

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company.	DOCKET NO. 080317-EI FILED: November 25, 2008
--	--

THE MOSAIC COMPANY’S PETITION TO INTERVENE

Pursuant to sections 120.569, .57, Florida Statutes, and rules 25-22.039, 28-106.201 and 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code, The Mosaic Company (Mosaic), through its undersigned counsel, files its Petition to Intervene. In support thereof, Mosaic states

1. Name and address of agency. The affected agency is the Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

2. Name and address of Petitioner. The name and address of the Petitioner is:

The Mosaic Company
PO Box 2000
Mulberry, FL 33860-1100
Telephone: (863) 428-2608
Facsimile: (863) 428-2694

3. Petitioner’s representatives. Copies of all pleadings, notices, and orders in this docket should be provided to:

Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Anchors Smith Grimsley
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone; (850) 681-3828
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788
vkaufman@asglegal.com
jmoyle@asglegal.com

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

P.O. Box 3350
Tampa, Florida 33601-3350
Telephone: (813) 505-8055
Facsimile: (813) 221-1854
jmcwhirter@mac-law.com

4. Notice of docket. Petitioner received notice of this docket by a review of the Commission's website.

5. Statement of Substantial Interests. Mosaic is engaged in mining activities in Tampa Electric Company's (TECO) service territory. Mosaic is one of TECO's largest customers and purchases substantial quantities of electricity from TECO to operate its business. The cost of electricity constitutes a significant portion of Mosaic's overall costs of production. Mosaic requires adequate, reasonably-priced electricity in order to compete.

6. In this case, the Commission will consider TECO's requests for: a rate increase in excess of \$228 million increase, significant changes to a well-established cost of service methodology, changes to and elimination of rate classes, a return on equity in the double digits, as well as a myriad of other issues related to TECO's earnings, rates and service offerings. The amount of the increase approved, if any, as well as cost of service methodology and rate class issues will affect Mosaic's substantial interests by increasing its costs of electricity, thus affecting its production costs, its competitive posture, and its levels of employment. Thus, as a customer of TECO, Mosaic's substantial interests will be affected by action the Commission takes in this docket.

7. Mosaic's interests are of the type that this proceeding is designed to protect. *See, Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation*, 406 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1981). The purpose of the proceeding is to evaluate TECO's requests and determine if any of the requests have merit. Thus, the purpose of the

proceeding coincides with Mosaic's substantial interests, which is to ensure that the rates it pays to TECO are just and reasonable.

8. Disputed Issues of Material Fact. Disputed issues of material fact include, but are not limited to,¹ the following:

- a. Is TECO's requested rate increase just and reasonable?
- b. Is TECO's proposed return on equity reasonable, particularly in light of current economic conditions?
- c. What is the appropriate return on equity for TECO?
- d. Should TECO's request to eliminate the interruptible rate schedule be approved?
- e. Should the Commission continue to use the approved 12CP and 1/13 AD cost of service methodology?
- f. Is it appropriate to have one rate schedule for all demand billed customers?
- g. Is it appropriate for all customers to be on a firm rate?
- h. Does TECO's rate design proposal correctly assess the value of interruptible service?
- i. Has TECO properly valued the GSLM-1 and GSLM-2 credit?
- j. Should the Commission approve TECO's request for a Transmission Base Rate Adjustment (TBRA)?
- k. Should items currently recovered through adjustment clauses be moved to base rates?

9. Disputed Legal Issues. Disputed legal issues include, but are not limited to, the following:

¹ In a rate case, issues are generally delineated and refined in a number of issue identification meetings. The first such meeting is scheduled for December 4th. Further, Mosaic is still in the process of receiving and reviewing appropriate documentation regarding TECO's filing and anticipates that there will be additional numerous disputed issues of material fact which the Commission will be required to resolve.

- a. Has TECO carried its burden of proof as to the return on equity it has requested?
- b. Has TECO carried its burden of proof to justify a change from the Commission's approved cost of service methodology?
- c. Has TECO carried its burden of proof regarding its request to eliminate the interruptible class of service?

10. Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged. Ultimate facts include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. The rate increase TECO seeks is unreasonable and should not be approved.
- b. The interruptible class of service should not be eliminated.
- c. All demand customers should not be included in one rate class.
- d. The appropriate cost of service methodology for TECO is the 12 CP and 1/13th methodology.

11. Rules and statutes justifying relief. The rules and statutes that entitle Mosaic to intervene and participate in this case include, but are not limited to:

- a. Section 120.569, Florida Statutes;
- b. Section 120.57, Florida Statutes;
- c. Section 366.041, Florida Statutes;
- d. Section 366.06, Florida Statutes;
- e. Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code;
- f. Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code;
- g. Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code.

12. Relief. Mosaic requests that it be permitted to intervene as a full party in this docket.

WHEREFORE, Mosaic requests that the Commission enter an order allowing it to intervene and participate as a full party in this docket.

s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Anchors Smith Grimsley
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone;(850)681-3828
Facsimile: (850)681-8788
vkaufman@asglegal.com
jmoyle@asglegal.com

John W. McWhirter, Jr.
P.O. Box 3350
Tampa, Florida 33601-3350
Telephone: (813) 224-0866
Facsimile: (813) 221-1854
jmcwhirter@mac-law.com

Attorneys for The Mosaic Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of The Mosaic Company's
Petition to Intervene has been furnished by electronic mail and U.S. Mail this 25th day of
November, 2008, to the following:

Keino Young
Florida Public Service Commission
Office of the General Counsel
2540 Shummard Oak Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

J.R. Kelly
Patricia Christensen
Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mike Twomey
P. O. Box 5256
Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Lee Willis
James Beasley
Ausley Law Firm
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

R. Scheffel Wright
Young Law Firm
225 S. Adams Street
Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Cecilia Bradley
Office of the Attorney General
400 S. Monroe St # PL-01
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-6536

s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Vicki Gordon Kaufman