1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2	LIC	DOCKET NO. 080072-GU
3	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 000072 GO
4	PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF A RESIDENTIAL STANDBY GENERATOR RATE SCHEDULE, BY FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY/	
5		
6		
7		
8		Sold of the second
9		
10		
11		75 0000
12		
13	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE ITEM NO. 15
14		
15	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II
16		COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN
17		COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP
18		
19	DATE:	Tuesday, March 18, 2008
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
21		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
22		Tallahassee, Florida
23	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR
24		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732
25		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMESS 2017 MAR 25 8

PARTICIPATING:

KEINO YOUNG, ESQUIRE, ELIZABETH DRAPER and CONNIE KUMMER, representing the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

2.5

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CARTER: We are now on Tab 15. Staff you're recognized.

MS. DRAPER: Commissioners, Elizabeth Draper with staff. Item 15 is a petition by FPUC for approval of a residential standby generator rate schedule that applies to customers whose only gas supply is a standby generator. Staff is here to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And I appreciate having this pulled from move staff, because I have some concerns and really want to ask some questions first just to clarify, to get a picture of where we are with this. Those 400 and what is it, 32, 400 and so customers who elected to go out and purchase a large generator for times when energy is disrupted or storms, hooked up to a natural gas line from FPUC. And at that time, there was — there is an interconnection fee, which I don't know what that interconnection fee was to hook up. From what I understand there was an interconnection fee, and then there was a monthly charge of \$8, even though there is no gas used it was just for having it available in times. And now what we are doing with this is saying that now we're going to charge you and we are going to base it on — even though you don't use any gas, we

are going to charge you the average family over here who is using gas for their stoves, for their dryers, and for whatever they use in their household that uses the natural gas, we're going to charge you their average bill just because you are hooked up. And because I guess the information that you are receiving is because customers would say, well, okay, we really don't want to spend the money to do this, we will just ask for it when the electric comes out or when we need it. And I hope I'm articulating this properly.

I don't know if there were any hearings that would make a customer know that this was coming to them for public input, because after all they signed up, they paid a connection fee, they were paying an \$8 monthly fee, and now I guess what we're saying -- if we approve this, what we are saying to those people is that you are going to now pay what the average person who uses gas pays.

And I have several problems with that. Number one, that there was no notice to those customers. And, number two, they are not using any gas. And what a windfall for the gas companies to all of a sudden have 400 and something customers who are not using the gas to pay what an average family who does use the gas is. So that's my concern in asking to move it off of move staff.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff.

MS. DRAPER: FPUC proposed that when the Commission

approves this rate schedule it will give those current generator-only customers 30 days notice that the premise will be transferred to this new rate schedule and customers will have the option at the time to either terminate gas service if they elect not to take service under the new rate schedule, or go on the new rate schedule.

1.0

2.0

2.3

bill impacts, and the increase will be between \$0 to \$10 at the most. So if a customer has been running their generator, there probably is not going to be much of an impact because the customer already has been paying a nonfuel energy charge in addition to the \$8 customer charge. For a customer who never runs the generator, yes, that customer will see an increase in the monthly bill.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Isn't that mandating use of -- that's kind of a mandate. You must use this, because we are going to charge you if you don't use it.

Mr. Chair, I just have a real problem with it.

Especially that there was no hearings. I think it's done in the reverse. It should have been done hearings first and then -- I just can't agree to that anyway. Do you know the connection fee that the customers paid when they bought -- when they put that money into the generator and said, you know, when we need you, we are going to be there to use your service. And then I don't know what kind of charge there really is or cost

to the company there really is if they are not using the gas.

And I have a real problem with understanding why the company
needs to do that, other than just to hook up 432 people to say
you are going to use our gas or you are going to get charged.

MS. DRAPER: There may have been a CIAC for some customers if there is no existing pipe nearby, so those customers may have paid a CIAC for the company to lay a pipe to serve them. If there is an existing distribution system in place, there probably was no CIAC and the customer was just able to receive service.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I guess your question is do we know that.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, number one, do we know that; and, number two, if I were a company I would be glad that somebody hooked up that is going to use the gas whenever. If there is no cost and it is already there, well, then great, I have a customer in times that they are going to use my gas. And I don't know what the customer is charged. Maybe every one of them was charged a connection fee, and I don't have that information. So with all of that lacking --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Excuse me, Commissioner, for interrupting you. Staff, do we have anyone on staff that would know the answer to those questions?

MS. DRAPER: Not currently. We can get that information from the company as to who paid a CIAC or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I'm saying the entire line of questions that Commissioner Argenziano has been asking, is there someone that -- Ms. Kummer, you're recognized.

MS. KUMMER: I can't provide you the answers you are looking for, but I would like to clarify one thing that Commissioner Argenziano mentioned. The customer charge they are paying, the \$8 customer charge is primarily to recover the cost of billing and meter reading. That does not cover any of the infrastructure to get that gas to them. That is normally recovered through the therm charge, which they are not paying if they don't use their generator.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Excuse me, but the infrastructure is already there. And if they paid an interconnection fee, that should cover that. I mean, especially if they are not using any gas, there really is no usage, and you are saying that the \$8 fee was to cover the -and I can understand maybe the \$8 fee. If people agreed to that already, then they agreed to that, but they haven't agreed to the additional, and that's the part that I'm concerned about.

MS. KUMMER: And I don't disagree with your question that did they know this was going to happen.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Right.

MS. KUMMER: I was just explaining from a cost of service standpoint, anything that is not a customer charge is spread over the projected therms. And if you are not paying a therm charge, you are not paying those infrastructure costs.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Right.

2.5

And, Mr. Chair, to my colleagues, the company knew that ahead of time, the people did not. They could have chosen to use a diesel generator or something else. So that's my point. Doing it backwards almost captures those people saying now you will be a customer. That's not right.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I somewhat agree with Commissioner Argenziano to the extent that it's a captive audience, to the extent that if you are making a purchasing decision for backup generation, you know, there's numerous fuel options that you have. And if you commit to making one of natural gas only to have a monthly service charge essentially, then that is somewhat problematic. But I'm openminded on how we might be able to resolve this. Perhaps customer input would be appropriate at the front end as opposed to the back end, or whatever posture that staff or legal staff might be able to advise us up to and including deferring this to get some more information if we need to.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let me hear from staff first, and I will come to you, okay? Commissioner, let me just hear from staff, and then, Commissioner Edgar, I will come back to you.

Then, Commissioner Argenziano, I know you have got some additional questions, we will come back to you.

Ms. Kummer, you're recognized.

2.5

MS. KUMMER: We certainly want to address all the Commissioners' questions, and what you can do today is simply suspend this tariff and that will give us more time to gather the additional information that you are seeking. That's an option to proceed. If we don't have the information that you need today, we can simply suspend the tariff within the 60-day time frame and then that gives us another eight months to actually collect the information that you want before the tariff goes into effect.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That actually gets to the point that I wanted to raise, which is looking at the critical dates with the 60-day suspension period and wanting to just bring that to all of our attention. You know, I would like -- I appreciate the comments that Commissioner Argenziano has raised, and I personally would like some additional information both on the cost of service to the customers, but also -- or the impact to the customers, but also to the utility, as well.

Recognizing that this is a very small utility, recognizing that we have had a number of issues before us in the past recently, and probably in the near future again, I think, as to the financial situation of this small utility.

And, therefore, I would want to, you know, have additional information so that I understand more clearly that costs are being distributed in an equitable manner both for those who are within that 400 or so group and then also for the larger body of ratepayers, as well.

2.1

2.4

So with that in mind, I would ask staff as to with that 60-day period, if they could comment to us back as to when they could bring it back before us. And I also recognize that I don't see anybody here on behalf of the utility to be able to respond. And, again, I recognize they are a small utility, and so we might want to defer it, if, indeed, we can appropriately and allow them to participate, as well.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff, you're recognized to respond.

MS. DRAPER: The 60-day clock expires March 31st, so you would have to approve, deny, or suspend it today, and I suggest we suspend it, and that will give us an eight-month clock.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Well, it seems to me -- and, of course, it probably won't be the first time I have thought out loud, but it seems to me that the Commissioners are asking questions that staff does not have answers to. And, from what staff has responded to, I think there is a way that we can get that information, and our options are -- Mr. Cooke.

MR. COOKE: Mr. Chairman, you can approve staff

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

recommendation, you can deny staff recommendation, or as has
been suggested, vote to suspend and that gives us an
opportunity to talk more to the utility. And one thing that
perhaps we can look into is whether existing customers could be
grandfathered, if the utility might agree to something like
that.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I'm going to pick up on your thought on suspending, but --

2.0

MR. COOKE: What I'm hearing is that the consensus would be to -- the motion would be to suspend under 367.081.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I like that. Hang on to that for a second, though. Don't go away. I'm coming to you. I want to go back to Commissioner Argenziano first.

Commissioner, on this suspension, I think it would work under the rubric of getting the additional information, informing the public, the public being the customers, the actual ratepayers, and getting the necessary information, Commissioner Edgar, from the company itself. We are talking about 432 people, but everybody is important. Also getting probably additional information in the docket that we can make a ruling on. So is that kind of -- did that kind of capture the essence, Commissioner Argenziano?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. I'm all for getting more information, because part of the problem is I don't have answers to the questions I have, as some other Commissioners

have also mentioned. But what I would suggest is that -something that our counsel had indicated, I will still have a
problem with going backwards to tell people who already
purchased those generators that need to use natural gas that we
are not understanding that the company knew because they know
how things are disbursed, their costs are disbursed, the
customer didn't. So I would always have a problem with doing
that with them unless they agreed somehow that that is okay.

But, to go back, what I would like is detailed information if we are going go back for information of costs as to how many homes does this company totally supply gas to, because the 432 are the generator users, they are not the gas homes that use the gas for their dryers and the stoves and so on. And if they are talking about meter costs, because of course they are going to go out to read the meter to make sure they haven't used the generator, or they have used the generator, and then you need a ratio of how you derive those meter costs from all of your customers down to the 432. And, of course, a public hearing ahead of time, I think, is essential.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay.

MR. COOKE: Mr. Chairman, can I just correct --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hold on.

MR. COOKE: -- one thing I said.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: He may lose his train of thought

like I do from time to time. Commissioner Skop and then we will come back to you, Mr. Cooke.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I think our General Counsel hit on a very extremely good point, and I think that would address not only Commissioner Argenziano's concern, but mine if this would be able to be accomplished. But, Mr. Cooke, your thoughts on negotiating with the utility to the extent that existing customers might be grandfathered, I think, is an excellent idea to the extent that that would take care of the impacted customers as well as on a forward-going basis consumers would be able to make informed consent as to what option to choose in terms of whether to interconnect to the natural gas line, or to just get a diesel generator, or to a gasoline-powered generator.

I don't know if that is still on the table, but I think that's an outstanding option if it's available. But also, too, if we do move to suspend the tariff, certainly getting customer input during that time, or having a customer meeting, or seeking comments from the customers, I think, would be very important. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner.

Mr. Cooke, I cut you off, and I apologize, but sometimes I lose my train of thought, and I know Commissioner

Skop had -- I didn't want that to happen to him, although I'm a

lot older than he is.

1.0

MR. COOKE: I just wanted to correct a misstatement regarding the statute. We are under 366. I was thinking ahead to our next item.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: But our options are the same, though, correct?

MR. COOKE: The options are the same. We are voting to suspend within the 60 days.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Edgar, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Chairman, if this is the appropriate time, I would make a motion that we suspend the tariff and ask our staff to go back and seek additional information as to the cost impacts, taking into account all of the comments that have been made here at the bench today. As a side note to that motion, and I will come back to it, the grandfathering may be an appropriate option. It certainly has sparked some interest from me and others.

On the other hand, also, you know, there may be a concern. I might have a concern as to, you know, one customer paying a different amount for something than a customer or their neighbor. And so those issues, I would just think that I would like our staff to flesh out a little bit more for us. So that is an aside to it. And so what I would ask is that I make a motion that we suspend and have our staff bring this item

back to us at a later date.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. A motion. Do we get a second? I'm going to come to you. Do you want to comment before the second? You're recognized.

off.) Because while we are accumulating the information, I think that it would be very good to see how much the company would benefit from those -- if the 432 customers who are not using gas except when there is an outage, how much the company would benefit if they use an average gas user's bill, because I think that is important also, as information derived. And I move to second the motion.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Now, staff, I hope you were taking copious note on that, because restating it is probably not going to happen on this one.

Commissioners, there's a motion and a second. All those in favor let it be known by the sign of aye.

(Unanimous affirmative vote.)

CHAIRMAN CARTER: All those opposed, like sign. Show it done. That was Tab 15.

* * * * * * *

STATE OF FLORIDA

3

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

COUNTY OF LEON

the action.

5

6

I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.

8

9

7

IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

1.0

11

12

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in

> Official FPSC Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6732

1.3

14

DATED THIS 24th day of March, 2008.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION