involve the development of an emission inventory management system that will manage the data.

Site Certification Application at Hardee Power Station, Seminole Electric Cooperative Incorporated

Project Director for SCA and environmental assessment (EA) for a 660-MW combined-cycle electric-generating plant. Responsible for the technical, budgetary, and scheduling aspects of the project. The permitting documents prepared were designed to fulfill requirements of the PSC and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Electrification Administration (REA). Provided expert testimony for the project.

Multiple Sites

Multiple Sites

Multiple Sites

Multiple Sites in Maryland

Hardee County, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 13 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Transmission Line Corridor Siting at Hardee Power Station for Seminole Electric Cooperative Incorporated

Project Director for siting and licensing of three 230-kilovolt (kV) transmission lines (total of 78 miles) to connect the Hardee Power Station to the Florida transmission grid. Siting of the transmission line corridors was accomplished using the PC ARC/INFO® geographic information system (GIS). Developed all required information and impact analyses for the Florida SCA to be presented to the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (FDER) and PSC.

Site Certification Application and Licensing of the Lauderdale Repowering Project for Florida Power & Light Company

Project Manager for the preparation of licensing documents for the Lauderdale Repowering Project, Broward County, Florida. This project involved replacing two existing steam generators with advanced CTs and HRSGs. The repowered units were designed to have a capacity of approximately 960 MW, approximately 640 MW resulting from the addition of the advanced CTs. Environmental documents prepared include the SCA, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) application, FAA obstruction to navigation application, USACE dredge and fill permit application, and air permit application (including PSD application).

Test Burn of Orimulsion Fuel for

Florida Power & Light Company

Project Manager for a test burn to discover if Orimulsion fuel had the potential to displace No. 6 fuel oil in steam electric power plants at Sanford Unit 4. Project provided the opportunity to evaluate the technical and operational features associated with burning Orimulsion fuel under utility operating conditions.

Air Construction Permit Application for TransPac, Inc. Santa Rosa County, FL

Project Manager for project requiring permit to construct an air pollutant source. Developed report supplementing the application to construct a minor-source waste storage and treatment facility. The objective of this report was to evaluate the impact of the facility based on a comparison of the proposed facility's impacts to the FDER's proposed toxic air pollutant guidelines.

Air Quality Impacts of Siting 1,050-MW CTs for

Florida Power Corporation

Project Manager of air quality impact analyses performed to evaluate locating CTs at six potential sites in Florida: Intercession City, DeBary, Avon Park, Turner, Bartow, and Anclote. The analyses were undertaken to determine compliance with ambient air quality standards (AAQS) and PSD increments for the maximum proposed plant size (i.e., 1,050 MW).

Particulate Matter Air Quality Assessment of

Helper Cooling Towers for Florida Power Corporation

Project Manager of project to determine the impacts of the proposed cooling towers on ambient particulate matter (PM) levels, considering all PM emissions associated with the CT units, cooling towers, helper cooling towers, and coal- and ash-handlers already existing onsite. Impacts were addressed in regard to allowable PSD increments for PM [as total suspended PM, i.e., PM(TSP)] and AAQS for PM [as particulate with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 micrometers (μ m), i.e., PM₁₀].

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Hardee County, FL

Sanford, FL

Multiple Sites

Citrus. FL

Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 14 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Site Evaluation of 1,000-MW CT Project for Florida Power Corporation

Project Manager responsible for evaluating the availability of water-supply sources, raw water treatment requirements, and wastewater disposal options at six facilities for the 1,000-MW CT siting project. Water supply sources were evaluated to determine their feasibility for use and included existing permitted groundwater and surface water withdrawals, new groundwater sources, new surface water withdrawals, and secondary effluent from nearby municipal wastewater treatment facilities.

CT Site Evaluation and Chalk Point Environmental Assessment for Potomac Electric Power Company

Project Manager of project to provide alternative site and environmental information required under the Maryland PSC rules for receiving a CPCN for a new generation facility. The two primary objectives of the report were to identify and evaluate suitable sites for accommodating approximately four CTs and to evaluate the environmental baseline information and potential impacts of locating the CTs at the preferred site.

Gator Power Cogeneration Facility PSD Review for Florida Power Corporation

Project Manager for PSD review for a cogeneration facility consisting of a CT and HRSG. The report addressed the new source review (NSR) requirements contained in air quality regulations on both the state and federal levels.

Fog Visibility Study for Parsons, Brinkerhoff,

Quade, and Douglas, Inc.

Project Manager responsible for study designed to obtain meteorological and fog/visibility data on the I-526 Cooper River Crossing in North Charleston. Objectives of the program were to document the frequency and duration of fog and the meteorological conditions during which it occurs; to identify and differentiate the fog plume created by the cooling towers from that of other sources; and to correlate the data collected with data observed at the National Weather Service (NWS) station in Charleston.

Site-Specific Environmental Evaluation for

Potomac Electric Power Company

Project Manager responsible for presenting the methodology and results of a site-specific environmental evaluation. The objective of the site environmental evaluation was to determine the environmental suitability of CT units with projected early 1990s in-service dates. The candidate site environmental evaluation consisted of analyzing candidate sites based on six environmental factors.

PSD Permit Application for Environmental

Incineration Systems, Inc.

Project Manager of permitting activities for proposed municipal solid waste recycling/volume reduction facility. The facility was designed to reduce the amount of solid waste input to landfills in Duval County by up to 175,200 tons per year (TPY). The proposed facility was classified as a "major" source under federal and state air pollution control regulations and was subject to the PSD provisions of the regulations.

Multiple Sites in FL

Chalk Point, MD

Charleston, SC

Gainesville, FL

ation in Charleston.

Multiple Sites in Maryland

Duval County, FL

Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 15 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

PSD Permit Application for Cogeneration Project for Tropicana Products, Inc.

Project Manager responsible for permitting a cogeneration facility consisting of a CT, a HRSG, and an associated auxiliary steam generator. The report addressed the NSR requirements contained in the state and federal regulations.

Crystal River PSD Analysis for Florida Power Corporation Crystal River, FL Project Manager of air dispersion modeling analyses performed to determine the TSP impacts of PM emissions from the cooling towers at FPC's Crystal River facility. A modeling protocol was prepared by KBN and reviewed and commented upon by the EPA.

EMSoft II[®], Permit Manager for Manatee County Public Health Unit

Designed and developed the EMSoft II®, a software package for micro-computers designed to assist end users in managing environmental permits and requirements through a relational database capable of generating a series of specific reports.

Agrico Chemical Company Mine

Project Manager for the EA for a phosphate mine located in eastern Hillsborough County, Florida. The project involved the development of baseline conditions including monitoring of air, water, and ecological conditions. Impact analyses involving various environmental disciplines were conducted using approved regulatory techniques.

Bradenton, FL

Hillsborough County, FL

Manatee County, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 16 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

PROJECT RELATED EXPERIENCE – INTERNATIONAL

Best Available Control Technology Assessment and Toxic Air **Emission Evaluation for Coleson Cove Refurbishment Project**, **New Brunswick Power Corporation**

New Brunswick, Canada Senior consulting engineer for developing a best available control technology (BACT) assessment and toxic air emission inventory for the conversion of the 1,050-MW Coleson Cove plant from residual oil to Orimulsion. Project involved a detailed assessment of control equipment for sulfur dioxide (SO₂), PM, nitrogen oxides (NO₂) and sulfuric acid mist (SAM). Develop a toxic air emissions inventory. Provided presentations at multi-agency meetings and public hearings.

Combined-Cycle Projects for Southern Energy, Inc.

Provided technical review and assistance for two 370-MW combined-cycle projects to be located in east central Italy. Reviewed the designs and impact methodologies to provide senior oversight of projects.

Environmental Due Diligence

Project Director for the environmental due diligence for the Cantarell Nitrogen Project located near Campeche, Mexico. Project is the largest nitrogen plant in the world with an associated 400-MW power complex to provide power for the nitrogen plant. Review licensing reports and documents for conformance with Mexican regulations and "world norms". Review being conducted for international financial institutions.

Environmental Benchmarking of Power Facilities,

Worldwide, Confidential Client

Project Manager assisting an international energy company in the evaluation of their environmental conformance with international accepted norms of all of their facilities worldwide. This involved evaluating over 10,000 MWs at approximately 12 different power facilities including hydro. These plants were located in Asia. South America, North America, and Europe. Evaluation was to assist with the development of an environmental management system for all of the company's facilities.

Shanghai Municipal Electric Power Company

Waigaogiao Environmental Assessment

Project Manager for World Bank EA of the addition of two 1,000-MW coal-fired super-critical units to the Waigaoqiao Power Plant site. This was referred to as Phase II, while Phase I, the existing plant, consists of four 300-MW units. The EA also considered the addition of a Phase III which would be identical to Phase II (i.e., another two 1,000-MW units). The EA was prepared to meet World Bank guidelines and involved developing information and performing analyses for Phases I, II, and III.

Baley Gold Mine Project

Task Manager for the environmental assessments relating to the potential air and noise impacts from a gold mine project located in Eastern Russia. The task involved developing emissions and impact estimates for mining 25 million tonnes of material from an open pit mine. Impacts were determined using EPA dispersion models. Noise impacts from mine activities were determined using the NOISECALC model.

Campeche, Mexico

Multiple Sites in Italy

Multiple Sites

Shanghai, China

Western Russia

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 17 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Nickel and Cobalt Mine Project

Working through Golder's Mississauga Office provided air impact analyses for a nickel and cobalt mine located in Cupey, Cuba. The major emissions from the project were from the ore processing, which contained PM and SO₂. The EPA dispersion model ISC3ST was used to estimate impacts using a 1-year meteorological data base. Impacts were compared to the World Bank ambient guidelines.

Ambient Air Monitoring Laboratories and Training Program for the Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand

Project Director responsible for designing and constructing two mobile laboratories as well as providing air quality and meteorological equipment. Equipment will be installed in specialty-designed cubicles, and mounted on a Nino truck chassis. The intensive training program will consist of 2 months training in the United States for three EGAT engineers.

Air Resources Studies, Mae Moh Power Plant and Lignite

Mine for the Electric Generating Authority of Thailand Mae Moh Valley, Thailand General Consultant for Air Quality/Project Manager managing activities within an environmental program for proposed plant and mine development in Mae Moh Valley, Northern Thailand.

Environmental Licensing Studies for the

Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand

Air Resources, Subproject Manager, responsible for studies of coal-fired power plant. Managed air resources investigations as part of overall environmental studies of proposed coal-fired power plant to be located on the Gulf of Thailand, 70 kilometers (km) southeast of Bangkok.

Ambient Monitoring Network for the Electricity **Generating Authority of Thailand**

Project Director/Air Resources, Subproject Manager, performing environmental licensing studies for a 2400-MW, coal-fired plant.

Environmental Assessment of Gas Turbine Electrical Generating Facility, World Bank

Air Engineer responsible for developing mitigation and monitoring measures based on the results of air modeling to reduce the impacts from SO_2 and NO_x in the Hunts Bay area.

Development of Air Quality Standards for the

Government of Mauritius for the World Bank

Project Manager tasked with assisting the government of Mauritius in developing air quality standards and designing appropriate monitoring programs required for regulatory enforcement.

Environmental Assessment for 60-MW Diesel-Powered Facility Rockfort, Jamaica

Air Engineer responsible for developing mitigation and monitoring measures based on the results of air modeling to reduce the impacts from sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides in the Rockfort project area.

Environmental Assessment of the Gas/Coal Electrical Generating Facility in Mauritius for the World Bank

St. Aubin, Mauritius

Project Director responsible for conducting all field work for the environmental assessment of a coal- and gas-fired electrical generating facility at St. Aubin in air quality, water quality, and ecology.

Cupey, Cuba

Bangkok, Thailand

Gulf of Thailand

Bangkok, Thailand

Hunts Bay, Jamaica

Mauritius

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 18 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Environmental Screening of Four Proposed

Power Plant Sites for the World Bank

Kingston, Jamaica

Air Engineer responsible for conducting the air quality components of an environmental screening of four potential sites for a 60-MW diesel electrical generating facility.

Multiple Sites in Bulgaria Technical Cooperation Mission for the World Bank

Team Member on the World Bank Mission to determine the major environmental problems in Bulgaria and to identify potential areas for World Bank funding. Responsible for portions of the mission involving toxic/hazardous waste and air pollution. Contributed to the mission's Aide Memoire and directed the preparation of an overall report summarizing the state of the environment in Bulgaria.

Environmental Strategy Study of Air Quality, World Bank Multiple Sites in Hungary

Team Member on mission providing an overview of key air guality problems in Hungary; a description and assessment of regulatory institutions, regulations, and policy; and identification of initial approaches and investment opportunities for improving air quality. During the mission, discussions were held with relevant governmental organizations, various industries, and environmental interest groups (nongovernmental organizations) throughout Hungary. Project focused on preparation of an Aide Memoire and summary report dealing with industrial pollution.

Environmental Project for World Bank

Team Member of the World Bank Mission that recommended and defined an environmental project for the Katewice/Krakow area. Interviewed various governmental personnel to determine needs and developed a comprehensive program for a \$7-million loan. Developed request for quotations for various components of the recommended study. The focus of the study was air quality.

Multidisciplinary Electric Power Plant Projects for the

U.S. Agency for International Development(USAID)

Project Manager for several multidisciplinary projects involving the development of electrical power plants in Pakistan. The projects included the Lakhra Mine and Power Plant EA, the Jamshoro Oil-Fired Power Plant EA, the Guddu Combined-Cycle Expansion Project, the Kalifia Point Private Sector Power Project, and the Environmental Guidelines for Electric Power Development in Pakistan.

Private Sector Power Project for USAID

Project Manager responsible for performance of an air quality impact evaluation to investigate a large coal-fired power plant planned by the Government of Pakistan and a 1,200-MW oil-fired power plant proposed by a group of private firms. Determined the air quality effects of each plant, as well as the cumulative effects of both plants, on the area's ambient air quality. Prepared guidelines providing the private sector proposer a framework for preparing an EA from which significant environmental impacts and alternative designs to mitigate them can be determined. Project also included the establishment of a framework for future assessments of the respective plants, a preliminary evaluation of cooling water requirements, and a determination of potential water quality and ecological impacts.

Katewice/Krakow, Poland

Multiple Sites, Pakistan

Multiple Sites, Pakistan

Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 19 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Guddu Environmental and Social Soundness Assessment for Gibbs & Hill, Inc.

Project Manager of an Environmental and Social Soundness Assessment (ESSA) associated with the construction and operation of a proposed 300-MW addition to a 600-MW combined-cycle power plant in Guddu, Pakistan. The ESSA, designed to provide decision makers with a full discussion of significant environmental effects associated with the power plant expansion, included an evaluation of alternatives or mitigating measures.

Duri Field EA for Caltex Pacific

Project Manager of the air quality assessment of the Duri Field steam-flood project. This project was the largest steam-flood project in the world and involved an assessment of over 300 steam generators using Duri Crude. Directed all activities and presented the results of the study to the newly formed Ministry of Environment.

EAs of Electrical Generating Facilities for

Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT) Multiple Sites, Thailand Project Manager for 8 years of numerous multidisciplinary projects involving EAs of electrical generating facilities in Thailand. The projects included an assessment of a 600-MW coal-fired power plant in Ao Pai; an assessment of constructing 600 MW of additional generation at the Mae Moh site; an assessment of a combined-cycle power plant at Khanom; and a mine and power plant mitigation assessment for the Mae Moh facility.

Guddu, Pakistan

Duri Field, Indonesia

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 20 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

EXPERT/EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY EXPERIENCE

Mr. Kosky has provided expert testimony in over 50 Cases. He has testified in the following types of proceedings:

- Hearing Officers and Administrative Law Judges (ALJs);
- Public Service Commissions; ٠
- Circuit Court;
- Federal District Court;
- Governor of Florida; •
- State and County Environmental Commissions; •
- Environmental review Boards;
- County Commissions;
- Land Use Commissions; and •
- EPA. •

Mr. Kosky has been accepted as an expert in the following areas:

- Air Quality Impact Analyses;
- Air Pollution Control Technology (Design and Engineering);
- Best Available Control Technology;
- Air Pollution Emission Estimates;
- Air Regulation and Compliance; and
- Noise Evaluation and Impact Analyses.

Mr. Kosky has been accepted as an expert in proceeding held in the following states:

- Florida.
- Maryland,
- Georgia,
- South Carolina, .

Agrico Chemical Company

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation Administrative Hearing. Provided assistance to attorneys at hearing for cross examination of opposing witnesses. Case involved permits for prilled sulfur terminal. 1979.

Hawaii,

California, and Louisiana.

Fugitive Emissions Expertise

Circuit Court. Provided expert testimony on the impacts of fugitive dust related to highway construction.

AstraZeneca

EPA ASTDR. Provided technical support for Stauffer Chemical Company Superfund Site. Technical expertise provided in air monitoring and air impact analyses. 2001 to present.

Baltimore Gas and Electric Company

Provided expert testimony for the following:

- Presentation for Maryland PSC staff and hearing examiners on the technical issues related to BACT. 1992.
- Hearing Examiner. Provided direct and supplemental written expert testimony for 800-MW • combined-cycle Perryman Project. Testimony required for the PSC CPNC. Testimony focused on air emissions and BACT for the project. 1990 to 1991.

Alachua County, Florida

Tarpon Springs, FL

Baltimore, MD

Bartow, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 21 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Broward County Resource Recovery Office

Hearing Examiner. Preparation and presentation of testimony for the North and South Broward County Resource Recovery projects on BACT. Testimony was part of a power plant site certification project. 1985 to 1986.

Calpine Eastern Corporation

Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony on a 500-MW combined-cycle unit located at the Osprey Energy Center in Auburndale, Polk County, Florida. Testimony focused on air emissions, BACT, and noise. 2001.

Chevron. Inc.

Presentation before an EPA Region IV panel regarding the air emissions and impacts of drilling rig as part of Outer Continental Shelf Air Permit (40 CFR 55). The project was located in Destin Dome, which is located about 30 miles offshore from Pensacola. Permit was granted.

City of Jacksonville

Circuit Court. Provided technical support for a class certification involving the air quality impacts of incinerators operating from about 1950 to 1970. Provided technical analysis and presented opinions at a deposition. 2004.

City of Lakeland Utilities

Provided expertise for the following:

- Administrative Law Judge. Presented expert testimony on the addition of the steam cycle for McIntosh Unit 5. As Project Manager for the project, the testimony covered all environmental disciplines including air emissions, BACT, and general environmental impacts.
- Hearing Examiner. Presented technical information and the results of modeling during hearings • on site certification for a new electrical generating plant.

Constellation Energy

Public Service Commission Hearing Officer. Provided expert testimony for an application for a Certificate of Public Necessity and Convenience (CPCN) for the installation of air pollution control systems and boiler/turbine upgrades for the Brandon Shores Generating Station. Testified on air quality including BACT, noise and visual aspect of the application.

Constellation Energy

Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for the air pollution controls and BACT for an 850-MW simple-cycle power plant to be located in Brevard County.

Confidential Clients

Provided expertise for the following (only partially listed):

- Provided technical expertise in anticipation of litigation for dioxin contamination from a refinery. Performed air impact analysis and assessment.
- Provided expert technical expertise for cases filed against facilities by Justice Department related to EPA's New Source Review regulations. 1998 to present.

Broward County, FL

Jacksonville, FL

Ann Arundel County, MD

Brevard County, FL

Lakeland, FL

Auburndale, FL

Pensacola, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 22 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Oahu. HI

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Del Monte Fresh Produce, Inc. Power & Light Company

Jury Trial. Provided testimony in the United States District Court, District of Hawaii, related to air emission and impacts from pesticides. 2004.

Delmarva Power & Light Company

Hearing Examiner. Provided direct and supplemental written and oral testimony for nominal 300-MW coal-fired power plant located in Dorchester, Maryland. Case was part of the CPCN before the Maryland PSC. Testimony was related to the air pollution control technology, Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) and BACT. 1994.

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Provided expertise for the following:

- Hearing Examiner. FDER. Provided expert testimony regarding NO_x emission limits for fossil • fuel steam generators. Three hearings involved and ultimately lead to the NO_x task force. 1973.
- Hearing Examiner. Florida Environmental Regulation Commission (FERC). Administrative • Hearing. Testified on impacts of rule change on phosphate rock dryers. Testimony related to air quality impacts and control technology. 1973.
- Hearing Examiner. FDER Administrative Hearing. Prepared testimony on air quality impacts of • control strategy for pulp mill. Testimony involved dispersion modeling and control techniques. 1973.
- FERC. Testimony on emergency action plans and compliance schedules for the State • Implementation Plan. Testimony given at six locations throughout Florida. 1973.

Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group

Provided expertise for the following:

- FERC and Honorable Bob Graham, Governor of Florida. Two Hearings. Prepared technical • information that allowed suspension of emissions for 120 days due to energy emergency. Approval given by all parties. 1979.
- FERC. Prepared report and testimony and presented support of a rule change for three southeast Florida counties. Rule change involved elevating ambient air quality standards. The rules were changed to be consistent with the rest of the state. 1975.
- FERC. Prepared report and testimony presented in support of a rule change that would allow the • use of fuel with a higher sulfur content. Project involved approximately 10,000 MW of fossilfueled steam generators. The rule was changed. 1975.

Florida Power Corporation (Progress Energy)

Provided expertise for the following:

- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony on a gas and distillate oil-fired 500-MW • combined-cycle unit located at the Hines Energy Center in Polk County, Florida. Testimony focused on air emissions, BACT, air impacts, and noise. Certification issued by Governor and Cabinet. 2001.
- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for the use of petroleum coke with coal in two units at the Crystal River Power Plant. Focus of testimony was regulatory applicability of PSD rules to the use of petroleum coke. 1997.
- Hearing Examiner. FDER Administrative Hearing. Presented testimony on environmental impacts of Crystal River Units 4 and 5 (1,400-MW, coal-fired power plant). Permit approved. 1978.

Dorchester, MD

Multiple Sites, FL

Multiple Site, FL

Docket No. 07___-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 23 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Florida Power & Light Company

Expert testimony provided for the following:

- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for the West County Energy Center, a 2,450-MW Power Plant located in Palm Beach County, Florida. Testimony included air emissions (toxics), air quality impacts, and noise. 2006.
- St. Lucie County Board of County Commissioners. Provided expert testimony at the land use hearing before the St. Lucie County Commission of the emissions and air quality impacts of the 1,700 MW Southwest St. Lucie Power Project.
- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for Turkey Point Expansion Project, an 1,100-MW Power Plant located in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Testimony included air emissions (toxics), air quality impacts, and noise. 2004.
- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for Manatee Expansion Project, an 1,100-MW Power Plant located in Manatee County, Florida. Testimony included air emissions (toxics), air quality impacts, and noise. 2003.
- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for Martin Expansion Project a 1,100-MW Power Plant located in Martin County, Florida. Testimony included air emissions (toxics), air quality impacts, and noise. 2003.
- Manatee County Planning Commission and Manatee County Board of County Commission. Provided testimony on environmental issues related to land use for the Manatee Combined-Cycle Project. 2002.
- PSC for South Carolina. Provided expert testimony for the Cherokee Falls simple-cycle power project. Testimony covered all environmental matters related to the project. 2002.
- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for Manatee Orimulsion Conversion Project. Focus of testimony was BACT and air emissions (including toxics). 1998.
- Administrative Law Judge. Provided expert testimony for Manatee Orimulsion Conversion Project. Focus of testimony was BACT and air emissions (including toxics). 1995.
- Hearing Examiner. Provided expert testimony for the Martin combined-cycle project (1,600-MW combined-cycle coal gasification facility). Provided testimony on air emissions and BACT for Site Certification issued by Governor and Cabinet. 1990.
- Hearing Examiner. Expert testimony provided for the Lauderdale Repowering Project (800-MW combined-cycle facility). Testimony provided on air emissions, BACT, and noise. 1990.
- FDER Official. Expert testimony provided for SIP revision, various PSD aspects of test firing Orimulsion in a 400-MW gas-/oil-fired power plant. Air emissions and impacts presented. 1990.
- Hearing Examiner. Presented expert testimony for FPL to assess impacts from atmospheric downwash at 225-MW oil/natural gas-fired power plant. 1984.
- Broward County Commission. Prepared and presented testimony concerning the air quality impacts of using 2.5-percent sulfur fuel in FPL's 1,200-MW Port Everglades Plant. 1982.
- Dade County Environmental Resource Management Board. Prepared and presented testimony concerning the air quality impact of using 2.5-percent sulfur fuel in FPL's 800-MW Turkey Point Plant. Two hearings were held. The impacts to a PSD Class I area were at issue. 1982.
- Manatee County Commission. Prepared and presented testimony on the air quality impact of using 2.5-percent sulfur fuel in FPL's 1,600-MW Manatee Plant. Two hearings were involved. 1981.
- FDER. Presented testimony related to air quality impacts for particulate variance for FPL's Sanford, Ft. Myers, and Canaveral power plants. Variance extended. 1981.
- FERC. Testified before the FERC concerning the impacts of Sanford Unit 4 firing with coal-oil mixture (COM). FPL's request was for a temporary variance in particulate emissions so that full scale testing of COM could be performed. 1980.
- Dade County Commission. Prepared testimony and presented the results of modeling and technical information in support of a rule change on ambient air quality standards. 1977.

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 24 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

FERC. Prepared testimony and presented the results of atmospheric dispersion modeling and • other technical data at two separate hearings before the FERC in support of the contention that FPL's Manatee Plant was an existing source and thus could burn higher sulfur fuel. Approval given by both state and EPA. 1976.

Florida Sugar Cane League

Expertise provided for the following:

- Palm Beach County Commission. Testified in opposition to proposed special emission limits on the sugar cane industry in Palm Beach County. 1976.
- Florida Congressional Representative Paul Rogers. Presented technical information pertaining to CAA Amendments. Presentation in support of the League's position with respect to a proposed rule governing the significant deterioration of air quality. 1976.
- FERC. Presented testimony on the results of modeling and other technical information in support of the SO_2 rule change for three Florida counties. 1975.

Gold Kist

Local district court. Prepared reports, testimony, and interrogatories on case involving air pollution impacts on local car dealer. 1975 to 1979.

Lake Worth Utilities

Hearing Examiner. Presented technical information and the results of modeling during hearings on site certification for a new electrical generating plant. 1977.

Maxwell House Division, General Foods Corporation

District Administrator of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Testified in support of the noise reduction program at the Maxwell House can plant. 1975.

McGowan Working Partners

Judge for the Second Parish Court. Provided expert testimony related to the air emissions and dispersion of a short-term spill of 31% hydrochloric acid from a tank.

Metropolitan Dade County

Provided expert testimony in the following:

- PSC. Provided direct written and oral testimony for an addition to the Metropolitan Dade County Resource Recovery Facility, Florida. Case was part of the Site Certification under Florida's Power Plant Siting Act and ruled before the Governor and Cabinet acting as the Siting Board. In these proceedings, the PSC certifies the need for the project. Testimony was related to the purpose and need for the addition to the facility. This included compliance with state rules and legislative intent related to the project. 1993.
- Hearing Examiner. Presented expert testimony on the environmental impacts of Dade County Resource Recovery Facility consisting of four steam generators and associated turbines generating 77 MW by firing refuse-derived fuel. Permit granted. 1977.

Live Oak, FL

Lake Worth, FL

Jacksonville, FL

Jefferson Parrish, LA

Dade County, FL

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 25 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

Mirant Corporation

Provided expert testimony for the following:

- PSC Hearing Officer. Provided testimony on all air-related analyses for the Chalk Point Simple-Cycle Project.
- PSC Hearing Officer. Provided testimony on all air related analyses for the Dickerson Combined-Cycle Project. 2001 and 2002.

Montenay Power Corporation

Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board. Provided expert testimony on the potential impacts of an existing resource recovery facility on a parcel of land being re-zoned from industrial to residential. Testimony included air quality impacts from fugitive dusts and odors as well as noise.

O.K.C. Cement

FDER Administrative Hearing. Testified about the results of atmospheric dispersion modeling and air quality analysis during hearings about significant deterioration. 1977.

Potomac Electric Power Company — Provided expert testimony for the following:

- Hearing Examiner. Provided expert testimony for Chalk Point CTs (two 100-MW and two 80-MW). Testimony focused on siting and overall environmental impacts. 1988 to 1989.
- Hearing Examiner. Preparation and presentation of direct and rebuttal testimony on the environmental aspects of siting a coal gasification combined-cycle power plant. Case involved the Maryland Public Service Commission. 1987 to 1988.

Seminole Electric Cooperative Incorporated

Provided expert testimony for the following:

- Hearing Examiner. Provided direct written and oral testimony for 440-MW combined-cycle power plant located in Hardee County, Florida. Case was part of the Site Certification under Florida's Power Plant Siting Act and ruled before the Governor and Cabinet acting as the Siting Board. Testimony was related to the air pollution control technology, BACT, and noise impacts. 1995.
- Hearing Examiner. Provided expert testimony on air emissions, noise, and BACT for the Hardee Power Station, a 600-MW combined-cycle facility in central Florida. 1990.

Tampa Electric Company (TECO)

Tampa, FL

Provided expertise for the following:

- FERC. Prepared testimony based on the results of modeling and other technical data in support of the contention that TECO's Big Bend Unit 3 was an existing source and thus could burn higher sulfur fuel. 1976.
- Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. Assisted in the preparation of legal briefs for litigation of the EPA's ruling concerning SIP revision. Case involved atmospheric dispersion modeling. 1976.
- Hillsborough County Environmental Regulatory Commission. Prepared reports and testimony on air quality standards and significant deterioration. 1976.
- FDER Administrative Hearing. Prepared testimony in support of TECO's proposed use of high • sulfur fuel. Technical information and the results of atmospheric dispersion modeling were presented during hearings on significant deterioration of air quality. 1976.
- EPA Region IV Administrator. Testified in opposition to the Administrator's ruling regarding TECO's proposed use of high sulfur fuel. 1975.

Multiple Sites, MD

Sumpter County, FL

Miami-Dade County, FL

Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 26 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

TexasGulf, Inc.

Assisted senior counsel in responding to a Notice of Violation from the State of North Carolina. Provided technical expertise and reports for submittal to court. 1981.

The Viera Company

Assisted senior counsel in the mediation involving odors and air quality impacts of a revised air pollution permit. Provided technical expertise and review of reports. 1999.

Woodward Hall & Primm

Assisted senior counsel in the toxic tort suit involving the Motco Superfund Site. Technical expert for air monitoring and air quality impacts. Provided technical expertise, review of plaintiff's reports, and provided independent reports.

Brevard County, FL

Houston, TX

NC

Docket No. 07___-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-1, Page 27 of 27 KFK Curriculum Vitae

Kennard F. Kosky, M.S., P.E.

PUBLICATIONS

Mr. Kosky has authored and coauthored hundreds of reports and permits submitted to regulatory agencies. He has authored and coauthored over a dozen articles related to air pollution topics (i.e., emission estimates, air impacts, and permitting) and licensing power generation facilities.

LANGUAGES

English (Native Speaker) Spanish (Read)





² NGCC = TP5 (COD 2007)

- ³ DOE Clean Coal = JEA Northside CFB (COD 2001)
- ⁴ Recent PC Coal = Stanton Unit 2 (COD 1995)

ocket No. 07 -EI .. Kosky, Exhibit No. ocument No. KFK-2, Page 1 of 1 omparison of FGPP Emissions with IGCC, atural Gas Combined Cycle, Recent DOE Clean Coal" and Recent PC Coal Projects FPL Document No. KFK-3 Maximum Air Quality Impact Predicted for the FGPP Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards and PSD Class II Increments



Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-3, Page 1 of 1 Maximum Air Quality Impact Predicted for the FGPP Compared to Ambient Air Quality Standards and PSC Class II Increments

Source: Golder, 2006



FPL Document No. KFK-4 Comparison of FGPP Emissions with OUC Unit B IGCC

Note: Emissions levels shown for FGPP represent only a small fraction of ambient standards.

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-4, Page 1 of 1 Comparison of FGPP Emissions with OUC Unit B IGCC



FPL Document No. KFK-5 Comparison of FGPP Emissions with AEP Mountaineer IGCC

Note: Emissions levels shown for FGPP represent only a small fraction of ambient standards.

Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-5, Page 1 of 1 Comparison of FGPP Emissions with AEP Mountaineer IGCC



FPL Document No. KFK-6



Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-6, Page 1 of 1 Proposed Mercury Emission Factor for FGPP

Docket No. 07___-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No.____ Document No. KFK-7, Page 1 of 5 FGPP Environmental Compliance Costs – Scenarios A-D

Environmental Compliance Costs

Scenario A - Allowance Costs for SO₂, NOx and Hg, referred to as 3P (P in this case means "Pollutant")

- Scenario B Allowance Costs for SO₂, NOx and Hg, with low CO₂ allowance costs, referred to as 4P mild
- Scenario C Allowance Costs for SO₂, NOx and Hg, with moderate CO₂ allowance costs, referred to as 4P medium

Scenario D - Allowance Costs for SO₂, NOx and Hg, with high CO₂ allowance costs, referred to as 4P - high

Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No.____ Document No. KFK-7, Page 2 of 5 FGPP Environmental Compliance Costs – SO₂





Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No.____ Document No. KFK-7, Page 3 of 5 FGPP Environmental Compliance Costs – NOx

Docket No. 07____-EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No.____ Document No. KFK-7, Page 4 of 5 FGPP Environmental Compliance Costs – Hg





Docket No. 07 -EI K. Kosky, Exhibit No. Document No. KFK-7, Page 5 of 5 FGPP Environmental Compliance Costs - CO₂