

VOTE SHEET

March 13, 2007

Docket No. 060793-EI – Petition for approval of long-term fuel transportation contracts with Duke Energy Southeast Supply Header, LLC and CenterPoint Energy Southeastern Pipelines Holding, L.L.C. ("SESH Pipeline Contracts"), by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve the terms and conditions of Progress Energy’s long term fuel transportation contracts with Southeast Supply Header, LLC (an affiliate of Duke Energy Gas Transmission, LLC) and CenterPoint Energy Southeastern Pipelines Holding, LLC. (an affiliate of CenterPoint Energy, Inc)?

Recommendation: No. As a matter of policy, the Commission should not approve the terms and conditions of the long term fuel contracts between PEF and Southeast Supply Header, LLC (an affiliate of Duke Energy Gas Transmission, LLC) and CenterPoint Energy Southeastern Pipelines Holding, LLC. (an affiliate of CenterPoint Energy, Inc). PEF already has sufficient certainty concerning the regulatory treatment of these contracts.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of Katrina J. McMurrian and another commissioner under the MAJORITY column.

Four horizontal lines for signatures under the DISSENTING column.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02283 MAR 13 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet

March 13, 2007

Docket No. 060793-EI – Petition for approval of long-term fuel transportation contracts with Duke Energy Southeast Supply Header, LLC and CenterPoint Energy Southeastern Pipelines Holding, L.L.C. ("SESH Pipeline Contracts"), by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Are the costs associated with PEF's proposed participation in the Southeast Supply Header pipeline appropriate for recovery through the fuel cost-recovery clause beginning in 2008?

Recommendation: Yes. Costs associated with PEF's proposed participation in the Southeast Supply Header Pipeline project are appropriate for recovery through the fuel cost recovery clause. The Commission should allow PEF to charge the gas transportation costs associated with the pipeline to the clause when the pipeline begins providing service to PEF. The costs associated with this pipeline, like all gas transportation costs, will be subject to the annual cost review in the fuel clause proceeding and further review, subject to a finding that PEF has managed its contracts in a reasonable and prudent manner.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should close this docket upon issuance of a consummating order unless a person whose interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action.

APPROVED