

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition on behalf of Citizens of the
State of Florida to require Progress Energy
Florida, Inc. to refund to customers \$143 million

DOCKET NO. 060658
Submitted for filing: March 6, 2007

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF
JAMES N. HELLER
ON BEHALF OF
PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

R. ALEXANDER GLENN
JOHN BURNETT
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE
COMPANY, LLC
P.O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733
Telephone: (727) 820-5180
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

JAMES MICHAEL WALLS
Florida Bar No. 706272
DIANNE M. TRIPLET
Florida Bar No. 0872431
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.
Post Office Box 3239
Tampa, FL 33601
Telephone: (813) 223-7000
Telecopier: (813) 229-4133

CMP 1
COM 5
CTR Org
ECR
GCL 1
OPC
RCA
SCR
SGA
SEC
OTH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02042 MAR-65

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**IN RE: PETITION ON BEHALF OF CITIZENS OF THE
STATE OF FLORIDA TO REQUIRE PROGRESS ENERGY
FLORIDA, INC. TO REFUND CUSTOMERS \$143 MILLION**

FPSC DOCKET NO. 060658

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

JAMES N. HELLER

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS.**

2

3 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

4 **A. My name is James N. Heller. My address is 4803 Falstone Avenue, Chevy Chase,**
5 **Maryland.**

6

7 **Q. Are you the same James N. Heller who previously filed direct testimony in**
8 **this case?**

9 **A. Yes.**

10

11 **Q. What were you asked to do in this testimony?**

12 **A. I was asked to review the Direct Testimony of Bernard M. Windham and respond**
13 **to his statements regarding the delivered prices and tonnages of coal procured by**
14 **Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (PEF) and by allegedly comparable utilities in the**
15 **1996-2005 time period.**

16

1 **II. PURPOSE, SUMMARY AND APPROACH TO TESTIMONY**

2

3 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

4 **A.** The purpose of my testimony is to address certain statements of opinion made by
5 Mr. Windham in his testimony filed in this case on February 14, 2007. These
6 statements include the following:

- 7 • Between 1996-2005, it “appears” PEF often did not purchase the lowest price
8 coal, in particular foreign compliance coal;
- 9 • It “appears” PEF did not always purchase the lowest available US coal, in
10 particular, Colorado coal.; and,
- 11 • Synfuels should have \$2/ton added to its cost to make it comparable to
12 bituminous coal.

13

14 **Q. How did you approach these issues and on what materials did you rely?**

15 **A.** I reviewed Mr. Windham’s testimony and materials that he provided regarding the
16 delivered prices of coal to PEF and other utilities. I reviewed similar data from
17 Platts/RDI COALdat on delivered prices. I have previously reviewed information
18 provided to me by PEF on their coal contracts, delivered prices, procurement
19 policies, bids and bid evaluations. I also reviewed Mr. Windham’s deposition in
20 this case.

21

22 **Q. What analysis did you perform with the materials that you collected?**

23 **A.** I reviewed the data on coal deliveries and coal quality to the allegedly
24 “comparable utilities” identified by Mr. Windham, including JEA, Gulf Power

1 Company, Mississippi Power Company, Alabama Power and Alabama Electric
2 Cooperative, Inc. I also considered information on other utilities identified by
3 Mr. Windham in Exhibit BW-2 as being "Foreign Compliance Coal" purchasers
4 including TECO, New England Power, Public Service Company of New
5 Hampshire, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, and Savannah Electric. I reviewed
6 the delivered prices, quantities and quality of foreign coals delivered to a subset of
7 these plants from Platts FERC Form 423 data. I compared the results of those
8 analyses with information provided in Mr. Windham's exhibits.

9
10 **Q. Please provide a summary of your testimony.**

11 **A.** Mr. Windham states that the purpose of his testimony is to "provide basic
12 information related to the delivered prices and tonnages of coal procured by PEF
13 and 'comparable' utilities". He does not specifically address PEF's coal
14 procurement policies and practices during the relevant time period from 1996 to
15 2005 and does not claim that they were flawed. He also does not calculate any
16 damages. He simply observes that it "appears" PEF "often did not purchase the
17 lowest price coal that met PEF's coal specifications."

18 In this testimony I will explain the nature of the data that Mr. Windham
19 presents and how it relates to the manner in which PEF procured coal. I will also
20 discuss the quality of the coal being compared in particular the import coals. My
21 conclusion is that while I do not generally dispute the accuracy of the FERC Form
22 423 data itself, I do question how useful that data is in helping make judgments
23 about the prudence of PEF's coal procurement activities. The delivered fuel price
24 data without additional information reveals nothing about the processes PEF

1 followed in procuring coal and the reasons for the actions that PEF took.

2 In Mr. Windham's deposition (page 84), he eventually expresses an
3 opinion that, "Based on the information I've seen from the data that I've reviewed
4 and the other information in the docket, I have an opinion that there were years
5 when Progress should have bought more foreign coal." In my opinion, Mr.
6 Windham has done insufficient analysis to draw that conclusion. At the same
7 time, he refuses to express an opinion about the prudence of PEF's actions, so I
8 am a bit confused about what he intends.

9
10 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits to your testimony?**

11 **A.** Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibit that I have prepared or that was
12 prepared under my supervision and control:

13 Exhibit No. __ (JNH-9) FERC Form 423 Platts/RDI COALdat Data on coal
14 receipts by various utilities.

15 This exhibit is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

16

17 **III. CRITIQUE OF MR. WINDHAM'S ANALYSIS**

18

19 **Q. What do you understand that Mr. Windham relied upon in preparing his**
20 **analysis?**

21 **A.** Mr. Windham relied primarily upon FERC Form 423, PSC Form 423, and PSC
22 A- Schedule data. These databases provide information on monthly coal
23 deliveries and prices to electric generators. The FERC data covers utilities

1 nationwide while the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) data are filed by
2 investor owned electric utilities subject to PSC jurisdiction.

3
4 **Q. What is the nature of the FERC Form 423 data?**

5 **A.** The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) requires that each electric
6 power producer for each of its electric generating plants (above 50 MW) report on
7 a monthly basis the cost and quality of fossil fuels delivered to each plant.
8 Exhibit BW-5 presents a copy of the form and instructions. This information is
9 very useful to analysts in understanding what types of coals are purchased for
10 delivery to each of the generating units, the quality of that coal, the source, and
11 the prices paid. However, the form does not contain information as to when the
12 coal was procured or under what circumstances it was procured, other than a
13 "Type" code which indicates whether the fuel is bought on a spot or contract basis
14 and thus provides limited information about what type of contract was used for
15 the procurement.

16
17 **Q. Can the FERC Form 423 data be used to determine whether PEF acted
18 prudently in not making more foreign coal purchases?**

19 **A.** No. As I explained, the FERC data provide information on the delivered prices
20 paid by an electric generator for coal delivered to a particular plant. The FERC
21 data do not necessarily provide information on the prices at the time that the coal
22 is procured. As a result, the delivered prices reported by two different utilities for
23 the same type of coal in the same month on the FERC form in all likelihood will
24 be the result of coal procurements conducted and contracts negotiated at different

1 periods of time. This means the markets at the time that the contracts were
2 concluded may be quite different for the coal reported as delivered in the same
3 month on the FERC form. The only way to determine if one of the utilities paid
4 more or less than the other for the same type of coal is to know more about each
5 utilities' fuel procurement processes and procedures.

6 For example, it is important to know whether the purchase resulted from a
7 request for a contract proposal for coal or a spot offer and acceptance; what coal
8 and how much of it was sought in the request and what coal and how much was
9 offered in response; what were the number and extent of the bid responses; what
10 were the market conditions at the time of the procurement; were there
11 circumstances unique to the utility or supplier at the time which gave them the
12 ability to extract higher prices or other concessions; and whether the
13 transportation circumstances affected the pricing. Transportation is a critical
14 issue. Since the reported prices are on a delivered price basis it is difficult to
15 make any judgments about the coal price at the mine until the effects of
16 transportation have been eliminated. The FERC data might be used to show that
17 there should be an inquiry into these other factors regarding the utilities' coal
18 procurement processes and procedures at the time that the coal was procured, but
19 the FERC data cannot and does not provide the answers to the questions regarding
20 these other factors.

21
22 **Q. Does Mr. Windham attempt to use the FERC data to demonstrate PEF's**
23 **imprudence?**

1 A. No. He notes that during the 1996-2005 time frame other utilities purchased
2 foreign coals (some of which is of compliance quality), at prices below the
3 average price paid by PEF for compliance coal. He seems to imply that given the
4 size and sophistication of PEF's coal purchasing activities, they should have
5 achieved results at least as favorable as the prices paid by the median of the
6 comparison group. But he maintains in his deposition that he is not testifying that
7 PEF acted imprudently and he does not analyze or address the nature of PEF's
8 procurement policies or practices.

9 Mr. Windham may be attempting to provide the raw materials to others to
10 demonstrate, if they can, whether PEF acted imprudently. However, he has not
11 provided sufficient material to make that judgment. Mr. Windham admits as
12 much in his deposition when he says he cannot determine how much foreign coal
13 PEF should have bought in any year from 1996 to 2005 and from whom PEF
14 should have bought it based on his analysis. See Deposition of Mr. Windham at
15 page 84, lines 9-25 and page 85, lines 1-16.

16

17 **Q. Does Mr. Windham offer sufficient information to demonstrate imprudence?**

18 A. No. The manner in which he has constructed the analysis does not comport with
19 the manner in which one would review the prudence of the decisions made by
20 PEF. It is a pure hindsight analysis that purports to focus on results achieved
21 without commenting on what PEF might have done differently to achieve
22 different results.

23 PEF was clearly aware of and focused on the coal import market; its RFPs
24 included import coal; it received bids for such coals; it did the evaluations; and it

1 bought that coal when it evaluated the foreign coal option as least cost. To make
2 a judgment about the prudence of PEF's decisions, Mr. Windham should have
3 analyzed the circumstances around these bid and procurement decisions to
4 determine if PEF made the right or wrong decision in not buying more imported
5 coal.

6

7 **Q. Why would one need to analyze PEF's coal procurement decisions at the time**
8 **they were made?**

9 **A.** While Mr. Windham's data may show that others were purchasing coal at prices
10 lower than the delivered price paid by PEF, he must determine a number of other
11 factors before he can answer the question about what PEF should or should not
12 have done differently. Were those coals available in the market place at the time
13 that PEF was making its coal purchasing decisions? (It is possible that a producer
14 may sell out, or have a more profitable opportunity at the time of PEF's RFP).
15 Did PEF solicit for those coals and did the potential sellers respond? (PEF can
16 make it known that it is seeking these coals, but they cannot force a producer to
17 bid). Were the prices offered to PEF the same as those offered to others?
18 (Producers will vary the prices that they offer over time depending on shifts in
19 market conditions, their mine operations, and what they perceive to be their
20 competitive position relative to other producers at a customer). These are some
21 examples of what one should consider in a prudence review and they can only be
22 answered by considering all facts and circumstances surrounding PEF's coal
23 procurement decisions at the times that they were made. To my knowledge Mr.
24 Windham has done no such analysis.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Q. What about the PEF comparison price Mr. Windham uses in his analysis. Is that the appropriate price to compare to the other utility prices?

A. No. Mr. Windham in exhibit BW-3 compares the average delivered PEF/U.S. CAPP price with the median of the prices each year paid by his comparison group. He uses the wrong PEF price. This price includes in it the average of all prices paid under spot and contract arrangements which is an inappropriate comparison. In any given year, coals previously committed under contracts at prices established at the time that the commitment was made are being delivered. So for example, PEF may have made a commitment to a CAPP coal in 1994 that had a delivered price of \$1.90/MMBtu at the time. The contract was the lowest cost option at the time and the price has since escalated under the terms of the contract to \$2.00/MMBtu in 2000. In 2000, the market price of coal may have fallen so that CAPP spot coal is now available at \$1.40/MMBtu. PEF buys the CAPP spot coal rather than the foreign coal available that year at the median price of \$1.44/MMBtu. Mr. Windham's analysis may well show that the average price PEF paid in 2000 for coal is much greater than the median price of the foreign coal bought by others, but PEF would have done nothing imprudent.

Q. Is there an example of how the PEF comparison price may not reflect current market conditions?

A. Yes, the Massey contract which Mr. Windham discusses shows the problem of comparing current market prices with the prices being paid under older agreements. The long term coal supply agreement between PEF and Massey

1 provided for CAPP coal deliveries at prices which were not directly related to
2 current import coal prices. While the Massey contract may have been the lowest
3 priced alternative at the time it was signed, at a future point in time other lower
4 cost alternatives may have become available as market conditions shifted. Mr.
5 Windham apparently recognizes that problem and posits that the Massey contract
6 could have been reopened to cause the price to readjust to market or the contract
7 could be terminated.

8
9 **Q. Could PEF have reopened the Massey contract to reject the contract and buy
10 foreign coal?**

11 **A.** On page 12 Mr. Wyndham notes that the Massey contract “had a reopener about
12 every 18 months at which time changes in the terms could be made by either party
13 with cause”. In his deposition, he acknowledges that he does not recall the
14 specific terms of the reopener. He then talks about the commonality of how coal
15 contract reopeners operate. My experience in the hundreds of coal supply
16 contracts that I have reviewed is very different. Market price reopeners are
17 individually tailored in contracts partly because they are very powerful
18 provisions. They may vary in terms, for example of , what triggers the reopener,
19 how frequently they occur, how the price is set once the reopener is triggered,
20 whether either party has walk away rights and when, and how the volume may be
21 reset based on the results of the reopener. It would seem that Mr. Windham
22 would need to review the provisions of this one carefully before commenting on
23 whether PEF prudently administered the terms of the contract.

24

1 Q. **Have you reviewed the Massey contract?**

2 A Yes. The 1978 contract does contain a reopener, but not one that allows PEF to
3 adjust the price to the level of import coals or unilaterally terminate the contract.
4 It is in my experience an unusual reopener in the specificity of the conditions
5 required to trigger the provisions. Under the "Market Adjustment" provision
6 (section 4.04), PEF must demonstrate to Massey that it is able to purchase coal
7 that is washed, deep-mined, 12,500 Btu/lb. min., .06% sulfur or less, under a ten
8 year contract term with similar terms and conditions to the Massey contract from
9 a non-affiliated producer of similar or larger size than Massey, with similar or
10 better facilities than Massey, and located in Bureau of Mines District 8 (this is
11 central Appalachia). Having met all of those conditions, the offered price must be
12 15% less than the Massey price during the prior three month period. If these
13 conditions are met, then Progress can propose changes in the base price and
14 escalation provisions. After 180 days of negotiations if they fail to agree, then
15 Progress can terminate the contract.

16 In 1983, the contract was amended and Section 4.03 "Market
17 Adjustments" became the key provision requiring the parties to negotiate "in good
18 faith" to reach an agreement on a new base price every three years. If they were
19 unable to agree, the contract could terminate, but it required "best efforts to reach
20 agreement". In administration of the contract, I understand that PEF would
21 conduct market price analyses to develop its position on the new base price.

22
23 Q. **How did Mr. Windham compare the PEF prices with those of the**
24 **"comparison group"?**

1 A. In exhibit BW-3, Mr. Windham took the weighted average price of all of the PEF
2 compliance coals delivered to IMT (spot or contract) in any given year, and
3 compared PEF's weighted average price with the median of the prices paid by
4 other utilities which imported coal in that year. Based on his deposition, I believe
5 that Mr. Windham included all U.S. utilities which took any foreign coal
6 deliveries in that year. Recognizing that the transportation costs to these utilities
7 could be different than for deliveries to IMT, Mr. Windham chose the median
8 rather than the average value for his comparison. This was an attempt to
9 eliminate the effect of those utilities located in New England, for example, that
10 may have had higher transportation cost components in the delivered price than
11 PEF. This approach would also eliminate the effect of "outliers," meaning
12 reported prices that were for some reason incomparable or in error.

13
14 **Q. Is Mr. Windham's use of the median price paid for foreign bituminous coal a**
15 **proper comparison price?**

16 A. No. The use of medians may have made sense if the dataset was comparable and
17 Mr. Windham were simply trying to eliminate the effects of data outliers caused,
18 for example, by misreporting or very unusual shipping conditions. The problem
19 in Mr. Windham's analysis is that the dataset is inherently incomparable,
20 including spot and contract shipments, shipments occurring under contracts
21 negotiated at different points in time, coals that were not of comparable quality,
22 and shipping conditions that varied greatly to mention a few. Simply put, Mr.
23 Windham is not using comparable utility coal prices and, therefore, the use of
24 median price comparisons is inappropriate.

1 **Q. How should the comparison be performed?**

2 **A.** Ironically, the analysis should be performed in the manner already laid out in the
3 testimony in this case. At the times that PEF went out for bid, they should have
4 evaluated the available alternatives and selected the least cost option on an
5 evaluated basis. The data to analyze this including RFPs and bid responses has
6 been presented in this case along with the testimony of various PEF witnesses
7 explaining the process. The issue which Mr. Windham seems to be addressing in
8 a very shorthand manner through his delivered price comparison has already been
9 addressed properly. The critique must consider the prudence of the decision at
10 the time it was made, and Mr. Windham's analysis does not do that.

11

12 **Q. Are there any other problems with Mr. Windham's analysis?**

13 **A.** Yes. There are two issues related to coal quality and the treatment of
14 transportation that deserve further comment.

15

16 **Q. With regard to coal quality, does Mr. Windham screen the dataset properly?**

17 **A.** No. The quality of the import coal received by the utilities in his comparison is
18 not always compliance coal partly because some of the utilities in his comparison
19 do not require such coals. This affects both the cost of the coal and the coal
20 sources.

21 Exhibit No. ____ (JNH-9) is a representative sample of FERC Form 423
22 data similar to the dataset used by Mr. Windham (all FERC Form 423 data
23 derives from the same utility reporting sources) but Exhibit No. ____ (JNH-9) is
24 FERC data provided by Platts and organized in a different format. The data

1 shown are for imported coals. The utility and plant name are shown on the center
2 of each data grouping and the column headings indicate the data element. The
3 organization of FERC data in Exhibit No. ____ (JNH-9) readily shows the
4 differences in quantity and quality of the import coal in Mr. Windham's analysis.

5 For example in 1997, the average sulfur content of the 1.385 million tons
6 reported by Mr. Wyndham as received by JEA had an average sulfur content of
7 1.31#SO2/MMBtu. (Exhibit No. __ (JNH-9), pg. 11). This is not compliance coal
8 and could not be burned at CR4 and CR5. One of the fundamentals of a
9 compliance coal comparison is that all of the coals delivered in the comparison
10 must be of compliance quality. In his deposition, Mr. Windham indicates that he
11 did not screen for compliance quality coals. Often there is a price difference
12 between compliance and non-compliance coals. This is especially true at units
13 like CR4 and CR5 subject to new source performance standards (NSPS). Mr.
14 Windham's analysis does not account for the NSPS impacts on PEF purchases or
15 the price differences between compliance and non-compliance coals.

16 In addition, some utilities in his comparison also received Australian and
17 Russian coals (e.g. Exhibit No. ____ (JNH-9), pgs. 7, 19), which may not have
18 been offered to PEF as part of its bid solicitations and were never test burned.
19 Mr. Windham does not account for such additional differences in coal quality in
20 his analysis.

21
22 **Q. Does Mr. Windham do the delivered coal price analysis properly?**

23 **A.** No. Mr. Wyndham assumes that the effect of the cross-Gulf movement will be
24 identical for all of the coal delivered to a Gulf port. In fact, because these costs

1 are paid on a per ton basis but need to be evaluated on a delivered cents per
2 MMBtu basis, the heating value of the coals does affect the delivered cost
3 comparison. Assume a CAPP compliance coal with a 12,500 Btu/ lb content and
4 a foreign coal with a Btu content of 11,700 Btu/lb are both delivered to IMT. If
5 the IMT handling and the cross-Gulf movement cost is \$10/ton, then the transport
6 cost of the CAPP coal is only \$.40/MMBtu while the foreign coal costs
7 \$.43/MMBtu. The analysis should be done at the plant to properly account for
8 these differences.

9 This is just one of the problems with the transportation aspects of Mr.
10 Windham's analysis. There are others including variations in vessel capacity,
11 delivery lengths and times, among others, that can impact the comparable
12 delivered price of foreign coal to different utilities. Mr. Windham, however,
13 admits in his deposition that he did not calculate the transportation costs
14 associated with the foreign coal shipped to the utilities in his comparison so Mr.
15 Windham has not considered any of these additional transportation factors in his
16 analysis.

17
18 **Q. Mr. Windham also comments on Colorado bituminous coal. Do you have a**
19 **response to that analysis?**

20 **A.** Yes. Mr. Windham indicates that Colorado bituminous coal was available more
21 cheaply than CAPP coal in some of the years from 1996 to 2005 in an analysis
22 similar to his analysis of foreign bituminous coals. As a result, Mr. Windham's
23 analysis of Colorado bituminous coals suffers from many of the same flaws as his
24 analysis of foreign bituminous coals.

1 Additionally, PEF's testimony addresses the instance where PEF's own
2 bid sheet analysis of a PEF request for proposal had a low cost Colorado
3 bituminous coal. As Mr. Windham acknowledges in his deposition, the delivered
4 price is not the only criteria that needs to be considered in determining what coal
5 should be procured. In this instance, I understand that PEF did not purchase the
6 Colorado bituminous coal because of concerns regarding known transportation
7 disruptions with respect to western coal. The coal is of no value to the plant
8 unless it can be delivered timely. While one may question PEF's judgment about
9 whether they properly assessed the transportation risks, simply pointing out what
10 PEF already knew that the Colorado coal at one point in time may have been
11 cheaper than CAPP says nothing about the prudence of their business judgment.

12
13 **Q. Does Mr. Windham comment on any other fuel types?**

14 **A.** Yes. Mr. Windham indicates that \$2/ton should be added to the synfuels price in
15 order to compare it to other coals. With regard to the cheaper pricing for
16 synfuels, Mr. Windham's assumption that \$2/ton should be added to the price in
17 comparing it with bituminous coal prices seems unfounded. In my experience,
18 the discount for synfuels reflects a sharing of the producer's tax savings with the
19 consumer as an inducement to the customer to purchase synfuels rather than coal.
20 In addition, I understand that PEF blends the synfuels and this virtually minimizes
21 any handling problems. The interrogatory response attached to Mr. Windham's
22 testimony BW-10 addresses the blending solution. Therefore, adding a \$2/ton use
23 penalty to the synfuels would be inappropriate.

24

1 Q. Do you have any overall conclusion?

2 A. Yes. Mr. Windham's analysis adds no new or useful information to the record to
3 establish or refute the prudence of PEF's activities. To the extent that he attempts
4 to make comparisons they are badly flawed.

5

6 A. Does this conclude your testimony?

7 A. Yes.

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information												
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu
Plant Operator: Alabama Electric Coop, Inc. Plant: Lowman (Tombigbee); Leroy, AL; Transp: NS:BG																	
***1999 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	291.03	12,275	0.54	0.89	4.39	21.13	13.17	0.00	34.30 139.72
									1999 Total for SPOT:	291.03	12,275	0.54	0.89	4.39	21.13	13.17	0.00 34.30 139.72
									Lowman (Tombigbee) Total for 1999 :	291.03	12,275	0.54	0.89	4.39	21.13	13.17	0.00 34.30 139.72
***2000 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C UNKN	724.62	11,619	0.59	1.02	4.62	18.69	13.91	0.00	32.60 140.27
									2000 Total for CONTRACT:	724.62	11,619	0.59	1.02	4.62	18.69	13.91	0.00 32.60 140.27
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	47.78	11,767	0.45	0.76	3.90	19.25	13.65	0.00	32.90 139.80
									2000 Total for SPOT:	47.78	11,767	0.45	0.76	3.90	19.25	13.65	0.00 32.90 139.80
									Lowman (Tombigbee) Total for 2000 :	772.40	11,628	0.58	1.00	4.57	18.72	13.89	0.00 32.61 140.24
***2001 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C UNKN	638.31	11,472	0.63	1.10	5.46	18.97	14.08	0.00	33.05 144.03
									2001 Total for CONTRACT:	638.31	11,472	0.63	1.10	5.46	18.97	14.08	0.00 33.05 144.03
									Lowman (Tombigbee) Total for 2001 :	638.31	11,472	0.63	1.10	5.46	18.97	14.08	0.00 33.05 144.03
***2002 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C UNKN	532.46	11,481	0.60	1.04	5.23	19.37	14.02	0.00	33.39 145.42
									2002 Total for CONTRACT:	532.46	11,481	0.60	1.04	5.23	19.37	14.02	0.00 33.39 145.42
									Lowman (Tombigbee) Total for 2002 :	532.46	11,481	0.60	1.04	5.23	19.37	14.02	0.00 33.39 145.42
***2003 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 12/05	565.36	11,528	0.55	0.95	4.69	19.09	16.03	0.00	35.12 152.32
									2003 Total for CONTRACT:	565.36	11,528	0.55	0.95	4.69	19.09	16.03	0.00 35.12 152.32
									Lowman (Tombigbee) Total for 2003 :	565.36	11,528	0.55	0.95	4.69	19.09	16.03	0.00 35.12 152.32
***2004 ***																	

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information										Purchase Information							
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/05	561.12	11,440	0.56	0.98	5.07	18.57	16.85	0.00	35.42 154.80
2004 Total for CONTRACT:									561.12	11,440	0.56	0.98	5.07	18.57	16.85	0.00	35.42 154.80
Lowman (Tombigbee) Total for 2004 :									561.12	11,440	0.56	0.98	5.07	18.57	16.85	0.00	35.42 154.80
***2005 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare)	S	BG	C	12/07	82.41	12,898	0.65	1.01	6.31	53.68	21.02	0.00	74.70 289.57
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	BG	C	12/05	82.53	11,329	0.52	0.91	7.53	47.42	19.25	0.00	66.67 294.25
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/05	220.17	11,194	0.61	1.09	5.80	14.81	20.03	0.00	34.84 155.62
2005 Total for CONTRACT:									385.11	11,588	0.60	1.03	6.28	30.12	20.07	0.00	50.19 216.57
Lowman (Tombigbee) Total for 2005 :									385.11	11,588	0.60	1.03	6.28	30.12	20.07	0.00	50.19 216.57
Lowman (Tombigbee) Total :									3,745.79	11,584	0.58	1.01	5.07	20.25	15.29	0.00	35.54 153.39
Total for Alabama Electric Coop, Inc.:									3,745.79	11,584	0.58	1.01	5.07	20.25	15.29	0.00	35.54 153.39

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information				Purchase Information																
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu			
Plant Operator: Alabama Power Co. Plant: Barry (ALAP); Bucks, AL; Transp: BG																				
1999																				
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 12/99	293.89	11,810	0.56	0.96	3.52	21.05	12.61	10.00	43.66	184.85		
									1999 Total for CONTRACT:		293.89	11,810	0.56	0.96	3.52	21.05	12.61	10.00	43.66	184.85
									Barry (ALAP) Total for 1999 :		293.89	11,810	0.56	0.96	3.52	21.05	12.61	10.00	43.66	184.85
2000																				
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 05/00	709.21	11,981	0.50	0.84	4.11	21.41	13.02	3.37	37.80	157.74		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 07/00	161.74	11,747	0.64	1.10	4.84	19.70	13.43	8.11	41.24	175.52		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 11/00	386.36	11,645	0.78	1.33	5.12	19.73	13.67	-20.00	13.40	57.55		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 04/01	460.70	11,740	0.78	1.32	5.39	21.36	13.75	16.73	51.84	220.79		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 12/01	77.27	11,756	0.78	1.33	5.08	33.71	13.71	0.00	47.42	201.70		
									2000 Total for CONTRACT:		1,795.28	11,816	0.66	1.11	4.76	21.42	13.41	2.05	36.88	156.04
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	893.78	11,746	0.58	1.00	4.48	21.48	13.39	0.54	35.41	150.72		
									2000 Total for SPOT:		893.78	11,746	0.58	1.00	4.48	21.48	13.39	0.54	35.41	150.72
									Barry (ALAP) Total for 2000 :		2,689.06	11,793	0.63	1.07	4.67	21.43	13.41	1.55	36.39	154.28
2001																				
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 04/01	332.52	11,751	0.75	1.27	5.18	33.68	16.22	-12.79	37.11	157.89		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 12/01	2,385.92	11,702	0.69	1.18	5.60	30.97	15.85	-12.45	34.37	146.87		
									2001 Total for CONTRACT:		2,718.44	11,708	0.70	1.19	5.55	31.30	15.90	-12.49	34.71	148.23
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	400.28	11,782	0.74	1.25	5.08	38.13	16.17	-12.80	41.50	176.12		
									2001 Total for SPOT:		400.28	11,782	0.74	1.25	5.08	38.13	16.17	-12.80	41.50	176.12
									Barry (ALAP) Total for 2001 :		3,118.72	11,718	0.70	1.20	5.49	32.18	15.93	-12.53	35.58	151.83
2002																				
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 06/02	691.90	11,823	0.56	0.96	4.89	26.21	15.78	-12.37	29.62	125.28		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 12/04	446.18	11,590	0.51	0.89	4.38	33.17	16.40	-13.02	36.55	157.68		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C UNKN	1,384.00	11,564	0.61	1.05	4.83	31.60	16.12	-12.77	34.95	151.10		
									2002 Total for CONTRACT:		2,522.08	11,639	0.58	0.99	4.76	30.39	16.08	-12.70	33.77	145.06

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information															
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu			
									Barry (ALAP) Total for 2002 :	2,522.08	11,639	0.58	0.99	4.76	30.39	16.08	-12.70	33.77	145.06	
									***2003 ***											
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 12/04	3,525.72	11,649	0.49	0.84	4.46	31.90	15.74	-12.33	35.31	151.55		
									2003 Total for CONTRACT:	3,525.72	11,649	0.49	0.84	31.90	15.74	-12.33	35.31	151.55		
									Barry (ALAP) Total for 2003 :	3,525.72	11,649	0.49	0.84	31.90	15.74	-12.33	35.31	151.55		
									***2004 ***											
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C 12/04	3,204.69	11,573	0.51	0.88	5.24	31.31	16.47	-12.00	35.78	154.59		
									2004 Total for CONTRACT:	3,204.69	11,573	0.51	0.88	31.31	16.47	-12.00	35.78	154.59		
									Barry (ALAP) Total for 2004 :	3,204.69	11,573	0.51	0.88	31.31	16.47	-12.00	35.78	154.59		
									***2005 ***											
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C UNKN	4,067.40	11,503	0.55	0.95	5.53	29.06	18.67	0.00	47.73	207.45		
									2005 Total for CONTRACT:	4,067.40	11,503	0.55	0.95	29.06	18.67	0.00	47.73	207.45		
									Barry (ALAP) Total for 2005 :	4,067.40	11,503	0.55	0.95	29.06	18.67	0.00	47.73	207.45		
									Barry (ALAP) Total :	19,421.56	11,638	0.57	0.98	5.03	29.45	16.18	-7.52	38.11	163.72	
									Plant Operator: Alabama Power Co. Plant: Gaston (ALAP); Wilsonville, AL; Transp: NS:CSX											
									***2003 ***											
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C UNKN	722.19	11,642	0.56	0.96	4.69	29.94	19.89	-12.14	37.69	161.87		
									2003 Total for CONTRACT:	722.19	11,642	0.56	0.96	29.94	19.89	-12.14	37.69	161.87		
									Gaston (ALAP) Total for 2003 :	722.19	11,642	0.56	0.96	29.94	19.89	-12.14	37.69	161.87		
									Gaston (ALAP) Total :	722.19	11,642	0.56	0.96	29.94	19.89	-12.14	37.69	161.87		

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information													
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
Plant Operator: Alabama Power Co. Plant: Greene County (ALAP); Demopolis, AL; Transp: BG;(BNSF)																		
***2003 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	09/03	113.98	11,559	0.50	0.87	4.49	20.77	13.85	-1.73	32.89	142.27
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/03	10.07	11,764	0.51	0.87	4.08	20.65	13.78	-31.25	3.18	13.50
2003 Total for CONTRACT:									124.05	11,576	0.50	0.87	4.46	20.76	13.84	-4.12	30.48	131.65
Greene County (ALAP) Total for 2003 :									124.05	11,576	0.50	0.87	4.46	20.76	13.84	-4.12	30.48	131.65
***2004 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	10/04	38.73	11,645	0.56	0.96	5.24	32.72	13.63	-37.02	9.33	40.06
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/04	268.51	11,645	0.51	0.88	4.99	29.30	13.98	0.00	43.28	185.84
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	01/05	11.66	11,099	0.50	0.90	5.99	43.04	14.72	0.00	57.76	260.20
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	UNKN	132.75	11,562	0.51	0.87	5.39	32.59	13.94	0.00	46.53	201.21
2004 Total for CONTRACT:									451.65	11,606	0.51	0.88	5.15	30.91	13.96	-3.17	41.70	179.63
Greene County (ALAP) Total for 2004 :									451.65	11,606	0.51	0.88	5.15	30.91	13.96	-3.17	41.70	179.63
***2005 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	01/05	11.74	11,467	0.57	0.99	6.30	44.56	15.23	0.00	59.79	260.70
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	05/05	7.20	11,625	0.56	0.96	5.23	39.51	15.30	-49.25	5.56	23.90
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/06	5.59	11,026	0.83	1.51	7.87	85.50	20.00	-49.25	56.25	255.10
2005 Total for CONTRACT:									24.53	11,413	0.63	1.10	6.34	52.41	16.34	-25.68	43.07	188.67
Greene County (ALAP) Total for 2005 :									24.53	11,413	0.63	1.10	6.34	52.41	16.34	-25.68	43.07	188.67
Greene County (ALAP) Total :									600.23	11,592	0.51	0.89	5.06	29.69	14.03	-4.29	39.43	170.09
Total for Alabama Power Co.:									20,743.98	11,637	0.57	0.98	5.02	29.47	16.24	-7.58	38.13	163.84

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information													
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
Plant Operator: Gulf Power Co. Plant: Crist; Pensacola, FL; Transp: BG																		
***1996 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Peabody Coal Sales	Paso Diablo (B) Blended	S	TK	C	12/07	411.90	12,224	0.95	1.55	6.04	41.38	14.99	0.00	56.37 230.59	
									1996 Total for CONTRACT:	411.90	12,224	0.95	1.55	6.04	14.99	0.00	56.37 230.59	
									Crist Total for 1996 :	411.90	12,224	0.95	1.55	6.04	14.99	0.00	56.37 230.59	
***1998 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo	S	TK	S		112.39	12,602	0.81	1.28	6.74	18.67	18.43	0.00	37.10 147.19	
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Iberico	S	BG	S		179.85	12,548	0.57	0.91	4.57	25.58	11.95	0.00	37.53 149.56	
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Iberico	U	BG	S		13.27	12,576	0.58	0.92	4.60	25.44	11.89	0.00	37.33 148.40	
F	NA/Not	SSM Coal North	SSM Coal North	S S M Coal	S	TK	S		96.84	12,044	0.77	1.29	6.26	20.27	16.21	0.00	36.48 151.43	
F	NA/Not	SSM Coal North	SSM Coal North	S S M Coal	U	TK	S		31.43	12,056	0.79	1.31	6.30	20.73	16.19	0.00	36.92 153.10	
									1998 Total for SPOT:	433.78	12,415	0.69	1.12	5.64	22.25	14.88	0.00	37.13 149.55
									Crist Total for 1998 :	433.78	12,415	0.69	1.12	5.64	22.25	14.88	0.00	37.13 149.55
***1999 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo	S	TK	C	UNKN	48.00	12,663	0.67	1.06	6.60	19.31	17.95	0.00	37.26 147.13	
									1999 Total for CONTRACT:	48.00	12,663	0.67	1.06	6.60	17.95	0.00	37.26 147.13	
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		0.70	12,663	0.67	1.06	6.60	19.19	18.12	0.00	37.31 147.30	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo	S	TK	S		194.58	12,649	0.67	1.06	6.61	19.23	17.90	0.00	37.13 146.78	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		5.20	11,745	0.39	0.66	3.80	17.98	13.94	0.00	31.92 135.90	
									1999 Total for SPOT:	200.48	12,626	0.66	1.05	6.53	19.20	17.80	0.00	37.00 146.52
									Crist Total for 1999 :	248.48	12,633	0.67	1.05	6.55	19.23	17.82	0.00	37.05 146.64
***2000 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		36.20	11,745	0.39	0.66	3.80	27.34	16.64	-11.98	32.00 136.24	
									2000 Total for SPOT:	36.20	11,745	0.39	0.66	3.80	27.34	16.64	-11.98	32.00 136.24
									Crist Total for 2000 :	36.20	11,745	0.39	0.66	3.80	27.34	16.64	-11.98	32.00 136.24
***2001 ***																		

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record Type	Supplier Information				Purchase Information															
	SU/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu			
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	02/07	25.70	12,123	0.58	0.96	10.30	33.67	17.15	-12.41	38.41	158.43		
									2001 Total for CONTRACT:		25.70	12,123	0.58	0.96	10.30	33.67	17.15	-12.41	38.41	158.43
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		165.50	11,778	0.67	1.14	5.36	38.45	17.23	-12.48	43.20	183.40		
									2001 Total for SPOT:		165.50	11,778	0.67	1.14	5.36	38.45	17.23	-12.48	43.20	183.40
									Crist Total for 2001 :		191.20	11,824	0.66	1.12	6.02	37.81	17.22	-12.47	42.56	179.96
***2002 ***																				
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/07	185.50	11,839	0.58	0.98	5.34	32.74	17.44	-12.67	37.51	158.43		
F	NA/Not	Xstrata Coal Australia	Theiss Pty. Ltd.	Mount Owen	U	UNKN	C	12/07	322.10	12,146	0.56	0.93	9.91	N/A	N/A	N/A	38.68	159.22		
									2002 Total for CONTRACT:		507.60	12,033	0.57	0.95	8.24	36.51	6.37	-4.63	38.25	158.94
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		83.10	11,860	0.72	1.22	5.13	34.47	17.14	-12.41	39.20	165.25		
									2002 Total for SPOT:		83.10	11,860	0.72	1.22	5.13	34.47	17.14	-12.41	39.20	165.25
									Crist Total for 2002 :		590.70	12,009	0.59	0.98	7.81	36.21	7.89	-5.72	38.38	159.81
***2003 ***																				
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/07	308.00	11,767	0.54	0.92	4.38	30.96	17.43	-12.58	35.81	152.17		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	UNKN	37.00	11,771	0.50	0.85	4.00	31.62	16.89	-12.00	36.51	155.10		
F	NA/Not	Xstrata Coal Australia	Theiss Pty. Ltd.	Mount Owen	U	UNKN	C	12/07	15.00	12,173	0.53	0.87	10.18	N/A	N/A	N/A	38.90	159.76		
									2003 Total for CONTRACT:		360.00	11,784	0.53	0.91	4.58	31.36	16.65	-12.00	36.01	152.79
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		1,590.00	11,753	0.50	0.85	4.32	31.51	17.16	-12.27	36.40	154.85		
									2003 Total for SPOT:		1,590.00	11,753	0.50	0.85	4.32	31.51	17.16	-12.27	36.40	154.85
									Crist Total for 2003 :		1,950.00	11,759	0.50	0.86	4.37	31.49	17.06	-12.22	36.33	154.47
***2004 ***																				
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	UNKN	25.00	11,785	0.56	0.95	4.84	31.82	17.01	-12.00	36.83	156.25		
									2004 Total for CONTRACT:		25.00	11,785	0.56	0.95	4.84	31.82	17.01	-12.00	36.83	156.25
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	Central Cerrejon	S	RR	S		250.00	11,672	0.65	1.12	7.96	35.56	22.74	0.00	58.30	249.76		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		1,204.40	11,681	0.49	0.84	5.08	28.04	17.70	-0.98	44.76	191.59		
									2004 Total for SPOT:		1,454.40	11,680	0.52	0.88	5.58	29.33	18.57	-0.81	47.09	201.59
									Crist Total for 2004 :		1,479.40	11,681	0.52	0.89	5.56	29.38	18.54	-1.00	46.92	200.81

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record		Supplier Information				Purchase Information												
Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
***2005 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	Central Carrejon	S	RR	S		56.00	11,907	0.58	0.97	7.63	30.79	28.84	0.00	59.63	250.37
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		1,514.00	11,609	0.54	0.94	5.62	32.20	20.84	0.00	53.04	228.43
F	NA/Not	Glencore International	Glencore	Russian/Glencore	U	UNKN	S		74.00	11,824	0.45	0.76	9.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	63.72	269.46
2005 Total for SPOT:									1,644.00	11,629	0.54	0.93	5.84	33.56	20.18	0.00	53.74	231.07
Crist Total for 2005 :									1,644.00	11,629	0.54	0.93	5.84	33.56	20.18	0.00	53.74	231.07
Crist Total :									6,985.66	11,834	0.57	0.96	5.56	31.65	17.11	-4.51	44.25	186.95
Plant Operator: Gulf Power Co. Plant: Lansing Smith (GUPC); Lynn Haven, FL; Transp: BG																		
***1996 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Peabody Coal Sales	Paso Diablo (B) Blended	S	TK	C	12/07	184.50	12,171	0.99	1.62	6.03	41.31	15.82	0.00	57.13	234.70
1996 Total for CONTRACT:									184.50	12,171	0.99	1.62	6.03	41.31	15.82	0.00	57.13	234.70
Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 1996 :									184.50	12,171	0.99	1.62	6.03	41.31	15.82	0.00	57.13	234.70
***1999 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		3.40	11,890	0.55	0.93	3.70	18.10	12.67	0.00	30.77	129.40
1999 Total for SPOT:									3.40	11,890	0.55	0.93	3.70	18.10	12.67	0.00	30.77	129.40
Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 1999 :									3.40	11,890	0.55	0.93	3.70	18.10	12.67	0.00	30.77	129.40
***2000 ***																		
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		39.90	13,155	0.64	0.97	4.90	17.75	19.43	0.00	37.18	141.30
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		103.90	11,850	0.67	1.14	4.56	21.61	13.00	0.00	34.61	146.02
2000 Total for SPOT:									143.80	12,212	0.66	1.09	4.65	20.54	14.78	0.00	35.32	144.61
Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 2000 :									143.80	12,212	0.66	1.09	4.65	20.54	14.78	0.00	35.32	144.61
***2001 ***																		
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		327.40	12,670	0.72	1.14	7.13	22.23	19.37	0.00	41.60	164.15
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		259.60	11,839	0.73	1.23	5.48	29.20	13.22	0.00	42.42	179.16
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore	S	BG	S		78.60	12,441	0.51	0.82	8.30	43.41	7.27	0.00	50.68	203.70

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record Type	Supplier Information				Purchase Information													
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
2001 Total for SPOT:									665.60	12,319	0.70	1.14	6.63	27.45	15.54	0.00	42.99	174.49
Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 2001 :									665.60	12,319	0.70	1.14	6.63	27.45	15.54	0.00	42.99	174.49
***2002 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/07	157.10	11,862	0.67	1.13	4.50	22.96	15.94	0.00	38.90	163.97
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	UNKN	18.90	11,747	0.54	0.92	4.70	23.15	17.59	0.00	40.74	173.40
F	NA/Not	Xstrata Coal Australia	Theiss Pty. Ltd.	Mount Owen	U	UNKN	C	12/07	113.00	12,165	0.54	0.88	9.97	N/A	N/A	N/A	40.05	164.63
F	NA/Not	Xstrata Coal Australia	Theiss Pty. Ltd.	Mount Owen	U	UNKN	C	UNKN	64.00	12,087	0.59	0.97	9.73	N/A	N/A	N/A	39.55	163.60
2002 Total for CONTRACT:									353.00	11,994	0.61	1.01	7.21	31.45	8.04	0.00	39.49	164.61
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		1.60	12,615	0.73	1.16	7.30	21.23	18.89	0.00	40.12	159.00
F	NA/Not	Carbones del Caribe	ICF Americas, Ltd.	Carbones Del Caribe *	S	UNKN	S		72.30	12,262	0.72	1.17	7.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.63	202.38
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		146.20	11,852	0.73	1.24	4.80	24.25	15.59	0.00	39.84	168.06
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Ibirico	S	BG	S		76.10	12,611	0.74	1.17	6.21	38.53	12.75	0.00	51.28	203.30
2002 Total for SPOT:									296.20	12,151	0.73	1.20	5.91	34.10	11.07	0.00	45.17	185.86
Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 2002 :									649.20	12,066	0.66	1.10	6.61	32.66	9.42	0.00	42.08	174.37
***2003 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/07	4.00	11,771	0.50	0.85	4.00	19.38	18.15	0.00	37.53	159.40
F	NA/Not	Xstrata Coal Australia	Theiss Pty. Ltd.	Mount Owen	U	UNKN	C	12/07	103.00	12,173	0.53	0.87	10.30	N/A	N/A	N/A	40.13	164.85
2003 Total for CONTRACT:									107.00	12,158	0.53	0.87	10.06	39.36	0.68	0.00	40.04	164.65
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		124.40	11,660	0.48	0.83	4.49	18.68	18.16	0.00	36.84	157.99
2003 Total for SPOT:									124.40	11,660	0.48	0.83	4.49	18.68	18.16	0.00	36.84	157.99
Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 2003 :									231.40	11,890	0.50	0.85	7.06	28.24	10.08	0.00	38.32	161.14
***2004 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	UNKN	12.00	11,771	0.50	0.85	4.10	19.37	18.16	0.00	37.53	159.40
2004 Total for CONTRACT:									12.00	11,771	0.50	0.85	4.10	19.37	18.16	0.00	37.53	159.40
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	Central Cerrejon	S	RR	S		14.00	12,030	0.51	0.85	4.60	38.35	22.81	0.00	61.16	254.20
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		149.00	11,821	0.49	0.83	4.75	26.17	18.26	0.00	44.43	187.93
2004 Total for SPOT:									163.00	11,839	0.49	0.83	4.74	27.22	18.65	0.00	45.87	193.71

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information																			
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu							
					Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 2004 :										175.00	11,835	0.49	0.83	4.70	26.68	18.62	0.00	45.30	191.37
					2005																			
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	Central Carrejon	S	RR		C UNKN	13.00	11,951	0.57	0.95	7.50	36.14	25.10	0.00	61.24	256.20						
					2005 Total for CONTRACT:										13.00	11,951	0.57	0.95	7.50	36.14	25.10	0.00	61.24	256.20
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	Central Carrejon	S	RR		S	6.00	11,951	0.57	0.95	7.50	35.86	25.50	0.00	61.36	256.70						
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	141.00	11,520	0.59	1.02	5.97	32.27	22.98	0.00	55.25	239.81						
F	NA/Not	Xstrata Coal Australia	Theiss Pty. Ltd.	Mount Owen	U	UNKN		S	100.00	11,729	0.50	0.85	5.20	N/A	N/A	N/A	60.24	256.78						
					2005 Total for SPOT:										247.00	11,615	0.55	0.95	5.69	43.69	13.73	0.00	57.42	247.17
					Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total for 2005 :										260.00	11,632	0.55	0.95	5.78	43.31	14.30	0.00	57.61	247.63
					Lansing Smith (GUPC) Total :										2,312.90	12,072	0.66	1.09	6.25	31.38	13.34	0.00	44.72	185.21
					Plant Operator: Gulf Power Co. Plant: Scholz; Sneads, FL; Transp: CSX																			
					1999																			
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	58.40	11,881	0.55	0.93	3.67	23.06	14.08	0.00	37.14	156.29						
					1999 Total for SPOT:										58.40	11,881	0.55	0.93	3.67	23.06	14.08	0.00	37.14	156.29
					Scholz Total for 1999 :										58.40	11,881	0.55	0.93	3.67	23.06	14.08	0.00	37.14	156.29
					Scholz Total :										58.40	11,881	0.55	0.93	3.67	23.06	14.08	0.00	37.14	156.29
					Total for Gulf Power Co.:										9,356.96	11,893	0.59	0.99	5.72	31.53	16.16	-3.37	44.32	186.33

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information				Purchase Information																					
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu								
Plant Operator: Jacksonville Electric Authority Plant: Northside; Jacksonville, FL; Transp: BG																									
***2003 ***																									
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	S		12.60	11,875	0.59	0.99	5.95	24.67	17.82	0.00	42.49 178.90								
									2003 Total for SPOT:	12.60	11,875	0.59	0.99	5.95	24.67	17.82	0.00 42.49 178.90								
									Northside Total for 2003 :	12.60	11,875	0.59	0.99	5.95	24.67	17.82	0.00 42.49 178.90								
***2005 ***																									
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	S		7.80	11,262	0.76	1.35	9.16	37.99	23.25	0.00	61.24 271.90								
									2005 Total for SPOT:	7.80	11,262	0.76	1.35	37.99	23.25	0.00 61.24 271.90									
									Northside Total for 2005 :	7.80	11,262	0.76	1.35	37.99	23.25	0.00 61.24 271.90									
Northside Total :																		20.40	11,641	0.66	1.12	7.18	29.76	19.90	0.00 49.66 213.30
Plant Operator: Jacksonville Electric Authority Plant: St. Johns River Power; Jacksonville, FL; Transp: CSX:BG																									
***1996 ***																									
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/02	1,417.22	11,810	0.66	1.12	7.71	22.02	14.39	0.00	36.41 154.15								
									1996 Total for CONTRACT:	1,417.22	11,810	0.66	1.12	22.02	14.39	0.00 36.41 154.15									
									St. Johns River Power Total for 1996 :	1,417.22	11,810	0.66	1.12	22.02	14.39	0.00 36.41 154.15									
***1997 ***																									
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/02	1,385.34	11,851	0.78	1.31	7.42	20.85	14.74	0.00	35.59 150.14								
									1997 Total for CONTRACT:	1,385.34	11,851	0.78	1.31	20.85	14.74	0.00 35.59 150.14									
									St. Johns River Power Total for 1997 :	1,385.34	11,851	0.78	1.31	20.85	14.74	0.00 35.59 150.14									
***1998 ***																									
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/02	1,465.81	11,802	0.67	1.13	7.05	19.77	14.80	0.00	34.57 146.47								
									1998 Total for CONTRACT:	1,465.81	11,802	0.67	1.13	19.77	14.80	0.00 34.57 146.47									
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		62.39	11,654	0.42	0.72	3.40	20.25	9.00	0.00	29.25 125.50								
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore Ltd	U	BG	S		59.71	12,450	0.70	1.12	5.10	23.99	9.00	0.00	32.99 132.50								

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record		Supplier Information				Purchase Information												
Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
1998 Total for SPOT:									122.10	12,043	0.56	0.92	4.23	22.08	9.00	0.00	31.08	129.04
St. Johns River Power Total for 1998 :									1,587.91	11,821	0.66	1.11	6.84	19.94	14.36	0.00	34.30	145.10
***1999 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	El Carrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/02	1,211.70	11,803	0.66	1.11	7.11	20.04	14.80	0.00	34.84	147.58
1999 Total for CONTRACT:									1,211.70	11,803	0.66	1.11	7.11	20.04	14.80	0.00	34.84	147.58
F	SW/Not	Namoi Mining Pty.,	Namoi Mining Pty.,	Gunnedah	S	BG	S		62.91	11,506	0.67	1.16	11.80	14.58	14.00	0.00	28.58	124.20
1999 Total for SPOT:									62.91	11,506	0.67	1.16	11.80	14.58	14.00	0.00	28.58	124.20
St. Johns River Power Total for 1999 :									1,274.61	11,788	0.66	1.11	7.35	19.77	14.76	0.00	34.53	146.46
***2000 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare)	S	TK	C	03/01	95.36	12,371	0.81	1.31	8.75	16.13	16.75	0.00	32.88	132.90
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	El Carrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/02	503.84	11,828	0.65	1.10	7.20	20.00	15.36	0.00	35.36	149.46
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	El Carrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/05	765.42	11,782	0.67	1.13	7.93	19.39	15.67	0.00	35.06	148.80
2000 Total for CONTRACT:									1,364.62	11,840	0.67	1.13	7.72	19.39	15.63	0.00	35.02	147.88
F	NA/Not	Consorcio Minero	Consorcio Minero	La Jagua	S	BG	S		61.48	12,252	0.69	1.13	5.40	22.01	8.50	0.00	30.51	124.50
2000 Total for SPOT:									61.48	12,252	0.69	1.13	5.40	22.01	8.50	0.00	30.51	124.50
St. Johns River Power Total for 2000 :									1,426.10	11,858	0.67	1.13	7.62	19.50	15.32	0.00	34.82	146.84
***2001 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	El Carrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/01	120.43	11,807	0.60	1.02	7.31	26.78	15.40	0.00	42.18	178.65
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	El Carrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/05	1,410.64	11,804	0.61	1.04	7.95	20.71	15.66	0.00	36.37	154.08
F	NA/Not	Consorcio Minero	Consorcio Minero	La Jagua	S	BG	C	09/01	61.26	12,413	0.73	1.18	7.20	34.55	8.50	0.00	43.05	173.40
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	06/01	59.93	11,998	0.65	1.08	4.90	21.68	9.95	0.00	31.63	131.80
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	09/01	56.37	11,817	0.85	1.44	5.00	34.27	9.48	0.00	43.75	185.10
F	NA/Not	Glencore International	Duiker Mining LTD	Tselentis	S	RR	C	12/01	62.26	11,973	0.63	1.05	9.70	33.23	9.25	0.00	42.48	177.40
F	NA/Not	Keystone Coal, Inc.	Keystone Coal, Inc.	Caypa	S	UNKN	C	09/01	45.80	11,901	0.54	0.91	3.30	N/A	N/A	N/A	42.70	179.40
F	NA/Not	Weglokoks SA	Weglokoks SA	Weglokoks	U	UNKN	C	06/01	58.99	11,874	0.69	1.16	9.50	N/A	N/A	N/A	41.27	173.80
2001 Total for CONTRACT:									1,875.68	11,841	0.62	1.05	7.69	23.60	13.95	0.00	37.55	158.55
St. Johns River Power Total for 2001 :									1,875.68	11,841	0.62	1.05	7.69	23.60	13.95	0.00	37.55	158.55

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record Type	Supplier Information				Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Purchase Information												
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine			S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu		
2002																			
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/05	171.07	11,801	0.58	0.99	8.19	17.26	18.21	0.00	35.47	150.30	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/08	1,158.29	11,803	0.58	0.98	7.54	16.89	18.46	0.00	35.35	149.73	
									2002 Total for CONTRACT:	1,329.36	11,803	0.58	0.98	7.62	16.93	18.43	0.00	35.36	149.81
									St. Johns River Power Total for 2002 :	1,329.36	11,803	0.58	0.98	7.62	16.93	18.43	0.00	35.36	149.81
2003																			
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/08	1,848.72	11,823	0.63	1.06	7.38	17.25	18.11	0.00	35.36	149.56	
									2003 Total for CONTRACT:	1,848.72	11,823	0.63	1.06	7.38	17.25	18.11	0.00	35.36	149.56
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		118.51	12,967	0.65	1.00	5.65	19.35	16.80	0.00	36.15	139.40	
									2003 Total for SPOT:	118.51	12,967	0.65	1.00	5.65	19.35	16.80	0.00	36.15	139.40
									St. Johns River Power Total for 2003 :	1,967.23	11,891	0.63	1.06	7.27	17.38	18.03	0.00	35.41	148.89
2004																			
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/08	2,028.89	11,800	0.63	1.06	7.38	22.19	18.96	0.00	41.15	174.35	
									2004 Total for CONTRACT:	2,028.89	11,800	0.63	1.06	7.38	22.19	18.96	0.00	41.15	174.35
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		59.33	12,914	0.79	1.22	6.35	18.97	17.24	0.00	36.21	140.20	
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		59.10	12,864	0.69	1.07	6.61	18.40	17.44	0.00	35.84	139.30	
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		47.06	12,965	0.68	1.05	6.48	61.22	19.53	0.00	80.75	311.40	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		5.36	12,272	0.92	1.50	10.72	21.25	16.74	0.00	37.99	154.80	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		2.57	12,272	0.92	1.50	10.72	21.22	16.77	0.00	37.99	154.80	
									2004 Total for SPOT:	173.42	12,881	0.73	1.13	6.67	30.34	17.91	0.00	48.25	187.29
									St. Johns River Power Total for 2004 :	2,202.31	11,886	0.63	1.07	7.32	22.83	18.88	0.00	41.71	175.46
2005																			
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/08	1,703.16	11,341	0.67	1.18	9.06	19.15	21.55	0.00	40.70	179.44	
									2005 Total for CONTRACT:	1,703.16	11,341	0.67	1.18	9.06	19.15	21.55	0.00	40.70	179.44
									St. Johns River Power Total for 2005 :	1,703.16	11,341	0.67	1.18	9.06	19.15	21.55	0.00	40.70	179.44
									St. Johns River Power Total :	16,168.92	11,791	0.65	1.11	7.59	20.32	16.62	0.00	36.94	156.66

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format

Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

DOCKET NO. 060658
 PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
 EXHIBIT _____ (JNH-9)
 Page 14 of 29

Record		Supplier Information				Purchase Information												
Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
Total for Jacksonville Electric Authority:									16,189.32	11,791	0.65	1.11	7.59	20.34	16.62	0.00	36.96	156.73

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information												
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu
Plant Operator: Mississippi Power Co. Plant: Jack Watson; Gulfport, MS; Transp: BG																	
***1998 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo	S	TK		S	173.67	12,586	0.75	1.19	6.94	18.52	16.83	0.00	35.35 140.44
									1998 Total for SPOT:	173.67	12,586	0.75	1.19	6.94	18.52	16.83	0.00 35.35 140.44
									Jack Watson Total for 1998 :	173.67	12,586	0.75	1.19	6.94	18.52	16.83	0.00 35.35 140.44
***1999 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo	S	TK		S	15.56	12,165	0.75	1.23	7.60	17.93	16.74	0.00	34.67 142.50
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	590.33	11,728	0.43	0.73	3.99	20.21	13.34	0.00	33.55 143.05
									1999 Total for SPOT:	605.89	11,739	0.44	0.75	4.08	20.15	13.43	0.00 33.58 143.03
									Jack Watson Total for 1999 :	605.89	11,739	0.44	0.75	4.08	20.15	13.43	0.00 33.58 143.03
***2000 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK		C UNKN	365.39	11,485	0.67	1.17	7.15	14.40	18.63	0.00	33.03 143.78
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Coal	S	UNKN		C UNKN	55.08	11,349	0.40	0.71	4.74	N/A	N/A	N/A	33.25 146.50
									2000 Total for CONTRACT:	420.47	11,468	0.64	1.11	6.83	16.87	16.19	0.00 33.06 144.13
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Coal	S	UNKN		S	13.89	11,430	0.41	0.72	5.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	32.23 141.00
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	135.32	11,430	0.41	0.72	5.00	18.41	13.82	0.00	32.23 141.00
									2000 Total for SPOT:	149.21	11,430	0.41	0.72	5.00	19.70	12.53	0.00 32.23 141.00
									Jack Watson Total for 2000 :	569.68	11,458	0.58	1.01	6.35	17.61	15.23	0.00 32.84 143.31
***2001 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK		C 03/01	235.19	11,442	0.85	1.48	6.66	23.20	19.14	0.00	42.34 185.02
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK		C UNKN	582.19	11,559	0.64	1.10	7.97	17.23	18.78	0.00	36.01 155.77
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		C UNKN	762.75	11,410	0.65	1.15	6.20	20.89	13.57	0.00	34.46 150.99
									2001 Total for CONTRACT:	1,580.13	11,470	0.68	1.18	6.92	19.88	16.32	0.00 36.20 157.82
									Jack Watson Total for 2001 :	1,580.13	11,470	0.68	1.18	6.92	19.88	16.32	0.00 36.20 157.82
***2002 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK		C 12/03	575.18	11,283	0.63	1.12	8.80	14.85	20.74	0.00	35.59 157.71

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record Type	Supplier Information				Purchase Information														
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/03	388.05	11,332	0.48	0.84	4.75	18.97	14.84	0.00	33.81	149.20	
									2002 Total for CONTRACT:	963.23	11,303	0.57	1.01	7.17	16.51	18.36	0.00	34.87	154.27
									Jack Watson Total for 2002 :	963.23	11,303	0.57	1.01	7.17	16.51	18.36	0.00	34.87	154.27
***2003 ***																			
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/03	505.41	11,376	0.62	1.10	7.72	17.00	19.38	0.00	36.38	159.88	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	06/03	75.96	11,446	0.48	0.84	4.10	21.36	14.69	0.00	36.05	157.50	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/03	845.33	11,565	0.46	0.80	4.38	20.40	14.65	0.00	35.05	151.53	
									2003 Total for CONTRACT:	1,426.70	11,492	0.52	0.91	5.55	19.24	16.33	0.00	35.57	154.78
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		26.10	13,046	0.66	1.01	5.20	20.55	19.61	0.00	40.16	153.90	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	S		44.08	11,607	0.61	1.05	6.60	12.67	20.64	0.00	33.31	143.50	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		22.28	11,249	0.48	0.85	4.10	20.66	14.62	0.00	35.28	156.80	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		84.39	11,490	0.51	0.89	4.09	20.67	14.62	0.00	35.29	153.56	
									2003 Total for SPOT:	176.85	11,718	0.55	0.94	4.88	18.65	16.86	0.00	35.51	151.52
									Jack Watson Total for 2003 :	1,603.55	11,517	0.53	0.91	5.47	19.18	16.39	0.00	35.57	154.41
***2004 ***																			
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	BG	C	12/03	348.64	11,272	0.66	1.17	9.24	20.71	15.05	0.00	35.76	158.62	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	BG	C	06/05	63.71	11,018	0.65	1.17	10.01	18.53	16.70	0.00	35.23	159.88	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/04	1,016.20	11,396	0.51	0.89	5.53	19.81	15.71	0.00	35.52	155.85	
									2004 Total for CONTRACT:	1,428.55	11,349	0.55	0.97	6.64	19.97	15.60	0.00	35.57	156.70
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	S		104.04	11,701	0.67	1.14	8.60	36.17	21.81	0.00	57.98	247.77	
									2004 Total for SPOT:	104.04	11,701	0.67	1.14	8.60	36.17	21.81	0.00	57.98	247.77
									Jack Watson Total for 2004 :	1,532.59	11,373	0.56	0.98	6.77	21.07	16.02	0.00	37.09	163.06
***2005 ***																			
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	BG	C	06/05	38.21	11,332	0.69	1.21	9.88	19.63	17.24	0.00	36.87	162.68	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/04	142.55	11,364	0.57	1.00	6.35	19.06	17.03	0.00	36.09	158.78	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/05	811.63	11,237	0.59	1.05	6.52	33.53	17.57	0.00	51.10	227.36	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	UNKN	517.53	11,259	0.53	0.94	6.37	34.36	20.06	-17.24	37.18	165.12	
									2005 Total for CONTRACT:	1,509.92	11,259	0.57	1.01	6.53	32.10	18.36	-5.91	44.55	197.84
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	BG	S		126.59	11,364	0.71	1.26	9.53	50.78	17.07	0.00	67.85	298.52	

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information										Purchase Information								
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		27.72	11,164	0.59	1.06	6.40	38.74	17.66	0.00	56.40	252.60
									2005 Total for SPOT:	154.31	11,328	0.69	1.22	48.62	17.17	0.00	65.79	290.39
									Jack Watson Total for 2005 :	1,664.23	11,265	0.58	1.03	33.63	18.25	-5.36	46.52	206.47
									Jack Watson Total :	8,692.97	11,444	0.58	1.01	22.07	16.61	-1.03	37.65	164.49
Plant Operator: Mississippi Power Co. Plant: Victor J. Daniel; Escatawpa, MS; Transp: MSE																		
***1999 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		110.97	11,545	0.41	0.71	5.08	20.20	16.70	0.00	36.90	159.80
									1999 Total for SPOT:	110.97	11,545	0.41	0.71	20.20	16.70	0.00	36.90	159.80
									Victor J. Daniel Total for 1999 :	110.97	11,545	0.41	0.71	20.20	16.70	0.00	36.90	159.80
***2000 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Coal	S	UNKN	C	05/00	151.34	11,771	0.57	0.97	4.84	N/A	N/A	N/A	39.82	169.15
									2000 Total for CONTRACT:	151.34	11,771	0.57	0.97	39.82	N/A	N/A	39.82	169.15
									Victor J. Daniel Total for 2000 :	151.34	11,771	0.57	0.97	39.82	N/A	N/A	39.82	169.15
***2001 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/01	21.44	11,649	0.64	1.10	9.80	21.53	18.87	0.00	40.40	173.40
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	04/01	157.04	11,478	0.53	0.92	7.10	35.33	16.75	0.00	52.08	226.87
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	UNKN	71.21	11,276	0.55	0.98	7.20	36.13	16.72	0.00	52.85	234.35
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore	S	UNKN	C	06/01	10.70	11,612	0.32	0.55	11.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	53.00	228.20
									2001 Total for CONTRACT:	260.39	11,443	0.54	0.94	35.14	16.23	0.00	51.37	224.46
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore	S	UNKN	S		30.30	11,612	0.32	0.55	11.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	53.59	230.76
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore	S	UNKN	S		24.56	11,612	0.32	0.55	11.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	51.44	221.50
									2001 Total for SPOT:	54.86	11,612	0.32	0.55	52.63	N/A	N/A	52.63	226.61
									Victor J. Daniel Total for 2001 :	315.25	11,472	0.50	0.87	38.19	13.40	0.00	51.59	224.84
***2003 ***																		
F	NA/Not	ARCO Coal Co.	Guasare Coal	Guasare *	S	TK	S		32.54	13,046	0.66	1.01	5.20	35.01	25.45	-17.75	42.71	163.70

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record Type	Supplier Information				Purchase Information													
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	S		32.66	11,743	0.61	1.04	5.80	36.14	20.42	-20.42	36.14	153.90
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	S		32.55	11,804	0.53	0.90	4.00	40.16	19.55	-19.55	40.16	170.10
2003 Total for SPOT:									97.75	12,197	0.60	0.98	5.00	37.11	21.80	-19.24	39.67	162.61
Victor J. Daniel Total for 2003 :									97.75	12,197	0.60	0.98	5.00	37.11	21.80	-19.24	39.67	162.61
***2004 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	C	12/04	44.75	11,563	0.66	1.14	8.10	39.92	20.85	-20.85	39.92	172.60
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/07	109.28	11,149	0.52	0.93	7.00	37.31	20.41	-19.55	38.17	171.20
2004 Total for CONTRACT:									154.03	11,269	0.56	0.99	7.32	38.07	20.54	-19.93	38.68	171.62
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	S		30.98	12,030	0.51	0.85	4.60	41.73	20.85	0.00	62.58	260.10
2004 Total for SPOT:									30.98	12,030	0.51	0.85	4.60	41.73	20.85	0.00	62.58	260.10
Victor J. Daniel Total for 2004 :									185.01	11,397	0.55	0.97	6.86	38.68	20.59	-16.59	42.68	187.26
***2005 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG	C	12/05	182.06	11,369	0.52	0.91	6.15	47.84	24.62	-10.00	62.46	274.70
2005 Total for CONTRACT:									182.06	11,369	0.52	0.91	6.15	47.84	24.62	-10.00	62.46	274.70
Victor J. Daniel Total for 2005 :									182.06	11,369	0.52	0.91	6.15	47.84	24.62	-10.00	62.46	274.70
Victor J. Daniel Total :									1,042.38	11,560	0.52	0.90	6.50	38.19	15.83	-6.50	47.52	205.52
Total for Mississippi Power Co.:									9,735.35	11,456	0.57	1.00	6.40	23.79	16.53	-1.61	38.71	168.93

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

DOCKET NO. 060658
PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
EXHIBIT _____ (JNH-9)
Page 19 of 29

Record Type	Supplier Information				Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Tons (000s)	Purchase Information									
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine					Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu		
Plant Operator: PSC of New Hampshire Plant: Merrimack; Bow, NH; Transp: BM																		
***1996 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Kaltim Prima Coal Co.	Kaltim Prima Coal	Pinang	S	BG	S	39.90	12,370	0.39	0.63	3.70	32.94	19.81	0.00	52.75	213.20	
								1996 Total for SPOT:	39.90	12,370	0.39	0.63	3.70	32.94	19.81	0.00	52.75	213.20
								Merrimack Total for 1996 :	39.90	12,370	0.39	0.63	3.70	32.94	19.81	0.00	52.75	213.20
***1997 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Kaltim Prima Coal Co.	Kaltim Prima Coal	Pinang	S	BG	S	40.77	12,300	0.49	0.80	4.50	27.58	19.34	0.00	46.92	190.73	
								1997 Total for SPOT:	40.77	12,300	0.49	0.80	4.50	27.58	19.34	0.00	46.92	190.73
								Merrimack Total for 1997 :	40.77	12,300	0.49	0.80	4.50	27.58	19.34	0.00	46.92	190.73
***2003 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	BG	S	14.06	12,858	0.63	0.98	6.80	37.35	14.00	0.00	51.35	199.70	
								2003 Total for SPOT:	14.06	12,858	0.63	0.98	6.80	37.35	14.00	0.00	51.35	199.70
								Merrimack Total for 2003 :	14.06	12,858	0.63	0.98	6.80	37.35	14.00	0.00	51.35	199.70
***2004 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	BG	S	105.20	12,968	0.73	1.13	6.21	40.32	15.17	12.79	68.28	263.24	
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S	42.65	13,269	1.58	2.38	6.65	34.86	21.90	0.00	56.76	213.88	
								2004 Total for SPOT:	147.85	13,055	0.98	1.50	6.34	38.74	17.11	9.10	64.95	248.77
								Merrimack Total for 2004 :	147.85	13,055	0.98	1.50	6.34	38.74	17.11	9.10	64.95	248.77
***2005 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	BG	S	133.83	13,090	0.68	1.05	5.73	24.50	16.19	40.00	80.69	308.22	
F	NA/Not	Glencore International	Glencore	Russian/Glencore	U	BG	S	44.31	11,857	0.35	0.59	8.90	52.80	15.33	0.00	68.13	287.30	
								2005 Total for SPOT:	178.14	12,784	0.60	0.94	6.52	31.55	15.97	30.05	77.57	303.39
								Merrimack Total for 2005 :	178.14	12,784	0.60	0.94	6.52	31.55	15.97	30.05	77.57	303.39
								Merrimack Total :	420.72	12,795	0.70	1.10	6.00	34.02	17.00	15.92	66.94	261.56

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information												
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu
Plant Operator: PSC of New Hampshire Plant: Schiller Station; Portsmouth, NH; Transp: BG:TK																	
***1996 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore	S	BG	S		24.98	12,402	0.67	1.08	5.70	30.82	9.34	0.00	40.16 161.90
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG	S		56.13	13,061	0.67	1.02	6.04	32.32	9.43	0.00	41.75 159.85
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Santander	U	TK	S		25.90	12,415	0.72	1.16	8.20	22.81	17.39	0.00	40.20 161.90
F	NA/Not	Sprague Energy Corp.	Sprague Energy	Oreganal	S	BG	S		7.34	11,375	0.62	1.09	5.60	27.36	9.50	0.00	36.86 162.00
1996 Total for SPOT:									114.35	12,662	0.68	1.07	6.43	29.52	11.22	0.00	40.74 160.87
Schiller Station Total for 1996 :									114.35	12,662	0.68	1.07	6.43	29.52	11.22	0.00	40.74 160.87
***1997 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		69.97	13,124	0.65	0.99	6.35	31.76	10.25	0.00	42.01 160.06
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	Glencore, Ltd.	San Jorge	U	BG	S		30.56	10,013	0.61	1.22	3.70	21.04	10.00	0.00	31.04 155.00
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG	S		69.26	13,224	0.67	1.01	5.50	34.19	9.31	0.00	43.50 164.47
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Santander	U	TK	S		36.38	12,404	0.62	1.00	8.60	23.03	17.33	0.00	40.36 162.70
F	NA/Not	[Not Reported]	[Operator Not	Maracaibo *	S	BG	S		58.16	11,583	0.75	1.30	6.68	26.50	9.98	0.00	36.48 157.47
1997 Total for SPOT:									264.33	12,352	0.67	1.08	6.20	28.80	10.89	0.00	39.69 160.65
Schiller Station Total for 1997 :									264.33	12,352	0.67	1.08	6.20	28.80	10.89	0.00	39.69 160.65
***1998 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		73.91	12,772	0.70	1.09	5.82	22.75	14.54	0.00	37.29 145.99
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		265.14	13,069	0.64	0.97	5.66	29.50	10.24	0.00	39.74 152.02
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Ibirico	S	BG	S		27.02	12,133	0.71	1.17	5.80	25.47	10.25	0.00	35.72 147.20
1998 Total for SPOT:									366.07	12,940	0.65	1.01	5.70	27.84	11.11	0.00	38.95 150.49
Schiller Station Total for 1998 :									366.07	12,940	0.65	1.01	5.70	27.84	11.11	0.00	38.95 150.49
***1999 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		69.56	12,267	0.77	1.26	8.74	17.54	14.51	0.00	32.05 130.63
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		357.71	13,174	0.66	1.00	5.18	28.29	10.22	0.00	38.51 146.14
1999 Total for SPOT:									427.27	13,027	0.68	1.04	5.76	26.53	10.92	0.00	37.45 143.76
Schiller Station Total for 1999 :									427.27	13,027	0.68	1.04	5.76	26.53	10.92	0.00	37.45 143.76

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

DOCKET NO. 060658
PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
EXHIBIT _____ (JNH-9)
Page 21 of 29

Record Type	Supplier Information					Purchase Information													
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu		
***2000 ***																			
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare)	S	TK	S		29.04	13,103	0.64	0.98	5.10	21.28	15.51	0.00	36.79	140.40	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		486.49	13,098	0.62	0.94	5.48	26.10	10.92	0.00	37.02	141.30	
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	UNKN	S		39.33	11,931	0.45	0.75	3.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	32.74	137.20	
									2000 Total for SPOT:	554.86	13,016	0.61	0.93	5.34	26.32	10.38	0.00	36.70	140.99
									Schiller Station Total for 2000 :	554.86	13,016	0.61	0.93	5.34	26.32	10.38	0.00	36.70	140.99
***2001 ***																			
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare)	S	TK	S		78.95	13,049	0.67	1.03	5.95	33.37	15.68	0.00	49.05	187.93	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	Cerrejon	El Cerrejon Norte	S	TK	S		123.35	11,683	0.65	1.11	8.33	13.97	19.12	0.00	33.09	141.61	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		279.29	13,232	0.63	0.95	5.53	34.42	11.24	0.00	45.66	172.54	
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Iberico	S	BG	S		82.49	12,042	0.73	1.21	7.80	33.07	10.10	0.00	43.17	179.25	
									2001 Total for SPOT:	564.08	12,694	0.65	1.03	6.53	29.60	13.42	0.00	43.02	169.46
									Schiller Station Total for 2001 :	564.08	12,694	0.65	1.03	6.53	29.60	13.42	0.00	43.02	169.46
***2002 ***																			
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare)	S	TK	S		84.83	12,621	0.66	1.05	6.02	25.31	15.92	0.00	41.23	163.36	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		278.30	13,319	0.66	0.99	4.63	31.21	11.36	0.00	42.57	159.81	
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Iberico	S	BG	S		42.41	12,441	0.73	1.17	6.40	34.55	9.84	0.00	44.39	178.40	
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Iberico	U	BG	S		85.89	12,688	0.68	1.07	5.66	27.74	10.45	0.00	38.19	150.52	
F	NA/Not	Vencemos Cavosa	Vencemos Cavosa	Fila Maestra	U	BG	S		38.64	12,196	0.90	1.48	5.90	22.63	11.45	0.00	34.08	139.70	
									2002 Total for SPOT:	530.07	12,953	0.69	1.06	5.25	29.34	11.83	0.00	41.17	158.94
									Schiller Station Total for 2002 :	530.07	12,953	0.69	1.06	5.25	29.34	11.83	0.00	41.17	158.94
***2003 ***																			
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare)	S	TK	S		124.28	13,053	0.62	0.95	5.53	14.52	21.43	0.00	35.95	137.70	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		324.45	13,216	1.19	1.80	5.50	24.45	16.69	0.00	41.14	155.65	
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Iberico	U	BG	S		249.52	12,488	0.66	1.05	6.12	20.03	16.89	0.00	36.92	147.81	
F	NA/Not	[Not Reported]	[Operator Not	Cucuta Coal *	U	BG	S		39.70	13,035	0.61	0.94	6.20	26.39	16.50	0.00	42.89	164.50	
									2003 Total for SPOT:	737.95	12,933	0.88	1.36	5.75	21.38	17.55	0.00	38.93	150.52
									Schiller Station Total for 2003 :	737.95	12,933	0.88	1.36	5.75	21.38	17.55	0.00	38.93	150.52

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record Type	Supplier Information				Purchase Information													
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
***2004 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		123.51	12,926	0.66	1.02	5.83	18.73	22.29	0.00	41.02	158.69
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		338.47	13,070	0.69	1.05	5.90	23.99	17.80	0.00	41.79	159.88
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Iberico	U	BG	S		30.06	12,370	0.73	1.18	6.00	67.28	17.36	0.00	84.64	342.10
F	NA/Not	[Not Reported]	[Operator Not	Cucuta Coal *	U	BG	S		15.86	12,708	0.71	1.12	8.30	53.48	18.80	0.00	72.28	284.40
F	NA/Not	[Not Reported]	[Operator Not	Russian Coal *	U	UNKN	S		75.50	12,146	0.59	0.97	9.32	N/A	N/A	N/A	57.76	237.75
2004 Total for SPOT:									583.40	12,874	0.67	1.04	6.40	30.28	16.45	0.00	46.73	181.50
Schiller Station Total for 2004 :									583.40	12,874	0.67	1.04	6.40	30.28	16.45	0.00	46.73	181.50
***2005 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		392.16	12,832	0.68	1.06	6.83	36.49	27.13	0.00	63.62	247.89
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		266.51	12,745	0.83	1.29	8.81	30.16	21.36	0.00	51.52	202.13
F	NA/Not	[Not Reported]	[Operator Not	Cucuta Coal *	U	BG	S		100.66	12,757	0.70	1.09	7.41	34.74	22.02	0.00	56.76	222.47
2005 Total for SPOT:									759.33	12,792	0.73	1.15	7.60	34.04	24.43	0.00	58.47	228.53
Schiller Station Total for 2005 :									759.33	12,792	0.73	1.15	7.60	34.04	24.43	0.00	58.47	228.53
Schiller Station Total :									4,901.71	12,859	0.70	1.09	6.14	28.29	15.01	0.00	43.30	168.37
Total for PSC of New Hampshire:									5,322.43	12,854	0.70	1.10	6.13	28.74	15.17	1.26	45.17	175.70

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information												
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu
Plant Operator: Savannah Electric & Power Co. Plant: McIntosh (SAEP); Rincon, GA; Transp: CSX:NS																	
***1999 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG		S	5.21	12,205	0.87	1.43	8.24	21.41	12.10	0.00	33.51 137.30
									1999 Total for SPOT:	5.21	12,205	0.87	1.43	8.24	21.41	12.10	0.00 33.51 137.30
									McIntosh (SAEP) Total for 1999 :	5.21	12,205	0.87	1.43	8.24	21.41	12.10	0.00 33.51 137.30
***2001 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG		S	5.59	12,627	0.61	0.97	7.00	24.79	10.64	0.00	35.43 140.30
									2001 Total for SPOT:	5.59	12,627	0.61	0.97	7.00	24.79	10.64	0.00 35.43 140.30
									McIntosh (SAEP) Total for 2001 :	5.59	12,627	0.61	0.97	7.00	24.79	10.64	0.00 35.43 140.30
***2004 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG		C UNKN	63.00	12,789	0.77	1.20	6.89	23.13	16.49	0.00	39.62 154.88
									2004 Total for CONTRACT:	63.00	12,789	0.77	1.20	6.89	23.13	16.49	0.00 39.62 154.88
									McIntosh (SAEP) Total for 2004 :	63.00	12,789	0.77	1.20	6.89	23.13	16.49	0.00 39.62 154.88
***2005 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG		C 12/06	35.80	11,545	0.55	0.95	6.70	30.84	23.19	0.00	54.03 234.00
									2005 Total for CONTRACT:	35.80	11,545	0.55	0.95	6.70	30.84	23.19	0.00 54.03 234.00
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG		S	351.60	11,918	0.55	0.92	6.85	32.80	20.48	0.00	53.28 223.53
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Ibirico	S	BG		S	6.90	12,468	0.62	0.99	5.94	79.03	23.26	0.00	102.29 410.20
									2005 Total for SPOT:	358.50	11,929	0.55	0.92	6.84	33.68	20.54	0.00 54.22 227.28
									McIntosh (SAEP) Total for 2005 :	394.30	11,894	0.55	0.93	6.82	33.43	20.78	0.00 54.21 227.87
									McIntosh (SAEP) Total :	468.10	12,027	0.58	0.97	6.85	31.81	19.98	0.00 51.79 215.30

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record Type	Supplier Information					Purchase Information												
	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
Plant Operator: Savannah Electric & Power Co. Plant: Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth); Port Wentworth, GA; Transp: NS-VESSEL																		
***1996 ***																		
F	NA/Not	TMT Coal Co.	TMT Coal Co.	TMT Coal Co.	S	BG	S		181.61	12,118	1.08	1.79	6.84	31.12	4.36	0.00	35.48 146.40	
									1996 Total for SPOT:	181.61	12,118	1.08	1.79	6.84	4.36	0.00	35.48 146.40	
									Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 1996 :	181.61	12,118	1.08	1.79	6.84	4.36	0.00	35.48 146.40	
***1997 ***																		
F	NA/Not	American Coal Co.,	American Coal Co.,	American Coal Inc	S	BG	S		13.79	12,143	1.21	1.99	13.10	20.01	6.98	-6.98	20.01 82.40	
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG	S		40.22	13,000	0.65	1.00	5.57	29.42	6.98	0.00	36.40 140.00	
F	NA/Not	TMT Coal Co.	TMT Coal Co.	TMT Coal Co.	S	BG	S		225.11	11,750	1.39	2.37	7.70	28.02	4.29	0.00	32.31 137.50	
									1997 Total for SPOT:	279.12	11,949	1.28	2.13	7.66	27.82	4.81	-0.34	32.29 135.13
									Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 1997 :	279.12	11,949	1.28	2.13	7.66	27.82	4.81	-0.34	32.29 135.13
***1998 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Cerrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		41.66	13,055	0.64	0.98	5.50	28.97	6.98	0.00	35.95 137.70	
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG	S		372.83	12,429	1.06	1.70	7.38	29.60	6.56	0.00	36.16 145.45	
									1998 Total for SPOT:	414.49	12,492	1.01	1.63	7.19	29.54	6.60	0.00	36.14 144.63
									Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 1998 :	414.49	12,492	1.01	1.63	7.19	29.54	6.60	0.00	36.14 144.63
***1999 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG	S		387.46	12,519	0.75	1.20	7.24	28.41	6.46	0.00	34.87 139.27	
									1999 Total for SPOT:	387.46	12,519	0.75	1.20	7.24	28.41	6.46	0.00	34.87 139.27
									Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 1999 :	387.46	12,519	0.75	1.20	7.24	28.41	6.46	0.00	34.87 139.27
***2000 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG	S		466.56	12,725	1.14	1.79	6.70	28.47	6.91	0.00	35.38 139.02	
									2000 Total for SPOT:	466.56	12,725	1.14	1.79	6.70	28.47	6.91	0.00	35.38 139.02
									Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 2000 :	466.56	12,725	1.14	1.79	6.70	28.47	6.91	0.00	35.38 139.02
***2001 ***																		

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information					Purchase Information												
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/ Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/ mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG		S	542.23	12,514	0.76	1.22	7.45	28.59	7.07	0.00	35.66 142.47
2001 Total for SPOT:									542.23	12,514	0.76	1.22	7.45	28.59	7.07	0.00	35.66 142.47
Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 2001 :									542.23	12,514	0.76	1.22	7.45	28.59	7.07	0.00	35.66 142.47
***2002 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG		S	321.88	12,590	0.70	1.11	7.36	33.01	7.15	0.00	40.16 159.49
2002 Total for SPOT:									321.88	12,590	0.70	1.11	7.36	33.01	7.15	0.00	40.16 159.49
Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 2002 :									321.88	12,590	0.70	1.11	7.36	33.01	7.15	0.00	40.16 159.49
***2003 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG		C UNKN	282.37	12,910	0.69	1.07	6.18	28.00	12.09	0.00	40.09 155.25
2003 Total for CONTRACT:									282.37	12,910	0.69	1.07	6.18	28.00	12.09	0.00	40.09 155.25
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	42.43	11,747	0.61	1.04	4.10	30.28	13.02	0.00	43.30 184.30
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG		S	177.23	12,828	0.65	1.01	5.52	25.67	12.52	0.00	38.19 148.84
2003 Total for SPOT:									219.66	12,619	0.64	1.02	5.25	26.55	12.62	0.00	39.17 155.22
Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 2003 :									502.03	12,783	0.67	1.05	5.77	27.37	12.32	0.00	39.69 155.24
***2004 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG		C UNKN	175.70	12,593	0.74	1.18	7.93	23.51	13.05	0.00	36.56 145.15
F	NA/Not	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal,	Inter-American Coal	S	BG		C UNKN	282.20	12,808	0.69	1.08	6.89	27.55	13.09	0.00	40.64 158.66
2004 Total for CONTRACT:									457.90	12,726	0.71	1.12	7.29	25.99	13.08	0.00	39.07 153.53
Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 2004 :									457.90	12,726	0.71	1.12	7.29	25.99	13.08	0.00	39.07 153.53
***2005 ***																	
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	Carrejon	Central Carrejon	S	RR		C 12/06	235.50	11,665	0.59	1.01	7.32	32.99	34.34	0.00	67.33 288.60
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG		C 09/05	107.30	12,614	0.74	1.17	8.71	18.29	16.59	0.00	34.88 138.27
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG		C 05/06	70.80	13,066	0.63	0.96	5.11	38.28	14.08	0.00	52.36 200.36
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG		C 12/07	106.20	13,073	0.67	1.03	6.05	28.65	18.83	0.00	47.48 181.60
2005 Total for CONTRACT:									519.80	12,339	0.64	1.04	7.05	29.79	24.75	0.00	54.54 220.99
F	NA/Not	Drummond Co., Inc	Drummond Co., Inc	Mina Pribbenow	S	BG		S	332.10	11,646	0.46	0.80	4.66	51.97	15.00	0.00	66.97 287.50
2005 Total for SPOT:									332.10	11,646	0.46	0.80	4.66	51.97	15.00	0.00	66.97 287.50

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Record		Supplier Information				Purchase Information												
Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	S/C	Expir. Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total for 2005 :									851.90	12,069	0.57	0.95	6.12	38.43	20.95	0.00	59.38	246.01
Plant Kraft (Port Wentworth) Total :									4,405.18	12,455	0.81	1.31	6.84	30.53	10.61	-0.02	41.12	165.06
Total for Savannah Electric & Power Co.:									4,873.28	12,414	0.79	1.28	6.84	30.65	11.51	-0.02	42.14	169.73

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

Supplier Information										Purchase Information								
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
Plant Operator: Tampa Electric Co. Plant: Big Bend; Tampa, FL; Transp: BG																		
***2005 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Ibirico	S	BG	S		146.80	12,318	0.70	1.13	6.20	60.24	14.07	0.00	74.31 301.62	
									2005 Total for SPOT:	146.80	12,318	0.70	1.13	6.20	14.07	0.00	74.31 301.62	
									Big Bend Total for 2005 :	146.80	12,318	0.70	1.13	6.20	14.07	0.00	74.31 301.62	
									Big Bend Total :	146.80	12,318	0.70	1.13	6.20	14.07	0.00	74.31 301.62	
Plant Operator: Tampa Electric Co. Plant: Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D; Davant, LA; Transp: BG																		
***1996 ***																		
F	NA/Not	P.T. Adaro of	P.T. Adaro	P T Adaro	S	BG	C	12/99	730.65	9,639	0.31	0.65	1.49	17.94	10.92	0.00	28.86 149.70	
									1996 Total for CONTRACT:	730.65	9,639	0.31	0.65	1.49	10.92	0.00	28.86 149.70	
F	NA/Not	P.T. Adaro of	P.T. Adaro	P T Adaro	S	BG	S		77.16	9,813	0.11	0.22	1.30	17.97	11.41	0.00	29.38 149.70	
									1996 Total for SPOT:	77.16	9,813	0.11	0.22	1.30	17.97	11.41	0.00	29.38 149.70
									Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 1996 :	807.81	9,655	0.29	0.61	1.48	10.96	0.00	28.91 149.70	
***1997 ***																		
F	NA/Not	P.T. Adaro of	P.T. Adaro	P T Adaro	S	BG	C	12/99	741.25	9,614	0.35	0.73	1.44	20.58	10.71	0.00	31.29 162.72	
									1997 Total for CONTRACT:	741.25	9,614	0.35	0.73	1.44	10.71	0.00	31.29 162.72	
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		58.64	12,953	1.47	2.27	3.50	20.67	13.06	0.00	33.73 130.20	
									1997 Total for SPOT:	58.64	12,953	1.47	2.27	3.50	13.06	0.00	33.73 130.20	
									Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 1997 :	799.89	9,859	0.43	0.88	1.59	10.88	0.00	31.47 159.59	
***1998 ***																		
F	NA/Not	P.T. Adaro of	P.T. Adaro	P T Adaro	S	BG	C	12/99	300.50	9,433	0.19	0.41	1.03	20.43	10.38	0.00	30.81 163.30	
									1998 Total for CONTRACT:	300.50	9,433	0.19	0.41	1.03	10.38	0.00	30.81 163.30	
F	NA/Not	P.T. Adaro of	P.T. Adaro	P T Adaro	S	BG	S		296.50	9,598	0.23	0.49	1.15	18.85	10.07	0.00	28.92 150.67	
									1998 Total for SPOT:	296.50	9,598	0.23	0.49	1.15	10.07	0.00	28.92 150.67	

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
 Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

		Supplier Information				Purchase Information												
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO2/mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 1998 :									597.00	9,515	0.21	0.45	1.09	19.65	10.22	0.00	29.87	156.97
***1999 ***																		
F	NA/Not	P.T. Adaro of	P.T. Adaro	P T Adaro	S	BG	C	12/99	73.48	9,349	0.28	0.60	1.10	20.29	10.24	0.00	30.53	163.30
1999 Total for CONTRACT:									73.48	9,349	0.28	0.60	1.10	20.29	10.24	0.00	30.53	163.30
F	NA/Not	P.T. Adaro of	P.T. Adaro	P T Adaro	S	BG	S		465.30	9,408	0.11	0.24	1.05	14.63	10.06	0.00	24.69	131.20
1999 Total for SPOT:									465.30	9,408	0.11	0.24	1.05	14.63	10.06	0.00	24.69	131.20
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 1999 :									538.78	9,400	0.14	0.29	1.06	15.40	10.08	0.00	25.48	135.56
***2000 ***																		
F	NA/Not	AMCI Export Corp.	AMCI Export Corp.	AMCI Export	U	UNKN	S		14.25	12,031	2.12	3.52	10.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.84	107.40
F	NA/Not	Carrejon Consortium	InterCor	Mina Norte	S	BG	S		40.17	13,275	0.61	0.92	5.20	33.74	8.00	0.00	41.74	157.20
2000 Total for SPOT:									54.42	12,949	1.01	1.55	6.69	31.66	5.91	0.00	37.57	145.08
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 2000 :									54.42	12,949	1.01	1.55	6.69	31.66	5.91	0.00	37.57	145.08
***2001 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Weglokoks SA	Weglokoks SA	Weglokoks	U	UNKN	C	UNKN	66.61	12,629	0.52	0.82	7.20	N/A	N/A	N/A	50.21	198.80
2001 Total for CONTRACT:									66.61	12,629	0.52	0.82	7.20	50.21	N/A	N/A	50.21	198.80
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		120.86	12,996	0.69	1.06	5.55	34.78	13.16	0.00	47.94	184.42
F	NA/Not	Exxon/Carbocol	InterCor	El Cerrejon Norte	U	UNKN	S		81.14	11,582	0.56	0.97	8.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	41.72	180.10
2001 Total for SPOT:									202.00	12,428	0.63	1.02	6.86	37.57	7.87	0.00	45.44	182.80
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 2001 :									268.61	12,478	0.61	0.97	6.94	40.70	5.92	0.00	46.62	186.82
***2002 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		290.49	12,971	0.69	1.06	5.83	31.45	13.30	0.00	44.75	172.49
2002 Total for SPOT:									290.49	12,971	0.69	1.06	5.83	31.45	13.30	0.00	44.75	172.49
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 2002 :									290.49	12,971	0.69	1.06	5.83	31.45	13.30	0.00	44.75	172.49
***2003 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		189.49	12,711	0.68	1.07	6.00	25.38	13.66	0.00	39.04	153.55
F	NA/Not	Unknown	Unknown	Venezuela Coal	X	UNKN	S		169.74	13,007	0.65	1.00	5.77	N/A	N/A	N/A	39.54	152.01

Plant Operator Coal Transactions - Annual Format
Transactions JAN 1996 Through DEC 2005

DOCKET NO. 060658
PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
EXHIBIT _____ (JNH-9)
Page 29 of 29

		Supplier Information					Purchase Information											
Record Type	St/County	Controlling Company	Operator	Source/Mine	Mine Type	Originating Transportation	Expir. S/C	Date	Tons (000s)	Btu/Lb	% Sulfur	LbSO ₂ /mmBtu	% Ash	FOB \$/Ton	T&H \$/Ton	Other \$/Ton	Delivered \$/Tn c/mmBtu	
2003 Total for SPOT:									359.23	12,851	0.67	1.04	5.89	32.07	7.21	0.00	39.28	152.81
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 2003 :									359.23	12,851	0.67	1.04	5.89	32.07	7.21	0.00	39.28	152.81
***2004 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		91.95	13,086	0.69	1.05	5.27	41.59	14.16	0.00	55.75	213.02
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Ibirico	S	BG	S		35.63	12,314	0.74	1.20	5.97	61.68	12.75	0.00	74.43	302.20
2004 Total for SPOT:									127.58	12,871	0.70	1.09	5.46	47.21	13.76	0.00	60.97	236.85
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 2004 :									127.58	12,871	0.70	1.09	5.46	47.21	13.76	0.00	60.97	236.85
***2005 ***																		
F	NA/Not	Carbones del	Carbones del Zulia	Paso Diablo (Guasare	S	TK	S		119.29	12,866	0.68	1.05	6.79	55.92	16.75	0.00	72.67	282.41
F	NA/Not	Glencore, Ltd.	PRODECO	La Jagua De Ibirico	S	BG	S		39.63	12,352	0.64	1.04	5.79	62.28	13.76	0.00	76.04	307.80
2005 Total for SPOT:									158.92	12,738	0.67	1.05	6.54	57.50	16.01	0.00	73.51	288.55
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total for 2005 :									158.92	12,738	0.67	1.05	6.54	57.50	16.01	0.00	73.51	288.55
Big Bend Transfer Facility @ D Total :									4,002.73	10,627	0.41	0.77	2.86	24.85	10.43	0.00	35.28	166.00
Total for Tampa Electric Co.:									4,149.53	10,687	0.42	0.78	2.98	26.10	10.56	0.00	36.66	171.53
Grand Total:									74,116.64	11,762	0.61	1.03	5.94	26.36	15.60	-2.67	39.29	167.01