ORIGINAL

Timolyn Henry

From:

McPike, Jessica L [EQ] [Jessica.L.Mcpike@Embarq.com]

Sent:

Thursday, May 24, 2007 3:57 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Susan Masterton

Subject:

Docket No. 060767 Embarq's Request for Confidential Classification

Attachments: 060767 Cole Letter 5.24.2007.doc

Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton

Counsel

Embarq Florida, Inc. 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 Telephone: 850/599-1560

Email: susan.masterton@embarq.com

Docket No.

060767-TP

Title of filing:

Embarg's Request for Confidential Classification

Filed on behalf of:

Susan Masterton

No of pages:

7 pages

Description:

Embarg's Request for Confidential Classification

<<060767 Cole Letter 5.24.2007.doc>>

Jessica L. McPike Legal Specialist

Law & External Affairs-State External Affairs

EMBARQ Corporation

Voice: 850-599-1563 | Fax: 850-878-0777 | Email: jessica.l.mcpike@embarq.com

Voice | DOM Internet | Wireless | Entertainment

CTR ____

ECR ____

GCL /

OPC ____

RCA ____

SCR ____

SGA ____

SEC ___

OTH I camp Records

cmp_1

This confidentiality request was filed by or for a "telco" for DN <u>O3 45 3-07</u>. No ruling is required unless the material is subject to a request per 119.07, FS, or is admitted in the record per Rule 25-22.006(8)(b), FAC.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04299 MAY 24 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

5/24/2007

ORIGINAL



Embarq Corporation Mailstop: FLTLHO0102 1313 Blair Stone Rd. Tallahassee. FL 32301 EMBARQ.com

May 24, 2007

Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re: Docket No. 060767-TP; Embarq Florida, Inc,'s Request for Confidential Classification

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing is Embarq Florida Inc.'s Request for Confidential Classification.

Copies are being served on the parties in this docket pursuant to the attached certificate of service.

If you have any questions regarding this electronic filing, please do not hesitate to call me at 850/599-1560.

Sincerely,

s/ Susan S. Masterton Susan S. Masterton

Enclosure

Susan S. Masterton COUNSEL LAW AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS REGULATORY Voice: (850) 599-1560

Fax: (850) 878-0777

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04299 MAY 24 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 060767-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic and U.S. Mail this 24th of May 2007 to the following.

Theresa Tan, Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Email: LTAN@psc.state.fl.us

Frank Trueblood Division of Competitive Markets & Enforcement Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Email: ftrueblo@psc.state.fl.us

Verizon

Dulaney L. O'Roark III 6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30328

Email: de.oroark@verizon.com

Verizon Access (Tampa)

Kimberly Caswell * 201 N. Franklin 37th floor Tampa, FL 33602

Email: kimberly.caswell@verizon.com

Verizon Access Transmission Services

Mr. David Christian 106 East College Avenue, Suite 710 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7721

Email: david.christian@verizon.com

s/Susan S. Masterton Susan S. Masterton

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services for arbitration of disputes arising from negotiation of interconnection agreement with Embarg Florida, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 060767-TP

Filed: May 24, 2007

Embarq Florida, Inc.'s Request for Confidential Classification Under Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes

Embarq Florida, Inc. (hereinafter, "Embarq") hereby requests that the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") classify certain documents identified herein as confidential and exempt from public disclosure under chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and issue any appropriate protective order reflecting such a decision.

1. The information that is the subject of this request is confidential and proprietary as described in paragraph 3. The following documents or excerpts from documents are the subject of this request:

Embarq's Response to Staff's Interrogatory No. 38 (Hearing Exhibit No. 4, Document No. 03453-07, Claim of Confidentiality filed April 23, 2007)

- 2. Redacted copies of the information are attached to this request. An unredacted copy of each document is already on file with the Florida Public Service Commission pursuant to the Claim of Confidentiality identified above.
- 3. The information for which the Request is submitted is competitively sensitive information regarding the minutes of use of transit traffic for various CLECs that have interconnection agreements with Embarq. This information is information relating to Embarq's wholesale CLEC customers which Embarq is required to keep confidential

DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

under Embarq's interconnection agreements with these CLECs. In addition, section 364.24, Florida Statutes, generally prohibits Embarq from disclosing customer account records.

4. Section 364.183(3), F.S., provides:

- (3) The term "proprietary confidential business information" means information, regardless of form or characteristics, which is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or private agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public. The term includes, but is not limited to:
- (a) Trade secrets.
- (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors.
- (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures.
- (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the company or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.
- (e) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information.
- (f) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities.
- 5. The subject information has not been publicly released by Embarg.

Based on the foregoing, Embarq respectfully requests that the Commission grant Embarq's Request for Confidential Classification, exempt the information from disclosure under chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and issue any appropriate protective order, protecting the information from disclosure while it is maintained at the Commission.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of May 2007.

s/Susan S. Masterton Susan S. Masterton 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 Voice: 850-599-1560

Fax: 850-878-0777

 $\underline{susan.masterton@embarq.com}$

Counsel for Embarq Florida, Inc.

17. For each transit rate Embarq is charging Florida CLECs, please identify the individual rate being assessed. Please identify which rates are TELRIC-based versus market-based.

Response: Please see the attached spreadsheet "Transit rates.xls".

18. Please identify the transit rate included in ICAs between Embarq and each CLEC in Florida.

Response: Please see the attached spreadsheet "Transit rates.xls".

19. a. Please identify each CLEC with which Embarq has a currently effective ICA in Florida that provides transit charges.

Response: Please see the attached spreadsheet "Transit rates.xls".

b. For each such CLEC identified in (a), please indicate the transit rate being charged and the effective date of the ICA.

Response: Please see the attached spreadsheet "Transit rates.xls".

c. Please identify which rates are TELRIC-based versus market-based.

Response: Please see the attached spreadsheet "Transit rates.xls".

20. For each CLEC with which Embarq transits traffic, please state the number of minutes of transit traffic delivered by such CLEC to Embarq in Florida during December 2006.

Response:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	MOU
COMCAST PHONE	
BELLSOUTH TELECOMM	
BUSINESS TELECOM INC	
COX COMMUNICATIONS	
ITC DELTACOM	
T3 COMMUNICATIONS	
FLORIDA MULTIMEDIA	
FLORIDA DIGITAL NETWORK	
ADELPHIA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	
(TELCOVE)	
INTERMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS INC	
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS	
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS	

MFS INTELENET (MCI WORLDCOM)	
NEWSOUTH COMMUNICATIONS	
(NUVOX)	
ORLANDO TELEPHONE	
PAETEC COMMUNICATIONS	
AT&T LONG DISTANCE	
TALLAHASSEE TELEPHONE	
EXCHANGE	
TIME WARNER TELECOM	
AT&T LOCAL SERVICES	
XO COMMUNICATIONS	
US LEC CORP	
DAYSTAR COMMUNICATIONS (THE	
ULTIMATE CONNECTION)	
VOLO COMMUNICATIONS	
MCI METRO	

21. In determining a market-based rate for transit service in this arbitration, what should the Commission consider?

Response: The Commission should consider its precedent from the recent Florida order relating to BellSouth's transit traffic obligations (Order No. PSC-06-0776-FOF-TP in Docket Nos. 050119-TP and 050125-TP) in which the FPSC determined that transit traffic was not a §251 requirement. The Commission should also consider the staff's recommendation that BellSouth's market-based rate, which reflects the value-added services associated with providing an intermediary function, should be considered a "just and reasonable" rate.

- 22. Referring to witness Fox's rebuttal testimony, page 9, lines 2-6, the witness states that "Embarq's proposed rate of \$.005 reflects a considerably smaller multiple (approximately 1.75) of its Commission-approved rate than the rate suggested by staff and recognized by the Commission as an upper limit of a just and reasonable market-based rate for BellSouth."
 - a. Does "its Commission-approved rate" refer to the rate approved for Embarq or for BellSouth?

Response: It refers to Embarq's Commission approved rate of \$.002867 which is a sum of Embarq's common transport and tandem switching rate elements.

b. What is the Commission-approved rate for Embarg?

Response: \$.002867

41. On page 10 of Verizon Access witness Price's rebuttal testimony, lines 19-25, the witness states that Verizon Access is willing to revise its definition of "VoIP" to make clear that VoIP traffic is not intended to include IP-in-the-middle traffic. Does Embarq agree with this revised definition? If negative, please indicate the specific disagreement.