

	Regulation
FROM:	Denise N. Vandiver, Chief of Auditing, Division of Regulatory Compliance \hat{igta}
RE:	Docket No: 080317-EI; Company Name: Tampa Electric Company; Audit Purpose: Rate Case Audit; Company Code: EI806; Audit Control No: 08-234-2-1;

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of the Commission Clerk. There are confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV/tbm Attachment: Audit Report

 CC: Division of Regulatory Compliance (Salak, Mailhot, Harvey, District Offices, File Folder)
 Office of Commission Clerk (2)
 General Counsel
 Office of Public Counsel

> Ms. Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

L. Willis/ J. Beasley/ K. Hart/ J. Wahlen Ausley Law Firm P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

LOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING

Tampa District Office

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

PETITION FOR RATE INCREASE

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

DOCKET NO. 080317-EI AUDIT CONTROL NO. 08-234-2-1

y A. Small, Audit Manager

Tomer Kopelovich, Audit Staff Ronald Mavrides, Audit Staff imon J. Ojada, Audit Staff

Joseph W. Rohrbacher,

Josepn W. Ronrbacher, District Supervisor

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10536 NOV 12 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUDITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
I. PURPOSE	1
II. OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES	2
III. EXHIBITS	
1. RATE BASE	6
2. NET OPERATING INCOME	7
3. COST OF CAPITAL	8

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

October 31, 2008

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request dated August 21, 2008. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Tampa Electric Company in support of its Petition for Rate Increase in Docket No. 080317-El.

This audit was performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures which are only for internal Commission use.

OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES

RATE BASE

General

Objective: To determine that the utility's rate base filing represents the recorded results from continuing operations.

Procedures: We reconciled the following individual component rate base balances to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2007. We verified utility adjustments to rate base balances and reconciled the adjustments to the utility's other Commission filings during the test year or to the Commission rule or prior order that required the specific adjustment.

Plant-in-Service (PIS)

Objective: To determine that property exists and is owned by the utility. To determine that additions to PIS are authentic, recorded at original cost, and properly classified in compliance with Commission rules and the FERC Uniform System of Accounts. To determine that the proper retirements of PIS were made when a replacement item was put in service. To determine the 13-month average balances for each PIS account.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility plant additions, retirements and adjustments for select PIS accounts, that the company's PIS is properly recorded per the objectives stated above for the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 2007. We recalculated a sample of 13-month average balances for selected PIS accounts.

Property Held for Future Use (PHFU)

Objective: To determine the nature and purpose of properties recorded as PHFU and to disclose material additions or changes to the company's planned use for such properties.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility PHFU balances, the utility's PHFU balance as of December 31, 2007. We reviewed utility documents describing the planned use of additions to PHFU since its last rate proceeding and inquired about changes to the company's planned use for existing PHFU properties. We recalculated the 13-month average balances for PHFU.

Construction Work in Process (CWIP)

Objective: To determine the nature and purpose of utility projects recorded as CWIP. To determine that CWIP projects that are eligible to accrue allowance-for-funds-used-during-construction (AFUDC) are excluded from utility rate base pursuant to Rule 25-6.0141, F.A.C.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility CWIP projects, the utility's CWIP balance as of December 31, 2007. We reviewed utility documents describing each CWIP project sampled to determine whether it was eligible to accrue AFUDC. We verified that CWIP projects accruing AFUDC were not included in rate base for this proceeding. We recalculated the 13-month average balances for CWIP.

Working Capital

Objective: To determine that the utility's working capital balance is properly calculated in compliance with Commission rules and is consistent with the prior rate case, Commission Order No. PSC-93-0165-FOF-EI, issued February 2, 1993. To determine that the utility is in compliance with the provisions of Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C. – Use of Accumulated Provision Accounts.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select working capital accounts, that the utility's working capital balance is properly stated, utility in nature, non-interest bearing, does not include non-utility items and is consistent with the order cited above. We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions, that the accumulated provision accounts year end balances comply with the Commission rule cited above. We recalculated a sample of 13-month average balances for select working capital accounts.

Accumulated Depreciation

Objective: To determine that accruals, retirements and adjustments to accumulated depreciation are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and the FERC Uniform System of Accounts.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select accumulated depreciation reserves, that the accumulated depreciation is properly recorded per the objectives stated above for the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 2007. We recalculated a sample of 13-month average balances for selected reserve accounts.

NET OPERATING INCOME

<u>General</u>

Objective: To determine that the utility's filing represents its recorded results from continuing operations.

Procedures: We reconciled the following individual component net operating income balances to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2007. We verified utility adjustments to net operating income balances and reconciled the adjustments to the utility's other Commission filings during the test year or to Commission rules or prior orders that required the specific adjustment.

<u>Revenues</u>

Objective: To determine that revenues are properly calculated and recorded in compliance with Commission rules and are based on the utility's Commission approved tariff rates.

Procedures: We reconciled utility revenues for the 12-month period ended December 31, 2007 to the general ledger. We reviewed the Commission audits of the utility's cost recovery clauses for the historical test year, which included recalculations of a sample of customer bills, to ensure that the utility was using the rates authorized in its approved tariff and displayed in its filing. We verified that unbilled revenues were calculated correctly.

Operation and Maintenance Expenses (O&M)

Objective: To determine that operation and maintenance expenses are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and were reasonable and prudent for ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select O&M expense accounts, that utility O&M expense balances are adequately supported by source documentation, prudent, utility in nature and do not include non-utility items and are recorded consistent with Commission rules. We reviewed additional samples of utility advertising expenses, legal fees, outside service expenses, sales expenses, customer service expenses and administrative and general service expenses to ensure that amounts supporting non-utility operations were removed. We reviewed intercompany allocations and charges between affiliated companies and non-utility operations to determine if expenses were allocated pursuant to Rule 25-6.1351 F.A.C.

Depreciation Expense

Objective: To determine that depreciation expense is properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represents the depreciation of PIS assets for ongoing utility operations. To determine that historical test year depreciation expense accruals are calculated using the Commission authorized rates in Order No. PSC-08-0014-PAA-EI, issued January 4, 2008, in the utility's last depreciation docket.

Procedures: We recalculated a sample of depreciation expense accruals for the historical test year to verify that the utility is using the correct depreciation rates authorized in the order cited above.

Taxes-Other-Than-Income (TOTI)

Objective: To determine that taxes other than income tax expense is properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select TOTI accounts, that utility TOTI expense balances are adequately supported by source documentation and are recorded consistent with Commission rules.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

General

Objective: To determine the components of the utility's capital structure and that the respective cost rates used to arrive at the overall weighted cost of capital are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represents the ongoing utility operations. To determine that utility's capital structure adjustments are appropriate and correspond to the utility's rate base adjustments in the filing

Procedures: We reconciled the following individual component capital structure balances to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2007. We verified that non-utility assets supported by the utility's capital structure were removed and that the capital structure adjustments reconciled with the rate base adjustments in the

filing. We recalculated the 13-month average balances and the weighted average cost of capital for the utility's historical test year capital structure.

Equity

Objective: To determine that owners' equity balances represent actual equity of the utility and that they are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules. *Procedures:* We traced equity balances to the general ledger. We verified retained earnings by reconciling a sample of dividend distributions to the dividend declarations of the TEC Board of Directors.

Long-Term-Debt

Objective: To determine that long-term debt balances represent actual obligations of the utility and that they are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules. *Procedures:* We traced the long-term debt balances and the unamortized loss on reacquired debt balance to the original documents and verified the terms, conditions, redemption provisions and interest rates for each bond or note payable. We recalculated the weighted average cost of long-term debt.

Short-Term-Debt

Objective: To determine that short-term debt balances represent actual obligations of the utility and that they are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules. *Procedures:* We traced the short-term debt balances to supporting documents and verified the terms, conditions and interest rates for an affiliated account receivable securitization balance. We verified the average cost of short-term debt. We traced the computation of the average cost of short-term debt to utility documentation.

Customer Deposits

Objective: To determine that customer deposit balances represent actual obligations of the utility and are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules.

Procedures: We reconciled the customer deposit balances to the general ledger. We reviewed and accepted a Commission audit report issued March of 2007¹ that determined the utility is in substantial compliance with Commission rules regarding customer deposit policies and procedures.

Accumulated Deferred Taxes

Objective: To determine that accumulated deferred income taxes are properly stated and calculated based on the recorded differences between utility book and taxable income.

Procedures: We reconciled the deferred tax balances to the general ledger and to the utility's federal tax returns. We verified that the deferred tax balances included the capture of bonus depreciation that was available in the applicable tax years.

¹ <u>Review of Customer Deposit Procedures of Florida's Five Investor Owned Utilities</u>, issued by the Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement in March 2007.

EXHIBIT 1

OMPANY: TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY		4	recent historical yea	r Provide the deta	ile of all a divertments					
0.0KET NI: 000047 EI			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ns or an adjustment	s on Schedule B-2.		XX	Projected Test Yea Projected Prior Yea Historical Prior Yea Witness: J.S. Chro	ar Ended 12/31/200
OCKET No. 080317-EI	(1)	(2) Accumulated	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
lo. Se	ant in ervice	Provision for Depreciation and Amortization	Net Plant in Service (1-2)	CWIP	Plant Held for Future Use	Nuclear Fuel No AFUDC (Net)	Net Utility Plant	Working Capital Allowance	Other Rate Base Items	Total Rate Base
Utility per Books \$	5,141,456	\$ 1,940,434	\$ 3,201,022	\$ 272,925	\$ 37,970	\$-	\$ 3,511,917	\$ 63,584	ş -	\$ 3,575,50
Separation Factor	0.972945	<u>0.973178</u>	<u>0.972803</u>	0.980692	0.970664	·	0.973395	0.991140		<u>0.97371</u>
Jurisdiction Utility	5,062,353	1,888,388	3,113,965	267,655	38,861		3,418,481	63,021		3,481,50
Commission Adjustments	(183,140)	(37,028)	(146,121)	(91,420)		-	(237,541)	(142,595)	-	(380,13
0 Company Adjustments		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
1 2 Total Adjustments	(183,140)	(37,028)	(146,121)	(91,420)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	(237,541)	(142,595)	<u>.</u>	(380,13
3 Jurisdictional Utility	4,819,204	<u>\$ 1,851,360</u>	\$ 2,967,844	<u>\$ 176,235</u>	\$ 38,861	<u>s</u> .	<u>\$ 3,180,840</u>	<u>\$ (79,574</u>)	\$ -	<u>\$ 3,101,36</u>
6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 9 0 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 8 9 0 0 1 9 0 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1										

.

.

EXHIBIT 2

SCHEDULE C-1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET No. 080317-EI		EXPI	ANATION:	Prov		of ju	risdictional net ope	RATING INCOME tratingincome for the	e test y	ear, the prior ye	ar an	d	Тур	Projected Pr XX Historical Pr	ior Ye ior Ye	Page 3 of 3 ar Ended 12/31/2009 ar Ended 12/31/2008 ar Ended 12/31/2007 phister/W.R. Ashburn
DOCI	KET NO. 000317-EI		(1)		(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)		(6) Commission		(7) Company			(8) Adjusted
Line No.			Plant in Service		Non- Electric Utility	, .	Total Electric (1-2)	Jurisdictional Factor	Ju	risdictional Amount (3) x (4)		Jurisdictional Adjustments (Schedule C-2)		Jurisdictional Adjustments (Schedule C-2)		Jurisdictional Amount (5) + (6)
1 2 3	Revenue From Sales	\$	2,111,724	\$		ŝ	2,111,724	0.971173	\$	2,050,849	\$	(1,238,371)	\$		\$	812,478
4 5	Other Operating		76,708		<u>-</u>	_	76,708	0.975909		74,860		(36,635)				38,225
6 7	Total Operating Revenues		2,188,432				2,188,432			2,125,709		(1,275,006)				850,703
8 9	Other O&M		317,439		-		317,439	0.975724		309,733		(6,531)				303,202
10 11	Fuel		857,368		-		857,368	0.962736		825,419		(821,613)				3,806
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Purchased Power		271,937		-		271,937	0.964411		262,259		(259,983)				2,276
	Deferred Cost		90,495				90,495	1.001105		90,595		(90,595)				-
	Depreciation & Amortization		178,586				178,586	0.973531		173,859		(5,107)				168,752
	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		140,366		•		140,366	0.990268		139,000		(88,019)				50,981
20 21	Income Taxes		85,201		1,429		83,772	0.982942		82,343		1,433				83,776
22 23	(Gain)Loss on Disposal of Plant		(1,891)		<u> </u>		(1,891)	0.973030		(1,840)		-	_		: _	(1,840)
24 25	Total Operating Expenses		1,939,501		1,429		1,938,072			1,881,368		(1,270,416)				610,952
26 27	Net Operating Income	\$	248,931	\$	(1,429)	\$	250,360		\$	244,341	\$	(4,589)	\$. \$	239,752
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Totals may be affected due to rounding															

.

×

EXHIBIT 3

			COST OF	CAPITAL • 13-MONT	HAVERAGE				Page 3 of 3
EXPLANATION: Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for the test year, prior year and the historical Type of data shown: base year. Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2009 Projected Prior Year Ended 12/31/2008 XX Historical Prior Year Ended 12/31/2007 Witness: J.S. Chronister/G.L. Gillette/W.R.									/2008 /2007
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Tota	al	Specific Adjustments	Pro-Rata Adjustments	System Adjusted	Jurisdictional Factor	Jurisdictional Capital Structure	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate
\$ 1,6	538,241	\$ (24)	\$ (191,866)	\$ 1,446,351	0.973350	\$ 1,407,803	45.39%	6.43%	2.92%
	17,324	-	(2,029)	15,295	0.973350	14,887	0.48%	3.68%	0.02%
	99,885	-	(11,698)	88,187	0.973350	85,837	2.77%	6.04%	0.17%
	-				0.973350		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1,4	\$60,034	2,501	(171,290)	1,291,245	0.973350	1,256,830	40.53%	11.75%	4.76%
3	366,044	11,733	(44,245)	333,532	0.973350	324,643	10.47%	0.00%	0.00%
	-		-	-	0.973350		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	13,228	(2)	(1,549)	11,677	0.973350	11,366	0.37%	8.94%	0.03%
<u>\$ 3,5</u>	594,756	\$ 14,208	<u>\$ (422,677</u>)	<u>\$ 3,186,287</u>		<u>\$ 3,101,366</u>	100.00%		7.90%
	(1) Comp Tota Per Bc \$ 1,6	(1) Company Total Per Books \$ 1,638,241 17,324 99,885 - 1,460,034 366,044 -	base year. (1) (2) Company Total Per Books Specific Adjustments \$ 1,638,241 \$ (24) 17,324 - 99,885 - - - 1,460,034 2,501 366,044 11,733 - - 13,228 (2)	EXPLANATION: Provide the company's 13-month average or base year. (1) (2) (3) Company Total Specific Pro-Rata Per Books Adjustments Adjustments \$ 1,638,241 \$ (24) \$ (191,866) 17,324 - (2,029) 99,885 - (11,698) - - - 1,460,034 2,501 (171,290) 366,044 11,733 (44,245) - - - 13,228 (2) (1,549)	EXPLANATION: Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for the tase year. (1) (2) (3) (4) Company Total Specific Pro-Rata System Per Books Adjustments Adjustments Adjustments \$ 1,638,241 \$ (24) \$ (191,866) \$ 1,446,351 17,324 - (2,029) 15,295 99,885 - (11,698) 88,187 - - - - 1,460,034 2,501 (171,290) 1,291,245 366,044 11,733 (44,245) 333,532 - - - - 13,228 (2) (1,549) 11,677	Line Line <thlin< th=""> <thline< th=""> Line Li</thline<></thlin<>	EXPLANATION: Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for the test year, prior year and the historical base year. (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) Company Total Per Books Specific Adjustments Pro-Rata Adjustments System Adjusted Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Capital Structure \$ 1,638,241 \$ (24) \$ (191,866) \$ 1,446,351 0.973350 \$ 1,407,803 17,324 - (2,029) 15,295 0.973350 \$ 1,407,803 99,885 - (11,698) 88,187 0.973350 85,837 - - - 0.973350 1,256,830 366,044 11,733 (44,245) 333,532 0.973350 324,643 - - - - 0.973350 - - 13,228 (2) (1,549) 11,677 0.973350 11,366	EXPLANATION: Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for the test year, prior year and the historical base year. Type of data sho Projected Trested Tr	EXPLANATION: Provide the company's 13-month average cost of capital for the test year, prior year and the historical base year. Type of data shown: Projected Test Year Ended 12/31 Witness: J.S. Chronister/G.L. Gillet (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Company Total Specific Pro-Rata System Jurisdictional Capital Cost Cost \$ 1,638,241 \$ (191,866) \$ 1,446,351 0.973350 \$ 1,407,803 45.39% 6.43% 99,885 - (11,698) 88,187 0.973350 \$ 1,4887 0.48% 3.68% 99,885 - (11,698) 88,187 0.973350 \$ 1,256,830 40.53% 11.75% 366,044 11,733 (44.245) 333,532 0.973350 324,643 10.47% 0.00% - - - 0.973350 1.256,830 40.53% 11.75% 366,044 11,733 (44.245) 333,532 0.973350 - 0.00% 0.00% - -

Supporting Schedules:

Recap Schedules: A-1

.

¥.