State of Florida

ORIGINAL



Aublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

April 30, 2007

TO:

Kira Scott, Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel

FROM:

Lisa S. Harvey, Chief of Performance Analysis, Division of Competitive Markets &

Enforcement

RE:

Docket 070245-EI, Recommendation concerning a Progress Energy Florida (PEF) request for confidential classification on a portion of staff work papers prepared during "Review of Customer Deposit Procedures of Florida's Five Investor-Owned

Utilities". Document Numbered 02663-07.

On March 30, 2007 pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22-006, Florida Administrative Code, PEF filed a request that the Florida Public Service Commission enter an order protecting from public disclosure certain information provided during staff's review of company deposit procedures.

Specifically, PEF seeks to protect from public disclosure lists of personal account numbers used by the auditors during sampling of customer service operations. These account numbers appear in six pages of auditors' handwritten work papers identified as Document Number 2663-07.

PEF contends that customer account numbers are proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Section 366.093(3) of the Florida Statutes and that public disclosure would impair efforts of PEF to maintain credibility and favorable relations with account holders.

		PEF currently holds all account numbers as confidential and has not otherwise publicly disclosed
OMP		them.
20 1 A 1 A	170000000000000000000000000000000000000	name (Proc.)

	The information identified by PEF should be granted a confidential classification.	Disclosu	re of
of election	customers' personal account numbers would likely damage relations between the		
100 - ID - 47 -	account holders, reduce company credibility with customers, and potentially prov	ride acces	ss to
	sensitive personal information to unauthorized third parties. Potential for h	arm to	both
SCL	customers and PEF is clear.		

المه يعة الموردة التي	Further, any order resolving	g PEF's request	should provide	that once	e the o	order b	ecomes	final,	the
PCA									

SCR SCOTH Arguerite

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

03862 MAY-85