#### **VOTE SHEET**

#### April 24, 2007

**Docket No. 060675-GU** – Petition for authority to implement phase two of experimental transitional transportation service pilot program and for approval of new tariff to reflect transportation service environment, by Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve Chesapeake's proposed tariffs to implement Phase Two of the company's experimental Transitional Transportation Service (TTS) Program? **Recommendation:** Yes.

### **APPROVED**

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission approve Chesapeake's proposed revision to its extension of distribution facilities policy?

**Recommendation:** No. The Commission should deny Chesapeake's proposed revision to its extension of distribution facilities policy. The revision to the policy unduly transfers the company's financial risk onto certain types of customers without showing the current policy is causing the company substantial financial hardship meriting the change.

## **APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

### **COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES**

<u>MAJORITY</u>	<b>DISSENTING</b>
Latrina J. McMurrian	
Line Co	
Matt A. P.	

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** 

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03476 APR 24 5

Vote Sheet April 24, 2007

Docket No. 060675-GU — Petition for authority to implement phase two of experimental transitional transportation service pilot program and for approval of new tariff to reflect transportation service environment, by Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should the Commission approve Chesapeake's revisions to its Area Extension Program?

<u>Recommendation:</u> Yes. The proposed Area Extension Program Rider more equitably distributes the costs to be recovered among the customers who are paying costs for extension of facilities.

# **APPROVED**

<u>Issue 4</u>: Should the Commission approve Chesapeake's proposed new Shipper of Last Resort Adjustment rate schedule?

Recommendation: Yes.

### APPROVED

<u>Issue 5</u>: Should the Commission approve Chesapeake's proposed optional fixed charge base rates, Energy Conservation Cost Recovery, Competitive Rate Adjustment (renamed Competitive Firm Transportation Service Adjustment), and any other future cost recovery surcharges for TTS program consumers using less than 10,000 therms annually?

Recommendation: Yes. The proposed experimental program would allow customers that use less than 10,000 therms annually the opportunity to enroll in an experimental fixed Firm Transportation Service (FTS) rate schedule for a one-year period. The experimental fixed rate program will provide consumers the opportunity to take service under a known fixed price for all of their regulated monthly charges. Since customers will choose the rate most advantageous to them, revenues may decline from customers whose usage exceeds the average. In order to track any intra-class cross subsidization, Chesapeake should file annual reports stating the cumulative number of customers by class who have elected to take service under the fixed charge option, and a comparison by rate schedule of the revenues received under the fixed charge option and what the revenues would have been had the customers taken service under the current standard rate.



Vote Sheet April 24, 2007

Docket No. 060675-GU – Petition for authority to implement phase two of experimental transitional transportation service pilot program and for approval of new tariff to reflect transportation service environment, by Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 6</u>: Should the Commission approve Chesapeake's proposed modifications to its shipper rules and regulations, including the proposed new Off-System Delivery Point Operator Service rate schedule? <u>Recommendation:</u> Yes.

## **APPROVED**

<u>Issue 7</u>: Should the Commission approve Chesapeake's other proposed changes related to retail service contained in its proposed tariff Volume No. 4?

Recommendation: Yes.

# **APPROVED**

Issue 8: Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** Yes. If Issues 1-7 are approved, this tariff should become effective on July 1, 2007. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this tariff should remain in effect, with any revenues held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

