

ORIGINAL

**Dorothy Menasco**

---

**From:** Dorothy Menasco  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 22, 2007 1:34 PM  
**To:** Troy Rendell  
**Cc:** Kimberley Pena; Hong Wang; Ann Cole  
**Subject:** RE: Document 01679-07

Thank you for the clarification Troy. I will make that changed based on your e-mail below.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Troy Rendell  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 22, 2007 12:31 PM  
**To:** Dorothy Menasco  
**Cc:** Bart Fletcher; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Martha Brown; Kimberley Pena; Hong Wang; Ann Cole  
**Subject:** RE: Document 01679-07

It should go in Docket No. 060285 - the attorney put the wrong docket number in the subject line...

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dorothy Menasco  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 22, 2007 8:57 AM  
**To:** Troy Rendell; Martha Brown  
**Cc:** Kimberley Pena; Hong Wang; Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: Document 01679-07

Troy or Martha, please confirm whether or not the docket mentioned above should be moved from Docket 020409 to Docket 060285. Utilities, Inc.'s cover letter specifies Docket 020409, a closed docket.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 22, 2007 8:31 AM  
**To:** Dorothy Menasco  
**Cc:** Ann Cole; Kimberley Pena  
**Subject:** Document 01679-07

Dorothy, can you please check on Document 01679-07? I don't think it belongs to Docket 020409. That docket was closed on 12/05/03. I think the document may belong in Docket 060285.

Thanks.