

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**DOCKET NO. 08 \_\_\_\_ -EI  
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

**MARCH 3, 2008**

**IN RE: NUCLEAR POWER PLANT COST  
RECOVERY CLAUSE  
FINAL TRUE-UP**

**JANUARY 2007 THROUGH DECEMBER 2007**

**TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:**

**K. OUSDAHL  
S.T. HALE**

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01607 MAR -3 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1                   **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

2                   **FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

3                   **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KIM OUSDAHL**

4                   **DOCKET NO. 08\_\_\_\_\_ -EI**

5                   **March 3, 2008**

6  
7   **Q.     Please state your name and business address.**

8   A.     My name is Kim Ousdahl. My business address is 700 Universe Boulevard,  
9           Juno Beach, Florida 33408.

10 **Q.    By whom are you employed and what is your position?**

11 A.     I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or the Company) as  
12           Controller.

13 **Q.    Please describe your duties and responsibilities in that position.**

14 A.     I am responsible for financial accounting and internal reporting for FPL,  
15           including property accounting and management of the regulatory accounting  
16           function. In this role I have responsibility for managing the accounting and  
17           financial and regulatory reporting of the nuclear capital project costs.

18 **Q.    Please describe your educational background and professional**  
19           **experience.**

20 A.     I graduated from Kansas State University in 1979 with a Bachelor of Science  
21           Degree in Business Administration, majoring in Accounting. That same year  
22           I was employed by Houston Lighting & Power Company in Houston, Texas  
23           During my tenure there, I held various accounting and regulatory management

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01607 MAR -3 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1 positions. Most recently, prior to joining FPL in June 2004, I was the Vice  
2 President and Controller of Reliant Energy.

3

4 I am a certified public accountant (CPA) licensed in the State of Texas and a  
5 member of the American Institute of CPAs, the Texas Society of CPAs and  
6 the Florida Institute of CPAs.

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

8 **A.** The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of FPL's filing and  
9 demonstrate that the filing complies with Florida Administrative Code Rule  
10 25-6.0423, Nuclear Power Plant Cost Recovery (the Rule). Consistent with  
11 the Rule, my testimony requests that the Commission make a prudence  
12 determination on FPL's 2007 power uprate costs for the Turkey Point and St.  
13 Lucie nuclear power plants, as presented in the testimony of FPL witness  
14 Stephen Hale.

15 **Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,  
16 supervision or control any exhibits in this proceeding?**

17 **A.** Yes, I have. Exhibit STH-1, sponsored by Mr. Hale, consists of Appendix 1  
18 containing schedules T-1 through T-10. Page 2 of Appendix 1 contains a table  
19 of contents which lists the T Schedules that are sponsored by Mr. Hale and  
20 me, respectively.

21 **Q. Please describe the purpose of the Rule and the Nuclear Filing  
22 Requirements (NFRs).**

1 A. On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, this Commission  
2 adopted the Rule to implement Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (the Statute),  
3 which was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 2006. The stated purpose of  
4 the Statute is to promote utility investment in nuclear power plants, and it  
5 directed the Commission to establish alternative mechanisms for cost recovery  
6 and step-wise, periodic prudence determinations with respect to costs incurred  
7 to build nuclear power plants. The Rule implements this mechanism and  
8 provides for the annual recovery of these costs through the Capacity Cost  
9 Recovery Clause (CCRC). FPL has been working with Commission Staff, the  
10 Office of Public Counsel, Progress Energy Florida and others to develop a  
11 comprehensive set of schedules setting forth construction and cost information  
12 on a nuclear project.

13  
14 Those schedules are referred to as “Nuclear Filing Requirements” or “NFRs”.  
15 Although not finalized, FPL understands that all parties agree to use the latest  
16 draft of the NFRs for filing purposes. The NFRs provide an overview of  
17 nuclear plant projects and a roadmap to the detailed project costs. The NFRs  
18 consist of T, AE, P and TOR Schedules. The T Schedules are to be filed each  
19 March and provide the true-up for the prior year. In May, there are three sets  
20 of schedules to be filed: the AE Schedules provide the actual/estimated cost  
21 information for the current year, the P Schedules provide the projected  
22 expenditures for the subsequent year and the TOR Schedules provide the  
23 project summary. The NFRs form a framework for the Commission to review

1 the costs projected to be incurred and the actual costs incurred during each  
2 year so as to facilitate a prudence determination.

3 **Q. Does the Rule describe the annual filing requirements that a utility is to**  
4 **make in support of a prudence determination?**

5 A. Yes. Section 5(c) of the Rule outlines the annual filing requirements necessary  
6 to support a determination of prudence. It states:

7 “(c) Capacity Cost Recovery Clause for Nuclear or Integrated Gasification  
8 Combined Cycle Power Plant Costs.

9 1. Each year, a utility shall submit, for Commission review and  
10 approval, as part of its Capacity Cost Recovery Clause filings:

11 a. True-Up for Previous Years. By March 1, a utility shall submit its  
12 final true-up of pre-construction expenditures, based on actual preconstruction  
13 expenditures for the prior year and previously filed expenditures for such prior  
14 year and a description of the pre-construction work actually performed during  
15 such year; or, once construction begins, its final true-up of carrying costs on  
16 its construction expenditures, based on actual carrying costs on construction  
17 expenditures for the prior year and previously filed carrying costs on  
18 construction expenditures for such prior year and a description of the  
19 construction work actually performed during such year. . .

20 2. The Commission shall, prior to October 1 of each year, conduct a  
21 hearing and determine the reasonableness of projected pre-construction  
22 expenditures and the prudence of actual pre-construction expenditures  
23 expended by the utility; or, once construction begins, to determine the

1           reasonableness of projected construction expenditures and the prudence of  
2           actual construction expenditures expended by the utility, and the associated  
3           carrying costs. Within 15 days of the Commission's vote, the Commission  
4           shall enter its order. Annually, the Commission shall make a prudence  
5           determination of the prior year's actual construction costs and associated  
6           carrying costs. To facilitate this determination, the Commission shall conduct  
7           an on-going auditing and monitoring program of construction costs and  
8           related contracts pursuant to Section 366.08, F.S. In making its determination  
9           of reasonableness and prudence the Commission shall apply the standard  
10          provided pursuant to Section 403.519(4)(e), F.S.

11                     3. The Commission shall include those costs it determines, pursuant to  
12          this subsection, to be reasonable or prudent in setting the Capacity Cost  
13          Recovery Clause factor in the annual Fuel and Purchased Power Cost  
14          Recovery proceedings. Such prior year actual costs associated with power  
15          plant construction subject to the annual proceeding shall not be subject to  
16          disallowance or further prudence review.”

17   **Q.    Is FPL complying with these requirements with respect to its 2007**  
18   **nuclear uprate costs?**

19   A.    Yes. FPL has included the T (Final True-up) Schedules in Appendix 1 of this  
20          filing. Although there were no previous projections to “true up” and compare  
21          to the 2007 actual expenditures because this is the first cycle of proceedings  
22          under the Rule, FPL believes it is appropriate to use the final true-up process

1 contemplated by the Rule as the basis for determining the prudence of its 2007  
2 expenditures.

3 **Q. What are FPL's uprate expenditures for the period January 2007**  
4 **through December 2007 for which FPL is requesting a determination of**  
5 **prudence?**

6 A. As presented in Mr. Hale's testimony and provided on Schedule T-6, FPL's  
7 actual uprate expenditures for the period January 2007 through December  
8 2007 are \$8,624,516. Schedule T-6 goes on to deduct the portion of this total  
9 for which the St. Lucie Unit 2 participants are responsible and then applies the  
10 retail jurisdictional factor to the remainder. After making those two  
11 adjustments, the net 2007 uprate expenditures for which retail customers are  
12 responsible are \$8,236,652. For the reasons stated in Mr. Hale's testimony,  
13 FPL respectfully requests that the Commission review and approve these  
14 expenditures as prudent consistent with the Rule.

15 **Q. Is FPL seeking to recover any carrying charges for 2007 with respect to**  
16 **these 2007 expenditures?**

17 A. No. FPL recorded the 2007 actual uprate expenditures in FERC Account  
18 183.705, "Preliminary Survey & Investigation charges". These expenditures  
19 were transferred into Construction Work In Progress (CWIP) in 2008.  
20 Consistent with FPL's accounting practices, the calculation of carrying  
21 charges did not commence until this transfer occurred, so carrying charges  
22 will first be recorded on the 2007 expenditures in 2008. Because of this  
23 starting point/transition period, Schedules T-1 through T-3b covering the

1 period 2007 reflect zero carrying charges but Schedule T-6 nonetheless  
2 provides the actual 2007 monthly uprate expenditures and Schedules T-8, T-  
3 8a and T-8b provide the contract information underlying these expenditures.  
4 FPL's May 2008 cost recovery filing is expected to include carrying charges  
5 for 2008 with respect to the 2007 expenditures.

6 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

7 A. Yes.



1                                   **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

2                                   **FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

3                                   **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN T. HALE**

4                                   **DOCKET NO. 08 \_\_\_\_\_ -EI**

5                                   **MARCH 3, 2008**

6  
7           **Q.     Please state your name and business address.**

8           A.     My name is Stephen T. Hale, and my business address is 700 Universe  
9                    Boulevard, Juno Beach, FL 33408.

10          **Q.     By whom are you employed and what position do you hold?**

11          A.     I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Engineering  
12                    Director in the Nuclear Division.

13          **Q.     Please describe your duties and responsibilities in that position.**

14          A.     I am responsible for the power uprates and license renewal activities for the  
15                    FPL nuclear fleet as well as the nuclear fleet of FPL's affiliate, FPL Energy,  
16                    LLC (FPL Energy).

17          **Q.     Please describe your education and professional experience.**

18          A.     I graduated from the University of Tennessee in 1973 with a Bachelors of  
19                    Science degree in Nuclear Engineering. I obtained Professional Engineering  
20                    Registration for the State of Florida in 1988. Since my graduation, I have  
21                    worked for FPL in numerous capacities, including Engineering Manager for  
22                    the Turkey Point Plant, License Renewal Project Manager for the St. Lucie  
23                    Plant, and Manager of the uprate project for FPL Energy's Seabrook Nuclear

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01607 MAR -3 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1 Station. I am also directing the license renewal efforts for FPL Energy's  
2 Duane Arnold plant.

3 **Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,**  
4 **supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding?**

5 A. Yes, I have. My exhibit STH-1, which consists of Appendix 1 containing  
6 schedules T-1 through T-10. Page 2 of Appendix 1 contains a table of contents  
7 listing the T schedules that are sponsored by me and FPL witness Kim  
8 Ousdahl, respectively.

9 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

10 A. My testimony presents and explains FPL's 2007 power uprate costs for the  
11 Turkey Point and St. Lucie nuclear power plants for purposes of a prudence  
12 review.

13 **Q. Has FPL established a project management system to help ensure that**  
14 **the Uprate Project is completed on a reasonable schedule and at a**  
15 **reasonable cost?**

16 A. Yes, we have.

17 **Q. Please describe FPL's project management system and the key personnel**  
18 **responsible for implementing it.**

19 A. Several years ago, the Nuclear Division established a project management  
20 system for the management of major projects at St. Lucie Units 1 and 2, and  
21 Turkey Point Units 3 and 4, as well as FPL Energy nuclear assets. The project  
22 management system is implemented through a series of Nuclear Policies and  
23 Procedures. The effectiveness of the system has been demonstrated through

1 the successful completion of several major projects including reactor vessel  
2 head replacements at Turkey Point, and reactor vessel head, pressurizer, and  
3 steam generator replacements at St. Lucie. The personnel assigned to key  
4 positions for the management of the uprate project have a proven track record  
5 of success managing large projects including license renewal for Turkey Point  
6 and St. Lucie, and the uprate project at FPL Energy's Seabrook. These  
7 projects were completed on schedule and under budget.

8 **Q. Did FPL begin incurring costs for the Uprate Project in 2007?**

9 A. Yes, we did. As shown on Schedule T-6, FPL incurred a total of \$8,624,516  
10 in 2007 for the Uprate Project. As explained by Ms. Ousdahl and as shown  
11 on Schedule T-6, the net retail jurisdictional portion of this total is \$8,236,652.

12 **Q. Were those costs prudently incurred?**

13 A. Yes, they were. All of the costs were for activities that are necessary to the  
14 Uprate Project and were appropriately undertaken in 2007 in order to maintain  
15 the Uprate Project's schedule. Furthermore, FPL has used its project  
16 management system to ensure that the costs incurred for those activities were  
17 reasonable.

18 **Q. What types of costs did FPL incur for the Uprate Project in 2007?**

19 A. Schedule T-6 breaks the 2007 costs down into the following categories:  
20 License Application (\$357,150); Engineering and Design (\$5,700,529);  
21 Permitting (\$356,485); Project Management (\$578,428); and Power Block  
22 Engineering (\$1,631,924). These costs were initially recorded in FERC  
23 Account 183.705, "Preliminary Survey & Investigation charges" and no

1 AFUDC was recorded. These costs were transferred into Construction Work  
2 In Progress (CWIP) in 2008. Carrying charges will begin to be reflected on  
3 them in the AE-6 schedule that will be filed in this docket in May 2008.

4 **Q. Please describe the activities for which FPL incurred 2007 costs in the**  
5 **License Application category, the need for those activities, and the**  
6 **controls used by FPL's project management system to ensure that the**  
7 **costs were reasonable.**

8 A. For the period ending December 31, 2007, License Application costs total  
9 \$357,150 as shown on Line 3 of Schedule T-6. This amount consists  
10 primarily of employee and contractor labor and consulting services in  
11 preparation of the license application. The personnel involved have a proven  
12 record of success with projects of this magnitude and their labor rates are  
13 competitive. The work included development of a division of responsibility  
14 document for all parties involved with preparation of the License  
15 Amendments, preparation of detailed schedules, meetings with the NRC, and  
16 resolution of a number of scoping issues related to the design and safety  
17 analyses to be performed. It is important that this work be completed now  
18 because it is required to support the NRC licensing and overall  
19 implementation schedule.

20 **Q. Please describe the activities for which FPL incurred 2007 costs in the**  
21 **Engineering and Design category, the need for those activities, and the**  
22 **controls used by FPL's project management system to ensure that the**  
23 **costs were reasonable.**

1           A.     For the period ending December 31, 2007, Engineering & Design costs total  
2                     \$5,700,529 as shown on Line 4 of Schedule T-6. The engineering and design  
3                     activities were initiated in 2007 in order to support the overall uprate  
4                     implementation schedule. This amount consists primarily of \$4,100,000 to  
5                     Westinghouse for engineering and safety analyses in support of the NRC  
6                     uprate license amendments and preparation of long lead equipment  
7                     specification and procurement activities. As listed on line 2 of Schedule T-8,  
8                     the Westinghouse contract is for \$5,600,000. This contract is for the initial  
9                     Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) engineering support for the nuclear  
10                    fuel parameters, fuel burn-up rates, primary system pressure and temperature  
11                    operating parameters for the four units, St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 and Turkey  
12                    Point Units 3 and 4. The specific work activities involved in this engineering  
13                    support include critical path scoping studies and nuclear safety analyses.  
14                    Because the duration of license amendment preparation activities together  
15                    with the NRC review period could exceed three years, it is important that this  
16                    work be completed now to ensure the license amendments are issued prior to  
17                    the final uprate implementation refueling outages.

18  
19                    Westinghouse was selected as the sole source for this work since they are the  
20                    original equipment manufacturer of the Nuclear Steam Supply System.  
21                    Furthermore, Westinghouse has a proven track record with FPL Group,  
22                    having completed successful uprates at the Turkey Point and Seabrook plants  
23                    on schedule and within budget. The costs for this work were benchmarked

1 with costs for similar uprate work at Turkey Point, Seabrook and other nuclear  
2 plants, and the costs were determined to be reasonable based on the work  
3 scope to be performed.

4  
5 Also in the Engineering & Design category is \$1,180,000 of expenses for  
6 Shaw Stone & Webster. As listed on Line 1 of Schedule T-8, the overall  
7 Shaw Stone & Webster contract totals \$2,290,000. This contract is for initial  
8 plant engineering support including preparation of long lead equipment  
9 specifications, hydraulic analyses, and heat balance calculations associated  
10 with secondary plant systems and the turbine generators for the four units, St.  
11 Lucie Units 1 and 2 and Turkey Point Units 3 and 4. It is important that this  
12 work be completed now because it is required to support the NRC licensing  
13 and overall implementation schedule. Shaw Stone & Webster was selected as  
14 the sole source for this work since they are the leading engineering firm in  
15 performing power uprate work in the industry. Furthermore, Shaw Stone &  
16 Webster has a proven track record with FPL Group, having completed  
17 successful uprates at the Turkey Point and Seabrook plants on schedule and  
18 within budget.

19  
20 Additionally, \$382,000 of expenses related to Areva for fuel design and  
21 licensing are included in the Engineering and Design category. This work is  
22 for the initial engineering support for the nuclear fuel parameters, fuel burn-up  
23 rates, and fuel design. Areva was selected as the sole source for this work

1 because they are the original equipment manufacturer for St. Lucie Unit 1 fuel  
2 and as such would provide the most cost effective analysis and evaluation of  
3 the fuel. It is important that this work be completed now because it is required  
4 to support the NRC licensing and overall implementation schedule.

5 **Q. Please describe the activities for which FPL incurred 2007 costs in the**  
6 **Permitting category, the need for those activities, and the controls used**  
7 **by FPL's project management system to ensure that the costs were**  
8 **reasonable.**

9 A. For the period ending December 31, 2007, Permitting costs total \$356,485 as  
10 shown on Line 5 of Schedule T-6. This amount consists primarily of a  
11 \$200,000 payment to the State of Florida for a Site Certification Application  
12 Fee for St. Lucie. Also, an expense of \$122,250 is for consulting services of  
13 Golder Associates, Inc. related to environmental work for site certification.  
14 This expense is part of the contract with Golder for \$218,400 as shown on  
15 Line 1 of Schedule T-8B. Golder was the contractor on the Turkey Point Unit  
16 5 site certification application. FPL has used their services in the past with  
17 good success. The remaining amount of Permitting costs relate to numerous  
18 expenses for site certification support services. It is important that this work  
19 be completed now because site certification is an essential step in the licensing  
20 process and hence must be completed promptly to maintain the overall  
21 implementation schedule.

22 **Q. Please describe the activities for which FPL incurred 2007 costs in the**  
23 **Project Management category, and how those activities help to ensure**

1           **that the Uprate Project is completed on a reasonable schedule and at a**  
2           **reasonable cost.**

3           A.   For the period ending December 31, 2007, Project Management costs total  
4           \$578,428 as shown on Line 6 of Schedule T-6. This category includes FPL  
5           employee and contractor services in support of feasibility study activities,  
6           including but not limited to, scope definition, cost estimates, contract  
7           negotiations and project execution. These activities are needed to ensure  
8           effective management of the uprate project consistent with FPL nuclear  
9           project management policies and procedures as discussed earlier. Contractor  
10          services include payments to FPL affiliate personnel who were available with  
11          immediately transferable expertise and provided an appropriate interim  
12          solution to meet personnel needs. FPL employee and contracted personnel  
13          involved have a proven record of success with projects of this magnitude and  
14          their labor rates are competitive.

15          **Q.   Please describe the activities for which FPL incurred 2007 costs in the**  
16          **Power Block Engineering category, the need for those activities, and the**  
17          **controls used by FPL's project management system to ensure that the**  
18          **costs were reasonable.**

19          A.   For the period ending December 31, 2007, Power Block Engineering and  
20          Procurement costs total \$1,631,924 as shown on Line 9 of Schedule T-6. This  
21          amount consists primarily of a \$1,100,000 payment to Siemens to reserve  
22          equipment manufacturing space at Siemens' facilities for the Low Pressure  
23          Turbine Rotors for St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. The contract with Siemens is



1 listed on Line 3 of Schedule T-8. It is important that this manufacturing space  
2 reservation be entered into now because it is required to ensure that Siemens  
3 can deliver the rotors in time to support the St. Lucie uprate implementation  
4 schedule. Siemens was selected as the sole source for this work since they are  
5 the original turbine generator equipment supplier, and the only vendor that  
6 could manufacture the equipment needed to support the project schedule.  
7 Further, the costs for this equipment were benchmarked with costs of similar  
8 equipment at FPL and other plants and determined to be reasonable.

9  
10 Additionally, there were payments to Siemens totaling \$475,000 under two  
11 contracts. As noted on Line 2 and Line 4 of Schedule T-8B, one Siemens  
12 contract is for \$400,000 to perform generator rotor rewind analyses for the  
13 four units and one is for \$275,000 to reserve equipment manufacturing space  
14 at Siemens' facilities for a Turbine Generator rotor for Turkey Point Unit 3.  
15 The generator rewind analyses are required in order to complete the  
16 transmission system stability evaluations to establish the scope of any  
17 modifications which may be required. The manufacturing space reservation  
18 for the turbine generator rotor is required for the same reasons I just discussed  
19 with respect to the low pressure turbine rotor.

20 **Q. Would you please summarize your testimony?**

21 A. FPL began the initial work to implement the Uprate Project in 2007, in order  
22 to help maintain an aggressive schedule for delivering the project's benefits to  
23 customers. The 2007 costs were reasonable and necessary, and the

1 Commission should determine that they were prudently incurred "prior year"  
2 construction costs as contemplated by Rule 25-6.0423 - Nuclear Power Plant  
3 Cost Recovery.

4 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

5 **A. Yes, it does.**

**Appendix I  
Nuclear Cost Recovery  
Nuclear Filing Requirements (NFR's)  
T- Schedules (True-up)  
January 2007- December 2007**

**STH-1  
Docket No. 08 \_\_\_\_\_-EI  
Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_  
Pages 1- 27  
March 3, 2008**

**DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
01607 MAR -3 8  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK**

**Appendix I**  
**Nuclear Cost Recovery**  
**Nuclear Filing Requirements (NFR's)**  
**T- Schedules (True-up)**  
**January 2007- December 2007**

**Table of Contents**

<u>Page (s)</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Sponsor</u>
3-4	T-1	Retail Revenue Requirements Summary	K. Ousdahl
5-6	T-2	True-up Preconstruction Costs	K. Ousdahl
7-8	T-3	True-up Carrying Costs	K. Ousdahl
9-10	T-3A	Deferred Tax Carrying Costs	K. Ousdahl
11-12	T-3B	Construction Period Interest	K. Ousdahl
13	T-4	CCRC Recoverable O & M Monthly Expenditures	K. Ousdahl
14	T-5	Total O & M Monthly Expenditures	K. Ousdahl
15	T-6	Monthly Expenditures	S. Hale
16	T-6A	Monthly Expenditures Descriptions	S. Hale
17	T-6B	Variance Explanations	S. Hale
18	T-7	Technology Selected	S. Hale
19	T-8	Contracts Executed	S. Hale
20-22	T-8A	Contracts Executed (in excess of \$1 million)	S. Hale
23	T-8B	Contracts Executed (in excess of \$200,000)	S. Hale
24-25	T-9	Calculation of net final true-up	K. Ousdahl
26-27	T-10	Calculation of interest on net final true-up	K. Ousdahl

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Retail Revenue Requirements Summary**

Schedule T-1 (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the actual true-up of total retail revenue requirements based on actual expenditures for the current year and the previously filed expenditures for such current year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actual May	(F) Actual June	(G) 6 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars							
1.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Note 1: The costs associated with the uprate project were included in Account 183, Preliminary Survey and Investigation Charges for the period July 2007 through December 2007. On January 7, 2008, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-08-0021-FOF-EI approving FPL's need determination for the uprates. In that Order the Commission determined that Rule No. 25-6.0423, F.A.C. is applicable to the costs of the expansion of the Turkey Point and St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plants (uprate project). As a result of the issuance of this Order, in January 2008 these costs were transferred to Construction Work in Progress account 107. Therefore no carrying charges are reflected in 2007 for recovery.

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Retail Revenue Requirements Summary**

Schedule T-1 (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the actual true-up of total retail revenue requirements based on actual expenditures for the current year and the previously filed expenditures for such current year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	(H) Actual July	(I) Actual August	(J) Actual September	(K) Actual October	(L) Actual November	(M) Actual December	(N) 12 Month Total
	Jurisdictional Dollars						
1.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Note 1: The costs associated with the uprate project were included in Account 183, Preliminary Survey and Investigation Charges for the period July 2007 through December 2007. On January 7, 2008, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-08-0021-FOF-EI approving FPL's need determination for the uprates. In that Order the Commission determined that Rule No. 25-6.0423, F.A.C. is applicable to the costs of the expansion of the Turkey Point and St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plants (uprate project). As a result of the issuance of this Order, in January 2008 these costs were transferred to Construction Work in Progress account 107. Therefore no carrying charges are reflected in 2007 for recovery.

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
True-Up of Preconstruction Costs**

Schedule T-2 (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the calculation of the final true-up of preconstruction costs based on actual preconstruction expenditures for the prior year and previously filed expenditures for such prior year.

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
		Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	6 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars								
1.	Actual Nuclear CWIP Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Unamortized CWIP Base Eligible for Return	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Amortization of CWIP Base Eligible for Return	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Average Net Unamortized CWIP Base Eligible for Return	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5.	Return on Average Net Unamortized CWIP Eligible for Return							
a.	Equity Component (a) (Line 4 x 5.75% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.	Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes (Line 5a/.61425) (b)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.	Debt Component (Line 4 x 1.673% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6.	Total Return Requirements (Line 5b + 5c)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
7.	Total Costs to be Recovered	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
8.	CWIP Additions & Amortization from prior year Actual/Estimated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9.	Over / (Under) Recovery (Line 7 - Line 8)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 5.75% reflects an 11% return on equity.

(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 35% and a State Income Tax rate of 5.5%

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
True-Up of Preconstruction Costs**

Schedule T-2 (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the calculation of the final true-up of preconstruction costs based on actual preconstruction expenditures for the prior year and previously filed expenditures for such prior year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
		Actual July	Actual August	Actual September	Actual October	Actual November	Actual December	12 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars								
1.	Actual Nuclear CWIP Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Unamortized CWIP Base Eligible for Return	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3.	Amortization of CWIP Base Eligible for Return	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Average Net Unamortized CWIP Base Eligible for Return	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5.	Return on Average Net Unamortized CWIP Eligible for Return							
a.	Equity Component (a) (Line 4 x 5.75% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b.	Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes (Line 5a/.61425) (b)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
c.	Debt Component (Line 4 x 1.673% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
6.	Total Return Requirements (Line 5b + 5c)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	
7.	Total Costs to be Recovered	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
8.	CWIP Additions & Amortization from prior year Actual/Estimated	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9.	Over / (Under) Recovery (Line 7 - Line 8)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 5.75% reflects an 11% return on equity.

(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 35% and a State income Tax rate of 5.5%



**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
True-Up of Carrying Costs**

Schedule T-3 (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the calculation of the final true-up of carrying costs on construction expenditures, based on actual carrying costs on construction expenditures for the prior year and previously filed carrying costs on construction expenditures for such prior year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	(A) Beginning of Period	(B) Actual January	(C) Actual February	(D) Actual March	(E) Actual April	(F) Actual May	(G) Actual June	(H) 6 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars								
1.	Nuclear CWIP Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Transfers to Plant in Service	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Other Adjustments	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	CWIP Base Eligible for Return (Line 1 - 2 + 3)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
5.	Average Net CWIP Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
6.	Return on Average Net CWIP Additions							
a.	Equity Component (a) (Line 5 x 5.75% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.	Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes (Line 6a/.61425) (b)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.	Debt Component (Line 5 x 1.673% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7.	Total Return Requirements (Line 6b + 6c)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
8.	Total Return Requirements from most recent Projections	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9.	Difference (Line 7 - Line 8)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 5.75% reflects an 11% return on equity.

(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 35% and a State Income Tax rate of 5.5%

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
True-Up of Carrying Costs**

Schedule T-3 (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the calculation of the final true-up of carrying costs on construction expenditures, based on actual carrying costs on construction expenditures for the prior year and previously filed carrying costs on construction expenditures for such prior year.

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	(I) Beginning of Period	(J) Actual July	(K) Actual August	(L) Actual September	(M) Actual October	(N) Actual November	(O) Actual December	(P) 12 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars								
1.	Nuclear CWIP Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Transfers to Plant in Service	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Other Adjustments	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	CWIP Base Eligible for Return (Line 1 - 2 + 3)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
5.	Average Net CWIP Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
6.	Return on Average Net CWIP Additions							
a.	Equity Component (a) (Line 5 x 5.75% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.	Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes (Line 6a/.61425) (b)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.	Debt Component (Line 5 x 1.673% x 1/12)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7.	Total Return Requirements (Line 6b + 6c)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
8.	Total Return Requirements from most recent Projections	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9.	Difference (Line 7 - Line 8)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 5.75% reflects an 11% return on equity.

(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 35% and a State Income Tax rate of 5.5%

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Deferred Tax Carrying Costs**

Schedule T-3A (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the Actual deferred tax Carrying Costs for the current year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.		(A) Beginning of Period	(B) Actual January	(C) Actual February	(D) Actual March	(E) Actual April	(F) Actual May	(G) Actual June	(H) 6 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars									
1.	Construction Period Interest (Schedule T-3B, Line 5)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Recovered Costs Excluding AFUDC (Schedule T-2, Line 1+ Line 3)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Other Adjustments		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Tax Basis Less Book Basis (Prior Mo Balance + Line 1 + 2 + 3)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5.	Deferred Tax Asset (DTA) on Tax Basis in Excess of Book (Line 4 * Tax Rate)	38.575%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
7.	Average Accumulated DTA		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
8.	Carrying Cost on DTA								
a.	Equity Component (a) (Line 7 x 5.75% x 1/12)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.	Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes (Line 8a/.61425) (b)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.	Debt Component (Line 7 x 1.673% x 1/12)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9.	Total Return Requirements (Line 8b + 8c)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10.	Total Return Requirements from most recent Projections		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11.	Difference (Line 9 - Line 10)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 5.75% reflects an 11% return on equity.

(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 35% and a State Income Tax rate of 5.5%

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Deferred Tax Carrying Costs**

Schedule T-3A (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the Actual deferred tax Carrying Costs for the current year.

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.		(I) Beginning of Period	(J) Actual July	(K) Actual August	(L) Actual September	(M) Actual October	(N) Actual November	(O) Actual December	(P) 12 Month Total
		Jurisdictional Dollars							
1.	Construction Period Interest (Schedule T-3B, Line 5)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Recovered Costs Excluding AFUDC (Schedule T-2, Line 1+ Line 3)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Other Adjustments		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Tax Basis Less Book Basis (Prior Mo Balance + Line 1 + 2 + 3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
5.	Deferred Tax Asset (DTA) on Tax Basis in Excess of Book (Line 4 * Tax Rate) <b>38.575%</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
7.	Average Accumulated DTA		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
8.	Carrying Cost on DTA								
	a. Equity Component (a) (Line 7 x 5.75% x 1/12)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes (Line 8a/.61425) (b)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	c. Debt Component (Line 7 x 1.673% x 1/12)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9.	Total Return Requirements (Line 8b + 8c)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10.	Total Return Requirements from most recent Projections	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11.	Difference (Line 9 - Line 10)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 5.75% reflects an 11% return on equity.

(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 35% and a State Income Tax rate of 5.5%

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Construction Period Interest**

Schedule T-3B (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the calculation of the Actual Construction Period Interest for the current year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.		(A) Beginning of Period	(B) Actual January	(C) Actual February	(D) Actual March	(E) Actual April	(F) Actual May	(G) Actual June	(H) 6 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars									
1.	Beginning Balance		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Additions Site Selection & Preconstruction		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Additions Construction		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Other Adjustments		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5.	Average Balance Eligible for CPI		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
6.	CPI Rate								
7.	Construction Period Interest for Tax (CPI)	38.575%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
8.	Ending Balance		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Construction Period Interest**

Schedule T-3B (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the calculation of the Actual Construction Period Interest for the current year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.		(I) Beginning of Period	(J) Actual July	(K) Actual August	(L) Actual September	(M) Actual October	(N) Actual November	(O) Actual December	(P) 12 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars									
1.	Beginning Balance		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Additions Site Selection & Preconstruction		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Additions Construction		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Other Adjustments		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5.	Average Balance Eligible for CPI		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	
6.	CPI Rate								
7.	Construction Period Interest for Tax (CPI)	38.575%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	n/a
8.	Ending Balance		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
CCRC Recoverable O&M Monthly Expenditures**

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]  
[Section (8)(e)]

Schedule T-4 (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the CCRC Recoverable O&M actual monthly expenditures by function for the prior year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	Description	(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actual May	(F) Actual June	(G) Actual July	(H) Actual August	(I) Actual September	(J) Actual October	(K) Actual November	(L) Actual December	(M) 12 Month Total
1	Legal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Accounting	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Regulatory	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Human Resources	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Public Policy	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Community Relations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Corporate Communications	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	Business Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9														
10	Total Recoverable O&M Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11														
12	Jurisdictional Factor													
13														
14	Total Jurisdictional CCRC Recoverable O&M Costs													
15														
16	Total Jurisdictional O&M Costs From Most Recent Projection													
17														
18	Difference (Line 14 - 16)													

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Total O&M Monthly Expenditures**

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]  
[Section (8)(e)]

Schedule T-5 (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the total O&M actual monthly expenditures by function for the prior year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	Description	(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actual May	(F) Actual June	(G) Actual July	(H) Actual August	(I) Actual September	(J) Actual October	(K) Actual November	(L) Actual December	(M) 12 Month Total
1	Legal													
2	Accounting													
3	Regulatory													
4	Human Resources													
5	Public Policy													See T-4 for recoverable amounts
6	Community Relations													
7	Corporate Communications													
8	Business Services													
9														
10	Total O&M Costs													
11														
12	Jurisdictional Factor													
13														
14	Total Jurisdictional O&M Costs													
15														
16	Total Jurisdictional O&M Costs From Most Recent Projection													
17														
18	Difference (Line 14 - 16)													

Note 1: FPL tracks and segregates by work order its incremental O&M expenses associated with the Uprate Project. Those incremental O&M expenses are not reflected in FPL's base rates and, accordingly, they will be recorded on Schedule T-4 for recovery through the nuclear cost recovery clause. FPL will seek recovery only of its separately identified, incremental O&M expenses associated with the Uprate Project. FPL does not track separately non-incremental O&M expenses associated with supporting the Uprate Project.



**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Monthly Expenditures**

Schedule T-6 (True-up)

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]  
[Section (8)(d)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide the actual monthly expenditures by major tasks performed within Site Selection, Preconstruction and Construction categories for the prior year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 -EI

Description	(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actual May	(F) Actual June	(G) Actual July	(H) Actual August	(I) Actual September	(J) Actual October	(K) Actual November	(L) Actual December	(M) 12 Month Total
<b>1 Preliminary Survey &amp; Investigation Charges (Account 183)</b>													
<b>2 Generation:</b>													
3 License Application							163,348	50,467	68,526	25,288	22,438	27,083	357,150
4 Engineering & Design										981	8,081	5,691,467	5,700,529
5 Permitting								672	5,982	1,662	72,492	275,678	356,485
6 Project Management									75,838	84,903	68,120	122,501	227,066
7 Clearing, Grading and Excavation													-
8 On-Site Construction Facilities													-
9 Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.													-
10 Non-Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.										3,267	1,203,046	425,611	1,631,924
11 Total Generation Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	163,348	126,978	159,411	99,317	1,428,558	6,646,904	8,624,516
12 Participants Credits PSL Unit 2													
13 OUC							(2,963)	(2,186)	(3,557)	(1,962)	(39,447)	(94,338)	(144,453)
14 FMFA							(4,285)	(3,161)	(5,143)	(2,836)	(57,045)	(136,421)	(208,891)
15 Total Participants credits	-	-	-	-	-	-	(7,248)	(5,347)	(8,700)	(4,798)	(96,492)	(230,759)	(353,344)
16 Total Generation Costs net of participants credits	-	-	-	-	-	-	156,100	121,631	150,711	94,519	1,332,066	6,416,145	8,271,172
17													
18 Jurisdictional Factor							0.9958265	0.9958265	0.9958265	0.9958265	0.9958265	0.9958265	0.9958265
19													
20 Total Jurisdictional Generation Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	155,448	121,123	150,082	94,124	1,326,507	6,389,367	8,236,652
21													
22 <b>Transmission:</b>													
23													
24 Line Engineering													-
25 Substation Engineering													-
26 Clearing													-
27													-
28 Other													-
29 Total Transmission Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30													
31 Jurisdictional Factor													
32													
33 Total Jurisdictional Transmission Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34													
35 Total Jurisdictional Preliminary Survey & Investigation charges:	-	-	-	-	-	-	155,448	121,123	150,082	94,124	1,326,507	6,389,367	8,236,652
36													
37 <b>Construction:</b>													
38 <b>Generation:</b>													
39 Real Estate Acquisitions													-
40 Project Management													-
41 Permanent Staff/Training													-
42 Site Preparation													-
43 On-Site Construction Facilities													-
44 Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.													-
45 Non-Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.													-
46 Total Generation Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47													
48 Jurisdictional Factor													
49													
50 Total Jurisdictional Generation Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51													
52 <b>Transmission:</b>													
53													
54 Line Engineering													-
55 Substation Engineering													-
56 Real Estate Acquisition													-
57 Line Construction													-
58 Substation Construction													-
59 Other													-
60 Total Transmission Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
61													
62 Jurisdictional Factor													
63													
64 Total Jurisdictional Transmission Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
65													
66 Total Jurisdictional Construction Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Note 1: The costs associated with the uprate project were included in Account 183, Preliminary Survey and Investigation Charges for the period July 2007 through December 2007. On January 7, 2008, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-08-0021-FOF-EI approving FPL's need determination for the uprates. In that Order the Commission determined that Rule No. 25-6.0423, F.A.C. is applicable to the costs of the expansion of the Turkey Point and St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plants (uprate project). As a result of the issuance of this Order, in January 2008 these costs were transferred to Construction Work in Progress account 107. Therefore no carrying charges are reflected in 2007 for recovery.

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Monthly Expenditures**

[Section (5)(c)1.a.]  
[Section (8)(d)]

Schedule T-6A (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a description of the major tasks performed within Site Selection, Preconstruction and Construction categories for the prior year.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	Major Task	Description - Includes, but is not limited to:
1	<b><u>Preliminary Survey &amp; Investigation Charges (Account 183)</u></b>	
2	<b><u>Generation:</u></b>	
3	License Application	FPL employee, consulting and contractor services rendered in support of feasibility studies related to Balance of Plant (BOP), Initial Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS), scheduling and cost estimates.
4	Engineering & Design	Engineering & design services provided by Westinghouse and Areva related to NSSS and associated fuel and licensing design parameters and Shaw Stone & Webster related to BOP system design.
5	Permitting	State of Florida Site Certification Application Fee for St. Lucie, Golder Associates consulting services related to environmental work for site certification and FPL employee support.
6	Project Management	FPL management oversight and contractor services in support of feasibility study activities, including but not limited to, scope definition, cost estimates, contract negotiations and project execution.
7	Clearing, Grading and Excavation	
8	On-Site Construction Facilities	
9	Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.	Costs related to Siemens services for forging of Low Pressure Turbines at St. Lucie (Units 1 & 2), forging of Turbine Generator Rotor at Turkey Point (Unit 3) and studies to evaluate which main generator modifications are required to support implementation of the EPU.
10	Non-Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.	
11		
12		
13	<b><u>Transmission:</u></b>	
14	Line Engineering	
15	Substation Engineering	
16	Clearing	
17		
18	Other	
19		
20	<b><u>Construction:</u></b>	
21	<b><u>Generation:</u></b>	
22	License Application	
23	Engineering & Design	
24	Permitting	
25	Real Estate Acquisition	
26	Project Management	
27	Permanent Staff/Training	
28	Site Preparation	
29	On-Site Construction Facilities	
30	Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.	
31	Non-Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.	
32		
33	<b><u>Transmission:</u></b>	
34	Line Engineering	
35	Substation Engineering	
36	Real Estate Acquisition	
37	Line Construction	
38	Substation Construction	
39	Other	

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Variance Explanations**

Schedule T-6B (True-up)

[Section (8)(d)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide annual variance explanations comparing the actual expenditures to the most recent projections for the prior period filed with the Commission.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	(A) Total Actual	(B) Total Actual/Estimated	(C) Total Variance	(D) Explanation
1				<b>Preliminary Survey &amp; Investigation Charges (Account 183)</b>
2				<u>Generation:</u>
3				License Application
4	357,150	0	357,150	Engineering & Design
5	5,700,529	0	5,700,529	Permitting
6	356,485	0	356,485	Project Management
7	578,428	0	578,428	Clearing, Grading and Excavation
8	-	0	-	On-Site Construction Facilities
9	-	0	-	Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.
10	1,831,924	0	1,831,924	Non-Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.
11	-	0	-	Total Generation Costs
12	<u>8,624,516</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8,624,516</u>	Participants Credits PSL Unit 2
13				OUC
14	(144,453)	0	(144,453)	FMFA
15	(208,891)	0	(208,891)	Total Participants credits
16	<u>(353,344)</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>(353,344)</u>	Total Generation Costs net of participants credits
17	<u>8,271,172</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8,271,172</u>	
18				
19				
20				<u>Transmission:</u>
21				Line Engineering
22				Substation Engineering
23				Clearing
24				Other
25				Total Transmission Costs
26				
27				<u>Construction:</u>
28				<u>Generation:</u>
29				Real Estate Acquisitions
30				Project Management
31				Permanent Staff/Training
32				Site Preparation
33				On-Site Construction Facilities
34				Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.
35				Non-Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.
36				Total Generation Costs
37				
38				<u>Transmission:</u>
39				Line Engineering
40				Substation Engineering
41				Real Estate Acquisition
42				Line Construction
43				Substation Construction
44				Other
45				Total Transmission Costs

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Technology Selected**

Schedule T-7 (True-up)

[Section (8)(b)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide a description of the nuclear technology selected that includes, but is not limited to, a review of the technology and the factors leading to its selection.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

The Extended Power Uprate Project is using the existing commercial light water pressurized water reactor technology being used at St. Lucie units 1 and 2 and the Turkey Point units 3 and 4 to generate electricity.

A detailed comprehensive engineering review of the nuclear steam supply system will be performed to determine the amount of power that the plant can be increased within the original design parameters of each unit.

Once the nuclear steam supply system power increase has been established a detailed comprehensive engineering evaluation will be performed on the secondary systems to determine the capability of the installed equipment to operate efficiently and as designed within the increased power to be generated. This will include steam moisture content, steam pressure, steam flow, main steam turbine capabilities, condenser, condensate, heater drains, and feedwater capabilities to operate with the higher power levels. Engineering evaluations will also include the capabilities of the component cooling water systems, the main turbine generator electrical output, main and auxiliary transformers, electrical breakers, and electrical system interconnection requirements to operate at the higher power levels.

Following the engineering evaluations, materials and equipment needed to support the extended power uprate will be purchased. Installation of the equipment will be performed during scheduled plant outages.

This technology was selected to provide increased electrical output from existing nuclear power plant units because it has been implemented successfully at other nuclear power plants. A review of the electrical power output increase at other nuclear units and a feasibility study of the St. Lucie and Turkey Point units resulted in positive results for economically increasing the electrical power output without an increase in the "footprint" of the existing sites. FPL has determined that increasing the electrical output of the existing nuclear power plant units is the most cost-effective option to meet the demand for electrical energy while enhancing fuel diversity and minimizing environmental impacts, including the avoidance of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Contracts Executed**

Schedule T-8 (True-up)

[Section (8)(c)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION:

Provide a list of contracts executed in excess of \$1 million including, a description of the work, the dollar value and term of the contract, the method of vendor selection, the identity and affiliation of the vendor, and current status of the contract.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_\_-EI

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	
Line No.	Contract No.	Status of Contract	Original Term of Contract	Current Term of Contract	Original Amount	Actual Expended as of Prior Year End	Estimate of amount to be Expended in Current Year	Estimate of Final Contract Amount	Name of Contractor (and Affiliation if any)	Method of Selection	Work Description
1	105353	Open	10/2/2007	3/31/2008	\$2,290,000	\$ 1,180,000	\$ 1,110,000	\$ 2,290,000	Shaw Stone & Webster	Sole Source	Engineering Support
2	104980	Open	8/14/2007	3/31/2008	\$5,600,000	\$ 4,100,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 5,600,000	Westinghouse Electric Company	Sole Source	Engineering Support
3	108225	Closed	11/15/2007	12/31/2007	\$1,100,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ -	\$ 1,100,000	Siemens	Sole Source	Forging Reservation
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											

Note 1: Method of Selection column should specify: (1) Lease, Buy or Make Considerations for goods (or) In house or external for resources.

Note 2: Method of Selection column should specify: (2) RFP or Sole Source.

Note 3: Method of Selection column should specify: (3) Lowest Cost Bidder Accepted/Not Accepted.

St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Contracts Executed

Schedule T-8A (True-up)

[Section (8)(c)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_-EI

EXPLANATION: Provide additional details of contracts executed in excess of \$1 million including, the nature and scope of the work, the nature of any affiliation with selected vendor, the method of vendor selection, brief description of vendor selection process, and current status of the contract.

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

**Contract No.:**

105353

**Major Task or Tasks Associated With:**

Initial Balance of Plant (BOP) Engineering - Engineering support associated with steam and feed water systems and the turbine generator electrical capacity

**Vendor Identity:**

Shaw Stone & Webster Corp.

**Vendor Affiliation (specify 'direct' or 'indirect'):**

None

**Number of Vendors Solicited:**

0

**Number of Bids Received:**

0

**Brief Description of Selection Process:**

Sole Source - The leading engineering firm in performing power uprate work in the industry and previous satisfactory completion of uprate work with FPL Group.

**Dollar Value:**

\$2,290,000

**Contract Status:**

Open

**Term Begin:**

10/2/2007

**Term End:**

3/31/2008

**Nature and Scope of Work:**

Provide initial Balance Of Plant (BOP) engineering for 4 units, St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 and Turkey Point Units 3 and 4.

Describe work and scope details

St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Contracts Executed

Schedule T-8A (True-up)

[Section (8)(c)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

EXPLANATION: Provide additional details of contracts executed in excess of \$1 million including, the nature and scope of the work, the nature of any affiliation with selected vendor, the method of vendor selection, brief description of vendor selection process, and current status of the contract.

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

Contract No.:

104980

Major Task or Tasks Associated With:

Initial Nuclear Steam Supply System Engineering - Provide engineering support for the nuclear fuel parameters, fuel burn-up rates, primary system pressure and temperature operating parameters.

Vendor Identity:

Westinghouse Electric Corp.

Vendor Affiliation (specify 'direct' or 'indirect'):

None

Number of Vendors Solicited:

0

Number of Bids Received:

0

Brief Description of Selection Process:

Sole Source - Original Equipment Manufacturer of the Nuclear Steam Supply System

Dollar Value:

\$5,600,000

Contract Status:

Open

Term Begin:

8/14/2007

Term End:

3/31/2008

Nature and Scope of Work:

Provide initial Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) engineering for 4 units, St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 and Turkey Point Units 3 and 4.

Describe work and scope details

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Contracts Executed**

Schedule T-8A (True-up)

[Section (8)(c)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_\_-EI

EXPLANATION: Provide additional details of contracts executed in excess of \$1 million including, the nature and scope of the work, the nature of any affiliation with selected vendor, the method of vendor selection, brief description of vendor selection process, and current status of the contract. For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

Contract No.:

108225

Major Task or Tasks Associated With:

Low Pressure Turbine Rotor forging slot reservation

Vendor Identify:

Siemens

Vendor Affiliation (specify 'direct' or 'indirect'):

None

Number of Vendors Solicited:

0

Number of Bids Received:

0

Brief Description of Selection Process:

Sole Source  
Only vendor that could manufacture the equipment needed to support the project schedule

Dollar Value:

\$1,100,000

Contract Status:

Closed

Term Begin:

11/15/2007

Term End:

12/31/2007

Nature and Scope of Work:

Reserve manufacturing forging slot for the St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 Low Pressure (LP) Turbine rotor.

Describe work and scope details



**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Contracts Executed**

Schedule T-8B (True-up)

[Section (8)(c)]

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a list of contracts executed in excess of \$200,000 including: vendor identity, product or service, term begin, term end and dollar value.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	(A) Vendor Identity	(B) Product or Service	(C) Term Begin	(D) Term End	(E) Dollar Value
1	Golder Associates, Inc., 4500387805	Site Certification Application preparation.	9/11/2007	3/31/2008	\$ 218,400
2	Siemens, 104453	Generator Rotor rewind analyses for St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 and Turkey Units 3 and 4.	7/30/2007	3/31/2008	\$ 400,000
3	Areva, 105720	Provide initial fuels scoping for St. Lucie Unit 1.	8/31/2007	12/31/2007	\$ 310,000
4	Siemens, 108708	Reserve a Turbine Generator Rotor forging slot for Turkey Point Unit 3.	12/1/2007	1/31/2008	\$ 275,000
5	FPLE Seabrook Station LLC, 100579, 16	Seabrook personnel support of the Power Uprate Project.	7/26/2007	12/31/2008	\$ 200,000
6	TSSD Services Inc, 108876	Project management services for St. Lucie	12/3/2007	6/30/2008	\$ 270,000

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Calculation of Net Final True-Up**

Schedule T-9 (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION Calculate the net final true-up balance, including revenue and interest.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 \_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	Description	(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actual May	(F) Actual June	(G) 6 Month Total
1	NFR Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	True-Up Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	NFR Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Jurisdictional NFR Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Over/Under Recovery true-up provision (Line 3 - Line 4c)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Interest Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Beginning Balance True-up & Interest Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a	Deferred True-up	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	True-Up Collected (Refunded) (See Line 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	End of Period True-up	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Calculation of Net Final True-Up**

Schedule T-9 (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION Calculate the net final true-up balance, including revenue and interest.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	Description	(H) Actual July	(I) Actual August	(J) Actual September	(K) Actual October	(L) Actual November	(M) Actual December	(N) 12 Month Total
1	NFR Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	True-Up Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	NFR Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Jurisdictional NFR Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Over/Under Recovery true-up provision (Line 3 - Line 4c)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Interest Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Beginning Balance True-up & Interest Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a	Deferred True-up	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	True-Up Collected (Refunded) (See Line 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	End of Period True-up	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Calculation of Interest on Net Final True-Up**

Schedule T-10 (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION Calculate the interest on the net final true-up amount.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08\_\_\_\_-EI

Line No.	Description	(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actual May	(F) Actual June	(G) 6 Month Total
1	Beginning Monthly Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Ending Monthly Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Average Monthly Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Beginning of Month interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Ending of Month Interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Average Interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Average Monthly Interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	Monthly Interest Amount	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
Calculation of Interest on Net Final True-Up**

Schedule T-10 (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION Calculate the interest on the net final true-up amount.

COMPANY: Florida Power & Light Company

For the Year Ended 12/31/2007

DOCKET NO.: 08 -EI

Line No.	Description	(H) Actual July	(I) Actual August	(J) Actual September	(K) Actual October	(L) Actual November	(M) Actual December	(N) 12 Month Total
1	NFR Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	True-Up Provision							
3	NFR Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Jurisdictional NFR Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Over/Under Recovery true-up provision (Line 3 - Line 4c)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Interest Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Beginning Balance True-up & Interest Provision	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a	Deferred True-up	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	True-Up Collected (Refunded) (See Line 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	End of Period True-up	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0